



TO: Honorable Mayor Howorth and Members of the City Council

THROUGH: Bruce Moe, Acting City Manager

FROM: Anne McIntosh, Community Development Director
Dana Murray, Environmental Programs Manager

SUBJECT: Addition of Recommended Action to Authorize Mayor Howorth to Sign Letters to California Public Utilities Commission in Opposition to Draft Resolution E-4097 on Community Choice Aggregation

DATE: December 18, 2017

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

RECOMMENDATION

1. Make the findings required by the Brown Act to consider this item.
2. Authorize the Mayor to sign a letter opposing draft resolution E-4097, substantially in the form attached to this supplemental report.

DISCUSSION

Last week the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) proposed changing the rules for new and expanding community choice aggregation (CCA) programs and will decide on that proposal on January 11, 2018. Los Angeles Community Choice Energy (LACCE) sent us a request to oppose draft resolution E-4097 on Friday, December 15, 2017, after the agenda packet was distributed (Attachment 1). Pursuant to the Brown Act, the Council may take action on items not on the agenda if: (1) the item came to the attention of the City Council after the posting of the agenda; and (2) the item requires action prior to the next City Council meeting. In that (1) LACCE sent its request December 15, after the posting of the agenda; and (2) the opposition letter needs to be sent before the Council's next meeting, staff believes that the Council can make the necessary findings.

LACCE believes this policy would significantly harm CCA formation and have serious economic impacts on CCA programs. Attached is the draft resolution for your information, and our City may submit comments to the CPUC by December 29 deadline (Attachment 2). Other cities and counties are pursuing at least a delay of this action if not an outright disapproval of Draft Resolution E-4097.

According to the California Community Choice Association (CalCCA), the CPUC has proposed a large departure from their existing statutory oversight of CCAs. Draft Resolution E-4097 appears to be an attempt to slow down CCA growth in California and enact a de facto "CCA Freeze." The proposal will delay new communities from joining or forming CCAs, increase exit fees on customers, potentially impose financial burdens on local

governments, and circumvents standard public input processes at the Commission. Our City, and over 20 other cities who have joined LACCE in the last six months, have invested a great deal of time, process, analysis, and resources to undertake due diligence and establish the formation of a CCA under the existing rules. Changing the rules mid-stream and with a short timeframe is inappropriate, especially since the fundamental issues surrounding Resource Adequacy (RA) are already being addressed through a separate CPUC proceeding. There is an open rulemaking on RA procurement that would be an appropriate venue for this proposal, and would allow for due process. CCAs are committed to being responsible stewards of the grid, and look forward to the opportunity to work with the Commission and stakeholders in the RA proceeding to improve the existing RA construct.

According to CalCCA, the proposed CPUC process requires CCAs to begin power service on their timeline, not the timeline that is decided to be the best for the community by locally elected leaders. CCAs have many compliance, regulatory, outreach, and staffing obligations that they must complete before they begin serving customers. By delaying service dates, the Commission is preventing CCAs from collecting revenue that could be used for these efforts. This could drive new CCAs into significant debt. Incumbent IOUs will continue to procure power on a new CCA's behalf until service is launched to customers, increasing the exit fees for those customers when they do begin CCA service. According to CalCCA, investor-owned utilities have not provided any evidence that there is cost-shifting. If the Commission is relying on the investor-owned utilities' information for this resolution, stakeholders should have the opportunity to rebut factual assertions made in the draft resolution.

Existing, recently-formed, and prospective CCAs are urging the CPUC to vote 'no' on the draft resolution and that if the Commission wants to take action on these issues, it should be part of an open process where all parties can robustly engage, examine the evidence, and make their legal arguments.

