

Staff Report City of Manhattan Beach

TO: Members of the Finance Subcommittee

FROM: Steve S. Charelian, Finance Director

Henry Mitzner, Controller

Cynthia F. Mickschl, Acting Revenue Services Manager

DATE: October 24, 2019

SUBJECT: Cost Allocation Plan and User Fee Study Results

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Finance Subcommittee discuss and provide direction on the proposed user fee schedule. The proposed fees will be presented to the City Council for adoption as a public hearing at the November 19, 2019, City Council Meeting.

FISCAL IMPLICATION:

Results of the current City User Fee Study and Cost Allocation Plan (CAP) show the City will achieve an estimated \$633,000 in additional revenue annually using the new rates. It is important to note that the proposed fee rates represent the costs for services that are discretionary on the part of the user. To the extent the City does not fully recover its costs for these services, General Fund taxes will subsidize the activity. Tax subsidies for services reduce General Fund surpluses and correspondingly the Fund's ability to support activities such as long-term capital improvements.

BACKGROUND:

In 2014, the City conducted its last comprehensive cost allocation plan and user fee study, which identified costs associated with providing each non tax-supported service, and assigned the fully burdened rate (i.e. direct labor costs as well as indirect costs) of each City position involved in delivery of those services. The purpose of the cost allocation plan is to ensure that the City include overhead to determine the true cost of providing various City services, as well as indirect costs attributable to enterprise and other chargeable funds. Over the past five years, the cost of service has increased due to increases in salaries, benefits, staffing changes and other operational costs. The current fees charged by the City have increased incrementally based on the known MOU salary rate increases for each employee class, but did not increase with other operational costs since they were not known at the time the user fees were adopted.

In June 2018, the City contracted with Matrix Consulting Group to conduct a comprehensive Cost Allocation Plan and User Fee Study. The purpose of the study is to identify areas where tax dollars may be subsidizing "personal choice" services, and to ensure the fees charged do not exceed the cost of providing the service since any excess may be considered a tax. Under State law, public

Agenda Item	#:
6	

agencies are entitled to recover the costs associated with providing certain services that are considered "personal choice."

A "personal choice" service is defined as a service where the customer is identifiable and the service is directly provided to that customer alone. Examples of "personal choice" services include: building permits, block party permits, alarm permits, and building plan checks. The fee associated with these types of services are provided directly to the customer at the request of, and for benefit to that customer. The City fully recovers its costs, where appropriate, using the analysis provided by Matrix Consulting Group, which is derived from extensive interviews with staff to determine the true scope and cost of providing these types of services.

A "user fee" is a charge for service provided by a governmental agency to the public. Several laws such as Propositions 13, 4, 218 and 26 set parameters under which the user fees can be established and administered by local government. User fees charged by local agencies may not exceed the estimated reasonable cost of providing the service for which the fee is charged. Local governments have broad authority to implement user fees that reasonably recover the costs of their operations.

How Fees are Developed:

The primary objective of a Full Cost Allocation Plan is to spread costs from central support departments, generally called "Central Service Departments" to those departments, divisions, cost centers, and/or funds that receive services in support of conducting their operations. Central Services include support departments Management Services, Finance and Human Resources. In addition, management and external support within a service department such as Community Development or Public Works are captured in the full cost of providing a service. In doing so, an organization can both better understand its full cost of providing specific services to the community, and also generate organizational awareness regarding indirect (overhead) costs associated with operations. This plan was compiled in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, and is also based on many of the methods of indirect cost allocation defined by the federal Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Title 2 CFR 200.

Determining the cost of providing services is complex. Matrix Consulting Group, recognized with over 17 years of providing financial and management analytical services to local governments, held numerous meetings with City staff to conduct interviews and confirm the actual amount of staff time spent to provide each specific service listed. They also developed schedules that identified the costs for labor benefits, overhead and materials in order to calculate a true cost of service. Cost recovery fees are designed to recover the City's costs for providing services without a "profit." Therefore, not all fees automatically increase; some fees may adjust lower.

The work accomplished by the Matrix Consulting Group in the analysis of the proposed fees for services involved the following steps: First, divisional staff interviews in which the project team interviewed staff to obtain a general understanding of the structure of the City's existing fee schedule. Second, data was collected for each service, including labor time estimates and current or potential volume for each service. Concurrently, all budgeted costs and staffing levels for Fiscal Year 2019-2020 were entered into the consultant's analytical software to produce a model for the allocation of Citywide overhead. Finally, the full cost of providing each service included in the fee schedule was established and sent to Departmental staff for a final review for reasonability.

An example of how a fee is developed is illustrated below. This sample for a "Use Permit" shows a total cost recovery fee of \$8,393.38.

The cost is based on the number of hours it takes staff to process the permit:

Division: Category/Fee Planning Use Permit

Title:

Use Permit Range:

Range:	Use Permit							
Process Step Details:	Department	Division	Position	Time to Complete]	Fully Burdened Rate	То	tal
Intake / Routing	CD	Current Planning	Asst / Assoc. Planner	0.25	\$	135.82	\$	33.95
Intake / Routing	CD	Current Planning	Admin Clerk II	0.75	\$	95.10	\$	71.33
Review	Fire	Prevention	Fire Marshal	0.50	\$	279.94	\$	139.97
Review	PW	Admin	Mgmt Analyst	0.25	\$	117.80	\$	29.45
Review	CD	Plan Check	Plan Check Engineer	0.50	\$	161.87	\$	80.93
Review	PW	Civil Engineering	Principal Engineer	1.50	\$	159.15	\$	238.72
Review	PW	Civil Engineering	Public Works Inspector	0.25	\$	109.79	\$	27.45
Review	CD	Current Planning	Asst / Assoc. Planner	13.00	\$	135.82	\$	1,765.61
Resubmittal	CD	Current Planning	Asst / Assoc. Planner	8.00	\$	135.82	\$	1,086.53
Resubmittal	CD	Current Planning	Planning Mgr.	3.00	\$	175.15	\$	525.45
Planning Commission	CD	Current Planning	Admin Clerk II	3.00	\$	95.10	\$	285.30
Planning Commission	CD	Current Planning	Asst / Assoc. Planner	12.00	\$	135.82	\$	1,629.79
Planning Commission	CD	Admin	Comm. Dev. Director	2.00	\$	194.14	\$	388.28
Planning Commission	CD	Current Planning	Planning Mgr.	6.00	\$	175.15	\$	1,050.90
PC Follow-Up City Council	&CD	Current Planning	Admin Clerk II	4.50	\$	95.10	\$	427.95
PC Follow-Up City Council	&CD	Current Planning	Asst / Assoc. Planner	2.50	\$	135.82	\$	339.54
PC Follow-Up City Council	&CD	Admin	Comm. Dev. Director	0.50	\$	194.14	\$	97.07
PC Follow-Up City Council	&CD	Current Planning	Planning Mgr.	1.00	\$	175.15	\$	175.15
•		U	FEE @ 100% C	OST RECO	VE	CRY	\$ 8	8,393.38

Agenda Item #:_		
6		

The hourly rates used for each position is "fully burdened" meaning that it includes not only the employee's salary and benefits, but a proportionate share of operating expenses, overhead, and replacement costs for assets involved in the delivery of service. Worksheets evaluating the time and staff positions used to provide each of the services on the fee schedule have been created as support for each of the recommended fees.

DISCUSSION:

In 2006, the City Council adopted a policy to periodically review and update its user fees. To perform the most recent comprehensive cost allocation plan and user fee study in 2019 the City hired the Matrix Consulting Group. Staff provided updated salary and benefit data as well as detailed budget information to the consultants who have now provided an updated Cost of Services (User Fee) Study (Attachment "A"). The attached listing (Attachment "B") provides detailed information for each fee including: the current fee, total cost of providing the service, net dollar change, proposed fee, and estimated annual volume. The proposed fees are based on current salaries and benefits. Throughout the fee schedule, you will see indicators in the "Special Consideration" column that reference fees staff will be introducing for the first time, fees which have previously been set by City Council policy, fees set by statute, and finally those which have been charged in the past, but will be modified in structure during this study.

During the past five years, the City has seen many changes including the addition of new staff positions and modifications to our processes. For example, some processes previously only completed by the City Traffic Engineer will now be handled by the new Traffic Engineering Technician. Changes to Federal and State regulations has also impacted fees. New regulations pertaining to Telecomm and HVAC have created the need for staff to spend additional time during reviews and inspections to ensure compliance with these regulations, which in turn affects fees for these services. Changes to key positions with the hiring of a new Fire Chief, Building Official, City Engineer, and Utilities Manager brought additional experience and expertise which was essential to enhancing and restructuring some of the City's processes. For example, there are two new fees in the Fire Department that should be highlighted: The "treat-no-transport" fee, which will be for services that our emergency medical personnel provide on-scene that do not result in a transport to a medical facility, and the Multi-Family unit annual inspection fee. There are approximately 300 apartment buildings or multi-family unit buildings within the City that require this inspection, and per the State Fire code, these types of inspections are fully recoverable by the agency that provides them.

One of the objectives of a User Fee Study is to evaluate the current fee schedule and gauge the defensibility behind the methodology we use to calculate our fees. In the area of Building Permits, it was determined that an approach based on a non-subjective factor such as square footage would be more equitable and defensible than the valuation based model in place today. While the City of Manhattan Beach has utilized the valuation based method in the past, we differ from other jurisdictions because we do not simply take the value given to us by the applicant. We also calculate the square footage of the job, and utilize standard industry tables to verify the reasonability of the applicant's valuation. It is because of this that the increase/decrease between methods is not as extreme as other jurisdictions have experienced when changing from valuation to square footage. Square footage basis for fee calculation also made sense in other areas where inspections are done such as certain Fire Permit Inspections.

Agenda Item #:.	
-6	

Right-of-Way permitting was also carefully reviewed. There were many interdepartmental meetings with the primary staff members who work on these types of permits and the structure presented in this study was brought forth as the most appropriate way to charge for this service. A variety of structure was added in order to accurately reflect what types of projects are taking place in the Right-of-Way. Similarly, staff created more structure for other types of fees such as the special events application.

During the next several years the City will continue to see technology improvements. Implementation of a new permitting solution, Tyler Energov, will take place in January 2020, and over the next 12-24 months, Tyler Munis will replace the City's current Enterprise Resource Solution (ERP). In order to mitigate the cost to taxpayers for the permitting solution that is primarily used for non-tax supported services, an account will be created that will receive the technology fee revenue and be used to pay for the cost of the software purchase, maintenance and 50% of the Building Services Analyst salary that provides direct software support for the Department. The account will be funded by adding a 3% technology fee to all permits. Additionally, the Fire Department anticipates implementing a technology fee to mitigate the costs of a Fire RMS software that will be utilized for the scheduling and permitting of Fire user fee permits. The calculated cost of this fee will be an additional 5%. Technology fees are a common practice among municipalities and typically range between 4-12%, making our rates among the lowest for this type of fee. In addition, because this fee is created for a very specific use, it can only be used for technology support services in these Departments.

The majority of changes to the current study were based on a change in process and structure of our fees. There are few truly "new" fees and the overall increase in revenue is not as substantial as in the prior study which indicates that we are effectively capturing our recoverable revenue.

At the Finance Subcommittee meeting, Matrix Consulting Group will provide a detailed presentation followed by questions and answers. If the Subcommittee concurs with the staff recommendation, the comprehensive cost allocation plan and user fee study will be presented as a public hearing at the November 19th, 2019, City Council meeting. All fees will be updated for the next three fiscal years (Fiscal years 2020-2021, 2021-2022 and 2022-2023) using Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) pay rate increases that are known at this time.

Attachments: A. 2019 Cost of Services – User Fee Study Report

- B. Proposed User Fee Table
- C. Proposed New Fees Table
- D. Proposed User Fees Based on Council Policy
- E. Other Fees (By Statute, Market Driven/Rental, Variable Rate/Deposit, and Fines)

Report on the Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA



October 2019

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction and Executive Summary	1
2.	Legal Framework and Policy Considerations	5
3.	User Fee Study Methodology	8
4.	Results Overview	11
5.	Building	12
6.	City Clerk	27
7.	Finance	30
8.	Fire	33
9.	Parks and Recreation	39
10.	Planning	43
11.	Police	57
12.	Public Works	63
13.	Right-of-Way	68
14.	Technology Fees	75
15.	Traffic Engineering	78
16.	Utilities	81
17.	Comparative Survey	85
18.	Cost Recovery	88

Introduction and Executive Summary

The report, which follows, presents the *draft* results of the Cost of Services (User Fee) Study conducted by the Matrix Consulting Group for the City of Manhattan Beach.

1 PROJECT BACKGROUND AND SCOPE OF WORK

The Matrix Consulting Group worked with the City of Manhattan Beach to analyze the cost of service relationships that exist between fees for service activities in the following departments: Building, City Clerk, Finance, Fire, Parks and Recreation, Planning, Police, Public Works, ROW, Traffic Engineering, and Utilities.

The City of Manhattan Beach in compliance with best management practices conducts a comprehensive fee analysis every 3-5 years. The City last conducted a comprehensive analysis in 2014, with an interim update in 2016. The purpose of a fee study is to understand the gap between the City's current fee amount and the cost of service. The results of this Study provide a tool for understanding current service levels, the cost and demand for those services, and what fees for service can and should be charged.

2 GENERAL PROJECT APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

The methodology employed by the Matrix Consulting Group is a widely accepted "bottom up" approach to cost analysis, where time spent per unit of fee activity is determined for each position within a Department or Division. Once time spent for a fee activity is determined, all applicable City costs are then considered in the calculation of the "full" cost of providing each service. The following table provides an overview of the cost components used to establish the "full" cost of providing services included in this Study:

Table 1: Cost Components Overview

Cost Component	Description
Direct	Fiscal Year 2019/20 Budgeted salaries, benefits and allowable expenditures.
Indirect	Division, departmental administration / management and clerical support. Citywide overhead costs were also included from the City's most recent version of the Cost Allocation Plan.

Together, the cost components in the table above comprise the calculation of the total "full" cost of providing any particular service, regardless of whether a fee for that service is charged.

The work accomplished by the Matrix Consulting Group in the analysis of the proposed fees for service involved the following steps:

- Staff Interviews: The project team interviewed City staff from the different departments regarding their need for clarification to the structure of existing fee items, for addition of new fee items, or removal of outdated items.
- **Data Collection:** Data was collected for each permit / service, including time estimates. In addition, all budgeted costs and staffing levels for Fiscal Year 19/20 were entered into the Matrix Consulting Group's analytical software model.
- Cost Analysis: The full cost of providing each service included in the analysis was established.
- Review and Approval of Results with City Staff: Department and City management have reviewed and approved these documented results.

A more detailed description of user fee methodology, as well as legal and policy considerations are provided in subsequent chapters of this report.

3 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

When comparing FY20 fee-related budgeted expenditures with fee-related revenue generated the City is under-recovering its costs by approximately \$969,000 and recovering about 89% of its fee-related costs annually. The following table outlines these results on a departmental basis:

Table 2: Departmental / Divisional Cost Recovery Based on Fee-Related Revenue & Expenditures

Department	Revenue at Current Fee	Total Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Building	\$5,844,963	\$6,274,432	(\$429,469)
City Clerk	\$709	\$9,415	(\$8,706)
Finance	\$66,645	\$67,269	(\$624)
Fire	\$300,141	\$618,644	(\$318,503)
Parks & Recreation	\$30,865	\$33,375	(\$2,510)
Planning	\$438,022	\$556,899	(\$118,877)
Police	\$199,874	\$230,680	(\$30,806)
PW	\$21,136	\$34,908	(\$13,772)
Right-of-Way	\$366,080	\$373,343	(\$7,263)
Traffic Engineering	\$45,266	\$55,376	(\$10,110)
Utilities	\$166,225	\$194,932	(\$28,707)
TOTAL	\$7,479,926	\$8,449,273	(\$969,347)

If the City were able to charge full cost for all of its services it would be able to recover approximately \$969,000 in additional revenue. However, there are certain State set fees and council policies that limit the City's ability to recover the \$969,000. The following table shows the projected cost recovery based upon those state mandated fees, and policies.

Table 3: Departmental / Divisional Cost Recovery Based on Fee-Related Revenue & Expenditures including Council Policies and State set fees

Department	Revenue at Current Fee	Total Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Building	\$5,844,963	\$6,210,683	(\$365,720)
City Clerk	\$709	\$764	(\$55)
Finance	\$66,645	\$67,269	(\$624)
Fire	\$300,141	\$399,628	(\$99,487)
Parks & Recreation	\$30,865	\$27,827	\$3,038
Planning	\$438,022	\$541,663	(\$103,641)
Police	\$199,874	\$228,629	(\$28,755)
PW	\$21,136	\$34,908	(\$13,772)
Right-of-Way	\$366,080	\$362,547	\$3,533
Traffic Engineering	\$45,266	\$44,579	\$687
Utilities	\$166,225	\$194,932	(\$28,707)
TOTAL	\$7,479,926	\$8,113,429	(\$633,503)

Based upon the results of this table, the City has the potential to generate additional revenue of \$633,000 if all fees were raised to full cost recovery and there were similar levels of workload from the previous year to the current year. The largest proportion of this deficit is still related to building fees at approximately \$366,000. This deficit is primarily due to fees related to residential room additions and remodels that are being charged on valuation and fixture count, rather than a flat fee.

The detailed documentation of the Study will show an over-collection for certain fees (on a per unit basis), and an undercharge for others. Overall, the City is providing an annual subsidy to fee payers for fee-related services included in this analysis.

The display of the cost recovery figures shown in this report are meant to provide a basis for policy development discussions among Council members and City staff, and do not represent a recommendation for where or how the Council should act. The setting of the "rate" or "price" for services, whether at 100 percent full cost recovery or lower, is a policy decision to be made only by the Council, often with input from City staff and the community.

4 CONSIDERATIONS FOR COST RECOVERY POLICY AND UPDATES

The Matrix Consulting Group recommends that the City use the information contained in this report to discuss, adopt, and implement a formal Cost Recovery Policy, and a mechanism for the annual update of fees for service.

1 Adopt a Formal Cost Recovery Policy

The Matrix Consulting Group strongly recommends that the Council adopt a formalized, individual cost recovery policy for each department included in this Study. Whenever a cost recovery policy is established at less than 100% of the full cost of providing services, a known gap in funding is recognized and may then potentially be recovered through other revenue sources. The Matrix Consulting Group considers a formalized cost recovery policy for various fees for service an industry Best Management Practice.

2 Adopt an Annual Fee Update / Increase Mechanism

The purpose of a comprehensive update is to completely revisit the analytical structure, service level estimates and assumptions applied in previous studies, and to account for any major shifts in cost components or organizational structures. The Matrix Consulting Group believes it is a best management practice to perform a complete update of a Fee Assessment every 3 to 5 years. As discussed in the introduction, the City last conducted a fee study 5 years ago, and keeping in line with best practices is updating the study to be reflective of current practices.

In between comprehensive updates, the City could utilize published industry economic factors such as CPI or other regional factors to update the cost calculations established in the Study on an annual basis. The City could also consider the use of its own anticipated labor cost increases such as step increases, benefit enhancements, or cost of living raises. Utilizing an annual increase mechanism would ensure that the City receives appropriate fee and revenue increases that reflect growth in costs. The City of Manhattan Beach currently utilizes annual labor increases as the basis for annual fee increases, and it should continue to follow that practice.

Legal Framework and Policy Considerations

A "user fee" is a charge for service provided by a governmental agency to a public citizen or group. In California, several constitutional laws such as Propositions 13, 4, and 218, State Government Codes 66014 and 66016, and more recently Prop 26 and the Attorney General's Opinion 92-506 set the parameters under which the user fees typically administered by local government are established and administered. Specifically, California State Law, Government Code 66014(a), stipulates that user fees charged by local agencies "...may not exceed the estimated reasonable cost of providing the service for which the fee is charged".

1 GENERAL PRINCIPLES AND PHILOSOPHIES REGARDING USER FEES

Local governments are providers of many types of general services to their communities. While all services provided by local government are beneficial to constituents, some services can be classified as globally beneficial to all citizens, while others provide more of a direct benefit to a specific group or individual. The following table provides examples of services provided by local government within a continuum of the degree of community benefit received:

Table 4: Services in Relation to Benefit Received

"Global" Community Benefit	"Global" Benefit and an Individual or Group Benefit	Individual or Group Benefit
PolicePark Maintenance	 Recreation / Community Services Fire Suppression / Prevention 	 Building Permits Planning and Zoning Approval Site Plan Review CUPA Facility Rentals

Funding for local government is obtained from a myriad of revenue sources such as taxes, fines, grants, special charges, user fees, etc. In recent years, alternative tax revenues, which typically offset subsidies for services provided to the community, have become increasingly limited. These limitations have caused increased attention on user fee activities as a revenue source that can offset costs otherwise subsidized (usually) by the general fund. In Table 4, services in the "global benefit" section tend to be funded primarily through voter approved tax revenues. In the middle of the table, one typically finds a mixture of taxes, user fee, and other funding sources. Finally, in the "individual / group benefit" section of the table, lie the services provided by local government that are typically funded almost entirely by user fee revenue.

The following are two central concepts regarding the establishment of user fees:

- Fees should be assessed according to the degree of individual or private benefit gained from services. For example, the processing and approval of a land use or building permit will generally result in monetary gain to the applicant, whereas Police services and Fire Suppression are examples of services that are essential to the safety of the community at large.
- A profit-making objective should not be included in the assessment of user fees. In fact, California laws require that the charges for service be in direct proportion to the costs associated with providing those services. Once a charge for service is assessed at a level higher than the actual cost of providing a service, the term "user fee" no longer applies. The charge then becomes a tax subject to voter approval.

Therefore, it is commonly accepted that user fees are established at a level that will recover up to, and not more than, the cost of providing a particular service.

2 GENERAL POLICY CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING USER FEES

Undoubtedly, there are programs, circumstances, and services that justify a subsidy from a tax based or alternative revenue source. However, it is essential that jurisdictions prioritize the use of revenue sources for the provision of services based on the continuum of benefit received.

Within the services that are typically funded by user fees, the Matrix Consulting Group recognizes several reasons why City staff may not advocate the full cost recovery of services. The following factors are key policy considerations in setting fees at less than 100 percent of cost recovery:

- Limitations posed by an external agency. The State or an outside agency will occasionally set a maximum, minimum, or limit the jurisdiction's ability to charge a fee at all. Examples include State Public Records Requests for charging for time spent copying and retrieving public documents in the City's Administrative office.
- Encouragement of desired behaviors. Keeping fees for certain services below full cost recovery may provide better compliance from the community. For example, if the cost of a permit for water heater permits is higher than the actual cost of purchasing a water heater, it might discourage residents from pulling permits.

- Effect on demand for a particular service. Sometimes raising the "price" charged for services might reduce the number of participants in a program. This is largely the case in relation to Parks and Recreation programs, and does not typically impact the fees included in this analysis.
- Benefit received by user of the service and the community at large is mutual. Many services that directly benefit a group or individual equally benefit the community as a whole. Examples include block party permits.

The Matrix Consulting Group recognizes the need for policies that intentionally subsidize certain activities. The primary goals of a User Fee Study are to provide a fair and equitable basis for determining the costs of providing services, and assure that the City complies with State law.

Once the full cost of providing services is known, the next step is to determine the "rate" or "price" for services at a level which is up to, and not more than the full cost amount. The Board is responsible for this decision, which often becomes a question of balancing service levels and funding sources. The placement of a service or activity within the continuum of benefit received may require extensive discussion and at times fall into a "grey area". However, with the resulting cost of services information from a User Fee Study, the Board can be assured that the adopted fee for service is reasonable, fair, and legal.

3. User Fee Study Methodology

The Matrix Consulting Group utilizes a cost allocation methodology commonly known and accepted as the "bottom-up" approach to establishing User Fees. The term means that several cost components are calculated for each fee or service. These components then build upon each other to comprise the total cost for providing the service. The following chart describes the components of a full cost calculation:



The general steps utilized by the project team to determine allocations of cost components to a particular fee or service are:

- Calculate fully burdened hourly rates by position, including direct & indirect costs;
- Develop time estimates for each service included in the study;
- Distribute the appropriate amount of other cost components to each fee or service based on the staff time allocation basis, or another reasonable basis.

The results of these allocations provide detailed documentation for the reasonable estimate of the actual cost of providing each service. The following sections highlight critical points about the use of time estimates and the validity of the analytical model.

TIME ESTIMATES ARE A MEASURE OF SERVICE LEVELS REQUIRED TO PERFORM A PARTICULAR SERVICE

One of the key study assumptions utilized in the "bottom up" approach is the use of time estimates for the provision of each fee related service. Utilization of time estimates is a reasonable and defensible approach, especially since experienced staff members who understand service levels and processes unique to the City developed these estimates.

The project team worked closely with City staff in developing time estimates with the following criteria:

 Estimates are representative of average times for providing services. Estimates for extremely difficult or abnormally simple projects are not factored into this analysis.

- Estimates reflect the time associated with the position or positions that typically perform a service.
- Estimates provided by staff are reviewed and approved by the division / department, and often involve multiple iterations before a Study is finalized.
- Estimates are reviewed by the project team for "reasonableness" against their experience with other agencies.
- Estimates were not based on time in motion studies, as they are not practical for the scope of services and time frame for this project.

The Matrix Consulting Group agrees that while the use of time estimates is not perfect, it is the best alternative available for setting a standard level of service for which to base a jurisdiction's fees for service, and meets the requirements of California law.

The alternative to time estimating is actual time tracking, often referred to billing on a "time and materials" basis. Except in the case of anomalous or sometimes very large and complex projects, the Matrix Consulting Group believes this approach to not be cost effective or reasonable for the following reasons:

- Accuracy in time tracking is compromised by the additional administrative burden required to track, bill, and collect for services in this manner.
- Additional costs are associated with administrative staff's billing, refunding, and monitoring deposit accounts.
- Customers often prefer to know the fees for services in advance of applying for permits or participating in programs.
- Applicants may request assignment of less expensive personnel to their project.
- Departments can better predict revenue streams and staff needs using standardized time estimates and anticipated permit volumes.

Situations arise where the size and complexity of a given project warrants time tracking and billing on a "time and materials" basis. The Matrix Consulting Group has recommended taking a deposit and charging Actual Costs for such fees as appropriate and these will be discussed further in the Deposit-Based fees chapter.

2 CROSS CHECKS ENSURE THE VALIDITY OF OUR ANALYTICAL MODEL

In addition to the collection of time estimate data for each fee or service included in the User Fee Study, annual volume of activity data assumptions are also a critical component.

By collecting data on the estimated volume of activity for each fee or service, a number of analyses are performed which not only provide useful information regarding allocation of staff resources, but also provide valuable cross checks that ensure the validity of each model. This includes assurance that 100% of staff resources are accounted for and allocated to a fee for service, or "other non-fee" related categories. Since there are no objectives to make a profit in establishing user fees, it is very important to ensure that services are not estimated at a level that exceeds budgeted resource capacity. By accounting for not more than 100% of staff resources, no more than 100% of costs will be allocated through the Study.

4. Results Overview

The motivation behind a cost of services (User Fee) analysis is for the City Council and Departmental staff to maintain services at a level that is both accepted and effective for the community, and also to maintain control over the policy and management of these services.