Attachments:

1. Request from LACCE
2. Draft Opposition Letter
3. Draft CPUC Resolution E-4097

ATTACHMENT NO. 1

Dana Murray

From: Gary Gero <GGero@ceo.lacounty.gov>
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 3:27 PM
To: Gary Gero
Cc: Bill Carnahan; Matthew Skolnik
Subject: LACCE Update: Request for Urgent Action, More Cities, New Entrants Policy
Attachments: Draft Resolution E-4907.pdf; Item 7_Staff Report_New Entrants.pdf

Dear City Councilmembers, City Managers, and staff-

As you may have heard, the CPUC last week proposed changing the rules for new and expanding community choice aggregation (CCA) programs and will decide on that proposal on January 11. This policy would significantly harm CCA formation and have serious economic impacts on CCA programs. I have attached the draft resolution for your information and would encourage your city to submit comments to the CPUC by the December 29 deadline seeking at least a delay of this action if not an outright disapproval. Key issues to raise in your comments would be the fact that you have expended time and resources to consider creating or joining a CCA under the existing rules, that changing the rules midstream and with short timeframe is inappropriate, and that the fundamental issues surrounding Resource Adequacy are already being addressed through a separate CPUC proceeding.

Since my last update the LACCE program has had a large number of cities join the program so we are now at 21 members from throughout LA County and now including the County of Ventura, the City of Thousand Oaks, and the City of Ojai. We still expect several more cities to act before the December 27 deadline and we are very excited to have a robust regional energy program that will provide lower cost, greener, and more local energy for our communities.

The LACCE board of directors met last week to consider several items, including approving rates for Phase I power for LA County facilities. We are providing 60% renewable energy at 2% below SCE base rates for our accounts in the unincorporated areas. The board also considered but did not decide on options for changing the name of LACCE to reflect its broader reach and the fact that many communities do not feel a strong connection to Los Angeles.

Perhaps most importantly for many of you, the board adopted a policy for how new entrants are brought into the program after the December 27 deadline. I am attaching that policy which indicates such requests will be considered on a case-by-case basis with an assessment of the potential costs to LACCE, if any. The board made it clear there will be no "entry fee" or other cost, but if there are costs with regard to power procurement or capital acquisition, those may be charged to the new member city.

Also, in light of the recent wildfires, the LACCE board did provide a 3-month grace period for cities to join at no cost for cities that were unable to take action to join the program by the December 27 deadline. Cities that join the program next year, including those taking advantage of the no-cost grace period, will begin electric service according to the schedule described in the attached staff report. If your city was affected by the fires and you would like join the program, please send me a short request explaining how the fires delayed your action. These requests will be considered by the LACCE board.

Thank you all for all your help and interest in community choice energy over the past year. The County is tremendously pleased and excited by the initial success of the program and by having such a diverse set of cities as its partners in this endeavor.

As always, feel free to reach out at any time if you have questions or would like us to come to your community to make a presentation and answer questions.

Wishing you happy holidays and a healthy and joyous new year!

Kindly,
Gary

Gary Gero
Chief Sustainability Officer
County of Los Angeles

☎: 213.974.1160

ggero@ceo.lacounty.gov

www.lacounty.gov/sustainability

@CSO_LACo

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.



City of Manhattan Beach

City Council

Phone: (310) 802-5050
FAX: (310) 802-5051
TDD: (310) 546-3501

December 19, 2017

California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Request for Opposition to CPUC Draft Resolution E-4097

Dear Commissioners:

The City of Manhattan Beach **Opposes and Respectfully Requests your dismissal of Draft Resolution E-4097.**

Since 2014, the City of Manhattan Beach has been actively researching and evaluating options to implement a community choice aggregation (CCA) energy program to benefit our residents and businesses. Our city sees CCAs as an essential strategy for climate action, by shifting more of the City's utility source generation to clean renewable energy, thereby reducing overall greenhouse gas emissions and better positioning the City to achieve renewable energy goals. We found that joining a CCA would allow Manhattan Beach to join other regional jurisdictions in helping the State achieve its goals to power 50 percent of the state's electricity consumption using renewable energy by 2030 (according to the California Air Resources Board). After much consideration, we recently joined the Los Angeles Community Choice Energy (LACCE) program with the understanding that LACCE would be filing an addendum to its certified implementation plan to include us and many other Southern California cities in its Phase 2 launch in June 2018. This plan was included in their Implementation Plan and is known and acceptable to both the CPUC and Southern California Edison.

Without due process, Draft Resolution E-4907 proposes new rules for how and when CCA power providers could launch and make changes to procurement rules outside the normal rulemaking process. Such changes could have serious financial implications to LACCE and the City by delaying our entry into service and appears to have been deliberately proposed to harm our CCA's interests. The CPUC did not seek information from nor did it consult with LACCE staff or with the City in preparing this draft resolution. Instead, it appears that the draft resolution solely relies on evidence from investor-owned utilities, which has not been subject to review or examination. Not only is this draft resolution an act of bad faith, it is unwarranted.