It should be noted that the results presented in this report are not a precise measurement. In general, a cost of service analysis takes a "snapshot in time", where a fiscal year of adopted budgeted cost information is compared to the same fiscal year of revenue, and workload data available. Changes to the structure of fee names, along with the use of time estimates allow only for a reasonable projection of subsidies and revenue. Consequently, the Council and Department staff should rely conservatively upon these estimates to gauge the impact of implementation going forward.

Discussion of results in the following chapters is intended as a summary of extensive and voluminous cost allocation documentation produced during the Study. Each chapter will include detailed cost calculation results for each major permit category including the following:

- Modifications or Issues: discussions regarding any revisions to the current fee schedule, including elimination or addition of fees.
- "Per Unit" Results: comparison of the full cost of providing each unit of service to the current fee for each unit of service (where applicable).
- Annualized Results: utilizing volume of activity estimates annual subsidies and revenue impacts were projected.
- **Jurisdictional Comparison:** a brief comparison of current permits and services with other local jurisdictions.

The full analytical results were provided to City staff under separate cover from this summary report.

5. Building

The City of Manhattan Beach provides plan check and inspection services in-house and supplements it with contractor plan checkers and inspectors. The purpose of the building division is to review all construction projects in compliance with the California Building Code and its rules and regulations. The following subsections discuss modifications made to the Building fee schedules, the detailed per unit analysis results, the potential annual revenue impacts, and a comparison of certain fees to other jurisdictions.

1 FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

The Building fee schedule consists of both flat fees and valuation based fees. Both sets of fees were studied. The project team worked with the Building Division to streamline the current fee schedule by altering names and removing outdated fees. The following points highlight some of these changes:

- Mechanical, Electrical, and Plumbing fees were streamlined to identify key specific permits and all other fees were grouped into miscellaneous permits. These categories included both permit processing and inspection services.
- Combo permits for Kitchen and Bathroom Remodels and New Pools and Spas were added.
- Fees for Special Request Inspections, Sewer Caps, Water Service Determination, Restamping of Approved Plans, and Garage Sale Permits were removed as the division no longer assesses fees for these services.
- Plan Check and Inspection fees for Construction Projects that were previously calculated based on valuation have been changed to a per square footage schedule.
- All new construction and tenant improvement fees were set up as combo permits
 to include Mechanical, Electrical, and Plumbing, streamlining the fees for the
 developers and the City, as there is one total fee that needs to be paid for plan
 check and for inspection services.
- New fees were added to account for new services the division provides. These fees include: Residential Room Addition / Remodel, Windows / Doors, Tent Permit, Decks / Patios / Porches / Pergolas / Gazebos, Fences, Retaining Walls, and Re-Roofs.
- Solar Permits were moved from the Fire Prevention fee schedule to the Building fee schedule.

Identifying and implementing these changes to the Building fee schedule have helped to clarify the fee schedule as well as ensure all services being provided by the Building Division are reflected on the fee schedule.

2 DETAILED RESULTS - MISCELLANEOUS BUILDING PER UNIT

The Building Division currently assesses a variety of permits for plan check and inspection. The following table details the current fees associated with Miscellaneous Building Permits, the full cost associated with Building to provide these services, and the surplus / deficit. It is important to note that a variety of fees that have no current fee are because those fees are currently assessed based upon project valuation.

Table 5: Miscellaneous Building Flat Fees - Per Unit

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Building Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Building / Trade Permit Extension	Extension of building, mechanical, electrical, or plumbing permit prior to building permit expiration	Permit Extension	\$108	\$76	\$32
Building / Trade Permit Reinstatement	Reinstatement of an expired building, mechanical, electrical, or plumbing permit.	Permit Reinstatement	\$0	\$148	(\$148)
Building / Trade Plan Check Extension	Extension of building, mechanical, electrical, or plumbing plan check prior to plan check expiration	Plan Check Extension	\$108	\$76	\$32
Building / Trade Plan Check Reinstatement	Reinstatement of building, mechanical, electrical, or plumbing plan check of expired plans.	Plan Check Reinstatement	\$0	\$114	(\$114)
F-1 Di OiI-	Plan checks over the standard	Processing Fee	\$53	\$65	(\$12)
Extra Plan Check	number of plan checks or for non-standard applications.	Hourly Rate \$149	\$161	(\$12)	
	Request for a reinspection or	Processing Fee	\$35	\$65	(\$30)
Re-Inspection / Extra Inspection	extra inspection over the standard number of inspections (3) of a building site. (1-hr minimum)	Hourly Rate	\$125	\$138	(\$13)
Custom Building	Inspection requested on a non-	Base Fee	\$535	\$582	(\$47)
Custom Building Inspection	inspector working day. (4-hr min.)	Each Addl. Hour	\$125	\$138	(\$13)
Construction Operation After Hours Application	Reviewing an application for construction operation for work done after hours.	Request for Interior Commercial Request for Exterior Commercial	\$186 \$186	\$149 \$733	\$37 (\$547)
		Partial	\$544	\$620	(\$76)

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Building Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Building Demolition	Review and inspection of a building demolition to ensure compliance with City Codes.	Full	\$544	\$423	\$121
Moving a Building	Review an application for moving a building within the City.		\$3,353	Actual Cost	
Construction Site Sign Production	Processing and production of contractor information signs for	Base Fee	\$26	\$32	(\$6)
Building Permit Transfer	construction sites. Transfer the ownership of a	Per Sign	\$30 \$53	\$30 \$65	(\$12)
Residential Bldg Records Report	permit. Provide a building records report on an address.	Per Application Duplicate	\$309 \$53	\$294 \$43	(\$12) \$15 \$10
Staging Residential	Review request for staging for residential properties.		\$761	\$295	\$466
Temporary Certificate of Occupancy	Review request for a temporary Certificate of Occupancy to allow for occupancy before the	Certificate	\$1,760	\$666	\$1,094
Board of Building Appeals	final certificate is issued. Processing an appeal of a Building Administrative Decision to the Board of	Extension	\$237	\$302	(\$65)
Comm Dev Refund Processing	Building Appeals. Processing a refund of a Community Development fee due to the actions of the applicant.		\$488 \$92	\$938 \$112	(\$450)
Comm Dev	Retaining a permanent copy of	Base Fee	\$35	\$43	(\$8)
Record Retention	records in Community Development.	Digital Copy Data Extraction:	\$53 \$67	\$65 \$83	(\$12) (\$16)
Garage Sale Permit	Review an application for a garage permit. The municipal code allow household per year.	ge and yard sale	\$8	\$11	(\$3)
Combo Permits	The second persons and the second persons are second persons and the second persons are s		, ,		(+-)
Kitchen / Bathroom Remodel	Review and inspection of residential kitchen / bathroom remodels	Up to 500 sq. ft. 501-1,000 sq. ft. 1,000+ sq. ft Each addl 500 sq. ft.		\$825 \$1,100 \$1,375 \$287	
New Pool / Spa	Review and inspection of new pool or spa being installed.	Residential Commercial	\$259 \$259	\$978 \$1,423	(\$719) (\$1,164)
New Pool / Spa with Vault	Review and inspection of new pool or spa with a vault	Residential Commercial	\$259 \$259	\$1,560 \$2,037	(\$1,301) (\$1,778)
Miscellaneous Fees		E1 1 000 CV	ተ ባባባ	¢1 077	(ቀ700\
Grading Fees –	Review of application associated with reviewing	51-1,000 CY 1,001-10,000 CY	\$220 \$220	\$1,277 \$1,245	(\$782) (\$1,025)
Plan Check	different grading categories.	10,001-100,000 CY	\$343	\$1,487	(\$1,144)
		500 sq. ft.	\$780	\$1,189	(\$409)

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Building Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Shoring Plan	Deviewing and incorporation	1,000 sq. ft.	\$1,201	\$1,622	(\$420)
Check and	Reviewing and inspecting	3,000 sq. ft.	\$3,713	\$1,812	\$1,902
Inspection	shoring requirements	5,000 sq. ft.	\$4,501	\$2,330	\$2,171
		Residential	\$100	\$703	(\$603)
Solar Permit Plan	Review and inspect Solar / PV	Commercial up			
Check and	permits for building and fire	to 50 kw	\$100	\$1,577	(\$1,477)
Inspection	codes	Commercial 51-			
		250 kw	\$100	\$1,835	(\$1,735)
		Existing	¥.55	Ψ.,,	(4:,: 00)
		Buildings Valued			
		less than LA			
Summary of		County			
Accessibility		Accessibility			
Upgrades for	Review of accessibility upgrade	Code	\$286	\$1,132	(\$846)
Commercial	hardship application.	Existing			
Projects		Buildings Valued			
110,000		more than LA			
		County			
		Accessibility			
		Code	\$286	\$1,512	(\$1,226)
	Review and inspection of				
Remodel	residential pool and spa	Remodel - per			
Residential Pool /	remodels for each discipline	discipline .			
Spa	reviewed (electrical, plumbing, mechanical)	•	\$259	\$655	(#206)
	Review and inspection of		ΦΖ ΟΘ	φ033	(\$396)
	commercial pool and spa				
TI Commercial	remodels for each discipline	TI - per			
Pool / Spa	reviewed (electrical, plumbing,	discipline	cipline		
	mechanical)		\$259	\$1,043	(\$784)
		Up to 500 sq. ft.		\$954	
Residential Room	Pavious and inspection of	501-1,000 sq. ft.		\$1,553	
Addition /	Review and inspection of residential room addition and /	1,000+ sq. ft.		\$1,877	
Remodel	or remodel.	Each addl 500			
nemodei	or remoder.	sq. ft. above			
		1,000 sq. ft.		\$287	
	Review and inspection of	Up to 5		\$550	
Windows / Doors	window / door permits per City	0		*	
	standard form.	Greater than 5		\$687	
Tent Permit	Review and inspection of	Up to 400 sq. ft.		\$1,208	
(Building)	temporary tents	401-1,500 sq. ft.		\$1,831	
-	-	1,500+ sq. ft.		\$3,009	
Decks / Porches /	Review and inspection of	Up to 500 sq. ft.		\$2,312	
Patios / Pergolas /	standalone decks / porches /	Greater than		CO 040	
Gazebos	patios / pergolas / gazebos	500 sq. ft.		\$3,243	
		Addl 500 sq. ft. All Others		\$368 \$769	
Fences (greater	Review and inspection of standalone fences greater than			\$768	
than 6')	6'.	ROW Adjacent		\$946	
				ψυτυ	

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Fee Cost Per (D		
Retaining Wall	Review and inspection of	Retaining Wall		\$1,362		
Block Walls	retaining walls and block walls.	Block Wall		\$917		
		Residential		\$542		
		Commercial - Up to 1,500 sq. ft.		\$542		
	Review and inspection of re- roofing projects for residential	Commercial - 1,501-5,000 sq.				
Re-Roof	and commercial projects	ft. Commercial -		\$610		
	Note: Does not include reroof with solar. Separate permit	Greater than 5,000 sq. ft		\$679		
	required for solar panels.	Commercial - Each Addl. 1,000 sq.ft. above 5,000 sq. ft.		\$103		
	Review and inspection of			Ψ100		
Re-Stuccoing / Siding / Façade	standalone re-stucco / siding / façade permits.			\$687		
MEP's						
Electrical						
Miscellaneous	Review and inspection of standar					
Electrical Permit	over the counter electrical permit		\$68	\$315	(\$247)	
Temporary Power	Review and inspection for each to	emporary power	4	*	(4000)	
Pole	pole or piggy-back pole.	D 'd	\$112	\$315	(\$203)	
EV Charging	Review and inspection of EV	Residential		\$422		
Station	Charging Stations	Commercial		\$529		
Battery Backup Residential	Review and inspect for battery ba	аскирѕ.		\$422		
Addition /	Electrical upgrades to residential additions or remodel					
Remodel	projects	per sq. ft.		\$0.74		
Commercial	Electrical upgrades, additions,	por oq. it.		Ψ0.7 ¬		
Tenant	or improvement to commercial /					
Improvement	non-residential projects	per sq. ft.		\$0.58		
Mechanical						
Miscellaneous	Review and inspection of standar	d and standalone				
Mechanical Permit	over the counter mechanical perr	nits.	\$68	\$283	(\$215)	
	Review and inspection of HVAC	New / Relocate	\$68	\$670	(\$602)	
HVAC Permit	permits	Replacement / Change-Out	\$68	\$464	(\$396)	
Residential Addition / Remodel	Mechanical upgrades to residential additions or remodel projects	per sq. ft.		\$0.65		
Commercial Tenant	Mechanical upgrades, additions, or improvement to commercial / non-residential			•		
Improvement	projects	per sq. ft.		\$0.56		
Plumbing						

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Building Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Miscellaneous Plumbing Permit	Review and inspection of standar over the counter plumbing permit		\$68	\$315	(\$247)
Water Heater Permit	Review and inspection of water h	eater permit	\$92	\$283	(\$191)
Cesspool Removal Fee	Review and inspection for cesspo	ool removal		\$335	
Residential Addition / Remodel	Plumbing upgrades to residential additions or remodel projects	per sq. ft.		\$0.65	
Commercial Tenant Improvement	Plumbing upgrades, additions, or improvement to commercial / non-residential projects	per sq. ft.		\$0.56	
Code Enforcement Fees					
Violation Inspection Fee	Per hour violation inspection fee enforcement violations (2-hr min.			\$232	
Non-Compliance Fee	Per hour fee for non-compliance inspections (6-hr min)	related		\$697	

When comparing the current fees for Miscellaneous Building Permits with the total cost associated with Building services, the City of Manhattan Beach is showing a per unit cost recovery level of 71%. This indicates that for the majority of its flat fee services it is showing an under-recovery.

3 DETAILED RESULTS – BUILDING SQUARE FOOTAGE PER UNIT

The City of Manhattan Beach currently uses a valuation table to establish permit and plan check fees for all Construction Projects that is based on the value of construction costs. In discussion with Building staff it was determined that in order to calculate the valuation, the City collects the square footage and valuation information. As such, instead of taking the additional step of calculating valuation, staff would be able to calculate the fee based upon the square footage and occupancy directly. Additionally, during discussion it was determined that the size and type of project was a better indicator of the level of effort provided as more expensive materials does not require additional time to plan check or inspect. The following table shows, by square footage and type of project, the full cost associated with plan check and inspection.

Table 6: Total Cost Per Unit Results – Plan Check and Inspection

		Total Plan Check Cost Total Inspection Cost		Total Cost Per Unit			
Occupancy	Sq. Ft	Permit	Per 100	Permit	Per 100	Permit	Per 100
Type	Sq. Ft	Fee	Sq. Ft	Fee	Sq. Ft	Fee	Sq. Ft
A - New	500	\$3,957.79	\$106.78	\$3,603.90	\$97.23	\$7,561.68	\$204.01
(Other than	5,000	\$8,762.73	\$41.87	\$7,979.20	\$38.12	\$16,741.92	\$79.99
A2)	50,000	\$27,602.59	\$55.21	\$25,134.47	\$50.27	\$52,737.06	\$105.47

		Total Plan C	heck Cost	Total Inspe		Total Cost	Per Unit
Occupancy	Sq. Ft	Permit	Per 100	Permit	Per 100	Permit	Per 100
Туре	3q. 1 t	Fee	Sq. Ft	Fee	Sq. Ft	Fee	Sq. Ft
	500	\$4,816.83	\$129.95	\$4,557.58	\$122.96	\$9,374.41	\$252.91
A2 - New	5,000	\$10,664.69	\$50.95	\$10,090.71	\$48.21	\$20,755.40	\$99.16
	50,000	\$33,593.78	\$67.19	\$31,785.74	\$63.57	\$65,379.52	\$130.76
B or M -	1,500	\$6,238.73	\$83.42	\$8,272.27	\$187.07	\$14,511.01	\$270.49
New	5,000	\$9,158.57	\$196.91	\$14,819.56	\$318.62	\$23,978.13	\$515.53
	15,000	\$28,849.49	\$192.33	\$46,681.62	\$311.21	\$75,531.11	\$503.54
	500	\$3,647.99	\$98.42	\$5,091.09	\$137.35	\$8,739.08	\$235.77
E - New	5,000	\$8,076.83	\$38.59	\$11,271.92	\$53.85	\$19,348.75	\$92.44
	50,000	\$25,442.01	\$50.88	\$35,506.56	\$71.01	\$60,948.57	\$121.90
F-1, F-2 -	500	\$2,995.85	\$80.82	\$7,935.62	\$214.09	\$10,931.47	\$294.92
New	5,000	\$6,632.95	\$31.69	\$17,569.84	\$83.94	\$24,202.79	\$115.64
	50,000	\$20,893.79	\$41.79	\$55,345.00	\$110.69	\$76,238.79	\$152.48
	500	\$3,326.11	\$89.73	\$7,853.26	\$211.87	\$11,179.37	\$301.61
H - New	5,000	\$7,364.16	\$35.18	\$17,387.49	\$83.07	\$24,751.65	\$118.26
	50,000	\$23,197.11	\$46.39	\$54,770.59	\$109.54	\$77,967.70	\$155.94
I Name	500	\$4,133.71	\$111.52	\$5,091.09	\$137.35	\$9,224.80	\$248.87
I - New	5,000	\$9,152.23	\$43.73	\$11,271.92	\$53.85	\$20,424.16	\$97.58
	50,000	\$28,829.54	\$57.66	\$35,506.56	\$71.01	\$64,336.10	\$128.67
L - New	500	\$3,387.45	\$91.39	\$6,643.84	\$179.24	\$10,031.29	\$270.63
L - New	5,000	\$7,499.98	\$35.83	\$14,709.77	\$70.28	\$22,209.75	\$106.11
	50,000	\$23,624.94	\$47.25	\$46,335.78	\$92.67	\$69,960.72	\$139.92
R-1 - New	1,000 10,000	\$6,259.23 \$11,319.28	\$56.22 \$3.94	\$19,319.72 \$34,938.07	\$173.54 \$12.16	\$25,578.94 \$46,257.35	\$229.76 \$16.10
U-I - INCM	100,000	\$14,865.31	\$14.87	\$45,883.22	\$45.88	\$60,748.53	\$60.75
	1,000	\$4,259.42	\$38.26	\$17,738.18	\$159.33	\$21,997.61	\$197.59
R-2 - New	10,000	\$7,702.81	\$2.68	\$32,078.00	\$11.17	\$39,780.81	\$13.85
11-2 - 14CW	100,000	\$10,115.89	\$10.12	\$42,127.17	\$42.13	\$52,243.06	\$52.24
	1,000	\$3,983.21	\$133.99	\$6,081.68	\$272.84	\$10,064.89	\$406.83
R-3 - New	3,000	\$6,663.01	\$77.00	\$11,538.49	\$113.07	\$18,201.50	\$190.07
	6,000	\$8,972.97	\$149.55	\$14,930.57	\$248.84	\$23,903.53	\$398.39
	500	\$2,402.78	\$64.82	\$4,722.98	\$127.42	\$7,125.75	\$192.24
S-1 - New	5,000	\$5,319.86	\$25.42	\$10,456.90	\$49.96	\$15,776.76	\$75.38
	50,000	\$16,757.57	\$33.52	\$32,939.23	\$65.88	\$49,696.80	\$99.39
	500	\$2,267.75	\$61.18	\$5,535.03	\$149.33	\$7,802.78	\$210.51
S-2 - New	5,000	\$5,020.91	\$23.99	\$12,254.83	\$58.55	\$17,275.73	\$82.54
	50,000	\$15,815.86	\$31.63	\$38,602.70	\$77.21	\$54,418.56	\$108.84
	50	\$789.70	\$213.05	\$226.77	\$61.18	\$1,016.46	\$274.23
U - New	500	\$1,748.42	\$83.54	\$502.08	\$23.99	\$2,250.50	\$107.52
	5,000	\$5,507.54	\$110.15	\$1,581.54	\$31.63	\$7,089.08	\$141.78
Shell	500	\$3,188.31	\$86.02	\$4,461.30	\$120.36	\$7,649.60	\$206.38
(Cold) -	5,000	\$7,059.07	\$33.73	\$9,877.52	\$47.19	\$16,936.59	\$80.92
New	50,000	\$22,236.06	\$44.47	\$31,114.20	\$62.23	\$53,350.26	\$106.70
A (Other	300	\$3,560.38	\$160.09	\$1,912.45	\$85.99	\$5,472.83	\$246.08
than A-2) -	3,000	\$7,882.86	\$62.77	\$4,234.25	\$33.72	\$12,117.10	\$96.49
TI	30,000	\$24,830.99	\$82.77	\$13,337.88	\$44.46	\$38,168.88	\$127.23
	150	\$2,733.22	\$245.80	\$1,347.66	\$121.19	\$4,080.88	\$366.99
A-2 - TI	1,500	\$6,051.49	\$96.38	\$2,983.78	\$47.52	\$9,035.26	\$143.89
	15,000	\$19,062.19	\$127.08	\$9,398.89	\$62.66	\$28,461.08	\$189.74

		Total Plan Check Cost Total Inspection Cost		Total Cost Per Unit			
Occupancy	Sq. Ft	Permit	Per 100	Permit	Per 100	Permit	Per 100
Type	Sq. Ft	Fee	Sq. Ft	Fee	Sq. Ft	Fee	Sq. Ft
TI - AII	150	\$3,031.23	\$272.60	\$1,070.09	\$96.23	\$4,101.32	\$368.83
Others	1,500	\$6,711.29	\$106.88	\$2,369.23	\$37.73	\$9,080.53	\$144.62
Others	15,000	\$21,140.58	\$140.94	\$7,463.09	\$49.75	\$28,603.66	\$190.69

Due to the conversion from valuation-based fees to square-footage based fees, it is difficult to conduct a comparison between current and proposed fees. The proposed fees based on square footage have a stronger nexus to the services being provided. Converting from a valuation-based fee schedule to a square-footage based fee schedule provides the Division with a stable, more defensible methodology for calculation fees and is much more reflective of actual staff services and costs.

4 ANNUAL RESULTS

The Building Division has two main categories of fees that were evaluated by the project team: Flat Fees and Square Footage Based Construction Projects. Due to the major modifications made to the Building Division fee schedule, the project team conducted an analysis of the overall revenue and expenses associated with the Building Division. The following table shows the Division's revenue at current fees, the total annual cost calculated through this study, and the resulting surplus / (deficit).

Table 7: Annual Revenue Analysis – Building


As the table indicates, the Division is under-recovering its costs by approximately \$429,000. This under-recovery represents a cost recovery level of 94%.

5 COMPARATIVE SURVEY

As part of this study, the City wished to understand how their current fees and total cost compared to other similar sized and regionally located jurisdictions. It is important to note that the project team surveyed approximately eight local jurisdictions; if the jurisdiction did not assess a fee for the service, it was left off of the comparative graph. This allows the City to clearly review the graph without the cluttering of additional information that is not relevant to the comparative analysis being conducted. The following subsections provide a comparative look at three Building Flat Fees and seven Building Construction Scenarios.

1 Full Building Demolition


The City of Manhattan Beach currently assesses a fee for a Full Building Demolition at \$544 and the project team calculated the full cost of providing this service at \$423. The following graph shows how the Division's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the chart above indicates, both Manhattan Beach's current fee and full cost are above the jurisdictional average of \$373. The full cost calculated falls in line with the City of Santa Monica's fee of \$435.

2 Residential Staging


The City of Manhattan Beach currently charges a fee for Residential Staging of \$761 and the project team calculated the full cost of providing this service at \$295. The following graph shows how the Division's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the chart above shows, Manhattan Beach's current fee is significantly higher than other local jurisdictions and the average of \$394. The full cost calculated with lower than the average charged by other local jurisdictions and only Hermosa Beach and Laguna Beach charge less.

3 Board of Building Appeals


The City of Manhattan Beach currently assesses a fee for an Appeal to the Board of Building Appeals at \$488 and the project team calculated the full cost of providing this service at \$938. The following graph shows how the Division's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



Both Manhattan Beach's current fee and full cost are well below the jurisdictional average of \$1,536. Santa Monica and Beverly Hills charge significantly higher fees for the same service.

4 Remodeling of Guestrooms in a Hotel – 103,884 sq. ft. - \$11,185,720 Valuation


The City currently assess fees for Commercial Remodels based upon project valuation. The current fee for plan check and inspection for a \$11,185,720 project is \$102,467. The project team calculated the full cost based on the square footage at \$198,098. The following graph shows how the Division's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



Manhattan Beach's current fee is below the jurisdictional average of \$166,201, while the full cost is just above the average. All comparable jurisdictions surveyed evaluate construction based fees on valuation. Hermosa Beach, Culver City, and Beverly Hills are above the average fee.

5 New Parking Garage – 200,730 sq. ft - \$21,260,176 Valuation


The City currently assess fees for New Commercial projects based upon project valuation. The current fee for plan check and inspection for a \$21,260,176 project is \$151,529. The project team calculated the full cost based on the square footage at \$218,469. The following graph shows how the **Division's** current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the graph above shows, both Manhattan Beach's current fee and full cost are below the average fee that other local jurisdictions charge of \$330,281. The full cost calculated falls in line with the fees charged by Laguna Beach, El Segundo, and Torrance.

6 New Single-Family Residence – 7,106 sq. ft - \$1,054,181 Valuation


The City currently assesses fees for New Residential projects based upon project valuation. The current fee for plan check and inspection for a \$1,054,181 home is \$19,221. The project team calculated the full cost based on the square footage at \$28,310. The following graph shows how the department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



The current fee charged by Manhattan Beach for New Single Family Homes is only slightly lower than the jurisdictional average of \$19,757. Beverly Hills is the only jurisdiction that charges more than Manhattan Beach's full cost for the same sized project.

7 New Single-Family Residence – 2,505 Sq. ft - \$290,648 Valuation


The City currently assess fees for New Residential projects based upon project valuation. The current fee for plan check and inspection for a \$290,648 home is \$7,346. The project team calculated the full cost based on the square footage at \$16,188. The following graph shows how the **Division's** current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the chart indicates, while both the current and full cost fee are higher than the average, the current fee of \$7,346 is only barely above the average fee of \$7,221 charged by surrounding jurisdictions. The full cost calculated for Manhattan Beach is significantly higher than all other jurisdictions with Beverly Hills being the closest in cost at a fee of \$10,305.

8 New Restaurant – 8,260 sq. ft - \$1,320,784 Valuation


The City currently assess fees for New Commercial projects based upon project valuation. The current fee for plan check and inspection for a \$1,320,784 restaurant is \$23,335. The project team calculated the full cost based on the square footage at \$23,988. The following graph shows how the Division's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



Both the current fee and full cost fall right below with the jurisdictional average of \$24,916 with the current fee being just slightly below the average and the full cost being just slightly higher than the average. Culver City, Santa Monica, and Beverly Hills rates are much higher than the average.

9 Retail Tenant Improvement – 79,491 sq. ft - \$9,819,153 Valuation


The City currently assess fees for Commercial Tenant Improvements based upon project valuation. The current fee for plan check and inspection for a \$9,819,153 store TI is \$95,812. The project team calculated the full cost based on the square footage at \$150,915. The following graph shows how the Division's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



Manhattan Beach's full cost calculated falls just slightly higher than the jurisdictional average of \$146,006 and is very close to the fee charged by Hermosa Beach. Manhattan Beach's current fee falls in line with Laguna Beach, El Segundo, and Torrance's rates.