Indeed, resource adequacy issues are already part of an existing CPUC proceeding and should be addressed in that venue so that all information on which a decision is made is vetted in a public and transparent manner rather than having the CPUC rely on the private, undisclosed, and unexamined information that led to an unsound and unnecessarily rushed draft resolution.

Letter to CA Public Utilities Commission

December 18, 2017

Page 2

The City of Manhattan Beach respectfully asks that this resolution be dismissed on the grounds that procurement of resource adequacy rules be addressed in the existing proceeding dedicated to that purpose and in recognition of the furtive manner in which it was placed on your agenda.

For these reasons the City of Manhattan Beach **Opposes and Respectfully Requests your “no” vote on CPUC Draft Resolution E-4097.**

Sincerely,

Amy Howorth
Mayor
City of Manhattan Beach

cc: Ed Randolph, Director, CPUC Energy Division
Governor Edmund G. Brown, Jr.
Assemblymember Al Muratsuchi
Senator Ben Allen

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ENERGY DIVISION

Agenda ID #16190
RESOLUTION E-4907
January 11, 2017

R E S O L U T I O N

Resolution E-4907. Registration Process for Community Choice Aggregators.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

- This resolution would publish and implement a registration process for Community Choice Aggregators.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

- There is no impact on safety.

ESTIMATED COST:

- Potential unquantifiable bundled ratepayer savings due to elimination of cost shifting of resource adequacy costs.

By the Commission's own initiative.

SUMMARY

The Commission through this Resolution proposes an informal process of review of Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) Implementation Plans pursuant to the requirements and directives of Public Utilities Code Section 366.¹ and Decision (D.) 05-12-041. This process of review will coordinate with the timeline of the mandatory forecast filings of the Commission's Resource Adequacy program to ensure that newly launched and expanding CCAs comply with Resource Adequacy requirements, as established by Section 380, before they serve customers.

¹ All further references are to the Public Utilities Code unless otherwise specified.

This Resolution will require Community Choice Aggregators (CCAs) to submit to a process that includes a timeline for submission of Implementation Plans; a requirement to “meet and confer” between the CCA and the incumbent utility that can be triggered by either the CCA or the utility; a registration packet including a CCA’s service agreement and bond; and a Commission authorized date to begin service.

This Resolution, in part, is responsive to the directive of D.05-12-041 instructing the Executive Director to publish steps for the submission of Implementation Plans, and addresses the current rapid growth of CCA programs. The filing deadlines in this Resolution are intended to coordinate with the timeline for mandatory forecast filings in the Resource Adequacy program.

BACKGROUND

Overview of Community Choice Aggregation

In 2002 the State Legislature enacted Assembly Bill (AB) 117 (codified at Section 366.2), authorizing the creation of Community Choice Aggregators (CCAs). The Commission implemented the provisions of AB 117 in D.04-12-046, and D.05-12-041, among other Decisions.

D.05-12-041 directed the Executive Director to prepare and publish instructions for CCAs and utilities which would provide a forum for the CCA and the utility to understand the CCA’s implementation plans and to assure that the CCA is able to comply with utility tariffs. The instructions should include a timeline and descriptions of the procedures for submitting and certifying receipt of the Implementation Plan, notice to customers, and notice to CCAs of the appropriate Cost Responsibility Surcharge (CRS) and registration of CCAs.

After D.05-12-041, no CCA came into formation until 2010 with the launch of Marin Clean Energy. From 2010 to 2015, two CCAs launched serving approximately 135,000 customer accounts statewide. From 2016 to 2017, CCA formation accelerated and 12 more communities launched or submitted CCA Implementation Plans to the Commission. As a result of this rapid growth in CCAs, it is appropriate now to address the directives of D.05-12-041 to create and publish processes for CCA implementation and registration.

Overview of CCA Implementation Plan Requirements

Section 366.2 authorizes the aggregation of electric loads by CCAs and establishes the broad requirements for implementing a CCA program. Section 366.2 grants the Commission authority over CCA implementation, and includes directives on the policy requirements of CCA programs, necessary implementation documents, timing requirements and deadlines for CCA implementation.