10 Fitness Center Tenant Improvement – 768 sq. ft - \$105,285 Valuation


The City currently assesses fees for Commercial Tenant Improvements based upon project valuation. The current fee for plan check and inspection for a \$105,285 fitness center TI is \$4,405. The project team calculated the full cost based on the square footage at \$6,381. The following graph shows how the Division's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



Both the current fee charged by Manhattan Beach and the full cost calculated are higher than the jurisdictional average of \$3,364 charged by other local jurisdictions. The full cost calculated is higher than all other jurisdictions rates with Beverly Hills being the closest rate at \$4,865.

11 Solar Permit – Residential

The City currently assesses fees for Residential Solar Permits based upon a council policy of \$100. The full cost calculated through the study is \$703. The following graph shows how the Division's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the graph indicates, the City's current fee is significantly below the average fee of \$486 charged by surrounding jurisdiction. Additionally, the state currently regulates the Solar Permit fees at \$500 for residential projects to ensure incentivization for solar permits in communities; however, a higher fee can be charged if it can be justified. The city should review its current policy on solar permit fees and where appropriate consider implementing amounts closer to the state set subsidy.

6. City Clerk

The City Clerk primarily serves as the Clerk of the Council and provides support to City Council through Legislative Services, administering elections on behalf of the City, and maintaining all public records. The fees examined within the study relate to typical City Clerk services such as initiative processing, reproduction services, and lobbying registration. The following subsections provide an overview of modifications made to the City Clerk's fee schedules, the detailed per unit analysis results, the potential annual revenue impacts, and a comparison of certain fees to other jurisdictions.

1 FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

In discussion with the City Clerk staff there was several modification made to the current fee schedule. The following points discuss these modifications:

- Removal of the new electronic file fee calculation for copies of records, as this service is no longer provided by the Clerk's office.
- Recategorization of the reproduction fees from election documents and certified copies to election documents and regular copies. The city is already charging all regular copies the same as certified copies, and this is just a renaming of the fee.
- Creation of a Candidate Statement fee for processing a candidate statement for publication either electronically or in the local voter guide.

These modifications help to ensure that all services being provided by City Clerk's staff are reflected on the current fee schedule.

2 PER UNIT ANALYSIS

The City Clerk collects fees related to Copy services and Elections Filings. The total cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, Departmental and Citywide overhead. The following table details the fee name, current fee, total cost, and surplus or deficit associated with each time-based City Clerk fee.

Table 8: Per Unit Results – City Clerk

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Initiative Petition Processing	A formal notice of intent to circ petition for a municipal measu Election Code Section - 9202	ıre. [California	\$200	\$388	(\$188)

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Candidate Processing	Process a candidate for office i exceed \$25. [California Election 10228]		- \$25	\$167	(\$142)
Candidate Statement	Process a candidate statement electronically or in voter guide Election Code Section 13307.	•		Actual Cost	
Reproduction Service	Making a copy of a City	Election Documents	\$0.10	\$1.03	(\$0.93)
	document upon request. [Per City Resolution]	Regular Copies	\$0.10	\$3.03	(\$2.93)
Archive Retrieval	Based upon request, retrieve a document per box pickup, deliv in storage. Direct cost to cover	ery, and re-file	Actual Cost	\$68	
Copy Service	Making a copy of an audiotape, CD, DVD, or PDF file.	Tape / CD / DVD / PDF	\$7	\$8	(\$1)
Lobbyist Registration	Process registration for lobbyist.		\$14	\$30	(\$16)

The City Clerk is under-recovering on all of its fees, with the largest deficit (\$188) relating to Initiative Petition Processing Fees. It is important to note that both the filing fees for Candidate Nominations and Initiative Petitions are set by the State, per California Election Code Section 10228 for the Candidate Filing Fee and 9202b for the Initiative Petition Filing Fee. Therefore, even if it costs the City more to process these filing fees, the City is limited per State Guidelines to not charge more than \$25 for Candidate Nominations and \$200 for Initiative Petition Fees.

3 ANNUAL RESULTS

The City Clerk's Department is currently under-recovering its time-based fees by approximately \$3,322. The following table shows the annual workload volume, projected revenue at current fee, total annual cost, and the associated surplus or deficit.

Table 9: Annual Results - City Clerk


Fee Name	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Initiative Petition Processing		1	\$200	\$388	(\$188)
Candidate Processing		4	\$100	\$669	(\$569)
Reproduction Service	Regular Copies	2692	\$269	\$8,163	(\$7,894)
Copy Service	Tape / CD / DVD / PDF	14	\$98	\$106	(\$8)
Lobbyist Registration		3	\$42	\$89	(\$47)
		TOTAL	\$709	\$9,415	(\$8,705)

Overall, the City Clerk's Department is recovering approximately 8% of if its fee-related costs. The deficit is related primarily to making copies of record requests. While Initiative Petition Processing and Candidate Processing are under-recovering, the state sets these fees at \$200 for Initiative Petitions and \$25 for Candidate Processing.

4 COMPARATIVE SURVEY

As part of this study, the City wished to understand how their current fees and total cost compared to other similar sized and regionally located jurisdictions. It is important to note that the project team surveyed approximately 8 local jurisdictions; if the jurisdiction did not assess a fee for the service, it was left off of the comparative graph. This allows the City to clearly review the graph without the cluttering of additional information that is not relevant to the comparative analysis being conducted. As the majority of the fees are set by the state, the project team only ended up comparing one of the fees – Copy Service for Tape / CD / DVD.

The City of Manhattan Beach currently assesses Copy Services at \$7 per tape, cd, DVD, or pdf; through this study the project team calculated the full cost at \$8. The following graph shows how the Department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the chart above indicates, the City of Manhattan Beach's current and full cost are below the average of \$15 charges by other local jurisdictions. Redondo Beach and Torrance are the only jurisdictions that charge less that Manhattan Beach.

7. Finance

The Finance Department administers the Business Licensing program and Dog Licenses. The following subsections provide an overview of modifications made to the Finance Department's fee schedule, the detailed per unit analysis results, the potential annual revenue impacts, and a comparison of certain fees to other jurisdictions.

1 FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

In discussion with staff in the Finance Department, there were only minor modifications made to the fee schedule. These modifications included the relocation of Film Permit fees from Finance to Parks and Recreation and the addition of Dog Licensing fees, which were moved from Police services. The dog license fees are processed by Finance staff and as such should be on the Finance fee schedule.

2 PER UNIT ANALYSIS

The Finance Department collects fees related to Returned Checks, Business Licenses, and Dog Licenses. The total cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, direct material costs (where applicable), facility and improvement costs, Departmental and Citywide overhead. The following table details the fee name, current fee, total cost, and surplus or deficit associated with each time-based Finance Department fee.

Table 10: Per Unit Results - Finance

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Return Check & Insufficient Funds Fee	Re-processing of checks or other payments due to insufficient funds. Set Per California Civil Code	First Check Subsequent Check	\$53 \$53	\$25 \$35	\$28
Business License Identification Decal	Issue a decal when a busin	Section 1719. Issue a decal when a business license requires the use of a vehicle on request.			\$18 (\$1)
Custom License Listing Request	Providing a unique listing of customized business and animal licensing information.	Custom Existing	\$40 \$20	\$40 \$25	(\$0) (\$5)

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
	Control and licensing of animals within the City Limits.	Spayed / Neutered All Others	\$20 \$52	\$21 \$48	(\$1) \$4
Dog Licenses	- 50% discount for seniors 62+ with income under \$10,000 No Charge for handicapped, disabled or seeing eye dogs Late Penalty of 20% per month not to exceed 100%.	Duplicate Tag	\$4	\$4	\$0

A majority of the Finance Department fees evaluated through this study are shown to be under-recovering, with the largest deficit related to existing Business License listing at \$5. There are three fees showing a surplus – the Returned Checks and Insufficient Funds Fee and the All Others types of licenses. This over-recovery is due to the state set fee of \$25 and Returned Checks and \$32 for Insufficient Funds Fee, per California Civil Code Section 1719. For all other types of animal licenses, the process has been streamlined resulting in the reduction of time associated with processing those types of licenses. The average per unit cost recovery for the Finance Department is approximately 117%.

3 ANNUAL RESULTS

The Finance Department is currently under-recovering its costs by approximately \$20,000. The following table shows the annual workload volume, projected revenue at current fee, total annual cost, and the associated surplus or deficit.

Table 11: Annual Results - Finance


Category	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Return Check & Insufficient Funds Fee	First Check Subsequent Check	77	\$4,081 \$0	\$1,925 \$0	\$2,156 \$0
Business License Identification Decal		1,425	\$5,700	\$6,604	(\$904)
Custom License Listing Request	Existing	12	\$240	\$300	(\$60)
Dog Licenses	Spayed / Neutered	2,428	\$48,560	\$50,988	(\$2,428)
	All Others Duplicate Tag	154 14	\$8,008 \$56	\$7,396 \$56	(\$612) \$0
	<u> </u>	TOTAL	\$66,645	\$67,269	(\$624)

Overall, the Finance Department is recovering approximately 99% of the cost associated with its time-based services. The largest source of deficit for the Department relates to the spayed / neutered licenses, which while only a \$1 deficit on a per unit basis is magnified due to the sheer volume of dog licenses issued.

4 COMPARATIVE SURVEY

As part of this study, the City wished to understand how their current fees and total cost compared to other similar sized and regionally located jurisdictions. It is important to note that the project team surveyed approximately 8 local jurisdictions; if the jurisdiction did not assess a fee for the service, it was left off of the comparative graph. This allows the City to clearly review the graph without the cluttering of additional information that is not relevant to the comparative analysis being conducted. Due to jurisdictions charging different fees for different services, the project team was only able to compare one fee for the finance department – returned check.

The City of Manhattan Beach currently assesses a fee of \$53 for Returned Check and the state set fee for this service is \$25. The following graph shows how the Department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the chart above indicates, the City of Manhattan Beach is not the only jurisdiction that currently charges above the state set fee of \$25. However, the current fee of \$53 is the highest charged among local jurisdictions and is much higher than the \$34 average.

8. Fire

The Fire Department is responsible for preventing or minimizing risk of fire and medical emergencies. The fees examined within this study include Plan Checks, Inspections, Permits, Reports, and other Fire related fees. The following subsections provide an overview of modifications made to the Fire Department's fee schedule, the detailed per unit analysis results, the potential annual revenue impacts, and a comparison of certain fees to other jurisdictions.

1 FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

In discussions with staff in the Fire Department, there were only minor modifications made to the fee schedule. These modifications included the removal of the Fire Inspection Special fee as this service is covered by other fees and the Solar System permits as this service is now provided by Building.

The Annual Fire Code Permit has been expanded from a single category to one based upon the square footage of the businesses, to better reflect the level of effort spent by fire prevention inspectors. Additionally, through this study, the project team worked with City staff to identify new fees to recover the costs associated with state mandated inspections of multi-family units complexes with more than 3 residential units).

2 PER UNIT ANALYSIS

The Fire Department collects fees related to plan checks, inspections, permits, and other Fire related fees. The total cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, direct material costs (where applicable), facility and improvement costs, Departmental and Citywide overhead. The following table details the fee name, current fee, total cost, and surplus or deficit associated with each time-based Fire Department fee.

Table 12: Per Unit Results - Fire

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
	Review, issue and inspect a	0-2,000 SF	\$223	\$232	(\$9)
	reoccurring annual Fire Department permit under the most recent version of the California Fire Code adopted by Council. As well as providing an annual fire and life safety	2,000-10,000 SF	\$223	\$349	(\$126)
Fire Code		10,000+ SF	\$223	\$465	(\$242)
Annual Permit /		Complex Building		Actual Cos	t
State Mandated		Multi-Family Units			
Fire Inspections		3-10 units	\$0	\$232	(\$232)
The mapeutions	inspection of day care or public	11-20 units	\$0	\$349	(\$349)
	institutions as listed in the State Fire Code.	20+ units	\$0	\$465	(\$465)

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Fire Code	Issue a fire code permit for a facility or event involving a minor review and inspection under Section 105 of the Uniform Fire Code.	Minor Event	\$279	\$290	(\$11)
Permit	Review, issue and inspect a Fire Department permit for a major event.	Major Event	Actual Cost	\$560	X
	Staffing by the Fire Department for a major event	Staffing Fee		Actual Cost	
Annual Fire Code Permit Soundstage	Issue a fire code permit for a soun major review and inspection. (Per **Current fees collected by agreen	soundstage)	\$6,667	\$16,110	(\$9,443)
Fire Code Permit Re-	Issuing a fire code permit, which reinspection. **Per hour; no charge for first two	·	\$223	\$232	
Inspection High Rise	Tel flour, no charge for first two	ii ispections	\$ 223	Φ ∠ 3 ∠	(\$9)
Structure - Annual	Annual review of a high-rise struct complies with code requirements.	ure to ensure that it			
Inspection			\$782	\$813	(\$31)
Annual	Providing an annual fire and life safety inspection of a business	0-2,000 SF	\$276	\$347	(\$71)
Business Fire	with the City.	2,000-10,000 SF 10,000+ SF	\$404 \$828	\$511 \$1,041	(\$107)
Inspection	**No Charge for first two		Φ 0 Z 0		(\$213)
	inspections	Complex Building	# 404	Actual Cost	
Residential Fire	Review a plan and inspect a residential fire sprinkler system	Plan Check	\$164	\$482	(\$318)
Sprinkler	for conformity with fire code	Inspection			
-	requirements.		\$220	\$307	(\$87)
	Review a plan and inspect a	Plan Check	\$288	\$482	(\$194)
Commercial	commercial fire sprinkler system	Inspection: 1-50 heads	\$276	\$365	(\$89)
Fire Sprinkler	for conformity with fire code	51-100 heads	\$499	\$597	(\$98)
	requirements.	101+ heads	\$723	\$829	(\$106)
		Plan Check	\$220	\$482	(\$262)
Fire Alarm	Review a plan and inspect a fire	Inspection:			
System	alarm system for conformity with	0-2,000 SF	\$220	\$307	(\$87)
Oystem	fire code requirements.	2,000-10,000 SF	\$332	\$423	(\$91)
		10,000+ SF	\$555	\$655	(\$100)
	Review a plan and inspect a fire protection system for conformity	Plan Check	\$276	\$504	(\$228)
Fire Protection System	with fire code requirements, including items such as Hood / Suppression, Medical Gas System, Underground Fire Service Line, Underground Storage Tank, Above Ground Storage Tank, Private Fire Hydrant, etc.	Inspection	\$443	\$539	(\$96)
Fire Solar System - Variance Review	Review for variance from fire code regarding solar permits. Variance may not be granted.	Per review request		\$140	

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Fire Expedited Review	Request to process plan check in an expedited manner (includes 2 rechecks).	Per Request	\$0	\$687	(\$687)
Fire Revision	Revision after a permit has been issued.	Revision - per revision		Actual Cos	t
	Ambulance transport with basic life support.	ALS ¹		Per LA Cty	1
Ambulance Transport	Ambulance transport with advanced life support.	BLS Pe		Per LA Cty	1
	Treating on-site but no transportation	Treat No Transport	\$0	\$189	(\$189)

All of the Fire Department fees evaluated by the study are shown to be under-recovering, with the largest deficit (\$9,443) relating to Annual Fire Code Permit Soundstage and (\$318) Residential Fire Sprinkler Plan Check. The average per unit cost recovery for the department is 73%.

3 ANNUAL RESULTS

The Fire Department is annually under-recovering its fees by approximately \$298,000. The following table shows the annual workload volume, projected revenue at current fee, total annual cost, and the associated surplus or deficit.

Table 13: Annual Results - Fire

Category	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
	0-2,000 SF	84	\$18,732	\$19,517	(\$785)
	2,000-10,000 SF	29	\$6,467	\$10,107	(\$3,640)
Fire Code Annual	10,000+ SF	2	\$446	\$929	(\$483)
Permit / State Mandated	Multi-Family Units:				
Fire Inspections	3-10 units			\$55,764	(\$55,764)
	11-20 units			\$18,820	(\$18,820)
	20+ units			\$2,788	(\$2,788)
Fire Code Permit	Minor Event	174	\$48,546	\$50,536	(\$1,990)
The Code Fernit	Major Event	6	\$3,539	\$3,539	\$0
Annual Fire Code Permit Soundstage		15	\$100,000	\$241,644	(\$141,644)
Fire Code Permit Re- Inspection		10	\$2,230	\$2,323	(\$93)
High Rise Structure - Annual Inspection		3	\$2,346	\$2,440	(\$94)

¹ Current and future rates are based off of LA County Ambulance Rates per Council policy direction.

_

Category	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Annual Business Fire	0-2,000 SF	50	\$13,800	\$17,344	(\$3,544)
Inspection	2,000-10,000 SF	6	\$2,424	\$3,054	(\$640)
inspection	10,000+ SF	3	\$2,484	\$3,122	(\$638)
Residential Fire	Plan Check	106	\$17,384	\$51,121	(\$33,737)
Sprinkler	Inspection	147	\$32,340	\$45,060	(\$12,720)
	Plan Check	28	\$8,064	\$13,504	(\$5,440)
Commercial Fire	Inspection:				
	1-50 heads	13	\$3,588	\$4,740	(\$1,152)
Sprinkler	51-100 heads	6	\$2,994	\$3,582	(\$588)
	101+ heads	11	\$7,953	\$9,123	(\$1,170)
	Plan Check	20	\$4,400	\$9,645	(\$5,245)
	Inspection:				
Fire Alarm System	0-2,000 SF	44	\$9,680	\$13,487	(\$3,807)
-	2,000-10,000 SF	11	\$3,652	\$4,650	(\$998)
	10,000+ SF	7	\$3,885	\$4,585	(\$700)
Eiro Drotaction System	Plan Check	5	\$1,380	\$2,521	(\$1,141)
Fire Protection System	Inspection	5	\$3,987	\$4,850	(\$863)
Fire Solar System					
Variance Review		5		\$700	
Fire Expedited Review		2		\$1,375	
Treat No Transport		95		\$17,943	
		TOTAL	\$300,141	\$618,644	(\$298,485)

Overall, the Fire Department is recovering approximately 49% of if its fee-related costs. The largest source of the deficit (\$142,000 of the \$217,000), is related to the Annual Fire Sound Stage Permits, which is limited due to an existing contract with the studios. Following that, the next highest source of the deficit is residential sprinklers at \$47,000.

4 COMPARATIVE SURVEY

As part of this study, the City wished to understand how their current fees and total cost compared to other similar sized and regionally located jurisdictions. The following subsections provide a comparative look at three time-based services evaluated by the project team related to Fire fees.

1 Residential Fire Sprinklers Plan Check

The City of Manhattan Beach Fire Department charges a \$164 fee for Plan Checks for Residential Fire Sprinkler Systems and the project team calculated the full cost of providing the service at \$482. The following points outline how the Department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.

 Torrance is the only jurisdictions that, like Manhattan Beach, has a flat fee for Residential Fire Sprinkler Plan Checks. This fee is \$601.

- Redondo Beach charges based on valuation.
- Laguna Beach does not have a fee for Sprinklers and requires all Fire Sprinkler
 Plan Checks to go through a third party. Hermosa Beach also does not have a fee
 for this service because they contract with LA County.
- Culver City charge 65% of the permit fee. The permit fee is based on the number of heads in the system.
- El Segundo charges 10% of the Building Plan Check fee that is based on valuation.
- Santa Monica and Beverly Hills charge a fee per head; however, their fees are for plan check and inspection combined. Santa Monica charges \$586 for the first 25 heads and \$5.38 for each head thereafter. Beverly Hills charges \$471 for up to 20 heads and \$407 for over 20 heads plus an additional fee of \$20 for each head over 20.

Because of the differing methods of charging for this service, it is difficult to compare Manhattan Beach's current and full cost with surrounding jurisdictions.

2 Commercial Fire Sprinkler Inspection 1 – 50 heads

The City of Manhattan Beach Fire Department charges a \$276 fee for Inspections for Commercial Fire Sprinkler Systems with 1 to 50 heads and the project team calculated the full cost of providing the service at \$365. The following points outline how the Department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.

- Laguna Beach does not have a fee for Sprinklers and requires all Fire Sprinkler Inspections to go through a third party. Hermosa Beach also does not have a fee for this service because they contract with LA County.
- Redondo Beach and Torrance charge based on valuation.
- Culver City charges \$94 for 1 10 heads, \$119 for 11 25 heads, and \$200 for 26 50 heads.
- El Segundo charges 10% of the Building Inspection fee that is based on valuation.
- Santa Monica charges in square foot ranges for commercial fire sprinklers. The fee is for both plan check and inspection combined. Santa Monica charges \$667 for up to 10,000 sq. ft and \$829 for over 10,000 sq. ft.

• Beverly Hills charges a fee per head; however, their fees are for plan check and inspection combined. Beverly Hills charges \$471 for up to 20 heads and \$407 for greater than 20 heads plus \$20 for each head over 20.

Due to the differing methods of charging for this service, it is difficult to compare Manhattan Beach's current and full cost with surrounding jurisdictions.

3 Fire Alarm System Inspection 1 − 2,000 square feet

The City of Manhattan Beach Fire Department charges a \$220 fee for Inspections for Fire Alarm Systems under 2,000 square feet and the project team calculated the full cost of providing the service at \$307. The following points outline how the Department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.

- Laguna Beach does not have a fee for Alarms and requires all Fire Alarm Inspections to go through a third party. Hermosa Beach also does not have a fee for this service because they contract with LA County.
- Redondo Beach and Torrance charge based on valuation.
- Culver City charges a flat fee for all Fire Alarm Inspections of \$166.
- El Segundo charges 25% of the Building Inspection fee that is based on valuation.
- Santa Monica and Beverly Hills charge a fee based on the number of devices, however, their fees are for plan check and inspection combined. Santa Monica charges \$1,293 for the first 24 devices and \$10.78 for each device thereafter. Beverly Hills charges \$341 for up to 24 devices and \$16 for each device thereafter.

Again, Because of the differing methods of charging for this service, it is difficult to compare Manhattan Beach's current and full cost with surrounding jurisdictions.

9. Parks and Recreation

The Parks and Recreation Department provides a variety of fee-related services including classes, camps, sports, and art programs; however the fees examined in this study relate to Banner Installations, Special Events, and Film Permits. The following subsections provide an overview of modifications made to the Parks and Recreation fee schedule, the detailed per unit analysis results, the potential annual revenue impacts, and a comparison of certain fees to other jurisdictions.

1 FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

The Parks and Recreation Department collects fees for both city parks and recreation programs and permit related services. The City already has a process in place to reevaluate program related fees annually. The only fees examined in this study were permit related fees.

In discussion with staff from the Parks and Recreation Department, there were only two modifications made to the permit related fee schedule. Special Event Permits were previously one category and were split out into pass through events, repeat events with no changes, and new events or repeat events with major changes. The purpose of this modification was to capture the support associated. The only other modification made to the schedule was the addition of Film Permits. Film permits were moved from Finance to Parks and Recreation.

2 PER UNIT ANALYSIS

The Parks and Recreation Department collects fees related to Banner Installation, Special Events, and Film Permits. The total cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, Departmental and Citywide overhead. The following table details the fee name, current fee, total cost, and surplus or deficit associated with each Parks and Recreation Department fee.

Table 14: Per Unit Results – Parks and Recreation

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Banner Installation	Hanging a banner across the public right-of-way at the	Sepulveda Blvd.	\$300	\$372	(\$72)
	request of a private party.	All Other	\$277	\$325	(\$48)

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
		Pass Through Events	\$809	\$662	\$147
Special Events Application Processing a request for a special event within the City.	Repeat Events with No Major Changes	\$809	\$977	(\$168)	
	City.	New Events / Events with Major Changes	\$809	\$1,417	(\$608)
	Review an application for a	Motion Picture	\$489	\$528	(\$39)
Film Permits - Application	motion picture or still photography, which takes place in the City.	Still Photography	\$178	\$208	(\$30)

The majority of the Parks and Recreation Department fees evaluated by the study are shown to be under-recovering, with the largest deficit of a current fee (\$608) relating to Special Events – New Events. The average per unit cost recovery for the Division is 87%.

3 ANNUAL RESULTS

The Parks and Recreation Department is annually under-recovering its permit related fees by approximately \$18,000. The following table shows the annual workload volume, projected revenue at current fee, total annual cost, and the associated surplus or deficit.

Table 15: Annual Results - Parks and Recreation

Category	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Banner Installation	All Other	15	\$4,155	\$4,878	(\$723)
	Pass Through	3	\$2,427	\$1,987	\$440
Casaid Events Application	Repeat				
Special Events Application	Events	15	\$1,618	\$14,658	(\$13,040)
	New Events	4	\$3,236	\$5,667	(\$2,431)
	Motion				
Film Permits - Application	Picture	35	\$17,115	\$18,481	(\$1,366)
riiii reiiiits - Application	Still				
	Photography	13	\$2,314	\$2,710	(\$396)
		TOTAL	\$30,865	\$48,379	(\$17,514)


Overall, the Parks and Recreation Department is recovering approximately 64% of if its fee-related costs. It is important to note that while the department shows a minor deficit on a per unit basis for repeat events, because 13 out of the 15 event fees were waived, the City is actually incurring a deficit of approximately \$13,000 on an annual basis. This is the largest source of deficit for the department.

4 COMPARATIVE SURVEY

As part of this study, the City wished to understand how their current fees and total cost compared to other similar sized and regionally located jurisdictions. It is important to note that the project team surveyed approximately 8 local jurisdictions; if the jurisdiction did not assess a fee for the service, it was left off of the comparative graph. This allows the City to clearly review the graph without the cluttering of additional information that is not relevant to the comparative analysis being conducted. The following subsections provide a comparative look at the services evaluated by the project team related to Parks and Recreation fees.

1 Special Event Applications – New Event

The City currently charges \$809 for all Special Event Permit Applications and the project team calculated the full cost of providing the service at \$1,417. The following graph shows how the Department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the chart indicates, the City of Manhattan Beach's current fee is just below the average of \$1,148, while the full cost is just above the average charged by other local jurisdictions. Hermosa Beach and Beverly Hills costs are for an event and do not pull out the application fee separately. None of the fees in the chart above include Police or Fire time to staff events.

2 Motion Picture Film Application

The City currently charges a fee of \$489 for motion picture film permit application and the project team calculated the full cost of providing the service at \$528. The following graph shows how the Department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the chart above indicates, the City of Manhattan Beach at both its currently fee and the full cost calculated is charging below the average of \$598 based on comparable jurisdictions. However, the full cost falls in line with Hermosa Beach and Torrance.

10. Planning

The Planning Division is responsible for managing the land use and development priorities in the General Plan, zoning ordinances, and coastal programs. The fees examined within this study relate to Planning functions, including: Use Permits, Maps, Environmental, Historical Preservation procedures, Appeals, and other Planning applications and fees. The following subsections provide an overview of modifications made to the Planning Department's fee schedule, the detailed per unit analysis results, the potential annual revenue impacts, and a comparison of certain fees to other jurisdictions.

1 FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

In discussion with Planning Division staff, there were several modifications to be made to the fee schedule. These modifications are outlined in the points below:

- Temporary Use Permit was split into two categories: Standard and Major.
- Plan Check / Inspection Landscape & Irrigation was moved to Planning from Building.
- New fees were added for Reasonable Accommodation Process, Precise Development Plan – Affordable Housing, Site Development Plan, Emergency Shelters, and Historic Preservation related fees.
- Telecomm Permits were recatogerized and renamed to reflect changing processes within the City in relation to issuance and processing of these types of permits.
- Material costs associated with noticing were broken out by types of noticing requirements.

The addition of these new fees will help ensure all services that are being provided to the community by the Planning Division are reflected in the fee schedule.