Section 366.2(c)(8) establishes the authority of the Commission to designate a CCA's start date with consideration of the impact on the electrical corporation's annual procurement:

No entity proposing community choice aggregation shall act to furnish electricity to electricity consumers within its boundaries until the commission determines the cost recovery that must be paid by the customers of that proposed community choice aggregation program, and provided for in subdivisions (d), (e), and (f). The commission shall designate the earliest possible effective date for implementation of a community choice aggregation program, taking into consideration the impact on any annual procurement plan of the electrical corporation that has been approved by the commission.²

Policy Requirements for CCAs

Any CCA program must provide for universal access, reliability, equitable treatment of all classes of customers, and fulfill requirements established by state law or by the commission concerning aggregated service.³

Section 366.2 (c) (4) states:

² Section 366.2(c)(8).

³ Section 366.2(c)(4).

A community choice aggregator establishing electrical load aggregation shall prepare a statement of intent with the implementation plan. Any community choice load aggregation established pursuant to this section shall provide for the following:

- (A) Universal access.
- (B) Reliability.
- (C) Equitable treatment of all classes of customers.
- (D) Any requirements established by state law or by the commission concerning aggregated service, including those rules adopted by the commission pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Section 8341 for the application of the greenhouse gases emission performance standard to community choice aggregators.

Additionally, the implementation of a CCA program “shall not result in a shifting of costs between the customers of the community choice aggregator and the bundled service customers of an electrical corporation.”⁴

Implementation Documents and Requirements

Section 366.2 requires that CCAs submit an Implementation Plan and a Statement of Intent to the Commission and sets forth seven elements that Implementation Plans, and any subsequent changes to implementation plans, must contain.⁵ Section 394.25(e) also requires that “an electric

⁴ Section 366.2 (a)(4).

⁵ Section 366.2(c)(3) requires that Implementation Plans and any subsequent changes to implementation plans must be considered and adopted at a duly noticed public hearing and must contain all the following: (A)An organizational structure of the program, its operations, and its funding.(B)Ratesetting and other costs to participants, (C)Provisions for disclosure and due process in setting rates and allocating costs among participants. (D)The methods for entering and terminating agreements with other entities. (E)The rights and responsibilities of program participants, including, but not limited to, consumer protection procedures, credit issues, and shutoff procedures. (F)Termination of the program. (G)A description of the third

service provider or community choice aggregator shall post a bond or demonstrate insurance sufficient to cover those reentry fees” in the event of an involuntary return of CCA customers back to bundled service.⁶

Timing and Deadlines

The Public Utilities Code establishes requirements that direct the Commission how and when to respond to Implementation Plan filings. Within 10 days of an Implementation Plan filing, the Commission must notify the respective electrical cooperation of the filing.⁷ Additionally, within 90 days of the filing of an Implementation Plan, the commission must “certify that it has received the plan” as well as provide the CCA with its findings regarding cost recovery.⁸

Finally, the CCA “shall register with the Commission, which may require additional information to ensure compliance with basic consumer protection rules and other procedural matters.”⁹

Overview of CCA Resource Adequacy Requirements

As more CCAs launch, it is important to consider how a registration process interacts with a CCA’s compliance with its Resource Adequacy requirements.

parties that will be supplying electricity under the program, including, but not limited to, information about financial, technical, and operational capabilities.

⁶ Regarding the bond requirement in Section 394.25(e), in 2007 the Commission established in Resolution E-4133 an interim bond amount of \$100,000. Currently the Commission is examining the permanent CCA bond calculation methodology in R.03-10-003.

⁷ Section 366.2(c)(7) states:

Within 90 days after the community choice aggregator establishing load aggregation files its implementation plan, the commission shall certify that it has received the implementation plan, including any additional information necessary to determine a cost-recovery mechanism. After certification of receipt of the implementation plan and any additional information requested, the commission shall then provide the community choice aggregator with its findings regarding any cost recovery that must be paid by customers of the community choice aggregator to prevent a shifting of costs as provided for in subdivisions (d), (e), and (f).

⁸ Section 366.2(c)(7).

⁹ Section 366.2(c)(15).

All Load-Serving Entities (LSEs) are subject to Resource Adequacy (RA) requirements pursuant to Section 380. Section 380(k) defines LSEs to include CCAs. Additionally, D.05-12-041 in Conclusion of Law 19 states that “The utilities will not procure power on behalf of CCA customers as part of their resource adequacy planning.”

The Commission in D.04-10-035 adopted a protocol which required LSEs to submit load forecasts using their best estimates of future customers and their loads. The Commission established a preliminary load forecast submission timeline in D.05-10-042.¹⁰

There are two mandatory annual load forecast deadlines that an LSE must comply with in order to receive an annual RA obligation responsibility for the following year.¹¹ First, an LSE must file a preliminary load forecast by mid-April for the following calendar year. An LSE then must file a revised forecast in August.¹² The August forecast was intended to refine and improve the accuracy of April forecast.¹³

The timeline of RA load forecast submissions has practical implications for newly forming CCAs and expanding CCAs. If an existing or pre-operational CCA does not submit an annual load forecast, they are not allocated a year-ahead RA obligation for the following year. In this scenario, the incumbent utility remains responsible for that load and procures RA for those customers, even if those

¹⁰ D.05-10-042, page 83.

¹¹ D.04-10-035 adopted a protocol whereby LSEs are required to submit load forecasts using their best estimates of future customers and their loads. D.05-10-042 at page 83 specified the preliminary load forecast submission timeline and set April 15 as the date for the submission of preliminary load forecasts. D.11-06-022 at page 38 modified the year-ahead forecast timeline to include optional revisions to be submitted by Aug. 19th of each year. D.17-06-027 ordered that the revised August forecast be mandatory.

¹² Although D.11-06-022 modified the year-ahead forecast timeline to include optional revisions to be submitted by Aug. 19th of each year, later D.17-06-027 (OP 7) ordered that the revised August forecast be mandatory. The exact date of the August deadline varies by year.

¹³ D.17-06-027, Finding of Fact 11.

customers are about to be served by a CCA. This scenario is most likely to occur if a CCA launches or expands service to customers (or additional customers in the case of an existing, yet expanding CCA) after the RA annual load forecast deadlines without filing an annual load forecast.

As a result, the utilities incur short-term power purchase costs for the customers of CCAs in their launch or expansion year. Utilities procuring for CCAs in their first launch or expansion year creates a cost shifting challenge. D.11-12-018 excluded power purchase transactions less than a year in term from the total portfolio calculation of the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (PCIA). Consequently, Resource Adequacy contracts of over one year are captured by the PCIA, but Resource Adequacy contracts of less than one year are not captured by the PCIA. Therefore, such costs are borne by bundled customers, potentially resulting in millions of dollars annually of stranded costs and potentially in contravention of the indifference requirement of Section 366.2

Energy Division issued data requests to PG&E confirming the existence of stranded costs. Responses to these data requests were confidential because of the market-sensitive information they contain.

However, public information illustrates the scale of load migration happening in the year-ahead RA program. Existing and new CCAs that were not a part of the year ahead 2018 RA process but plan to serve load in 2018 would have been allocated a System Peak RA requirement of approximately 3,616 MW and a local RA requirement of approximately 1,793 MW. These year-ahead RA requirements were met by the utilities that currently serve these customers. Some of these costs are recovered by the PCIA, however, any contracts less than one year are not captured by the PCIA and are borne by remaining bundled customers. Due to the confidentiality of utility's market position, the proportion of those contracts that are less than one year cannot be disclosed publicly.

In addition, if the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) procures back-stop capacity through its capacity procurement mechanism (CPM), it appears based on the CAISO's tariff language these costs will be allocated only to those LSEs that exist at the time of the designation (annual designations would

occur in December, before the compliance year). It is not yet clear if the PCIA addresses this potential cost-shifting issue.

DISCUSSION

D.05-12-041 ordered the Executive Director to develop and publish two distinct processes in Ordering Paragraphs (OP) 8 and 10 of that Decision.

D.05-12-041 Ordering Paragraph 8 Implementation

Ordering Paragraph 8 requires the Executive Director to develop and publish the steps of an informal process of review that provides a forum for the CCA and the utility to understand the CCA's Implementation Plans and assures that the CCA is able to comply with the utility's tariffs.