2 PER UNIT ANALYSIS

The Planning Division collects fees related to Use Permits, Maps, Environmental Review, Appeals, and other Planning Applications. The total cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, direct material costs (where applicable), Departmental and Citywide overhead. The following table details the fee name, current fee, total cost, and surplus or deficit associated with each time-based Planning Division fee.

Table 16: Per Unit Results – Planning

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
	Use Permits: Review an application for use permit for conformity with code requirements. Master Use Permits:	Use Permit	\$6,396	\$8,393	(\$1,997)
	Review an application for a master use permit for conformity with code requirements.	Master	\$9,875	\$10,908	(\$1,033)
Use Permit	Review an application to amend a master use permit for conformity with code		φο,ο/ο	Ψ10,000	(ψ1,000)
	requirements Review an application for a	Amendment	\$5,126	\$7,414	(\$2,288)
	conversion to a master use permit from a use permit for conformity with code				
	requirements. Review an application for a	Conversion	\$4,704	\$5,035	(\$331)
Planned	Commercial Planned Development for conformity with code requirements. Review an application for a Residential Planned Development for conformity	Commercial	\$9,342	\$7,864	\$1,478
Development	with code requirements. Review an application for a Sr. Citizen Residential Planned Development for	Residential	\$6,244	\$8,393	(\$2,149)
	conformity with code requirements.	Sr. Citizen Residential	\$6,244	\$8,393	(\$2,194)
	Review an application for a	Administrative	\$1,324	\$1,509	(\$185)
	coastal development that	Hearing	\$4,871	\$3,948	\$923
Coastal Development Permit	involves a public hearing in an appealable area or an administrative permit, or a request to transfer an	Hearing w / another discretionary application	\$2,142	\$1,940	\$202
	ownership of a coastal development permit.	Transfer	\$165	\$155	\$10
Variance	Review an application for a var terms of the Zoning Code.		\$6,184	\$8,421	(\$2,237)
			+ 5, . 5 !	÷ •, ·= ·	(+-,=-,)

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Minor Exception	Review a proposed minor exception from the terms of the Zoning Code.	Without Notice or Small Project or Revision Lager Project or W / Notice or 3,000+ sq. ft.	\$1,477 \$1,985	\$353 \$1,575	\$1,124 \$410
Sign Exception	Review a proposed sign excepterms of the Zoning Code.	otion from the	\$4,082	\$3,125	\$957
Environmental Assessment Neg Dec	Reviewing circumstances and initial study and declaring whe proposed project will have an on the environment in accordarequirements (Same as Negative)	ther or not a adverse impact ance with CEQA	¢2.122	¢2.156	(¢ 22 \
	Review). Reviewing a tentative parcel	Administrative	\$3,133 \$1,333	\$3,156 \$1,397	(\$23) (\$64)
	(4 or fewer lots / units) map	Hearing	\$3,622	\$3,546	\$76
Tentative Parcel Map Review	to identify any special conditions and determine extent to which it complies with appropriate code and State Subdivision Map Act Requirements.	Hearing w / another discretionary application	\$1,402	\$1,301	\$101
	Reviewing a tentative tract	Hearing	\$4,134	\$4,074	\$60
Tentative Tract Map Review	map (more than 4 lots or units) to identify any special conditions and determine extent to which it complies with appropriate code and State Subdivision Map Act Requirements.	Hearing w / another discretionary application	\$1,338	\$1,493	(\$155)
Lot Line	Reviewing the proposed chan	ge to the property			
Adjustment	boundary into the same or few issuing a certificate of complia		\$1,153	\$1,184	(\$31)
Certificate of	Review of records in order to		¢1 652	¢1 650	\$1
Compliance	compliance with the Subdivision Review an application for ame		\$1,653	\$1,652	φı
Development Permit Amendment	Permit, Variance, Developmer Residential, Commercial, or S Residential Planned Development	nt Agreement, and enior Citizen	\$4,949	\$5,035	(\$86)

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
		New - Private Property (Macro Tower or other that is NOT a Small			
		Cell or eligible facility).	\$2,746	\$2,428	\$318
		New - Public R-	ΨΞ,7.10	Ψ2, .20	V 0.0
		O-W (Tower or			
		Similar)	\$3,118	\$2,951	\$167
		Amendment – Private Property – (Macro, Tower or other that is NOT a Small			
		Cell or eligible	4.470	4. 700	(450.4)
	Review an application for a Telecommunications Antenna Permit in order to ensure that it conforms to code requirements.	facility) New or	\$1,172	\$1,706	(\$534)
Telecomm. Antenna Permit		Amendment - Public R-O-W (Small Cell or Eligible Facility only) or Amendment Public ROW –			
		(Tower or similar only)	\$1,358	\$2,307	(\$949)
		New or Amendment - Any Antenna on City	Ψ1,000	ΨΖ,	(φο+ο)
		Property		\$4,120	
		Appeal of Director's Decision for			
		Public ROW to		Hearing	
		Hearing Officer		Officer	
		Add On Fee for All Telecomm		Actual Consultant	
		Permits		Consultant	
Small Day Care	Review of a small day care cer			550.5	
Center Permit	that it complies with code requi	rements	\$329	\$334	(\$5)
Large Family Day Care Home Permit	Review an application for a per family day care home to ensure with code requirements, as well	e that it complies	<u> </u>	_ ·	
-	the site.		\$1,225	\$1,224	\$1

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
	Review an initial application	Class I	\$607	\$612	(\$5)
Group Entertainment Permit	for Class I (on-going) permit or a Class II (one-occasion) which allows for entertainment either incidental with the business being conducted or for which admission is being charged. Review an application for	Class II	\$662	\$670	(\$8)
	renewing an ongoing Class I Group Entertainment Permit.	Renewal	\$424	\$418	\$6
Alcohol License	Review of a public determination		ΨτΖτ	ΨΤΙΟ	ΨΟ
Public	convenience and necessity of				
Determination	alcohol license		\$1,828	\$950	\$878
Alcohol / Live	Add-on to specific developmer	nt permits with	4440	# 4.00	Φ0
Music	alcohol or live music. Review an application for an		\$110	\$108	\$2
	owner of bodywork (massage) business for compliance with City codes and standards.	Application - Owner	\$399	\$411	(\$12)
	Review an application to	Business			
Bodywork	change a business location	Location			
(Massage)	for a bodywork operation.	Change	\$346	\$358	(\$12)
	Review documentation of a bodywork (massage) application which is associated with another special type of business and meets certain criteria.	Exemption	\$346	\$199	\$147
	Review an application for a	Single Tenant	\$325	\$361	(\$36)
	permanent sign for	Multi-Tenant	\$489	\$510	(\$21)
Ciara Darmit	conformity with code requirements.	Face Change	\$129	\$139	(\$10)
Sign Permit	Review an application for a temporary sign for conformity with code requirements. **Performance Bond also	_	4007	40.47	(400)
	required.	Temporary	\$227	\$247	(\$20)
Sign Program	Administrative review of an apsign program for conformity wirequirements.		\$797	\$830	(\$33)
Tomporon, Iloo	Review an application for an	Standard	\$787	\$816	(\$29)
Temporary Use Permit	administrative permit for a temporary use permit.	Major	\$787	\$1,193	(\$406)
Home Occupation Permit	Review an application for a ho business use for conformity wi regulations.	-	\$65	\$68	(\$3)

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
	Process an appeal to the	Annaal ta DC			
	Planning Commission of an administrative decision.	Appeal to PC - Admin	\$500	\$3,039	(\$2,539)
	Appeal an administrative decision to the City Council.	Appeal to CC - Admin	\$500	\$3,039	(\$2,539)
Appeals (Set by Council Policy)	Process an appeal to the City Council of the PPIC - related to traffic	Appeal to CC - PPIC (Traffic)	\$500	\$1,578	(\$1,078)
1 oney)	Process an appeal to the City Council of the PPIC - related	Appeal to CC - PPIC			
	to encroachment.	(Encroachment)	\$500	\$1,947	(\$1,447)
	Process an appeal to the City Council of a Planning Commission Decision.	Appeal to CC - PC	\$500	¢1,000	(#1 402 <u>)</u>
	Review of a request by the	Standard	\$460	\$1,993 \$455	(\$1,493) \$5
Continuance	applicant to continue the review of a development application to a future meeting prior to the meeting.	Extra Meeting	\$2,892	\$1,482	\$1,410
	Review administratively a request for an extension of time to complete a planning entitlement.	Administrative	\$327	\$334	(\$7)
Time Extension Plan Review	Review an application for a time extension for completing a planning entitlement based upon the discretion of the				
	Planning Commission.	Discretionary	\$2,334	\$2,332	\$2
Encroachment	Review a permit for a right-of- way (permanent) private encroachment.	R-O-W Development	\$1,624	\$1,770	(\$146)
Permit - Private	Review a permit for transfer, revision, or minor permanent encroachment.	Transfer / Revision / Minor	\$758	\$767	(\$9)
City Fence	Review of a proposed non-star				
Agreement	which abuts the public right-of-		\$319	\$353	(\$34)
New / Change Building Address	Processing a request to number or re-number a building lot.	Minor	\$339	\$348	(\$9)
Process		Major	\$899	\$954	(\$55)
Planning Extra Plan Check	An hourly fee for plan checks of number of plan checks within the Dept.		\$151	\$136	\$15
Zoning Business Review	Review of a new business for a Zoning Codes.	conformance with	\$68	\$68	\$0
Outdoor Display Permit	Review an application to issue outdoor display of merchandise ensure conformity with code re	e in order to	\$160	\$159	\$1

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Temporary	De la casa de la lacta de lacta de lacta de la lacta de l				
Encroachment Permit	Review an application to issue sidewalk dining permit in orde				
(Sidewalk	conformity with code requirem				
Dining Permit)	· '		\$283	\$192	\$91
Zoning Report	Providing written report on the	•			(* . =)
- 9 - 1-	regulations for a particular pro		\$535	\$553	(\$18)
Zoning Code	Reviewing a request for an int Municipal Code regarding zon				
Interpretation	report on it.	ing and looding a	\$463	\$466	(\$3)
	Reviewing final parcel map to	determine extent			
Final Parcel Map	to which it complies with appro	opriate code			
Review	requirements.		¢E20	¢601	(ቀርብ)
	**Map Copy Deposit of \$500	SFR 0 - 7,500	\$539	\$601	(\$62)
Plan Check /	Review an application for	Sq. Ft.	\$595	\$503	\$92
Inspection -	landscape and irrigation to conform to code	MFR / Comm. /			
Landscape & Irrigation	requirements.	SFR > 7,500			
_	•	Sq. Ft.	\$1,122	\$916	\$206
Reasonable Accommodation	Review a request to receive a accommodation for disabled p				
Process	accommodation for disabled p	Jersons.		\$343	
Precise					
Development	Reviewing a precise developn	nent plan specific			
Plan -	to affordable housing requiren				
Affordable Housing				\$4,077	
Site				Ψ1,077	
Development	Review a site development plant Housing developments of 6 or	-			
Plan	riodsing developments of o of	more units.		\$6,388	
Emergency Shelters - PS	Pavious of amorganous shalters	for conformance			
and IP zones	Review of emergency shelters with Zoning Code.	s for conformance			
only	www.zerng code.			\$2,583	
	Contract Maintenance is an or				
	Fee, starting one year after fir				
Mills Act	Contract and annually thereaf the Mills Act contract. If done				
Contract	Landmark Designation, then t				
	shall apply. If done the same t	time as			
	designation, then add on fee of			\$7,455	
		Landmark	\$2,661	\$8,015	(\$5,354)
Historic	Review of applications for	Historic District Conservation		\$8,015	
Preservation	historic preservation	District		\$4,160	
Designation	designation.	Amendment or		7 -7	
		Recession		\$6,681	
Historic	Review of Historic	Administrative		\$2,146	
Preservation	Preservation Certificate of	Commission		\$8,633	

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Certificate of Appropriateness	appropriateness.	Economic Hardship		\$4,838	
and the state of t		Coastal Permit - 100ft radius	\$72	\$182	(\$110)
		Large Family Day Care - 100 ft radius	\$72	\$56	\$16
Noticing Fees	Support associated with conducting noticing on planning applications.	Minor Exception - 300 ft radius	\$72	\$224	(\$152)
		Other Permits - 300-500 ft	Φ-7-0	4.05	(4000)
		radius Code, General	\$72	\$405	(\$333)
		Plan, or Zoning Amendments	\$72	\$588	(\$516)

A majority of the Planning Division fees evaluated through this study are shown to be under-recovering, with the largest deficit of \$5,354 relating to Landmark Designation. The largest surplus is \$4,307 and relates to Commercial Planned Developments. The average per unit cost recovery for Planning services is 98%.

3 ANNUAL RESULTS

The Planning Division is currently under-recovering its fees by approximately \$119,000. The following table shows the annual workload volume, projected revenue at current fee, total annual cost, and the associated surplus or deficit.

Table 17: Annual Results - Planning

Category	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee - Annual	Total Cost - Annual	Surplus / (Deficit) - Annual
	Use Permits:				
	Use Permit	3	\$19,188	\$25,180	(\$5,992)
Use Permit	Master Use Permits:				
	Master	1	\$9,875	\$10,908	(\$1,033)
	Amendment	1	\$5,126	\$7,414	(\$2,288)
	Conversion	3	\$14,112	\$15,104	(\$992)
Planned					
Development	Commercial	1	\$9,342	\$5,035	\$4,307
Coastal	Administrative	28	\$37,072	\$42,245	(\$5,173)
Development	Hearing	3	\$14,613	\$11,844	\$2,769

Category	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee - Annual	Total Cost - Annual	Surplus / (Deficit) - Annual
Permit	Hearing w /				
	another				
	discretionary application	8	\$17,136	\$15,521	\$1,615
Variance	аррисации	1	\$6,184	\$8,421	(\$2,237)
	Without Notice -		Ψο, το τ	ΨΟ, 12.1	(42,207)
	Small Project or				
Minor Exception	Revision	12	\$17,724	\$4,238	\$13,486
	W / Notice or				
	3,000+ sq. ft.	27	\$53,595	\$42,524	\$11,071
Environmental Assessment Neg		,	#0.400	40.450	(400)
Dec	Administrative	1 5	\$3,133 \$6,665	\$3,156 \$6,984	(\$23) (\$319)
	Hearing	1	\$3,622	\$3,546	(\$319) \$76
Tentative Parcel	Hearing w /	1	Ψ0,022	ψυ,υπυ	Ψ/Ο
Map Review	another				
•	discretionary				
	application	3	\$4,206	\$3,903	\$303
Lot Line		_	45 -05	4= 000	(4.55)
Adjustment		5	\$5,765	\$5,922	(\$157)
Certificate of Compliance		1	\$1,653	\$1,652	\$1
Development			Ψ1,000	Ψ1,032	Ψ1
Permit					
Amendment		2	\$9,898	\$10,070	(\$172)
	New – Private				
	Property (Macro				
	Tower or other that is NOT a Small				
	Cell or eligible				
	facility).	1	\$2,746	\$2,428	\$318
	New - Public R-O-				i
	W (Tower or				
	Similar)	2	\$6,236	\$5,902	\$334
	Amendment –				
Telecomm.	Private Property – (Macro, Tower or				
Antenna Permit	other that is NOT a				
	Small Cell or				
	eligible facility)	12	\$14,064	\$20,470	(\$6,406)
	New or				
	Amendment -				
	Public R-O-W (Small Cell or				
	Eligible Facility				
	only) or				
	Amendment Public				
	ROW – (Tower or				
	similar only)	10	\$13,580	\$23,072	(\$9,942)

Category	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee - Annual	Total Cost - Annual	Surplus / (Deficit) - Annual
	New or				
	Amendment -Any Antenna on City				
	Property	2		\$8,240	(\$8,240)
Alcohol / Live				Ψ5,= .0	(40,2.10)
Music		5	\$550	\$542	\$8
	Single Tenant	15	\$4,875	\$5,422	(\$547)
Sign Permit	Multi-Tenant	19	\$9,291	\$9,690	(\$399)
Sign Pennit	Face Change	8	\$1,032	\$1,110	(\$78)
	Temporary	9	\$2,043	\$2,224	(\$181)
Sign Program		2	\$1,594	\$1,660	(\$66)
Temporary Use Permit	Standard	2	\$1,574	\$1,632	(\$58)
Home					
Occupation					
Permit	<u>.</u>	63	\$4,095	\$4,278	(\$183)
	Appeal to PC -	-	40.000	*.	(4.= ==:
	Admin	6	\$3,000	\$18,236	(\$15,236)
	Appeal to CC –		4500	Φ0.000	(40.500)
	Admin	1	\$500	\$3,039	(\$2,539)
Appeals (Set by	Appeal to CC –	0	0.1 0.00	\$0.457	(00 4 57)
Council Policy)	PPIC (Traffic)	2	\$1,000	\$3,157	(\$2,157)
	Appeal to CC –				
	PPIC (Encroachment)	2	\$1,000	\$3,894	(¢0 004)
	Appeal to CC - PC	1	\$500	\$1,993	(\$2,894) (\$1,493)
	Standard	4	\$1,840	\$1,821	(\$1,493) \$19
Continuance	Extra Meeting	1	\$2,892	\$1,482	\$1,410
	R-O-W	I	\$2,092	Ψ1,402	Ψ1,410
Encroachment	Development	33	\$53,592	\$58,410	(\$4,818)
Permit	Transfer / Revision		Ψ30,332	Ψ30,+10	(ψ+,010)
Cillin	/ Minor	36	\$27,288	\$27,604	(\$316)
City Fence			ΨΕΙ, Έσσ	Ψ=1,001	(ψο 1ο)
Agreement		1	\$319	\$353	(\$34)
New / Change	Minor	15	\$5,085	\$5,214	(\$129)
Building Address				<u> </u>	(+ -= -)
Process	Major	15	\$13,485	\$14,310	(\$825)
Zoning Business					
Review		104	\$7,072	\$7,062	\$10
Outdoor Display					
Permit		2	\$320	\$319	\$1
Temporary					
Encroachment					
Permit (Sidewalk					
Dining Permit)		2	\$566	\$383	\$183
Zoning Report		2	\$1,070	\$1,106	(\$36)
Zoning Code					
Interpretation		2	\$926	\$932	(\$6)

Final Parcel Map Review	Category	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee - Annual	Total Cost - Annual	Surplus / (Deficit) - Annual
Fit	Final Parcel Map Review		7	\$3,773	\$4,205	(\$432)
Reasonable Accommodation Process 3 \$1,029 \$1,029	Inspection - Landscape &	Ft. MFR / Comm. / SFR > 7,500 Sq.				
Development Plan - Affordable Housing	Accommodation			V-1-		·
Site Development Plan	Development Plan - Affordable		1		\$4 0 77	(\$4 077)
Shelters - PS and Pzones only	Site Development					
Contract	Shelters - PS and		1		\$2,583	(\$2,583)
Landmark			1		\$7,455	(\$7,455)
Historic Administrative 1 \$2,146 (\$2,146) Preservation Commission 1 \$8,633 (\$8,633) Certificate of Appropriateness Economic 44,838 (\$4,838) Appropriateness Hardship 1 \$4,838 (\$4,838) Coastal Permit - 100ft radius 30 \$2,160 \$5,453 (\$3,293) Large Family Day Care - 100 ft radius 1 \$72 \$56 \$16 Noticing Fees Minor Exception - 300 ft radius 3 \$216 \$671 (\$455) Other Permits - 300-500 ft radius 45 \$3,240 \$18,236 (\$14,996) Code, General Plan, or Zoning Amendments 1 \$72 \$558 (\$516)	Preservation	Historic District Conservation District Amendment or	1 1	\$2,661	\$8,015 \$4,160	(\$5,354) (\$8,015) (\$4,160)
Coastal Permit - 100ft radius 30 \$2,160 \$5,453 (\$3,293)	Preservation Certificate of	Administrative Commission Economic	1		\$2,146 \$8,633	(\$2,146) (\$8,633)
Noticing Fees Minor Exception - 300 ft radius 3 \$216 \$671 (\$455) Other Permits - 300-500 ft radius 45 \$3,240 \$18,236 (\$14,996) Code, General Plan, or Zoning Amendments 1 \$72 \$558 (\$516)		Coastal Permit - 100ft radius Large Family Day Care - 100 ft	30		\$5,453	(\$3,293)
300-500 ft radius 45 \$3,240 \$18,236 (\$14,996) Code, General Plan, or Zoning Amendments 1 \$72 \$558 (\$516)	Noticing Fees	Minor Exception - 300 ft radius				
Amendments 1 \$72 \$558 (\$516)		300-500 ft radius Code, General	45	\$3,240	\$18,236	(\$14,996)
		Amendments	1			


Overall, the Planning Division is recovering approximately 79% of its annual total cost associated with fee related services. The largest deficit relates to historic preservation fees at \$61,000; whereas the largest surplus is associated with minor exceptions.

5 COMPARATIVE SURVEY

As part of this study, the City wished to understand how their current fees and total cost compared to other similar sized and regionally located jurisdictions. It is important to note that the project team surveyed approximately 8 local jurisdictions; if the jurisdiction did not assess a fee for the service, it was left off of the comparative graph. This allows the City to clearly review the graph without the cluttering of additional information that is not relevant to the comparative analysis being conducted. The following subsections provide a comparative look at services evaluated by the project team related to Planning fees.

1 Use Permit


The City's Planning Department charges \$6,396 for a Use Permit and the project team calculated the full cost of providing the service at \$8,393. The following graph shows how the Department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the chart above indicates, the City of Manhattan Beach's current fee and full cost are both below the average of \$9,298 based on the fee from surrounding jurisdictions. However, the current and full cost are just slightly higher and are more in line with Redondo Beach, Laguna Beach, and Torrance.

2 Variance


The City currently charges \$6,184 for Variance's and the project team calculated the full cost of providing the service at \$8,399. The following graph shows how the Department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the chart indicates, the City of Manhattan Beach's current fee and full cost for a Variance are less than the average fee of \$8,861 based on fees from surrounding jurisdictions. While still lower, the full cost falls in line with Torrance and Beverly Hills.

3 Environmental Assessment Negative Declaration

The City currently charges \$3,133 for an Environmental Assessment Negative Declaration and the project team calculated the full cost at \$3,156. The following graph shows how the Department's total cost compares to other local jurisdictions.



As the chart indicates, the City of Manhattan Beach's current fee and full cost are well below the jurisdictional average of \$13,250. While Redondo Beach and Laguna Beach have the lowest fee shown, both jurisdictions charge the low base fee and charge

additional actual costs of providing the service. Manhattan Beach's full cost falls in line with Hermosa Beach and Beverly Hills.

4 Lot Line Adjustment

The City currently charges \$1,153 for Lot Line Adjustments. The project team calculated the full cost of providing the service at \$1,184. The following graph shows how the Department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the chart indicates, the City of Manhattan Beach's current fee and full cost are below the jurisdictional average of \$1,842. The only jurisdiction that charges less than Manhattan Beach is Laguna Beach. While Manhattan Beach's costs are lower than most jurisdictions, their cost falls in line with Redondo Beach, Culver City, and El Segundo.

11. Police

The Police Department is responsible for law enforcement and to ensure safety within the Manhattan Beach community. The fees examined within this study relate to Event Permits, Firearm Permits, Alarm Permits, Fingerprinting, Police Reports, Parking Violations, Animal Services and other Police related fees. The following subsections provide an overview of modifications made to the Police Department's fee schedule, the detailed per unit analysis results, the potential annual revenue impacts, and a comparison of certain fees to other jurisdictions.

1 FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

After discussion with Police Department staff, there were only a few minor modifications to the fee schedule. These modifications include the removal of two fees: Bingo Permits and Animal Pickup. Bingo Permit fees are typically waived or not charged and Animal Pickup service is no longer provided. Additionally, fees related to dog licensing were transferred to the Finance Department as it administers the licensing program.

2 PER UNIT ANALYSIS

The Police Department collects fees related to Events, Firearms, Alarms, Fingerprinting, Police Reports, Parking Violations, and Animal Services. The total cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, Departmental and Citywide overhead. The following table details the fee name, current fee, total cost, and surplus or deficit associated with each time-based Police Department fee.

Table 18: Per Unit Results - Police

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Amplified	Reviewing a Request to use amplified	sound in a			
Sound Permit	non-commercial area.		\$227	\$183	\$44
Retail Firearm	Review of a request to sell firearms within the City	New	\$1,012	\$944	\$68
Permit	Renewal of a request to sell firearms within the City.	Renewal	\$234	\$242	(\$8)
Block Party Permit	Review an application for a block party set by Council Policy.	v. This fee is	\$50	\$159	(\$109)
Weapons Discharge Permit	Review an application for a weapons of permit within the City.	lischarge	\$601	\$603	(\$2)

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
COMMUNITY AFF	AIRS				
Alarm System	Registration of new property alarms within the City. Annual renewal of Alarm System	New	\$55	\$57	(\$2)
Permit	Permits already registered within the City.	Renewal	\$25	\$29	(\$4)
Alarm School	An as-needed class providing educatio information associated with registration permits within the City.		\$64	\$91	(\$27)
TECHNICAL SUPI					<u>}</u>
Fingerprint Card / Live Scan	Fingerprint a person on a card or proce fingerprint. This is the City's charge in a DOJ fees.		\$19	\$23	(\$4)
Digital	Providing a Police audio recording upon request.	Audio	\$58	\$96	(\$38)
Reproduction	Providing a police video recording upon request.	Video	\$131	\$213	(\$82)
Police Record Clearance Letter	Research and prepare clearance letter requesting the service.		\$43	\$56	(\$13)
Police Photos -	Providing copies of police photographs on request.	Per Photo / Page	\$5	\$6	(\$1)
Film & Digital	Providing copies of police photographs on a CD upon request.	Per CD	\$9	\$13	(\$4)
Data Research and Release	Research and compilation of data in poupon request.	olice records	\$105	\$119	(\$14)
Special Business - DOJ Check	Processing an individual who is involve operation of certain special businesses involves checking that individual agains records.	s, which	\$913	\$1,159	(\$246)
Police Reports	Producing a copy of a police report upon request.	Per Page	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0
JAIL OPERATION			······································		
Booking Fee	Process an individual under arrest for back *Plus additional County Fees	oooking.	\$259	\$266	(\$7)
PARKING					
Impound	Collection and release of vehicles impounded by the City.	Lot Release	\$118	\$137	(\$19)
Vehicle Release	Collection and field release of vehicles impounded by the City.	Field Release	\$47	\$54	(\$7)
Vehicle Inspection / Correction	Inspect and sign-off correction required		\$26	\$27	(\$1)
Boot Removal	Installation and removal of a parking be non-payment of 5 or more parking citat	ions.	\$109	\$183	(\$74)
Handicap Violation Waiver – Admin Fee	Processing of repeated handicap violat citations issued to individuals with a ha placard. No charge for the first waiver. California Vehicle Code Section 40226	\$25	\$32	(\$7)	
ANIMAL SERVICE					
Animal	Pick up of dead animals for relinquishment on request.	Dead	\$107	\$118	(\$11)
Relinquishment	Pick up of live animals for relinquishment on request.	Live Animal	\$213	\$236	(\$23)

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Animal Quarantine Inspection	Inspection of a home and re-checks when an animal is required to be quarantined.		\$267	\$295	(\$28)

A majority of the Police Department fees evaluated by the study are shown to be underrecovering, with the largest deficit associated with block party permit at \$109. The largest over-recovery is \$44 related to Amplified Sound Permits. The average per unit cost recovery for the Police Department is 84%.

3 ANNUAL RESULTS

The Police Department is currently under-recovering its fees by approximately \$31,000. The following table shows the annual workload volume, projected revenue at current fee, total annual cost, and the associated surplus or deficit.

Table 19: Annual Results - Police

Category	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee – Annual	Total Cost - Annual	Surplus / (Deficit) – Annual
POLICE					
Amplified Sound Permit		46	\$10,442	\$8,405	\$2,037
Retail Firearm Permit	Renewal	1	\$234	\$242	(\$8)
Block Party Permit		37	\$1,850	\$5,888	(\$4,038)
COMMUNITY AFFAIRS					
Alarm System Bormit	New	318	\$17,490	\$18,269	(\$779)
Alarm System Permit	Renewal	2,491	\$62,275	\$71,554	(\$9,279)
Alarm School		21	\$1,344	\$1,909	(\$565)
TECHNICAL SUPPORT SI	ERVICES				
Fingerprint Card / Live					
Scan		140	\$2,660	\$3,220	(\$560)
Digital Reproduction	Audio	1	\$58	\$96	(\$38)
Digital Reproduction	Video	1	\$131	\$213	(\$82)
Police Record					
Clearance Letter		16	\$688	\$900	(\$212)
Police Photos – Film &	Per Photo / Page	30	\$158	\$178	(\$20)
Digital	Per CD	4	\$36	\$52	(\$16)
Data Research and		•			
Release		2	\$210	\$238	(\$28)
Police Reports	Per Page	50	\$5	\$5	\$0
JAIL OPERATIONS					
Booking Fee		68	\$17,612	\$18,088	(\$476)

Category	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee – Annual	Total Cost - Annual	Surplus / (Deficit) – Annual
PARKING					
Impound Vehicle	Lot Release	650	\$76,700	\$89,101	(\$12,401)
Release	Field Release	17	\$799	\$916	(\$117)
Vehicle Inspection /					
Correction		19	\$494	\$512	(\$18)
Boot Removal		56	\$6,104	\$10,242	(\$4,136)
Handicap Violation Waiver – Admin Fee		2	\$50	\$63	(\$13)
ANIMAL SERVICES					
Animal Balinguishment	Dead	3	\$321	\$354	(\$33)
Animal Relinquishment	Live Animal	1	\$213	\$236	(\$23)
		TOTAL	\$199,874	\$230,680	(\$30,806)


Overall, the Police Department is recovering approximately 87% of its annual cost for user fee services. The largest deficit is related to vehicle lot releases at \$12,000.

4 COMPARATIVE SURVEY

As part of this study, the City wished to understand how their current fees and total cost compared to other similar sized and regionally located jurisdictions. It is important to note that the project team surveyed approximately 8 local jurisdictions; if the jurisdiction did not assess a fee for the service, it was left off of the comparative graph. This allows the City to clearly review the graph without the cluttering of additional information that is not relevant to the comparative analysis being conducted. The following subsections provide a comparative look at the services evaluated by the project team related to Police fees.

1 Block Party Permit


The City's Police Department currently charges a Block Party Permit Fee at \$50. The project team calculated the full cost of providing booking services at \$159. The following graph shows how the Department's full cost compares to other local jurisdictions who charge for this service.



As the chart indicates, the current fee is well below the average but the full cost is slightly higher than the \$127 average based on the fee from comparable jurisdictions. However, the \$154 fee is similar to Culver City that charges \$150 for Block Party Permits.

2 Fingerprinting Card / Livescan


The City Police Department currently charges \$19 for a Fingerprinting Card or Livescan fingerprinting in addition to the DOJ fees and the project team calculated the full cost of providing the service at \$23. The following graph shows how the Department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions who provide fingerprinting services.



As the chart above indicates, the City of Manhattan Beach at its current fee and full cost rate for fingerprinting services is below the \$34 average fee from comparable jurisdictions. Both the current fee and full cost are the lowest charged among other local comparable jurisdictions

3 Police Records Clearance Letter

The City Police Department currently charges \$43 for Records Clearance Letters. Through this study, a full cost was calculated at \$56. The following graph shows how the Department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the chart indicates, the City's current fee is below the average fee of \$55 charged by local jurisdictions; while its full cost fee at \$56 is only \$1 above the average fee. However, there only two jurisdictions that charge more than Manhattan Beach: Hermosa Beach (\$177) and Beverly Hills (\$49).

4 Vehicle Lot Release

The City Police Department currently charges \$118 for Vehicle Lot Releases. Through this study, a full cost was calculated at \$137. The following graph shows how the Department's current fee and total cost compare to other local jurisdictions.



As the chart indicates, both the City's current fee and full cost are below the average fee of \$147 charged by local jurisdictions. Manhattan Beach's full cost falls in line with the fees charged by Redondo Beach, Torrance, and Santa Monica.

12. Public Works

The Public Works Department is responsible for the design, construction, operation, and maintenance in the public right-of-way. The fees examined within this section of the study relate to Barricade Rentals, Civil Engineering Reviews, and Tree Permits provided by Public Works. The following subsections provide an overview of modifications made to the Public Works Department's fee schedule, the detailed per unit analysis results, the potential annual revenue impacts, and a comparison of certain fees to other jurisdictions.

1 FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

In discussion with Public Works Department staff, it was determined that there were no modifications to the Public Works Administrative section.

For the Civil Engineering section, the fees associated with right-of-way were broken out from Civil Engineering and a separate right-of-way (ROW) fees section was created. This separate section was developed as there are multiple departments involved in the ROW process and it is better reflected as a separate category on the fee schedule.

In addition to these items, the Civil Engineering section identified four new fees related to online bidding of construction projects. These fees are in relation to provision of staff time and cost associated with online provision of bidding information to allow for an easier and more efficient submission process for contractors.

The tree-related fees were consolidated from Planning and Maintenance into a singular tree fees category to ensure that all fees were represented in one section of the fee schedule.

2 PER UNIT ANALYSIS

The Public Works Department collects fees related to Barricade Rentals, Civil Engineering Reviews, and Trees. The total cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, Departmental and Citywide overhead, as well as any material costs (if applicable). The following table details the fee name, current fee, total cost, and surplus or deficit associated with each Public Works Fee.

Table 20: Per Unit Results - Public Works

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit	
ADMINISTRATIVE						
Barricade Rental	Assist residents with the daily rental of barricades without and with flasher, 8ft. In length, delineators, 18 inch cones and temporary no parking cardboard signs for block parties. This permit includes two 8' Street Closure Barricades.	Block Party Package	\$26	\$36	(\$10)	
	Assist residents with the	Moving Package -	-		/± . = \	
	daily rental of delineators, 18 inch cones and temporary no parking cardboard signs for moving purposes. *Includes price of the delineators and signs.	Standard Moving Package - Deluxe	\$30 \$45	\$40 \$51	(\$10) (\$6)	
CIVIL ENGINEERING			Φ4 5	φυι	(40)	
Final Tract Map Review	Reviewing the final tract map to determine extent to which it complies with appropriate code requirements. **Map Copy Deposit of \$500	Application	\$748	\$852	(\$104)	
New / Relocate	Review a request for a new or relocated utility pole.	Standard	\$2,091	\$2,397	(\$306)	
Utility Pole	Review a request for a new or relocated utility pole requiring PPIC review.	PPIC Review	\$2,614	\$3,001	(\$387)	
	Service fee associated with	Simple Projects		0.1.5		
Online Bid and	setting up, loading digital plans, specifications and other bidding documents on- line to facilitate bid submittal	(Under \$100k) Moderately Complex Projects (\$100k-\$500k)		\$115 \$115		
Proposal Service Fee	online by contractors.	Complex Projects (\$500k+)		\$115		
	Service fee associated with up electronic RFP and Vendor Q enable submission of informal	uotation sheets to		\$54		
TREES						
	Remove, replace, or protect	Dead / Dying Tree Removal /	\$322	\$420	(\$98)	
Tree Permit - Private Property	a tree on private property under the terms of the Tree	Replacement	\$481	\$664	(\$183)	
	Ordinance.	Protection	\$352	\$790	(\$438)	
		Public ROW	\$210	\$285	(\$75)	
Tree Trimming	Review and inspect tree	Private Property	\$65	\$83	(\$18)	
Permit	trimming request.	In Public ROW	\$65	\$138	(\$73)	

All of the Public Works Department fees evaluated through this study are shown to be under-recovering, with the largest deficit (\$438) relating to Tree Permits for the Protection of the tree. The average per unit cost recovery for the Public Works Department is 74%.

3 ANNUAL RESULTS

The Public Works Department is currently under-recovering its fee-related costs by approximately \$14,000. The following table shows the annual workload volume, projected revenue at current fee, total annual cost, and the associated surplus or deficit.

Table 21: Per Unit Results - Public Works

Category	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee – Annual	Total Cost - Annual	Surplus / (Deficit) - Annual
ADMINISTRATIVE					
	Block Party Package	37	\$962	\$1,335	(\$373)
Barricade Rental	Moving Package - Standard	126	\$3,780	\$5,034	(\$1,254)
	Moving Package - Deluxe	51	\$2,295	\$2,599	(\$304)
CIVIL ENGINEERIN	G				
Final Tract Map Review		1	\$748	\$852	(\$104)
TREES					
	Removal / Replacement	9	\$2,439	\$4,494	(\$2,055)
Tree Permit – Private Property	Protection	6	\$2,112	\$4,737	(\$2,625)
	Removal in Public ROW	37	\$7,770	\$10,563	(\$2,793)
Tree Trimming	Private Property	1	\$65	\$83	(\$18)
Permit	In Public ROW	11	\$65	\$138	(\$73)
		TOTAL	\$21,136	\$34,908	(\$13,772)

Overall, the Public Works Department is recovering approximately 61% of its annual total cost in fees. The largest deficit of \$11,000 relates to tree permits.

4 COMPARATIVE SURVEY

As part of this study, the City wished to understand how their current fees and total cost compared to other similar sized and regionally located jurisdictions. It is important to note that the project team surveyed approximately 8 local jurisdictions; if the jurisdiction did not assess a fee for the service, it was left out of the comparison. This allows the City to clearly review the information without the cluttering of additional information that is not

relevant to the comparative analysis being conducted. The following subsections provide a comparative look at services evaluated by the project team related to Public Works fees.

1 Barricade Rentals

The City Public Works Department charges \$26 for Block Party Barricade Rentals, \$30 for Standard Rentals, and \$45 for Deluxe Rentals. The project team calculated the full cost of providing these services at \$36 for Block Parties, \$40 for Standard Rentals, and \$51 for Deluxe Rentals. The following points outline how other jurisdictions charge for Barricade Rentals.

- The Cities of Redondo Beach and Santa Monica have a fee for block parties that include costs for street closure instead of listing out the Barricade Rental separately. Redondo Beach charges \$100 for Block Party Barbeque Use Fee and Santa Monica charges \$60.42 for Block Parties/Events/Street Closure.
- The City of Redondo Beach charges \$2 per hour for each standard barricade and \$3 per hour for each deluxe barricade.
- Culver City charges \$100 for each unreturned barricade but does not have a fee listed for the rental of a barricade.
- Beverly Hills has a fee of \$5 for each barricade.

As the points above indicate, each jurisdiction that charges a fee for Barricade rentals charges in a different manner than Manhattan Beach.

2 Final Tract Map Application

The City Public Works Department currently charges a \$748 fee for a Final Tract Map Review and the full cost for this service was calculated at \$852. The following points outline how other local jurisdictions charge for Final Tract Maps.

- Redondo Beach charges an application fee of \$714 plus and additional \$5,000 deposit for Final Tract Map Reviews.
- Culver City charges fees for Final Tract Maps based on the number of lots with the lowest fee at \$2,560 for 1-5 lots and the highest at \$9,761 for greater than 150 lots.
- Torrance charges \$1,173 per sheet for Final Tract Maps.
- Beverly Hills charges a flat fee of \$6,620 for 0-4 lots and \$324 for each additional lot.

As the points above indicate, each jurisdiction charges differently for the Final Tract Maps, however, Manhattan Beach seems to be charging the least among other local jurisdictions.

3 Tree Removal Permit

Based upon the City's current fee structure a tree removal permit would be \$271 and the full cost calculated through this study is \$499. The following graph shows how the city's current fee and full cost fee compares to the other surrounding jurisdictions.



As the graph indicates, the City's current fee of \$271 is below the average fee of \$292 charged by surrounding jurisdictions. While the full cost fee of \$499 is high it is in line with fees of \$420 charged by Laguna Beach and \$494 in Beverly Hills.

13. Right-of-Way

The City of Manhattan Beach is responsible for conducting reviews and inspections related to public and private improvements in the public right-of-way. These fees span multiple Departments and Divisions such as Building, Engineering, Planning, and Traffic Engineering. The fees examined within this section of the study relate to Encroachments, Street Use, Excavations and other miscellaneous services provided by Public Works and Community Development Departments. The following subsections provide an overview of modifications made to the Public Works Right-Of-Way fee schedule, the detailed per unit analysis results, the potential annual revenue impacts, and a comparison of certain fees to other jurisdictions.

1 FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

In discussion with staff, the current right-of-way fees were eliminated and replaced with an updated Right-Of-Way fee schedule. The following points outline the modifications that were made to right-of-way fees:

- Temporary Encroachment Permits were broken out into multiple categories: Pedestrian Canopy, Temp Fencing, and Scaffolding. Additional fees were also added to extend and reinstate a Temporary Encroachment Permit.
- A new category called Street Use Permits was added and broken out into ranges for POD, Crane, Concrete Pour, Delivery/Hauling of Materials, Equipment / Material Staging, and Deposit for PODs. Additional fees were also added to Add-On to a permit or Extend a permit.
- Sandblasting, Vehicle on Strand or Walk Street, and Over Quantitative Discharge Permits were combined into a Public Works Permits category. Additional fees were added to this category for Well Monitoring, Add-Ons, and Extensions.
- Non-Utility Excavation Permits were broken out into categories for Curb and Gutter, Sidewalk, and Driveway Approach. New fees were added for Add-Ons and Extensions.
- Utility Excavation Permits were broken out into categories for Sewer Line, Water Line, Under-grounding, and Sewer/Water Line Combo. Add-Ons and Extensions were also added as new fees to this category.
- In addition to the Utility Excavation Permits, a category was added for Utility Company Excavations and is charged by ranges of linear feet. Additional fees were added for Extra Inspections and Extensions.

 Lane closure permits were reclassified as a secondary permit and additional fees for extra inspections, add-ons, and extensions were created.

Adjusting the fee schedule in the ways noted above will allow the City's schedule to be reflective of the services they provide. There are three new types of services also being included in the Right-of-Way Fee analysis – Add-Ons, Extensions, and Reinstatements. The following points provide a brief definition of those services:

- Add-Ons: An additional event added onto an issued permit, each event equals one add-on. Where it is allowed, there is a maximum of two add-ons.
- **Extensions:** A written request submitted prior to the permit expiration date for an extension to the permit expiration.
- Reinstatements: A written request to reinstate an expired right-of-way permit.

The creation of these new fees in the structure allows for greater transparency regarding the actual services being provided.

2 PER UNIT ANALYSIS

The City collects fees related to Encroachments, Street Use, Excavations and other services. The total cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, Departmental and Citywide overhead. The following table details the fee name, current fee, total cost, and surplus or deficit associated with each Public Works ROW Fee.

Table 22: Per Unit Results - Public Works Right-of-Way

Category	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Tammawaw	Pedestrian Canopy	\$247	\$310	(\$63)
Temporary Encroachment Permit -	Temp Fencing	\$247	\$310	(\$63)
In ROW for Extended	Scaffolding	\$247	\$310	(\$63)
Period of Time	Extend	\$0	\$60	(\$60)
	Reinstate	\$0	\$60	(\$60)
	POD/ Roll-Off Bin or			
	Lowboy	\$130	\$398	(\$268)
	Crane	\$247	\$290	(\$43)
Street Use Permit -	Concrete Pour	\$247	\$290	(\$43)
Temporary Use of	Delivery/Hauling of			
Street Affecting Traffic	Materials	\$247	\$290	(\$43)
	Storage of Materials	\$247	\$290	(\$43)
	Equipment / Material			
	Staging	\$247	\$290	(\$43)

Category	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
	Deposit for POD / Roll-Off	4	4	
	Bin	\$465	\$465	\$0
	Add-Ons	\$0	\$53	(\$53)
	Extend	\$0	\$53	(\$53)
	Sandblasting	\$247	\$227	\$20
Public Works Permit -	Vehicle on Strand or Walk Street	\$340	\$447	(\$107)
Generally Requires	Over Quantitative	ΨΟΙΟ	Ψ117	(Ψ107)
Special Rules or	Discharge	\$240	\$227	\$13
Review	Well Monitoring	\$0	\$227	(\$227)
	Add-Ons	\$0	\$60	(\$60)
	Extend	\$0	\$60	(\$60)
	Non-Utility Excavation		ΨΟΟ	(ΨΟΟ)
	Curb & Gutter	\$231	\$557	(\$326)
	Sidewalk	\$231	\$557	(\$326)
	Driveway Approach	\$231	\$557	(\$326)
	Add-Ons	\$0	\$60	(\$60)
	Extend	\$0 \$0	\$60	(\$60)
	Utility Excavation	ΦΟ	φου	(400)
	Sewer Line	\$393	\$557	(\$164)
	Water Line	\$393	\$557	(\$164)
	Undergrounding	\$393	\$557	(\$164)
Excavation Permit -	Sewer/Water Line Combo	\$393	\$557	(\$164)
Involves Breaking	Add-Ons	\$0	\$60	(\$60)
Ground/Infrastructure	Extend	\$0	\$60	(\$60)
	Utility Company	Ψ	φοσ	(ΨΟΟ)
	Excavation			
	0-25 l.f.	\$393	\$600	(\$207)
	0-25 l.f per l.f.		\$35	(\$35)
	26-100 l.f.	\$503	\$1,476	(\$973)
	26-100 l.f per l.f.	\$2	\$6	(\$4)
	100+ l.f.	\$650	\$1,947	(\$1,297)
	100+ l.f per l.f.	\$2	\$2	(\$0)
	Extra Inspections - per hr	\$0	\$110	(\$110)
	Extend	\$0	\$60	(\$60)
	Simple	\$247	\$106	\$141
	Complex / Custom (incl. 1-			
Lane Closure -	hr of inspection)	\$931	\$453	\$478
Secondary Permit Only	Extra Inspections - per hr	\$0	\$110	(\$110)
	Add-Ons	\$0	\$25	(\$25)
	Extend	\$0	\$25	(\$25)
	Individual	\$16	\$106	(\$90)
Oversize Permit	Annual	\$90	\$85	\$ 5
	Extend	\$0	\$25	(\$25)

The majority of the Right-Of-Way fees evaluated through this study are shown to be under-recovering, with the largest deficit of \$1,297 relating to Utility Company Excavation for projects over 100 linear feet. It should be noted that while Individual Oversize Permits

shows an under-recovery and cost the department \$106, this fee is state set at \$16. The average per unit cost recovery for the ROW Fees is 80%.

It is important to note that even though for purposes of clarity, the project team has compared the current fee to the full cost fee, the current fee is not always apples to apples, as the structure has been altered dramatically. For example, the simple lane closure current fee of \$247 is reflective of any type of traffic plan review, and as such is not necessarily equated to the \$106 simple traffic lane closure review. Additionally, the current calculations take into account work being performed by the Traffic Engineering Technician compared to the Traffic Engineer, as a simple review would not require the Traffic Engineer. Therefore, the full cost fees have been calculated based upon process improvements and efficiencies implemented by the City.

3 ANNUAL RESULTS

The City is currently under-recovering for its fee-related costs for ROW permits by approximately \$7,263. The following table shows the annual workload volume, projected revenue at current fee, total annual cost, and the associated surplus or deficit.

Table 23: Annual Results – Public Works Right-Of-Way

Fee Name	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Temporary	Pedestrian Canopy	1	\$247	\$310	(\$63)
Encroachment Permit	Temp Fencing	1	\$247	\$310	(\$63)
- In ROW for					
Extended Period of	Scaffolding				
Time		2	\$494	\$619	(\$125)
	POD/ Roll-Off Bin or				
Street Use Permit -	Lowboy	16	\$2,080	\$6,367	(\$4,287)
Temporary Use of	Crane	18	\$4,446	\$5,219	(\$773)
Street Affecting	Concrete Pour	4	\$988	\$1,160	(\$172)
Traffic	Delivery/Hauling of				
Traine	Materials	8	\$1,976	\$2,320	(\$344)
	Storage of Materials	1	\$247	\$290	(\$43)
Public Works Permit -	Sandblasting	1	\$247	\$227	\$20
Generally Requires Special Rules or Review	Vehicle on Strand or Walk Street	60	\$20,400	\$26,808	(\$6,408)
	Non-Utility Excavation				
	Curb & Gutter	140	\$32,340	\$77,922	(\$45,582)
Excavation Permit -	Sidewalk	9	\$2,079	\$5,009	(\$2,930)
Involves Breaking Ground/Infrastructure	Driveway Approach	11	\$2,541	\$6,122	(\$3,581)
	Utility Excavation				
	Sewer Line	8	\$3,144	\$4,453	(\$1,309)
	Water Line	6	\$2,358	\$3,340	(\$982)

Fee Name	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
	Undergrounding	3	\$1,179	\$1,670	(\$491)
	Sewer/Water Line				
	Combo	46	\$18,078	\$25,603	(\$7,525)
	Utility Company Excavation				
	0-25 l.f.	150	\$58,950	\$90,071	(\$31,121)
	25-100 l.f.	1	\$503	\$1,476	(\$973)
	100+ l.f.	1	\$650	\$1,947	(\$1,297)
Lane Closure -	Simple	239	\$59,033	\$25,286	\$33,747
Secondary Permit Only	Complex / Custom (incl. 1 hr of inspection)	163	\$151,753	\$73,917	\$77,836
	Individual	120	\$1,920	\$12,726	(\$10,826)
Oversize Permit	Annual	2	\$180	\$170	\$10
		TOTAL	\$366,080	\$373,343	(\$7,263)


Overall, ROW is recovering approximately 98% of its annual total cost in fees. The largest source of deficit for ROW fees is in relation to non-utility permits at \$52,000.

4 COMPARATIVE SURVEY

As part of this study, the City wished to understand how their current fees and total cost compared to other similar sized and regionally located jurisdictions. It is important to note that the project team surveyed approximately 8 local jurisdictions; if the jurisdiction did not assess a fee for the service, it was left off of the comparative graph. This allows the City to clearly review the graph without the cluttering of additional information that is not relevant to the comparative analysis being conducted. The following subsections provide a comparative look at services evaluated by the project team related to ROW fees.

1 Driveway Permit


Based upon the City's current fee structure a driveway permit gets charged a \$231 flat fee, and through this study, the project team calculated the full cost fee at \$557. The following graph shows how the city's current fee and full cost fee compares to the other surrounding jurisdictions.



As the graph above indicates, the City's current and full cost fee is below the average fee of \$623 being charged by surrounding jurisdictions. The City's full cost fee is in line with Laguna Beach at \$550 and Beverly Hills at \$528.

2 POD / Roll-Off Bin


Based upon the City's current fee structure a Pod / Roll-Off be charged a flat fee of \$130 (excluding deposit), and the full cost calculated through this study is \$390. The following graph shows how the city's current fee and full cost fee compares to the other surrounding jurisdictions.



As the graph above indicates, the City's current fee is below the average fee of \$157 charged by surrounding jurisdictions. Some jurisdictions such as Laguna Beach and Santa Monica have fees set based upon council direction at a lower level than the full cost fee.

3 Utility Excavation 25 I.f. with Complex Lane Closure

Based upon the City's current fee structure a utility excavation of 25 l.f. with complex lane closure would be charged \$1,324. The full cost calculated through this study is \$1,053. The following graph shows how the city's current fee and full cost fee compares to the other surrounding jurisdictions.



As the graph indicates, the City's current fee of \$1,324 is above the average fee of \$1,233 charged by surrounding jurisdictions. It's full cost fee of \$1,053 is slightly below the average and more in line with the surrounding jurisdictions. Jurisdictions such as Laguna Beach and Beverly Hills charge time and materials for utility excavation permits.

14. Technology Fees

As part of the Cost of Services Analysis, the project team evaluated two different technology fees for the City – Permitting Technology Fee and Fire Permit Technology Fee. The purpose of the technology fee for both of these systems is to not only recover the costs associated with purchasing a system and replacing a system, but to also pay for annual maintenance of the system. The following subsection discuss the two different technology fees.

1 PERMITTING TECHNOLOGY FEE

The City of Manhattan Beach is currently undergoing the process of implementing a new permitting software system – Tyler EnerGov. The primary purpose of a permitting software system is to log and record information regarding all development-related activities in a singular location. This system would enable the City to record all planning, building, fire prevention (development-related), Civil Engineering, and Right-Of-Way fees in one location. Additionally, the proposed system would allow developers to access their permit information online, utilize GIS capabilities, conduct electronic reviews, and allow for electronic routing of plans internally within the City.

The purpose of the technology fee associated with permitting activities is to recover the cost associated with replacement of existing system, upgrades to the existing and new system, and maintenance costs associated with the system. Additionally, if there are any staff or contractor costs associated specifically with the permitting system those can be captured through this fee calculation, as well. The following table shows the proposed five year costs for the permitting system, and the annual cost calculation:

Table 24: Permitting System – Annual Cost

Category	Total Cost	# of Years	Annual Cost
Total Tyler Services	\$181,875	5	\$36,375
Initial System Implementation and Maintenance	\$187,090	5	\$37,418
Building Services Analyst	\$101,981 ²	1	\$101.981
Total Annual Cost			\$175,774

As the table indicates, it costs the city approximately \$176,000 annually to implement and maintain the EnerGov system. These costs are based upon five year timeframe for technology implementation, and the Building Services Analyst position being dedicated part-time to maintenance and management of the permitting software system.

² Represents at 50% the fully burdened cost of the position including citywide overhead, departmental overhead, and productive hours calculation.

Based upon the \$176,000 annual cost, the project team calculated the technology fee. The typical trend for technology fees is to apply it as a percentage of the permit fee on all of the permits that would be logged and recorded into the permitting system. Therefore this fee would be applicable to Planning, Building, Engineering, Traffic Engineering, Right-of-Way, Tree Permits, and Fire Development-related permits. The following table shows the calculation of the technology fee based upon the total permitting revenue:

Table 25: Permitting System – Technology Fee Calculation

Category	Amount
Annual Cost	\$175,774
Total Permitting Revenue	\$6.8 million
Permit Technology Fee - % of Permit Fee	3% of Permit Fee

As the table indicates, based upon the fee-related revenue generated by the city for all of the different components that would be covered under the permitting system the technology fee would be charged as 3% of the permit fee. This 3% would be applicable to all permits being logged into the system including permits such as HVAC changeouts, re-roofs, solar permits, as well as new construction or tenant improvement projects.

The following table shows the technology fee being charged by other surrounding jurisdictions:

Table 26: Technology Fee – Comparative Survey

	Fee Amount
	7% of Building, Planning, and Engineering Fees
	0.0006% of Project Valuation
4% of Bui	lding, Planning, Engineering, and Fire Prevention
	5.5% of Permit Fee
	13% of Building Permit Fees
	4% of Bui

As the table indicates, the proposed calculated fee for the City of Manhattan Beach at 3% of the permit fee is below the fee being charged by surrounding jurisdictions, but similar to jurisdictions such as Culver City and Beverly Hills.