The goal of this "forum" is to "facilitate the smoother operation of the CCA where its policies, practices, and decisions may affect the utility and its customers."¹⁴ The operation and launch of a CCA program inherently requires logistical coordination between the utility and the CCA, and many CCA-utility partnerships must engage in these kinds of information-sharing discussions to facilitate smooth transitions to CCA service.

In order to comply with the directive of Ordering Paragraph 8, at the request of either the CCA or the utility, the parties must "meet and confer" as soon as reasonably practical. If the first attempts at resolution are not successful, the parties are required to meet in person. Should the parties be unable to reach consensus after the in-person meeting(s), either party may request that Energy Division assist by sponsoring a moderated in-person discussion between the parties. Such a request should come in the form of a request to the Director of Energy Division explaining the general nature of any unresolved issues regarding CCA compliance with utility tariffs. During the "meet and confer" parties shall discuss the contents of the CCA's Implementation Plan and any relevant issues with compliance with utility tariffs.

¹⁴ OP 8, D.05-12-041.

D.05-12-041 Ordering Paragraph 10 Implementation

Ordering Paragraph 10 of D.05-12-041 requires the Executive Director to prepare and publish instructions for CCAs and utilities that includes a timeline and describes the procedures for submitting and certifying receipt of the Implementation Plan, notice to customers, notice to CCAs of the appropriate Cost Responsibility Surcharges (CRS), and registration of CCAs.

Adopted Timeline

Appendices A and B of this Resolution include a timeline of the CCA registration process, including the timeline adopted by this Resolution.

The Prior Timeline in Appendix B reflects the current practice of CCA registration. The statutory deadlines in the Prior Timeline were established in Section 366.2. However, several milestones in the Registration process did not have deadlines defined by statute. These milestones are represented as “undefined” in the Prior Timeline. D.12-05.041 included an illustrative registration timeline based on statutory deadlines associated with CCA Implementation.¹⁵

The Adopted Timeline modifies the Prior Timeline and the Illustrative Timeline (proposed in D.05-12-041 Attachment D) in several respects. First, the Adopted Timeline includes a deadline by which Implementation Plans must be received in order for CCAs to serve new load beginning January 1 of the following year. The goal of this requirement is to assist the proposed CCA in securing the certification and registration within enough time to file its preliminary load forecast by mid-April in order to serve load the following calendar year.

Second, the Adopted Timeline includes the Meet-and-Confer option for the CCA and the utility to discuss how the CCA will conform its operations to the utility’s tariff requirements. Third, the Adopted Timeline includes the deadlines for submission of CCA RA load forecasts in the year prior to a CCA beginning to

¹⁵ D.05-12-041, Attachment D.

serve load. Fourth, the Adopted Timeline includes a deadline by which the CCA must submit its Registration Packet and receive confirmation of registration.

In order to coordinate the launch of a new or expanding CCA with the RA requirements, the Implementation Plan and Statement of Intent must be submitted to the Commission on or before January 1 in order to serve load in the following year.¹⁶

This requirement is authorized by Section 366.2(c)(4), which requires a CCA to “provide for universal access, reliability, equitable treatment of all classes of customers, **and any requirements established by state law or by the commission concerning aggregated service.**”¹⁷ Additionally, Load-Serving Entities, including CCAs, must comply with RA requirements pursuant to Section 380(a). Current RA rules require all LSEs to file an annual load forecast if they plan to serve load in the following year. Additionally, Section 366.2(c)(8) also supports this action and compels the Commission to “designate the earliest possible effective date for implementation of a community choice aggregation program, taking into consideration the impact on any annual procurement plan of the electrical corporation that has been approved by the commission.”

Thus, in order to comply with the year-ahead RA process, Implementation Plans, including Implementation Plans of an existing CCA that expands its territory, must be received by January 1 in order to serve load in the following year.

CCAs Forming in Small and Multi-Jurisdictional Utility Territories

Should a CCA form in a Small and Multi-Jurisdictional Utility (SMJU) territory, various procedural, cost-shifting, and other potential issues will be presented. Those issues are not being addressed in this Resolution, but the Commission expects to address these issues in an as yet determined forum.

Procedural Components for CCA Implementation Plans

¹⁶ For example, a new or expanding CCA intending to serve new load in 2019 must submit its Implementation Plan on or before January 1, 2018.