The technology fee when implemented should be accounted for in a separate subaccount or fund from the general fund. This separate accounting not only ensures a clear auditing trail for funds, it also mitigates the danger of co-mingling these funds for use of operations rather than specifically for permitting software needs. The funds from this fee can be used towards annual maintenance, implementation, and the reacquisition or replacement of new permitting software needed.

2 FIRE RMS TECHNOLOGY FEE

The Fire Department currently utilizes a 15 year old technology system to track and record the annual fire permit inspection information. This system logs all permitting information that is not related to development activities (i.e. fire alarms, fire sprinklers, fire protection systems, etc.) as those are logged into the community development permit software system. Similar to the technology fee discussed for the Permitting software, the project team worked with City staff to develop a technology fee for the Fire Records Management System. The following table shows the cost calculation and assumptions for annual costs associated with Fire RMS.

Table 27: Fire RMS - Annual Cost

Category	Total Cost	# of Years	Annual Cost
Initial System Implementation	\$45,000	3	\$15,000

The \$45,000 cost in the table above is based on estimated quote related to not only the acquisition of the system, but annual maintenance costs, as well as the cost associated with any contracted support for system implementation.

Typically, a technology fee is applied as a percentage of the permit fee, rather than a flat rate per permit. The percentage calculation ensures that applicants are not paying more in technology fee than the permit fee itself. The project team utilized the annual cost of the Fire RMS and total fire prevention revenue (excluding development-related activities) to calculate the technology fee. The following table shows this calculation:

Table 28: Fire RMS – Technology Fee Calculation

Category	Amount
Annual Cost	\$15,000
Total Fire Revenue (Excl. Development Fees)	\$290,115
Fire RMS Technology Fee - % of Permit Fee	5%

As the table indicates, the Fire RMS fee is calculated as 5% of the permit fee. This indicates that whenever any annual fire code permit is issued, along with the flat fee, the Fire Department should charge a 5% surcharge for Fire RMS recovery. This 5% surcharge should not be stored in the same revenue account as fire inspection fees, it should be stored in a separate subaccount. The purpose of storing it in a separate subaccount is to ensure that the revenue from this account is not used to augment feerelated services, but rather is only used towards the acquisition, maintenance, and upgrades to the Fire RMS.

15. Traffic Engineering

Within the Community Development Department, the Traffic Engineering Division is responsible for managing traffic control measures for traffic safety and parking related issues. The fees examined within this section of the study relate to Traffic Reviews, Parking, Stop Signs and other miscellaneous services provided by the Traffic Division. The following subsections provide an overview of modifications made to the Traffic Engineering fee schedule, the detailed per unit analysis results, the potential annual revenue impacts, and a comparison of certain fees to other jurisdictions.

1 FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

In discussion with Traffic Engineering Division staff, there were only minor modifications made to the Traffic Engineering fee schedule: Parking – Temporary Construction was renamed to Reserved Parking and a new fee was added for Construction Management and Parking Plan Review for standalone review of building permits.

2 PER UNIT ANALYSIS

The Traffic Engineering Division collects fees related to Traffic Reviews, Parking, and Stop Signs. The total cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, Departmental and Citywide overhead. The following table details the fee name, current fee, total cost, and surplus or deficit associated with each Traffic Engineering Fee.

Table 29: Per Unit Results – Traffic Engineering

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Development (Parking) Traffic Review	Review of parking / traffic conditions for development permits, including environmental assessment and amendment to	Development Permits Env. Assessment / Amendment to	\$1,149	\$879	\$270
	development permits.	Dev. Permits	\$711	\$1,516	(\$805)
Reserved Parking	Reserve parking per vehicle or moving van permit.	Per Parking Space	\$80	\$76	\$4
Parking Request	Administrative Review of a issue, such as a request f disabled parking space.	. •	\$100	\$460	(\$360)

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Traffic Request	Administrative Review of a limited scale traffic-related issue, such as a request for installation of a crosswalk or traffic calming measure.		\$100	\$460	(\$360)
Stop Sign Request (2nd Request)	Processing a request to install a stop sign following initial denial / approval.		\$500	\$2,128	(\$1,628)
Appeal to PPIC	Appeal an administrative decision to the Parking & Public Improvement Commission.	Traffic Encroachment	\$500 \$500	\$3,098 \$3,778	(\$2,598) (\$3,278)
Construction Management and Parking Plan Review Fee	Supplemental traffic and parking review of remodels or minor projects.	Per Location		\$102	

The majority of the Traffic Engineering fees evaluated through this study are shown to be under-recovering. The largest deficit relates to Appeal to the PPIC relating to encroachments at a deficit of \$3,278. The average per unit cost recovery for the Traffic Engineering Fees is 47%.

3 ANNUAL RESULTS

The Traffic Engineering Division is currently under-recovering its fee-related costs by approximately \$10,000. The following table shows the annual workload volume, projected revenue at current fee, total annual cost, and the associated surplus or deficit.

Table 30: Annual Results - Traffic Engineering

Category	Range	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Development (Parking) Traffic Review	Development Permits Env Assessment / Amendment to Dev.	15	\$17,235	\$13,186	\$4,049
	Permits	1	\$711	\$1,516	(\$805)
Reserved Parking	Per Parking Space	304	\$24,320	\$23,213	\$1,107
Parking Request		25	\$2,500	\$11,497	(\$8,997)
Traffic Request		5	\$500	\$2,299	(\$1,799)
Management and					
Parking Plan Review		36		\$3,665	(\$3,665)
		TOTAL	\$45,266	\$55,376	(\$10,110)

The Traffic Engineering Division is recovering approximately 81% of its annual total cost in fees. The largest deficit of \$8,997 relates to Parking Requests while the largest surplus of \$4,049 relates to Development Traffic Reviews.

4 COMPARATIVE SURVEY

As part of this study, the City wished to understand how their current fees and total cost compared to other similar sized and regionally located jurisdictions. It is important to note that the project team surveyed approximately 8 local jurisdictions; if the jurisdiction did not assess a fee for the service, it was left off of the comparative graph. This allows the City to clearly review the graph without the cluttering of additional information that is not relevant to the comparative analysis being conducted.

Due to the specific nature of fees charged by traffic engineering, it is difficult to find comparable. The City currently charged \$100 for Parking or Traffic Requests and the project team calculated the full cost of providing the service at \$460. Culver City charges a \$4,000 deposit for Traffic Impact Analysis Report Reviews El Segundo charges \$995 for Parking Demand – Shared Parking Study

16. Utilities

Within the Public Works Department, the Utilities Division is responsible for providing water, sewer, and storm drain services to the City. The fees examined within this section of the study relate to Stormwater Reviews, Water Meters, Annual Stormwater Inspections, Waste Management Plans and other miscellaneous services provided by the Utilities Division. The following subsections provide an overview of modifications made to the Utilities fee schedule, the detailed per unit analysis results, the potential annual revenue impacts, and a comparison of certain fees to other jurisdictions.

1 FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

In discussion with the Utilities Division staff, there were only minor title changes to the current fee schedule. No major modifications were deemed necessary to the current schedule.

2 PER UNIT ANALYSIS

The Utilities Division collects fees related to Stormwater Reviews, Water Meters, Annual Stormwater Inspections, and Waste Management Plans. The total cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, Departmental and Citywide overhead. The following table details the fee name, current fee, total cost, and surplus or deficit associated with each Utility Fee.

Table 31: Per Unit Results - Utilities

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Commercial SUSMP Review	Review of a commercial s mitigation plan for complia and local stormwater stand	nce with national	\$776	\$846	(\$70)
	Install or move a	Installation	\$97	\$241	(\$144)
Temporary Water Meter Rental temporary 3" fire hydra meter at a construction site. **Meter deposit of \$1,500 required.		Move	\$77	\$145	(\$68)
	Field or bench	5/8' - 1" meter	\$250	\$355	(\$105)
Water Meter Test	calibration of a water meter upon a request by a resident or business. **Charges are refundable if meter is running fast.	1.5"+ meter	\$327	\$433	(\$106)

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Water Service Turn-On	Turning on water service after water service has been turned off to a residence or business for contractor to work on water system or for non-payment of water bill. **\$15 collection for payment in the field. ***5% Penalty on unpaid water bills (per Resolution 5726).	Monday - Thursday 8 am - 4:30 pm Afterhours, weekends, or holidays	\$47 \$218	\$154 \$369	(\$107) (\$151)
Water Meter Installation Inspection	Installation of new water meter upon request **Material costs not included	3/4" - 1" meter 1" - 2" meter Greater than 2" meter	\$71 \$122 Actual Cost	\$96 \$164 Actual Cost	(\$25) (\$42)
F.O.G. & Clean Bay Restaurant Inspections	Annual inspection of kitchen equipment/fixtures and Best Management Practices for compliance with stormwater and wastewater regulation compliance.	Initial Inspection Follow-up Inspection	\$200 \$109	\$193 \$139	\$15 (\$30)
Clean Bay Restaurant Inspection for Stormwater Permit Compliance	Annual inspection of kitch fixtures and best manager compliance with stormwat compliance. **Plus additional County F	\$204	\$221	(\$17)	
Waste Management Plan	Review & processing of the tickets for any demolition \$100,000 in value for its wanagement impact.	\$252	\$280	(\$28)	

The majority of the Utility fees evaluated through this study are shown to be underrecovering. Afterhours, weekends, or holidays Water Turn On Services shows the largest deficit of \$151. The average per unit cost recovery for the Utility Fees is 72%.

3 ANNUAL RESULTS

The Utilities Division is currently under-recovering its fee-related costs by approximately \$29,000. The following table shows the annual workload volume, projected revenue at current fee, total annual cost, and the associated surplus or deficit.

Table 32: Annual Results - Utilities

Category Range		Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Commercial SUSMP Revi	iew	5	\$3,880	\$4,230	(\$350)
Temporary Water Meter	Installation	10	\$970	\$2,411	(\$1,441)
Rental	Move	5	\$385	\$723	(\$338)
	Monday - Thursday 8 am - 4:30 pm	80	\$3,760	\$12,318	(\$8,558)
Water Service Turn-On	Afterhours, weekends, or holidays	30	\$6,540	\$11,075	(\$4,535)
Water Meter Installation Inspection	¾" – 1" meter	60	\$4,260	\$5,790	(\$1,530)
F.O.G. & Clean Bay	Initial Inspection	107	\$21,400	\$20,653	\$747
Restaurant Inspections	Follow-up Inspection	2	\$218	\$277	(\$59)
Clean Bay Restaurant Ins	spection for				
Stormwater Permit Comp	177	\$36,108	\$39,038	(\$2,930)	
Waste Management Plan	Waste Management Plan			\$98,418	(\$9,714)
		TOTAL	\$166,225	\$194,932	(\$28,707)


The Utilities Division is recovering approximately 85% of its annual total cost in fees. The largest area of deficit relates to Waste Management Plan at \$9,700. The largest surplus relates to FOG Initial Inspections with a \$747 over recovery.

4 COMPARATIVE SURVEY

As part of this study, the City wished to understand how their current fees and total cost compared to other similar sized and regionally located jurisdictions. It is important to note that the project team surveyed approximately 8 local jurisdictions; if the jurisdiction did not assess a fee for the service, it was left off of the comparative graph. This allows the City to clearly review the graph without the cluttering of additional information that is not relevant to the comparative analysis being conducted. The following subsections provide a comparative look at services evaluated by the project team related to Utility fees.

1 Water Service – Delinquent Service Reconnection


The City currently charges a fee for water service turn-on or reconnection to service at \$47. The project team calculated the full cost of providing this service at \$154. The following graph shows how the Division's full cost compares to other local jurisdictions who charge for this service.



As the chart above indicates, the current fee is below the average fee of \$93 charged by other jurisdictions. The full cost fee at \$154 is close to the \$161 fee charged by Beverly Hills.

2 Temporary Meter - Installation

The City currently charges a fee for temporary meter installation at \$97, and through this study the full cost was calculated at \$241. The following graph shows how the Division's full cost compares to other local jurisdictions who charge for this service.



As the graph indicates, the City's current fee is below the \$159 charged by surrounding jurisdictions, while its full cost fee at \$241 is close to \$202 charged by Santa Monica.

17. Comparative Survey

As part of the Cost of Services (User Fee) study for the City of Manhattan Beach, the Matrix Consulting Group conducted a comparative survey of fees. The City identified eight jurisdictions to be included in the comparative survey: Redondo Beach, Hermosa Beach, Laguna Beach, Culver City, El Segundo, Torrance, Santa Monica, and Beverly Hills.

While this report will provide the City with a reasonable estimate and understanding of the true costs of providing services, many jurisdictions also wish to consider the local "market rates" for services as a means for assessing what types of changes in fee levels their community can bear. However, a comparative survey does not provide adequate information regarding the relationship of a jurisdiction's cost to its fees. Three important factors to consider when comparing fees across multiple jurisdictions are: population, budget and workforce size. The following tables provide this information regarding the jurisdictions included in the comparative survey.

Table 33: Ranking of Jurisdictions by Population

Jurisdiction	2017 Census
El Segundo	16,500.00
Hermosa Beach	19,616.00
Laguna Beach	23,365.00
Beverly Hills	34,484.00
Manhattan Beach	35,924.00
Culver City	39,283.00
Redondo Beach	67,908.00
Santa Monica	92,306.00
Torrance	149,245.00

Table 34: Ranking of Jurisdictions by City Budget

Jurisdiction	FY 18/19 Budget				
Hermosa Beach	\$ 39,340,829.00				
Laguna Beach	\$ 64,234,100.00				
El Segundo	\$ 75,932,784.00				
Manhattan Beach	\$ 115,517,408.00				
Culver City	\$ 120,774,105.00				
Redondo Beach	\$ 127,530,291.00				
Torrance	\$ 204,246,000.00				
Santa Monica	\$ 359,202,341.00				
Beverly Hills	\$ 425,676,104.00				

Table 35: Ranking of Jurisdictions by City Workforce Size

Jurisdiction FY 18 / 19 FT			
Hermosa Beach	150.71		
Laguna Beach	272.23		
Manhattan Beach	316.00		
El Segundo	361.11		
Redondo Beach	439.00		
Culver City	692.94		
Beverly Hills	995.85		
Torrance	1,371.00		
Santa Monica	2,323.10		

Based on the data shown in the above tables, the City of Manhattan Beach ranks in the middle in terms of population and budget, but on the lower end as it relates to workforce.

While the above comparative information can provide some perspective when paralleling Manhattan Beach's fees with other jurisdictions, another key factor to consider is when a comprehensive analysis was last undertaken. The following table outlines when the last fee analysis was conducted by each surveyed jurisdiction.

Table 36: Last Comprehensive Fee Analysis

Jurisdiction	Response
Beverly Hills	2018
Culver City	2013
El Segundo	2018
Hermosa Beach	2016
Laguna Beach	2016
Redondo Beach	n/a
Santa Monica	2013
Torrance	2017

As the table above indicates, the only comparable jurisdictions that have not done a comprehensive fee study in the last 5 years are Culver City and Santa Monica.

Along with keeping these statistics in mind, the following issues should also be noted regarding the use of market surveys in the setting of fees for service:

- Each jurisdiction and its fees are different, and many are not based on actual cost of providing services.
- The same "fee" with the same name may include more or less steps or sub-activities. In addition, jurisdictions provide varying levels of service and have varying levels of costs associated with providing services such as staffing levels, salary levels, indirect overhead costs, etc.

In addition to the issues noted above, market surveys can also run the risk of creating a confusing excess of data that will obscure rather than clarify policy issues. Because each jurisdiction is different, the Matrix Consulting Group recommends that the information contained in the market comparison of fees be used as a secondary decision-making tool, rather than a tool for establishing an acceptable price point for services.

On average, the survey showed that the City's fees are in line with the jurisdictions surveyed, with some fees higher than other jurisdictions and other fees significantly lower.

18. Cost Recovery

The following sections provide guidance regarding how and where to increase fees, determining annual update factors, and developing cost recovery policies and procedures.

1 FEE ADJUSTMENTS

This study has documented and outlined on a fee-by-fee basis where the City is under and over collecting for its fee-related services. City and Department management will now need to review the results of the study and adjust fees in accordance with Departmental and City philosophies and policies. The following dot points outline the major options the City has in adjusting its fees.

- Over-Collection: Upon review of the fees that were shown to be over-collecting
 for costs of services provided, the City should reduce the current fee to be in line
 with the full cost of providing the service.
- Full Cost Recovery: For fees that show an under-collection for costs of services provided, the City may decide to increase the fee to full cost recovery immediately.
- Phased Increase: For fees with significantly low cost recovery levels, or which
 would have a significant impact on the community, the City could choose to
 increase fees gradually over a set period of time.

The City will need to review the results of the fee study and associated cost recovery levels and determine how best to adjust fees. While decisions regarding fees that currently show an over-recovery are fairly straight forward, the following subsections, provide further detail on why and how the City should consider either implementing Full Cost Recovery or a Phased Increase approach to adjusting its fees.

1 Full Cost Recovery

Based on the permit or review type, the City may wish to increase the fee to cover the full cost of providing services. Certain permits may be close to cost recovery already, and an increase to full cost may not be significant. Other permits may have a more significant increase associated with full cost recovery.

Increasing fees associated with permits and services that are already close to full cost recovery can potentially bring a Department's overall cost recovery level higher. Often, these minimal increases can provide necessary revenue to counterbalance fees which are unable to be increased.

The City should consider increasing fees for permits for which services are rarely engaged to full cost recovery. These services often require specific expertise and can involve more complex research and review due to their infrequent nature. As such, setting these fees at full cost recovery will ensure that when the permit or review is requested, the City is recovering the full cost of its services.

2 Phased Increases

Depending on current cost recovery levels some current fees may need to be increased significantly in order to comply with established or proposed cost recovery policies. Due to the type of permit or review, or the amount by which a fee needs to be increased, it may be best for the City to use a phased approach to reaching their cost recovery goals.

As an example, you may have a current fee of \$200 with a full cost of \$1,000, representing 20% cost recovery. If the current policy is 80% cost recovery, the current fee would need to increase by \$600, bringing the fee to \$800, in order to be in compliance. Assuming this particular service is something the City provides quite often, and affects various members of the community, an instant increase of \$600 may not be feasible. Therefore, the City could take a phased approach, whereby it increases the fee annually over a set period until cost recovery is achieved.

Raising fees over a set period of time not only allows the City to monitor and control the impact to applicants, but also ensure that applicants have time to adjust to significant increases. Continuing with the example laid out above, the City could increase the fee by \$150 for the next four years, spreading out the increase. Depending on the desired overall increase, and the impact to applicants, the City could choose to vary the number of years by which it chooses to increase fees. However, the project team recommends that the City not phase increases for periods greater than five years, as that is the maximum window for which a comprehensive fee assessment should be completed.

2 ANNUAL UPDATES

Conducting a comprehensive analysis of fee-related services and costs annually would be quite cumbersome and costly. The general rule of thumb for comprehensive fee analyses is between three and five years. This allows for jurisdictions to ensure they account for organizational changes such as staffing levels and merit increases, as well as process efficiencies, code or rule changes, or technology improvements.

Developing annual update mechanisms allow jurisdictions to maintain current levels of cost recovery, while accounting for increases in staffing or expenditures related to permit services. The two most common types of update mechanisms are Consumer Price Index

(CPI) and Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) factors. The following points provide further detail on each of these mechanisms.

- COLA / Personnel Cost Factor: Jurisdictions often provide their staff with annual salary adjustments to account for increases in local cost of living. These increases are not tied to merit or seniority, but rather meant to offset rising costs associated with housing, gas, and other livability factors. Sometimes these factors vary depending on the bargaining group of a specific employee. Generally speaking these factors are around two or three percent annually.
- CPI Factor: A common method of increasing fees or cost is to look at regional cost indicators, such as the Consumer Price Index. These factors are calculated by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, put out at various intervals within a year, and are specific to states and regions.

The City should review its current options internally (COLA) as well as externally (CPI) to determine which option better reflects the goals of departments and the City. If choosing a CPI factor, the City should outline which particular CPI should be used, including specific region, and adoption date. If choosing an internal factor, again, the City should be sure to specify which factor if multiple exist.

3 POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

This study has identified the permit areas where the City is under-collecting the cost associated with providing services. This known funding gap is therefore being subsidized by other City revenue sources. Based on the information provided in this report, at a global or per unit level, the City may not have any issues with using non-fee related revenue to account for the current deficit.

Development of cost recovery policies and procedures will serve to ensure that current and future decision makers understand how and why fees were determined and set, as well as provide a road map for ensuring consistency when moving forward. The following subsections outline typical cost recovery levels and discuss the benefits associated with developing target cost recovery goals and procedures for achieving and increasing cost recovery.


1 Typical Cost Recovery

The Matrix Consulting Group has extensive experience in analyzing local government operations across the United States and has calculated typical cost recovery levels. The table on the following page outlines these cost recovery levels by department.

Table 37: Typical Cost Recovery Levels by Department

Department	Typical Cost Recovery
Building	80-100%
City Clerk	20-40%
Finance	30-50%
Fire	50-80%
Parks and Rec ³	70-100%
Planning	50-80%
Police	40-60%
Public Works	80-100%
Right-of-Way	80-100%
Traffic Engineering	80-100%
Utilities	80-100%

Information presented in the table above is based on the Matrix Consulting Group's experience in analyzing local government's operations across the United States and in California and reflects the *typical* cost recovery levels observed by local adopting authorities. The following graph depicts how Manhattan Beach compares to industry cost recovery standards.



³ For purposes of this analysis Parks and Recreation fees are in relation to special event permits and filming permits, which generally have a higher cost recovery than youth sports or program-based activities.

The graph indicates that the City is currently above typical cost recovery levels for Fina and Police. The City is within the range of cost recovery for Building, Parks and Recreation, Planning, Right-Of-Way, Traffic Engineering, and Utilities. The City is below the typical cost recovery seen for City Clerk, Fire, and Public Works fees.

2 Development of Cost Recovery Policies and Procedures

The City should review the current cost recovery levels and adopt a formal policy regarding cost recovery. This policy can be general in nature and can apply broadly to the City as a whole, or to each department and division specifically. A department specific cost recovery policy would allow the City to better control the cost recovery associated with the different types of services being provided and the benefit being received by the community.

2019 Proposed User Fee Table

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
	T		CITY CLERK			ı			T
20-001	Initiative Petition Processing	A formal notice of intent to circulate an initi measure. [California Election Code Section		\$200	\$388	(\$188)	\$200	Set by Statute	1
20-002	Candidate Processing	Process a candidate for office in the City not Election Code Section - 10228]	t to exceed \$25. <i>[California</i>	\$25	\$167	(\$142)	\$25	Set by Statute	4
20-003	ICandidate Statement	Process a candidate statement for publication electronically or in voter guide per <i>California Election Code Section</i> 13307.	Bi-Annual (10 or less)	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$0	Actual Cost	Set by Statute	
20-004		Making a copy of a City document upon	Regular Copies	\$0.10	\$1.03	(\$0.93)	\$0.10	Set by Resolution	2692
20-005	Reproduction Service	request. [Per City Resolution 6302]	Election Documents	\$0.10	\$3.03	(\$2.93)	\$0.10	Set by Resolution	0
20-006	Archive Retrieval	Based upon request, retrieve an archived do and re-file in storage. Direct cost to cover co		Actual Cost	\$68	0	Actual Cost		0
20-007	Copy Service	Making a copy of an audiotape, CD, DVD, or PDF file.	Tape / CD / DVD / PDF	\$7	\$8	(\$1)	\$8		14
20-008	Lobbyist Registration	Process registration for lobbyist.		\$14	\$30	(\$16)	\$30		3
			FINANCE FEES						
20-009	Return Check & Insufficient	Re-processing of checks or other payments due to insufficient funds. [California Civil	First Check	\$53	\$25	\$28	\$25	Set by Statute	77
20-010	Funds Fee	Code Section 1719]	Subsequent Check	\$53	\$35	\$18	\$35	Set by Statute	0
20-011	Business License Identification Decal	Issue a decal when a business license requir	es the use of a vehicle on request.	\$4	\$5	(\$1)	\$5		1425
20-012		Providing a unique listing of customized	Custom	\$40	\$40	\$0	\$40		0
20-013	Request	business and animal licensing information. Licensing or animals within the Lity Limits.	Existing	\$20	\$25	(\$5)	\$25		12
20-014		- 50% discount for seniors 62+ with income under \$10,000	Spayed / Neutered	\$20	\$21	(\$1)	\$21		2428
20-016	Dog Licenses	No Charge for handicanned disabled or	All Others	\$52	\$48	\$4	\$48		154
20-017		exceed 100%.	Duplicate Tag	\$4	\$4	\$0	\$4		14
		PARKS AND I	RECREATION FEES (NON-PROGRAM	1 / ACTIVITY REL	ATED)		'		1
20-018	Banner Installation	Hanging a banner across the public right-of-	Sepulveda Blvd.	\$300	\$372	(\$72)	\$372		0
20-019		way at the request of a private party.	All Other	\$277	\$325	(\$48)	\$325		15

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-020			Pass-through (only City access no support)	\$809	\$662	\$147	\$381	Modified Structure	3
20-021	ISpecial Events Application	Processing a request for a special event within the City.	Repeat or Legacy (with no major changes)	\$809	\$977	(\$168)	\$427	Modified Structure	15
20-022			New (or with major changes)	\$809	\$1,417	(\$608)	\$845	Modified Structure	4
20-023		Review an application for a motion picture or still photography, which takes place in	Motion Picture	\$489	\$528	(\$39)	\$425		35
20-024		the City.	Still Photography	\$178	\$208	(\$30)	\$208		13
			POLICE FEES						
20-025		Reviewing a Request to use amplified sounc	l in a non-commercial area.	\$227	\$183	\$44	\$183		46
20-026	Potail Eiroarm Pormit	Review of a request to sell firearms within the City	New	\$1,012	\$944	\$68	\$944		0
20-027		Renewal of a request to sell firearms within the City.	Renewal	\$234	\$242	(\$8)	\$242		1
20-028	Riock Party Permit	Review an application for a block party. Set by Council policy		\$50	\$159	(\$109)	\$50	Set by Council Policy	37
20-029	Weapons Discharge Permit	Review an application for a weapons discha	rge permit within the City.	\$601	\$603	(\$2)	\$603		0
	COMMUNITY AFFAIRS								
20-030	Alarm System Barmit	Registration of new property alarms within the City.	New	\$55	\$57	(\$2)	\$57		318
20-031		Annual renewal of Alarm System Permits already registered within the City.	Renewal	\$25	\$29	(\$4)	\$29		2491
20-032	Alarm School	As-needed class providing education and be users who have had "false alarm" incidents.		\$64	\$91	(\$27)	\$91		21
	TECHNICAL SUPPORT								
20-033	Fingerprint Card / Live Scan	Fingerprint a person on a card or process a City's charge in addition to any DOJ fees.	live scan fingerprint. This is the	\$19	\$23	(\$4)	\$23		140
20-034		Providing a Police audio recording upon request.	Audio	\$58	\$96	(\$38)	\$96		1
20-035		Providing a police video recording upon request.	Video	\$131	\$213	(\$82)	\$213		1
20-036	Police Record Clearance Letter	Research and prepare clearance letter for ir	ndividuals requesting the service.	\$43	\$56	(\$13)	\$56		16
20-037		Providing copies of police photographs on request.	Per Photo / Page	\$5	\$6	(\$1)	\$6		30
20-038		Providing copies of police photographs on a CD upon request.	Per CD	\$9	\$13	(\$4)	\$13		4
20-039		Research and compilation of data in police r	ecords upon request.	\$105	\$119	(\$14)	\$119		2
20-040	•	Processing an individual who is involved in t businesses, which involves checking that inc		\$913	\$1,159	(\$246)	\$1,159		0