¹⁷ Section 366.2(c)(4), emphasis added.

Procedure for Submission and Certification of Receipt

This Resolution adopts a new deadline for submission of Implementation Plans. Implementation Plans will be submitted to the Director of the Energy Division both via email and a hard copy by January 1 in order to serve load in the following year. Within 90 days of receiving an Implementation Plan, the Energy Division will certify that the plan has been received in a letter to the CCA. This letter also will be copied via email to the incumbent utility.

Notice to Customers

This Resolution adopts no changes for Notice to Customers. Implementation Plans shall include the timing of notices sent to utility customers who will be transitioned to CCA service.

Notice to Customers of the Appropriate Cost Responsibility Surcharge (CRS)

This Resolution adopts no changes for Notice to Customers of the Appropriate CRS. The current Cost Responsibility Surcharge (CRS) has three major components: the Department of Water Resources (DWR) Bond Charge, the Competitive Transition Charge, and the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (PCIA).

CCAs shall include in their Implementation Plans how they will notify customers of the applicable CRS. The PCIA methodology is currently under consideration in R.17-06-026.

Registration of CCAs

This Resolution adopts two new deadlines for CCA registration. First, this Resolution requires that a CCA submit its registration packet to the CPUC within 90 days of filing its Implementation Plan. Second, this Resolution requires that if the Registration Packet is complete, the CPUC will confirm the CCA's registration within 120 days of the CCA filings its Implementation Plan.

In order to register, a CCA must submit a registration packet including a signed service agreement with the utility and a bond pursuant to Section 394.25 (e). The interim bond amount was set to \$100,000 in Resolution E-4133 (2007) and the amount of the bond is currently under consideration in R.03-10-003.

Once a bond has been submitted, Energy Division will issue a registration letter confirming completion of all registration requirements. After a potential or expanding CCA has fulfilled the above requirements, it may initiate service to its new customers no earlier than the service date authorized by this Resolution.

COMMENTS

Public Utilities Code section 311(g)(1) provides that this resolution must be served on all parties and subject to at least 30 days public review and comment prior to a vote of the Commission. The draft Resolution was mailed for Comments on December 8, 2017, and will be placed on the Commissioner's agenda for the January 11, 2017 Commission meeting.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

1. Ordering Paragraph 8 of D.05-12-041 requires that the Executive Director develop and publish the steps of an informal process of review that provides a forum for the CCA and the utility to understand the CCA's implementation plans and assures the CCA is able to comply with utility tariffs.
2. Ordering Paragraph 8 of D.05-12-041 requires that the forum be mandatory at the request of either the utility or the CCA and where the request is presented in writing with a recitation of disputed items or areas of concern. The process shall implicate no approvals, either formal or informal, from the Commission. Utility tariffs shall describe the meet and confer process for resolving disputes over operational issues prior to initiation of services.
3. The Commission should develop and publish the steps of an informal process of review that provides a forum for CCAs and utilities as directed in Ordering Paragraph 8 of D.05-12-041.
4. Ordering Paragraph 10 of D.05-12-041 requires the Executive Director to prepare and publish instructions for CCAs and utilities that includes a timeline and describes the procedures for submitting and certifying receipt of the Implementation Plan, notice to customers, notice to CCAs of the appropriate

5. The Commission should prepare and publish instructions for CCAs and utilities that includes a timeline and describes the procedures for submitting and certifying receipt of the Implementation Plan, notice to customers, notice to CCAs of the appropriate Cost Responsibility Surcharge (CRS), and registration of CCAs. Cost Responsibility Surcharge (CRS), and registration of CCAs.
6. CCAs must comply with the Resource Adequacy requirements as set forth in Public Utilities Code Section 380 before beginning service.

THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. Within 14 days of the effective date of this Resolution, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), Southern California Edison Company (SCE), and San Diego Gas and Electric Company (SDG&E), shall update their tariffs and submit Tier 2 Advice Letters with the adopted timeline and procedures listed in Appendix A.
2. Prospective or expanding Community Choice Aggregators who have not yet submitted an Implementation Plan as of December 8, 2017 shall file their Implementation Plans pursuant to the adopted timeline and procedures listed in Appendices A and B. This Resolution is not retroactive.
3. Commission staff will process Implementation Plans pursuant to the adopted timeline and procedures listed in Appendices A and B.
4. Prospective or expanding Community Choice Aggregators that have not yet submitted Implementation Plans to the Commission shall fulfill the Resource Adequacy portion of Appendices A and B prior to initiating service to customers.
5. The Commission will revisit this process, if necessary, depending on the outcome of R.03-10-003 or successor proceedings.