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-041	Police Reports	Producing a copy of a police report upon request. [Per City Resolution 6302]	Per Page	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0	\$0.10	Set by Resolution	50
	JAIL OPERATIONS								
20-042	Booking Fee	Process an individual under arrest for booki *Plus additional County Fees	ng.	\$259	\$266	(\$7)	\$266		68
	PARKING								
20-043	Impound Vehicle Release	Collection and release of vehicles impounded by the City.	Lot Release (at tow-yard)	\$118	\$137	(\$19)	\$137		650
20-044	'	Collection and field release of vehicles impounded by the City.	Field Release (on-street)	\$47	\$54	(\$7)	\$54		17
20-045	Vehicle Inspection / Correction	Inspect vehicle and sign-off citation for corr	ectable violation.	\$26	\$27	(\$1)	\$27		19
20-046	Boot Removal	Installation and removal of a parking boot, oparking citations.		\$109	\$183	(\$74)	\$183		56
20-047	<u> </u>	Processing of repeated handicap violation windividuals with a handicapped placard. No		\$25	\$32	(\$7)	\$32		2
	ANIMAL SERVICES								
20-048	-Animal Relinquishment	Pick up of dead animals for relinquishment on request.	Dead	\$107	\$118	(\$11)	\$118		3
20-049	Annua Reiniquisiniene	Pick up of live animals for relinquishment on request.	Live Animal	\$213	\$236	(\$23)	\$236		1
20-050	Animal Quarantine Inspection	Inspection of a home and re-checks when a quarantined.	n animal is required to be	\$267	\$295	(\$28)	\$295		0
			FIRE						
	_		Single-Family Units						
20-051	-		0-2,000 SF	\$223	\$232	(\$9)	\$232		84
20-052	 		2,000-10,000 SF	\$223	\$349	(\$126)	\$349		29
20-053	Fire Code Annual Permit /	most recent version of the California Fire	10,000+ SF	\$223	\$465	(\$242)	\$465		2
20-054	State Mandated Fire	Code adopted by Council. As well as	Complex Building	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$0	Actual Cost		2
20.055	Inspections	providing an annual fire and life safety	Multi-Family Units	4-	4000		4225	No. 5	3.0
20-055		inspection of day care or public institutions as listed in the State Fire Code.		\$0	\$232 \$349		\$232	New Fee	240
20-056 20-057		as listed in the State Fire Code.	11-20 units 20+ units	\$0 \$0	\$349 \$465		\$349 \$465	New Fee	54 6
20-057		Issue a fire code permit for a facility or	ZUT UIIICS	ŞU	Ş 4 65		\$465	New Fee	0
20-058		event involving a minor review and inspection under Section 105 of the	Minor Event	\$279	\$290	(\$11)	\$290		174
20-059	IFIRE COde Permit	Review, issue and inspect a Fire Department permit for a major event.	Major Event	Actual Cost	\$560	0	\$560		6
20-060		Staffing by the Fire Department for a major event	City Staff support Costs at Fully Burdened Rate/hour	Actual Cost	Actual Cost		Actual Cost		20

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-061	Annual Fire Code Permit Soundstage	Issue a fire code permit for a soundstage invinspection. (Per Soundstage) [Current fees collected by agreement.]	volving a major review and	\$6,667	\$16,110	(\$9,443)	\$6,667	Set by Agreement	15
20-062	Fire Code Permit Re- Inspection	Issuing a fire code permit, which requires a **Per hour **No charge for first two inspections.	re-inspection.	\$223	\$232	(\$9)	\$232		10
20-063	High Rise Structure - Annual Inspection	Annual review of a high-rise structure to en requirements.	sure that it complies with code	\$782	\$813	(\$31)	\$813		3
20-064			0-2,000 SF	\$276	\$347	(\$71)	\$347		50
20-065	Annual Business Fire	Providing an annual fire and life safety	2,000-10,000 SF	\$404	\$511	(\$107)	\$511		6
20-066	Inspection	inspection of a business with the City. **No Charge for first two inspections	10,000+ SF	\$828	\$1,041	(\$213)	\$1,041		3
20-067		No charge for first two inspections	Complex Building	Actual Cost	Actual Cost		Actual Cost		
20-068		Review a plan and inspect a residential fire	Plan Check	\$164	\$482	(\$318)	\$482		106
20-069	Residential Fire Sprinkler	sprinkler system for conformity with fire code requirements.	Inspection	\$220	\$307	(\$87)	\$307		147
20-070		code requirements.	Plan Check	\$288	\$482	(\$194)	\$482		28
		Review a plan and inspect a commercial	Inspection:	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
20-071	Commercial Fire Sprinkler	fire sprinkler system for conformity with	1-50 heads	\$276	\$365	(\$89)	\$365		13
20-072		fire code requirements.	51-100 heads	\$499	\$597	(\$98)	\$597		6
20-073			101+ heads	\$723	\$829	(\$106)	\$829		11
20-074			Plan Check	\$220	\$482	(\$262)	\$482		20
		Review a plan and inspect a fire alarm	Inspection:						
20-075	Fire Alarm System	system for conformity with fire code	0-2,000 SF	\$220	\$307	(\$87)	\$307		44
20-076		requirements.	2,000-10,000 SF	\$332	\$423	(\$91)	\$423		11
20-077			10,000+ SF	\$555	\$655	(\$100)	\$655		7
20-078	Fire Drotection System	Review a plan and inspect a fire protection system for conformity with fire code requirements, including items such as	Plan Check	\$276	\$504	(\$228)	\$504		5
20-079	rive Protection System	tection System Hood / Suppression, Medical Gas System, Underground Fire Service Line, Underground Storage Tank, Above Ground Storage Tank, Private Fire Hydrant, etc.	Inspection	\$443	\$539	(\$96)	\$539		9
20-080	Fire Solar System - Variance Review	Review of solar system for variance from fire code. Variance may not be granted. Cost applies regardless of outcome	Per review request	0	\$140		\$140	New Fee	5
20-081	Fire Expedited Review	Request to process plan check in an expedited manner (includes 2 rechecks).	Per request	0	\$687		\$687	New Fee	2
20-082	Fire Revision	Revision after a permit has been issued.	Revision - per revision	Actual Cost	Actual Cost		Actual Cost	New Fee	5

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-083	A	Ambulance transport with advanced life support. [Per Resolution 6262]	ALS	Current LA County Rate Current LA County Rate				Set by Resolution	134
20-084	Ambulance Transport	Ambulance transport with basic life support. [Per Resolution 6262]	BLS (Service provided by McCormick Ambulance)					Set by Resolution	926
			PLANNING FEES						
		Use Permits:							J
20-085		Review an application for use permit for conformity with code requirements.	Use Permit	\$6,396	\$8,393	(\$1,997)	\$8,393		3
		Master Use Permits:							
20-086	Use Permit	Review an application for a master use permit for conformity with code	Master	\$9,875	\$10,908	(\$1,033)	\$10,908		1
20-087		requirements. Review an application to amend a master use permit for conformity with code requirements	Amendment	\$5,126	\$7,414	(\$2,288)	\$7,414		1
20-088		Review an application for a conversion to a master use permit from a use permit for conformity with code requirements.	Conversion	\$4,704	\$4,659	\$45	\$4,659		3
20-089		Review an application for a Commercial Planned Development for conformity with code requirements.	Commercial	\$9,342	\$5,035	\$4,307	\$5,035		1
20-090	Planned Development	Review an application for a Residential Planned Development for conformity with code requirements.	Residential	\$6,244	\$8,393	(\$2,149)	\$8,393		0
20-091		Review an application for a Sr. Citizen Residential Planned Development for conformity with code requirements.	Sr. Citizen Residential	\$6,244	\$8,393	(\$2,149)	\$8,393		0
20-092		Review an application for a coastal	Administrative	\$1,324	\$1,509	(\$185)	\$1,509		28
20-093	Coastal Davidonment	development that involves a public hearing in an appealable area or an administrative permit, or a request to transfer an ownership of a coastal development	Hearing	\$4,871	\$3,948	\$923	\$3,948		3
20-094	Permit		Hearing w / another discretionary application	\$2,142	\$1,940	\$202	\$1,940		8
20-095			Transfer	\$165	\$155	\$10	\$155		0
20-096	Variance	Review an application for a variance from the terms of the Zoning Code.		\$6,184	\$8,421	(\$2,237)	\$8,421		1
20-097	Minor Exception	Review a proposed minor exception from the terms of the Zoning Code.	Without Notice - Small Project or Revision	\$1,477	\$353	\$1,124	\$1,442		12
20-098			With Notice or larger project or 3,000+ sq. ft.	\$1,985	\$1,575	\$410	\$1,575		27
20-099	Sign Exception	Review a proposed sign exception from the	terms of the Zoning Code.	\$4,082	\$3,125	\$957	\$3,125		0

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-100	Environmental Assessment	Reviewing circumstances and preparing an or not a proposed project will have an adve accordance with CEQA requirements (Same	rse impact on the environment in	\$3,133	\$3,156	(\$23)	\$3,156		1
20-101		Reviewing a tentative parcel (4 or fewer	Administrative	\$1,333	\$1,397	(\$64)	\$1,397		5
20-102	Hentative Parcel Man	lots / units) map to identify any special conditions and determine extent to which	Hearing	\$3,622	\$3,546	\$76	\$3,546	\$3,546 \$1,301 \$4,074	1
20-103		it complies with appropriate code and State Subdivision Map Act Requirements.	Hearing w / another discretionary application	\$1,402	\$1,301	\$101	\$1,301		3
20-104	Tentative Tract Man	Reviewing a tentative tract map (more than 4 lots or units) to identify any special	Hearing	\$4,134	\$4,074	\$60	\$4,074		0
20-105	Review	State Subdivision wap Act Requirements.	Hearing w / another discretionary application	\$1,338	\$1,493	(\$155)	\$1,493		0
20-106	II of Line Adjustment	Reviewing the proposed change to the prop fewer lots and issuing a certificate of compl	•	\$1,153	\$1,184	(\$31)	\$1,184		5
20-107	Certificate of Compliance	Review of records in order to determine con Act.		\$1,653	\$1,652	\$1	\$1,652		1
20-108	Development Permit Amendment	Review an application for amending a Use P Agreement and Residential, Commercial, or Development.	•	\$4,949	\$5,035	(\$86)	\$5,035		2
20-109		Review an application for a Telecommunications Antenna Permit in order to ensure that it conforms to code requirements.	New - Private Property (Macro, Tower ot other that is NOT a Small Cell or eligible facility)	\$2,746	\$2,428	\$318	\$2,428		1
20-110			(Macro, Tower ot other that is NOT a Small Cell or eligible	\$1,172	\$1,706	(\$534)	\$1,706		2
20-111			New in Public R-O-W (Tower or similar)	\$3,118	\$2,951	\$167	\$2,951		12
20-112	Telecomm. Antenna Permit		New Ammendment - Public ROW (Small Cell or eligible facility only) OR Ammendment Public ROW - (Tower only or similar)	\$1,358	\$2,307	(\$949)	\$2,307		10
20-113			New or Ammendment antenna on City property	\$0	\$2,307		\$2,307	New Fee	2
20-114			Appeal of Directors decision for public ROW to Hearing officer	Hearing Officer Rate	Hearing Officer Rate	\$0	Hearing Officer Rate		0
20-115		Add on fee for all Telecom Permits as needed for consultants	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$0	Actual Cost		0	
7U-11h	•	Review of a small day care center to ensure requirements	that it complies with code	\$329	\$334	(\$5)	\$334		0

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-117	Large Family Day Care Home Permit	Review an application for a permit for a larg that it complies with code requirements, as		\$1,225	\$1,224	\$1	\$1,224		0
20-118		Review an initial application for Class I (ongoing) permit or a Class II (one-occasion) which allows for entertainment either	Class I	\$607	\$612	(\$5)	\$612		0
20-119	Group Entertainment Permit	incidental with the business being conducted or for which admission is being charged.	Class II	\$662	\$670	(\$8)	\$670		0
20-120		Review an application for renewing an ongoing Class I Group Entertainment Permit.	Renewal	\$424	\$418	\$6	\$418		0
20-121	Alcohol License Public Determination	Review of a public determination of conven alcohol license	lience and necessity of a proposed	\$1,828	\$950	\$878	\$1,826		0
20-122	Alcohol / Live Music	Add-on to specific development permits with	th alcohol or live music.	\$110	\$108	\$2	\$108		5
20-123		Review an application for an owner of bodywork (massage) business for compliance with City codes and standards.	Application - Owner	\$399	\$411	(\$12)	\$411		0
20-124	Bodywork (Massage)	Review an application to change a business location for a bodywork operation.	Business Location Change	\$346	\$358	(\$12)	\$358		0
20-125		Review documentation of a bodywork (massage) application which is associated with another special type of business and meets certain criteria.	Exemption	\$346	\$199	\$147	\$199		0
20-126		Review an application for a permanent	Single Tenant	\$325	\$361	(\$36)	\$361		15
20-127		sign for conformity with code	Multi Tenant	\$489	\$510	(\$21)	\$510		19
20-128	Sign Permit	requirements.	Face Change	\$129	\$139	(\$10)	\$139		8
20-129 20-130		Review an application for a temporary sign for conformity with code requirements. **Performance Bond also required.	Temporary	\$227	\$247	(\$20)	\$247		9
20-131	Sign Program	Administrative review of an application for code requirements.	a sign program for conformity with	\$797	\$830	(\$33)	\$830		2
20-132		Review an application for an	Standard	\$787	\$816	(\$29)	\$816		2
20-133	Temporary Use Permit	administrative permit for a temporary use permit.	Major	\$787	\$1,193	(\$406)	\$1,193		0
20-134	Home Occupation Permit	Review an application for a home occupation zoning regulations.	on business use for conformity with	\$65	\$68	(\$3)	\$68		63
20-135	Appeals	Process an appeal to the Planning Commission of an administrative decision. This fee is set by Council Policy.	Appeal to PC - Admin	\$500	\$3,039	(\$2,539)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	6
20-136		Appeal an administrative decision to the City Council. <i>This fee is set by Council Policy</i> .	Appeal to CC - Admin	\$500	\$3,039	(\$2,539)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	1

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-137		Process an appeal to the City Council of the PPIC - related to traffic . This fee is set by Council Policy.	Appeal to CC - PPIC (Traffic)	\$500	\$1,578	(\$1,078)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	2
20-138		Process an appeal to the City Council of the PPIC - related to encroachment. <i>This fee is set by Council Policy</i> .	Appeal to CC - PPIC (Encroachment)	\$500	\$1,947	(\$1,447)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	2
20-139		Process an appeal to the City Council of a Planning Commission Decision. <i>This fee is</i> set by Council Policy.	Appeal to CC - PC	\$500	\$1,993	(\$1,493)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	1
20-140	Continuance	Review of a request by the applicant to continue the review of a development	Standard	\$460	\$455	\$5	\$455		4
20-141		application to a future meeting prior to the meeting.	Extra Meeting	\$2,892	\$1,482	\$1,410	\$2,899		1
20-142	Time Extension Plan	Review administratively a request for an extension of time to complete a planning entitlement.	Administrative	\$327	\$334	(\$7)	\$334		0
20-143	Review	Review an application for a time extension for completing a planning entitlement based upon the discretion of the Planning Commission.	Discretionary	\$2,334	\$2,332	\$2	\$2,332		0
20-144		Review a permit for a right-of-way (permanent) private encroachment.	R-O-W Development	\$1,624	\$1,770	(\$146)	\$1,770		33
20-145	Encroachment Permit	Review a permit for transfer, revision, or minor permanent private encroachment.	Transfer / Revision / Minor	\$758	\$767	(\$9)	\$767		36
20-146	City Fence Agreement	Review of a proposed non-standard fence v	which abuts the public right-of-way	\$319	\$353	(\$34)	\$353		1
20-147		Processing a request to number or re-	Minor	\$339	\$348	(\$9)	\$348		15
20-148	Address Process	number a building lot.	Major	\$899	\$954	(\$55)	\$954		15
20-149	Planning Extra Plan Check	An hourly fee for plan checks over the stand the Planning Dept.	dard number of plan checks within	\$151	\$136	\$15	\$136		5
20-150	Zoning Business Review	Review of a new business for conformance	with Zoning Codes.	\$68	\$68	\$0	\$68		104
20-151	Outdoor Display Permit	Review an application to issue a permit for an outdoor display of merchandise in order to ensure conformity with code requirement.		\$160	\$159	\$1	\$159		2
20-152	Temporary Encroachment Permit (Sidewalk Dining Permit)	Review an application to issue a permit for a sidewalk dining permit in order to ensure conformity with code requirements.		\$283	\$192	\$91	\$192		2
20-153	Zoning Report	Providing written report on the zoning regulations for a particular property.		\$535	\$553	(\$18)	\$553		2
20-154	Zoning Code Interpretation	Reviewing a request for an interpretation o zoning and issuing a report on it.	f the Municipal Code regarding	\$463	\$466	(\$3)	\$466		2

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-155	Final Parcel Map Review	Reviewing final parcel map to determine ex appropriate code requirements. **Map Copy Deposit of \$500	tent to which it complies with	\$539	\$601	(\$62)	\$601		7
20-156	Plan Check / Inspection -	Review an application for landscape and	SFR 0 - 7,500 Sq. Ft.	\$595	\$503	\$92	\$503		3
20-157	Landscape & Irrigation	irrigation to conform to code requirements.	MFR / Comm. / SFR > 7,500 Sq. Ft.	\$1,122	\$916	\$206	\$916		2
20-158	Reasonable Accommodation Process	Review a request to receive a reasonable ac persons	ccommodation for disabled	0	\$343		\$343	New Fee	3
20-159	Precise Development Plan - Affordable Housing	Reviewing a precise development plan spec requirements.	ific to affordable housing	0	\$4,077		\$4,077	New Fee	1
20-160	Site Development Plan	Review a site development plan for Multi-Foor more units.	amily Housing developments of 6	0	\$6,388		\$6,388	New Fee	1
20-161	Emergency Shelters - PS and IP zones only	Review of emergency shelters for conforma	<u> </u>	0	\$2,583		\$2,583	New Fee	1
20-162	Mills Act Contract	contract Maintenance is an ongoing Annual approval of the Contract and annually there contract. If done separately from Landmark fees shall apply. If done the same time as dowill apply.	eafter for the life of the Mills Act Designation, then the following	0	\$7,455		\$7,455	New Fee	1
20-163			Landmark	0	\$8,015		\$8,015	New Fee	1
20-164	Historic Preservation	Review of applications for historic	Historic District	0	\$8,015		\$8,015	New Fee	1
20-165	Designation	preservation designation.	Conservation District	0	\$4,160		\$4,160	New Fee	1
20-166			Amendment or Recession	0	\$6,681		\$6,681	New Fee	1
20-167	Historic Preservation		Administrative	0	\$2,146		\$2,146	New Fee	1
20-168	Certificate of	Review of Historic Preservation Certificate of appropriateness.	Commission	0	\$8,633		\$8,633	New Fee	1
20-169	Appropriateness		Economic Hardship	0	\$4,838		\$4,838	New Fee	1
20-170			Coastal Permit - 100ft radius	0	\$182		\$182	New Fee	30
20-171			Large Family Day Care - 100 ft radius	0	\$56		\$56	New Fee	1
20-172	Noticing Fees	Support associated with conducting	Minor Exception - 300 ft radius	0	\$129		\$129	New Fee	3
20-173		noticing on planning applications.	Other Permits - 300-500 ft radius	0	\$263		\$263	New Fee	45
20-174			Code, General Plan, or Zoning Amendments	0	\$588		\$588	New Fee	1

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
			TRAFFIC ENGINEERING			ı			
20-175	Development (Parking)	Review of parking / traffic conditions for development permits, including	Development Permits	\$1,149	\$879	\$270	\$879		15
20-176	Traffic Review	environmental assessment and amendment to development permits.	Environmental Assessment / Amendment to Dev. Permits	\$711	\$1,516	(\$805)	\$1,516		1
20-177	Reserved Parking	Reserve parking per vehicle or moving van permit.	Per Parking Space	\$80	\$76	\$4	\$76		304
20-178	Parking Request	Administrative Review of a parking-related zone or disabled parking space. This fee is set by Council Policy	issue, such as a request for a red	\$100	\$460	(\$360)	\$460	Set by Council Policy	25
20-179	Traffic Request	Administrative Review of a limited scale tra request for installation of a crosswalk or tra This fee is set by Council Policy	· ·	\$100	\$460	(\$360)	\$460	Set by Council Policy	5
20-180	Stop Sign Request (2nd Request)	Processing a request to install a stop sign for This fee is set by Council Policy	ollowing initial denial / approval.	\$500	\$2,128	(\$1,628)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	0
20-181	Appeal to PPIC	Appeal an administrative decision to the Parking & Public Improvement	Traffic	\$500	\$3,098	(\$2,598)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	0
20-182	жиреан to FFIC	Commission. This fee is set by Council Policy	Encroachment	\$500	\$3,778	(\$3,278)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	0
20-183	Construction Management and Parking Plan Review Fee	Supplemental traffic and parking review of remodels or minor projects.	Per Location		\$102		\$102	New Fee	36
		BUIL	DING DIVISION FEES - FLAT AND M	ISCELLANEOUS					
20-184	Building / Trades Permit Extension	Extension of building, mechanical, electrial, or plumbing permit prior to building permit expiration	Permit Extension	\$108	\$76	\$32	\$76		50
20-185	Building / Trades Permit Reinstatement	Reinstatement of an expired building, mechanical, electrical, or plumbing permit. [See MBMC 9.01.050]	Permit Reinstatement	\$0	\$148		\$148		20
20-186	Building / Trades Plan Check Extension	Extension of building, mechanical, electrical, or plumbing plan check prior to plan check expiration	Plan Check Extension	\$108	\$76	\$32	\$76	New Fee	50
20-187	Building / Trades Plan Check Reinstatement	Reinstatement of expired plan check associated with building, mechanical, electrical, or plumbing permits.	Plan Check Reinstatement	\$0	\$114		\$114	New Fee	20
20-188	Building / Trades Extra	Plan Checks over the standard number of plan checks or for non-standard	Processing Fee	\$53	\$65	(\$12)	\$65		169
20-189	Plan Check	applications.	Hourly Rate	\$149	\$161	(\$12)	\$161		122

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-190	Re-Inspection / Extra	Request for a reinspection or extra inspection over the standard number of	Processing Fee	\$35	\$65	(\$30)	\$65		8
20-191	Inspection	inspections (3) of a building site. (1-hr minimum)	Hourly Rate	\$125	\$138	(\$13)	\$138		24
20-192	Custom Building Inspection	Inspection requested on a non-inspector	Base Fee (4hrs)	\$535	\$582	(\$47)	\$582		5
20-193	custom building inspection	working day. (4-hr min.)	Each Addl. Hour	\$125	\$138	(\$13)	\$138		2
20-194	Construction Operation	Reviewing an application for construction	Request for Interior Commercial	\$186	\$149	\$37	\$149		12
20-195	After Hours Application	operation for work done after hours.	Request for Exterior Commercial	\$186	\$733	(\$547)	\$733	Modified Structure	2
20-196	Building Demolition	Review and inspection of a building demolition to ensure compliance with City	Partial	\$544	\$620	(\$76)	\$620		146
20-197	building Demolition	Codes.	Full	\$544	\$423	121	\$423		50
20-198	Moving a Building	Review an application for moving a building within the City.		\$3,353	Actual Cost	0	Actual Cost		0
20-199	Construction Site Sign	Processing and production of contractor	Base Fee	\$26	\$32	(\$6)	\$32		100
20-200	Production	information signs for construction sites.	Per Sign	\$30	\$30	\$0	\$30		100
20-201	Building Permit Transfer	Transfer the ownership of a permit.		\$53	\$65	(\$12)	\$65		10
20-202	Residential Bldg Records	Provide a building records report on an	Per Application	\$309	\$294	\$15	\$294		450
20-203	Report	address.	Duplicate	\$53	\$43	\$10	\$43		40
20-204	Staging Residential	Review request for staging for residential properties.		\$761	\$295	\$466	\$295		40
20-205	Temporary Certificate of	Review request for a temporary Certificate of Occupancy to allow for occupancy	Certificate	\$1,760	\$666	\$1,094	\$666		40
20-206	Occupancy	before the final certificate is issued.	Extension	\$237	\$302	(\$65)	\$302		0
20-207	Board of Building Appeals	Processing an appeal of a Building Administrative Decision to the Board of Building Appeals.		\$488	\$938	(\$450)	\$938		0
20-208	Comm Dev Refund Processing	Processing a refund of a Community Development fee due to the actions of the applicant.		\$92	\$112	(\$20)	\$112		50
20-209			Base Fee	\$35	\$43	(\$8)	\$43		1400
20-210		Retaining a permanent copy of records in Community Development.	Digital Copy	\$53	\$65	(\$12)	\$65		10

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-211			Data Extraction:	\$67	\$83	(\$16)	\$83		2
20-212	Garage Sale Permit	Review an application for a garage and yard sale permit. The municipal code allows 3 permits per household per year.		\$8	\$11	(\$3)	\$10		37
	Building Permits (Combinat	ion)							
20-213			Up to 500 sq ft	0	\$825		\$825	Modified Structure	70
20-214	Kitchen / Bathroom	Review and inspection of residential	501-1,000 sq ft	0	\$1,100		\$1,100	Modified Structure	15
20-215	Remodel	kitchen / bathroom remodels	1,000+ sq ft	0	\$1,375		\$1,375	Modified Structure	3
20-216			Each addl 500 sq. ft.	0	\$287		\$287	Modified Structure	1
20-217		Review and inspection of new pool or spa	Residential	\$259	\$978	(\$719)	\$978	Modified Structure	64
20-218	New Pool / Spa	being installed.	Commercial	\$259	\$1,423	(\$1,164)	\$1,423	Modified Structure	1
20-219		Review and inspection of new pool or spa	Residential	\$259	\$1,560	(\$1,301)	\$1,560	Modified Structure	2
20-220	New Pool / Spa with Vault	with a vault	Commercial	\$259	\$2,037	(\$1,778)	\$2,037	Modified Structure	1
	Building Permits (Miscelland	eous)				L			
20-221		Deview of a relienting and sixted with	51-1,000 CY	\$220	\$1,002	(\$782)	\$1,277		20
20-222	Grading Fees - Plan Check	Review of application associated with reviewing different grading categories	1,001-10,000 CY	\$220	\$1,245	(\$1,025)	\$1,657		29
20-223		reviewing directions grading editegories	10,001-100,000 CY	\$343	\$1,487	(\$1,144)	\$2,037		4
20-224			500 sq. ft.	\$780	\$1,189	(\$409)	\$1,189		5
20-225	Shoring Plan Check and	Reviewing and inspection of shoring	1,000 sq. ft.	\$1,201	\$1,622	(\$421)	\$1,622		30
20-226	Inspection	requirements	3,000 sq. ft.	\$3,713	\$1,812	\$1,901	\$1,812		15
20-227			5,000 sq. ft.	\$4,501	\$2,330	\$2,171	\$2,330		3
20-228		Review and inspect Solar / PV Permits for	Residential	\$100	\$703	(\$603)	\$100	Set by Council Policy	65
20-229	Solar Permit Plan Check	building and fire codes [Plan Check and Inspection are set by	Commercial up to 50 kw	\$100	\$1,577	(\$1,477)	\$1,577	Set by Council Policy	42
20-230		council at \$50 each and both are required for permit issuance]	Commercial 51-250 kw	\$100	\$1,835	(\$1,735)	\$1,835	Set by Council Policy	1