This Resolution is effective today.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on January 11, 2018; the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

TIMOTHY SULLIVAN
Executive Director

Appendix A: Adopted CCA Registration Timeline and Procedures

Date	Action
Day 1, Year 1 (On or before January 1 Year 1) ¹⁸	(1) The prospective or expanding CCA submits its Implementation Plan to Energy Division and serves it on the R.03-10-003 Service List, on the R.16-02-007 Service List, and on the R.17-09-020 Service List, or successor proceedings.
Day 1 - 10, Year 1	(1) The CPUC notifies the Utility servicing the customers that are proposed for aggregation that an implementation plan initiating their CCA program has been filed.
Day 1 - 60, Year 1	(1) The CCA provides a draft customer notice to CPUC's Public advisor. (2) Within 15 days of receipt of the draft notice, the Public Advisor shall finalize that notice and send it to the CCA.
DAY 1 - 90, Year 1	(1) The CPUC sends a letter confirming that it has received the Implementation Plan and certifying that the CCA has satisfied the requirements of an Implementation Plan pursuant to Section 366.2(c) (3). This letter informs the CCA about the cost recovery mechanism as required by P.U. Code Section 366.2(c)(7). If and when the CPUC requests additional information from a CCA, the CCA shall respond to CPUC staff within 10 days, or notify the staff of a date when the information will be available. (2) The CPUC provides the CCA with its findings regarding any cost recovery that must be paid by customers of the CCA in order to prevent cost shifting. (P.U. Code Section 366.2 (c) (7).) (3) The CCA and the Utility should Meet-and-Confer regarding the CCA's ability to conform its operations to the Utility's tariff requirements.

¹⁸ For Plans to be submitted in 2018 to serve load in 2019, this deadline is extended to February 1, 2018.

DAY 1 - 90, Year 1	(1) The CCA submits its registration packet to the CPUC, including: a. Signed service agreement with the utility, and b. CCA interim bond of \$100,000 or as determined in R.03-10-003
Day 90 - 120, Year 1	(1) If the registration packet is complete, the CPUC confirms Registration as a CCA.
April, Year 1	(1) The CCA submits its year ahead Resource Adequacy forecast (P.U. Code Section 380)
August, Year 1	(1) The CCA submits its updated year-ahead RA forecast
October Year 1 (75 days before service commences)	(1) CCAs submit their Monthly load migration forecast for the Resource Adequacy program, filed about 75 days prior to the compliance month.
Within 60 days of the CCA's Commencement of Customer Automatic Enrollment	(1) The CCA shall send its first notice to the prospective customers describing the terms and conditions of the services being offered and the customer's opt-out opportunity prior to commencing its automatic enrollment. (P.U. Code Section 366.2 (c) (13) (A))
Within 30 days of the CCA's Commencement of Customer Automatic Enrollment	(1) The CCA shall send a second notice to the prospective customers describing the terms and conditions of the services being offered and the customer's opt-out opportunity prior to commencing its automatic enrollment. (P.U. Code Section 366.2 (c) (13) (A)) (2) Once notified of a CCA program, the Utility shall transfer all applicable accounts to the new supplier within a 30-day period from the date of the close of their normally scheduled monthly metering and billing process. (P.U. Code Section 366.2 (c) (16))
January 1, Year 2	(1) CCA begins service.
Following the CCA's Automatic Customer Enrollment	(1) The CCA shall inform participating customers for no less than two consecutive billing cycles that: a. They have been automatically enrolled into the CCA program and that each customer has the right to opt out of the CCA program without penalty. (P.U. Code Section 366.2 (c) (13)(A)(i).) b. Terms and conditions of the services being offered. (P.U. Code Section 366.2 (c) (13)(A)(ii).)

Appendix B: Schematic Comparison of Prior and Adopted Timelines for CCA Registration Process