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-231	Summary of Accessibility Upgrades for Commercial	Review of accessibility upgrade hardship	Existing Buildings Valued less than LA County Accessibility Code	\$286	\$1,132	(\$846)	\$1,132		10
20-232	Projects	application.	Existing Buildings Valued more than LA County Accessibility Code	\$286	\$1,512	(\$1,226)	\$1,512		2
20-233	Spa	Review and inspection of residential pool and spa remodels for each discipline reviewed (electrical, plumbing, mechanical)	Remodel - per discipline	\$259	\$655	(\$396)	\$655		5
20-234	Tenant Improvement Commercial Pool / Spa	Review and inspection of commercial pool and spa remodels for each discipline reviewed (electrical, plumbing, mechanical)	TI - per discipline	\$259	\$1,043	(\$784)	\$1,043		1
20-235			Up to 500 sq. ft.		\$954		\$954	Modified Structure	103
20-236	Residential Room Addition	Review and inspection of residential room	501-1,000 sq. ft.		\$1,553		\$1,553	Modified Structure	18
20-237	/ Remodel	addition and / or remodel.	1,000+ sq. ft.		\$1,877		\$1,877	Modified Structure	35
20-238			Each addl 500 sq. ft. above 1,000 sq. ft.		\$287		\$287	Modified Structure	20
20-239		Review and inspection of window / door	Up to 5		\$550		\$550	Modified Structure	23
20-240	Windows / Doors	permits per City standard form.	Greater than 5		\$687		\$687	Modified Structure	30
20-241			Up to 400 sq. ft.		\$1,208		\$1,208	Modified Structure	1
20-242	Tent Permit (Building)	Review and inspection of temporary tents	401-1,500 sq. ft.		\$1,831		\$1,831	Modified Structure	4
20-243			1,500+ sq. ft.		\$3,009		\$3,009	Modified Structure	1
20-244			Up to 500 sq. ft.		\$2,312		\$2,312	Modified Structure	3
20-245		Review and inspection of standalone decks / porches / patios / pergolas. Gazebos	Greater than 500 sq. ft.		\$3,243		\$3,243	Modified Structure	2
20-246			Addl 500 sq. ft.		\$436		\$436	Modified Structure	1
20-247		Review and inspection of standalone	All Others		\$836		\$836	Modified Structure	10
20-248		fences greater than 6"	ROW Adjacent		\$946		\$946	Modified Structure	2
20-249	Retaining Wall	Review and inspection of retaining walls	Retaining Wall		\$1,362		\$1,362	Modified Structure	50

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-250	Block Walls	and block walls.	Block Wall		\$917		\$917	Modified Structure	10

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-251		Review and inspection of re-roofing projects for residential and commercial	Residential		\$542		\$542	Modified Structure	233
20-252			Commercial - Up to 1,500 sq. ft.		\$542		\$542	Modified Structure	185
20-253	Re-Roof	Note: Does not include reroof with solar. Separate permit required for solar panels.	Commercial - 1,501-5,000 sq. ft.		\$610		\$610	Modified Structure	5
20-254			Commercial - Greater than 5,000 sq. ft.		\$679		\$679	Modified Structure	1
20-255			Commercial - Each Addl. 1,000 sq.ft. above 5,000 sq ft.		\$103		\$103	Modified Structure	0
20-256	Re-Stuccoing / Siding / Façade	Review and inspection of standalone restucco / siding / façade projects.			\$687		\$687	Modified Structure	10
	Mechanical, Electrical, and I	Plumbing Permits							
	Electrical								
20-257	Miscellaneous Electrical Permit	Review and inspection of standard and stan projects.	dalone over the counter electrical	\$68	\$315	(\$247)	\$315		54
20-258	Temporary Power Pole	Review and inspection for each temporary p	power pole or piggy-back pole.	\$112	\$315	(\$203)	\$315		31
20-259	EV Charaina Shakian	Review and inspection of EV Charging	Residential	0	\$422		\$422	New Fee	12
20-260	EV Charging Station	Stations	Commercial	0	\$529		\$529	New Fee	4
20-261	Battery Backup	Review, inspect and issue permit for battery	/ backups.	0	\$422		\$422	New Fee	43
20-262	Residential Remodel / Addition	Electrical upgrades to residential additions or remodel projects	per sq. ft.	0	\$0.74		\$0.74	Modified Structure	120
20-263	Commercial Tenant Improvement	Electrical upgrades, additions, or improvement to commercial / non-residential projects	per sq. ft.	О	\$0.58		\$0.58	Modified Structure	60
	Mechanical								
20-264	Miscellaneous Mechanical Permit	Review and inspection of standard and stan mechanical permits.	dalone over the counter	\$68	\$283	(\$215)	\$283		1

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-265	HVAC Permit	Review and inspection of HVAC permits	New / Relocate	\$68	\$670	(\$602)	\$670		36
20-266	TOTAL PERMIT	Review and inspection of rivac permits	Replacement / Change-Out	\$68	\$464	(\$396)	\$464		28
20-267	Residential Remodel / Addition	Mechanical upgrades to residential additions or remodel projects	per sq. ft.	0	\$0.65		\$0.65	Modified Structure	60
20-268	Commercial Tenant Improvement	Mechanical upgrades, additions, or improvement to commercial / non-residential projects	per sq. ft.	0	\$0.56		\$0.56	Modified Structure	20
	Plumbing								
20-269	Miscellaneous Plumbing Permit	Review and inspection of standard and stan permits.	dalone over the counter plumbing	\$68	\$315	(\$247)	\$315		46
20-270	Water Heater Permit	Review and inspection of water heater perr	nit	\$92	\$283	(\$191)	\$283		20
20-271	Cesspool Removal Fee	Review and inspection for cesspool remova	I	О	\$335		\$335	New Fee	0
20-272	Residential Remodel / Addition	Plumbing upgrades to residential additions or remodel projects	per sq. ft.	0	\$0.65		\$0.65	New Fee	40
20-273	Commercial Tenant Improvement	Plumbing upgrades, additions, or improvement to commercial / non-residential projects	per sq. ft.	0	\$0.56		\$0.56	New Fee	20
	Code Enforcement Fees								
20-274	Violation Inspection Fee	Per hour violation inspection fee for code e	nforcement violations (2-hr min.)	0	\$232		\$232	New Fee	500
20-275	Non-Compliance Fee	Per Hour fee for non-compliance related ins	spections (6-hr min)	0	\$697		\$697	New Fee	200
			RIGHT-OF-WAY (ROW) FEE	S					
20-276			Pedestrian Canopy	\$247	\$310	(\$63)	\$310		1
20-277	Temporary Encroachment		Temp Fencing	\$247	\$310	(\$63)	\$310		1
20-278	Permit - In ROW for		Scaffolding	\$247	\$310	(\$63)	\$310		2
20-279	Extended Period of Time		Extend	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
20-280			Reinstate	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-281			POD/ Roll-Off Bin or Lowboy	\$130	\$398	(\$268)	\$398		16
20-282			Crane	\$247	\$290	(\$43)	\$290		18
20-283			Concrete Pour	\$247	\$290	(\$43)	\$290		4
20-284	Street Use Permit -		Delivery/Hauling of Materials	\$247	\$290	(\$43)	\$290		8
20-285	Temporary Use of Street		Storage of Materials	\$2 47	\$290	(\$43)	\$290		1
20-286	Affecting Traffic		Equipment / Material Staging	\$247	\$290	(\$43)	\$290		0
20-287			Deposit for POD / Roll-Off Bin	\$465	\$465	\$0	\$465		25
20-288			Add-Ons	\$0	\$53		\$53	New Fee	0
20-289			Extend	\$0	\$53		\$53	New Fee	0
20-290			Sandblasting	\$247	\$227	\$20	\$227		1
20-291			Vehicle on Strand or Walk Street	\$340	\$447	(\$107)	\$447		60
20-292	Public Works Permit - Generally Requires Special		Over Quantitative Discharge	\$240	\$227	\$13	\$227		0
20-293	Rules or Review		Well Monitoring	\$0	\$227		\$227	New Fee	0
20-294			Add-Ons	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
20-295			Extend	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
			Non-Utility Excavation						
20-296			Curb & Gutter	\$231	\$557	(\$326)	\$557		140
20-297			Sidewalk	\$231	\$557	(\$326)	\$557		9
20-298			Driveway Approach	\$231	\$557	(\$326)	\$557		11
20-299			Add-Ons	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
20-300			Extend	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
20 204			Utility Excavation	ćana	će e z	(6161)	ć		
20-301			Sewer Line	\$393	\$557	(\$164)	\$557		8
20-302 20-303			Water Line Undergrrounding	\$393 \$393	\$557 \$557	(\$164) (\$164)	\$557 \$557		3
20-303	Excavation Permit -		Sewer/Water Line Combo	\$393	\$557 \$557	(\$164) (\$164)	\$557 \$557		46
20-304	Involves Breaking		Add-Ons	\$333 \$0	\$60	(3104)	\$60	New Fee	0
20-306	Ground/Infrastructure		Extend	\$0 \$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
20 300			Utility Company Excavation	70	700		700	New rec	
20-307			0-25 l.f.	\$393	\$600	(\$207)	\$600		150
20-308			0-25 l.f per l.f.	7220	\$35	(4-07)	\$35		0
20-309			26-100 l.f.	\$503	\$1,476	(\$973)	\$1,476		1
20-310			26-100 l.f per l.f.	\$2	\$6	(\$4)	\$6		0
20-311			100+ l.f.	\$650	\$1,947	(\$1,297)	\$1,947		1
20-312			100+ l.f per l.f.	\$2	\$2	\$0	\$2		0
20-313			Extra Inspections - per hr	\$0	\$110		\$110	New Fee	0
20-314			Extend	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-315			Simple	\$247	\$106	\$141	\$106		239
20-316			Complex / Custom (incl. 1-hr of inspection)	\$931	\$453	\$478	\$453		163
20-317	Lane Closure - Secondary Permit Only		Extra Inspections - per hr	\$0	\$110		\$110	New Fee	0
20-318	,		Add-Ons	\$0	\$25		\$25	New Fee	0
20-319			Extend	\$0	\$25		\$25	New Fee	0
20-320 20-321 20-322	Oversize Permit		Individual Annual Extend	\$16 \$90 \$0	\$106 \$85 \$25	(\$90) \$5	\$106 \$85 \$25	Now Foo	120 2 0
20-322			PUBLIC WORKS FEES	ŞU	\$25		Ş2 5	New Fee	U
	ADMINISTRATIVE								
20-323		Assist residents with the daily rental of barricades without and with flasher, 8ft. In length, delineators, 18 inch cones and temporary no parking cardboard signs for block parties. This permit includes two 8' Street Closure Barricades.	Block Party Package	\$26	\$41	(\$15)	\$41		37
20-324	Barricade Rental	Assist residents with the daily rental of delineators, 18 inch cones and temporary no parking cardboard signs for moving purposes.	Moving Package - Standard	\$30	\$43	(\$13)	\$43		126
20-325		*Includes the price of the delineators and signs.	Moving Package - Deluxe	\$45	\$54	(\$9)	\$54		51
	CIVIL ENGINEERING					1			
20-326	Final Tract Man Poviow	Reviewing the final tract map to determine extent to which it complies with appropriate code requirements. **Map Copy Deposit of \$500	Application	\$748	\$852	(\$104)	\$852		1
20-327		Review a request for a new or relocated utility pole.	Standard	\$2,091	\$2,336	(\$245)	\$2,336		0
20-328	New / Relocate Utility Pole	Review a request for a new or relocated utility pole requiring PPIC review.	PPIC Review	\$2,614	\$2,941	(\$327)	\$2,941		0
20-329		Service fee associated with setting up,	Simple Projects (Under \$100k)	\$0	\$115		\$115	New Fee	0
20-330	Online Bid and Proposal	loading digital plans, specifications and other bidding documents on-line to facilitate bid submittal online by	Moderately Complex Projects (\$100k-\$500k)	\$0	\$115		\$115	New Fee	0
20-331	Service Fee	contractors.	Complex Projects (Greater than \$500k)	\$0	\$115		\$115	New Fee	0

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-332		Service fee associated with uploading the el Quotation sheets to enable submission of ir		\$0	\$54		\$54	New Fee	0
	TREES		4						
20-333			Dead / Dying Tree	\$322	\$427	(\$105)	\$427		9
20-334	Tree Permit - Private	Remove, replace, or protect a tree on	Removal / Replacement	\$481	\$509	(\$28)	\$509		9
20-335	Property	private property under the terms of the Tree Ordinance.	Protection	\$352	\$799	(\$447)	\$799		6
20-336			Removal in Public Right-of-Way	\$210	\$295	(\$85)	\$295		37
20-337			Private Property	\$65	\$83	(\$18)	\$83		1
20-338	Tree Trimming Permit	Review and inspect tree trimming request.	In Public ROW	\$65	\$138	(\$73)	\$138		1
	UTILITIES								l .
20-339	Commercial SUSMP Review	Review of a commercial stormwater mitigat national and local stormwater standards.	tion plan for compliance with	\$776	\$846	(\$70)	\$846		5
20-340	Temporary Water Meter	Install or move a temporary 3" fire hydrant meter at a construction site. **Meter	Installation	\$97	\$241	(\$144)	\$241		10
20-341	Rental	deposit of \$1,500 required.	Move	\$77	\$145	(\$68)	\$145		5
20-342	-Water Meter Test	Field or bench calibration of a water meter upon a request by a resident or business.	5/8' - 1" meter	\$250	\$355	(\$105)	\$355		0
20-343	water weter rest	**Charges are refundable if meter is running fast.	1.5"+ meter	\$327	\$433	(\$106)	\$433		0
20-344	Water Service Turn-On	Turning on water service after water service has been turned off to a residence or business for contractor to work on water system or for non-payment of water bill. **\$15 collection for payment in the field.	Monday - Thursday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm	\$47	\$154	(\$107)	\$154		80
20-345		***5% Penalty on unpaid water bills (per Resolution 5726).	Afterhours, weekends, or holidays	\$218	\$369	(\$151)	\$369		30
20-346		Installation of new water meter upon request	3/4" - 1" meter	\$71	\$96	(\$25)	\$96		60
20-347	Water Meter Installation Inspection	**Material costs not included	1" - 2" meter	\$122	\$164	(\$42)	\$164		0
20-348			Greater than 2" meter	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	0	Actual Cost		0

Reference Number	Category	Description	Additional Information	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Condtion	Estimated Annual Volume
20-349		Annual inspection of kitchen equipment/fixtures and Best Management	Initial Inspection	\$200	\$193	\$7	\$193		107
	Restaurant Inspections	Practices for compliance with stormwater and wastewater regulation compliance.	Follow-up Inspection	\$109	\$139	(\$30)	\$139		2
	Inspection for Stormwater	Annual inspection of kitchen equipment / fix practices for compliance with stormwater re**Plus additional County Fees	J	\$204	\$221	(\$17)	\$221		177
20-352	Waste Management Plan	Review & processing of the plan and weight remodel over \$100,000 in value for its wast	•	\$252	\$280	(\$28)	\$280		352

2019 Proposed New Fees Table

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Consideration	Estimated Annual Volume
		FIRE						
		Single-Family Units						
	Review issue and inspect a reoccurring	0-2,000 SF	\$223	\$232	(\$9)	\$232		84
	armaar rice beparament permit ander the most	2,000-10,000 SF	\$223	\$349	(\$126)	\$349		29
Fire Code Annual Permit /	recent version of the California Fire Code	10,000+ SF	\$223	\$465	(\$242)	\$465		2
State Mandated Fire	1.00 1.00 0.00 .00 0.00	Complex Building	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$0	Actual Cost		2
Inspections	annual fire and life safety inspection of day care or public institutions as listed in the State	Multi-Family Units		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1			
	Fire Code.	3-10 units	\$0	\$232		\$232	New Fee	240
		11-20 units	\$0	\$349		\$349	New Fee	54
		20+ units	\$0	\$465		\$465	New Fee	6
Fire Solar System - Variance Review	Review of solar system for variance from fire code. Variance may not be granted. Cost applies regardless of outcome	Per review request	0	\$140		\$140	New Fee	5
Fire Expedited Review	Request to process plan check in an expedited manner (includes 2 rechecks).	Per request	0	\$687		\$687	New Fee	2
Fire Revision	Revision after a permit has been issued.	Revision - per revision	Actual Cost	Actual Cost		Actual Cost	New Fee	5
		PLANNING FEI	ES					
Reasonable Accommodation Process	Review a request to receive a reasonable accor	mmodation for disabled persons	0	\$343		\$343	New Fee	3
Precise Development Plan - Affordable Housing	Reviewing a precise development plan specific	to affordable housing requirements.	0	\$4,077		\$4,077	New Fee	1
Site Development Plan	Review a site development plan for Multi-Family units.	y Housing developments of 6 or more	0	\$6,388		\$6,388	New Fee	1
Emergency Shelters - PS and IP zones only	Review of emergency shelters for conformance	with Zoning Code.	0	\$2,583		\$2,583	New Fee	1
Mills Act Contract	Contract Maintenance is an ongoing Annual Fer approval of the Contract and annually thereafter done separately from Landmark Designation, the done the same time as designation - add on fee	r for the life of the Mills Act contract. If then the following fees shall apply. If	0	\$7,455		\$7,455	New Fee	1
		Landmark	0	\$8,015		\$8,015	New Fee	1
Historic Preservation	Review of applications for historic preservation	Historic District	0	\$8,015		\$8,015	New Fee	1
Designation	designation.	Conservation District	0	\$4,160		\$4,160	New Fee	1
		Amendment or Recession	0	\$6,681		\$6,681	New Fee	1
		Administrative	0	\$2,146		\$2,146	New Fee	1

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Consideration	Estimated Annual Volume
Historic Preservation Certificate of Appropriateness	Review of Historic Preservation Certificate of appropriateness.	Commission	0	\$8,633		\$8,633	New Fee	1
		Economic Hardship	0	\$4,838		\$4,838	New Fee	1
		Coastal Permit - 100ft radius	0	\$182		\$182	New Fee	30
		Large Family Day Care - 100 ft radius	0	\$56		\$56	New Fee	1
Noticing Fees	Iplanning applications.	Minor Exception - 300 ft radius	0	\$129		\$129	New Fee	3
		Other Permits - 300-500 ft radius	0	\$263		\$263	New Fee	45
		Code, General Plan, or Zoning Amendments	0	\$588		\$588	New Fee	1
TRAFFIC ENGI		TRAFFIC ENGINEE	RING					
Construction Management and Parking Plan Review Fee	Supplemental traffic and parking review of remodels or minor projects.	Per Location		\$102		\$102	New Fee	36
	BUILDING	DIVISION FEES - FLAT A	ND MISCE	LLANEOU	JS			
Building / Trades Plan Check Extension	Extension of building, mechanical, electrical, or plumbing plan check prior to plan check expiration	Plan Check Extension	\$108	\$76	\$32	\$76	New Fee	50
Building / Trades Plan Check Reinstatement	Reinstatement of expired plan check associated with building, mechanical, electrical, or plumbing permits.	Plan Check Reinstatement	\$0	\$114		\$114	New Fee	20
Mechanical, Electrical, and P	lumbing Permits							
Electrical								
EV Charging Station	Review and inspection of EV Charging	Residential	0	\$422		\$422	New Fee	12
	Stations	Commercial	0	\$529		\$529	New Fee	4
Battery Backup	Review, inspect and issue permit for battery back	ckups.	0	\$422		\$422	New Fee	43
Plumbing								
Cesspool Removal Fee	Review and inspection for cesspool removal		0	\$335		\$335	New Fee	0
Residential Remodel / Addition	Plumbing upgrades to residential additions or remodel projects	per sq. ft.	0	\$0.65		\$0.65	New Fee	40
Commercial Tenant Improvement	lper sq. ft.		0	\$0.56		\$0.56	New Fee	20
Code Enforcement Fees								
Violation Inspection Fee	Per hour violation inspection fee for code enforc	ement violations (2-hr min.)	0	\$232		\$232	New Fee	500

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Consideration	Estimated Annual Volume
Non-Compliance Fee	Per Hour fee for non-compliance related inspec	tions (6-hr min)	0	\$697		\$697	New Fee	200
		RIGHT-OF-WAY (RO	W) FEES					
		Pedestrian Canopy	\$247	\$310	(\$63)	\$310		1
Temporary Encroachment		Temp Fencing	\$247	\$310	(\$63)	\$310		1
Permit - In ROW for Extended Period of Time		Scaffolding	\$247	\$310	(\$63)	\$310		2
		Extend	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
		Reinstate	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
		POD/ Roll-Off Bin or Lowboy	\$130	\$398	(\$268)	\$398		16
		Crane	\$247	\$290	(\$43)	\$290		18
Street Use Permit -		Concrete Pour	\$247	\$290	(\$43)	\$290		4
Temporary Use of Street		Delivery/Hauling of Materials	\$247	\$290	(\$43)	\$290		8
Affecting Traffic		Storage of Materials	\$247	\$290	(\$43)	\$290		1
•		Equipment / Material Staging	\$247	\$290	(\$43)	\$290		0
		Deposit for POD / Roll-Off Bin	\$465	\$465	\$0	\$465		25
		Add-Ons	\$0	\$53		\$53	New Fee	0
		Extend	\$0	\$53		\$53	New Fee	0
		Sandblasting	\$247	\$227	\$20	\$227		1
		Vehicle on Strand or Walk Street	\$340	\$447	(\$107)	\$447		60
Public Works Permit - Generally Requires Special		Over Quantitative Discharge	\$240	\$227	\$13	\$227		0
Rules or Review		Well Monitoring	\$0	\$227		\$227	New Fee	0
		Add-Ons	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
		Extend	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
		Non-Utility Excavation						
		Curb & Gutter	\$231	\$557	(\$326)	\$557		140
		Sidewalk	\$231	\$557	(\$326)	\$557		9
		Driveway Approach	\$231	\$557	(\$326)	\$557		11
		Add-Ons	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
		Extend	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
		Utility Excavation					•	
		Sewer Line	\$393	\$557	(\$164)	\$557		8
		Water Line	\$393	\$557	(\$164)	\$557		6
Evecuation Dermit Involves		Undergrrounding	\$393	\$557	(\$164)	\$557		3

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Consideration	Estimated Annual Volume
Excavation Permit - Involves		Sewer/Water Line Combo	\$393	\$557	(\$164)	\$557		46
Breaking Ground/Infrastructure		Add-Ons	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
Ground/illinustracture		Extend	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
		Utility Company Excavation						
		0-25 l.f.	\$393	\$600	(\$207)	\$600		150
		0-25 l.f per l.f.		\$35		\$35		0
		26-100 l.f.	\$503	\$1,476	(\$973)	\$1,476		1
		26-100 l.f per l.f.	\$2	\$6	(\$4)	\$6		0
		100+ l.f.	\$650	\$1,947	(\$1,297)	\$1,947		1
		100+ l.f per l.f.	\$2	\$2	\$0	\$2		0
		Extra Inspections - per hr	\$0	\$110		\$110	New Fee	0
		Extend	\$0	\$60		\$60	New Fee	0
		Simple	\$247	\$106	\$141	\$106		239
		Complex / Custom (incl. 1-hr of inspection)	\$931	\$453	\$478	\$453		163
Lane Closure - Secondary Permit Only		Extra Inspections - per hr	\$0	\$110		\$110	New Fee	0
		Add-Ons	\$0	\$25		\$25	New Fee	0
		Extend	\$0	\$25		\$25	New Fee	0
		Individual	\$16	\$106	(\$90)	\$106		120
Oversize Permit		Annual	\$90	\$85	\$5	\$85		2
		Extend	\$0	\$25		\$25	New Fee	0
CIVIL ENGINEERING								
		Simple Projects (Under \$100k)	\$0	\$115		\$115	New Fee	0
Online Bid and Proposal Service Fee S	documents on-line to facilitate bid submittal online by contractors.	Moderately Complex Projects (\$100k- \$500k)	\$0	\$115		\$115	New Fee	0
		Complex Projects (Greater than \$500k)	\$0	\$115		\$115	New Fee	0
	Service fee associated with uploading the electronic RFP and Vendor Quotation sheets to enable submission of informal quotes online.		\$0	\$54		\$54	New Fee	0

Proposed User Fees Based on Council Policy

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Consideration	Estimated Annual Volume
		POLICE FEES						1
Block Party Permit	Review an application for a block party. Set by Council policy		\$50	\$159	(\$109)	\$50	Set by Council Policy	37
	<u> </u>	PLANNING FEE	S				-	•
Appeals	Process an appeal to the Planning Commission of an administrative decision. This fee is set by Council Policy.	Appeal to PC - Admin	\$500	\$3,555	(\$3,055)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	6
	Appeal an administrative decision to the City Council. <i>This fee is set by Council Policy.</i>	Appeal to CC - Admin	\$500	\$4,501	(\$4,001)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	1
	Process an appeal to the City Council of the PPIC - related to traffic .This fee is set by Council Policy.	Appeal to CC - PPIC (Traffic)	\$500	\$1,612	(\$1,112)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	2
	Process an appeal to the City Council of the PPIC - related to encroachment. <i>This fee is</i> set by Council Policy.	Appeal to CC - PPIC (Encroachment)	\$500	\$3,271	(\$2,771)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	2
	Process an appeal to the City Council of a Planning Commission Decision. <i>This fee is</i> set by Council Policy.	Appeal to CC - PC	\$500	\$7,301	(\$6,801)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	1
		TRAFFIC ENGINEE	RING					
Parking Request	Administrative Review of a parking-related issu disabled parking space. This fee is set by Council Policy	e, such as a request for a red zone or	\$100	\$460	(\$360)	\$460	Set by Council Policy	25
Traffic Request	Administrative Review of a limited scale traffic- installation of a crosswalk or traffic calming mea This fee is set by Council Policy		\$100	\$460	(\$360)	\$460	Set by Council Policy	5
Stop Sign Request (2nd Request)	Processing a request to install a stop sign follow This fee is set by Council Policy	wing initial denial / approval.	\$500	\$2,128	(\$1,628)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	0
Appeal to PPIC	Appeal an administrative decision to the	Traffic	\$500	\$3,098	(\$2,598)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	0
пирреан то гето	Parking & Public Improvement Commission. This fee is set by Council Policy Encroachment		\$500	\$3,778	(\$3,278)	\$500	Set by Council Policy	0
		G DIVISION FEES - FLAT A	ND MISCE	LLANEOU	JS			
Building Permits (Miscell	laneous)	, ,					-	
	Review and inspect Solar / PV Permits for	Residential	\$100	\$703	(\$603)	\$100	Set by Council Policy	65

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Consideration	Estimated Annual Volume
inspection	council at \$50 each and both are required	Commercial up to 50 kw	\$100	\$1,577	(\$1,477)	\$1,577	Set by Council Policy	42
	for permit issuance]	Commercial 51-250 kw	\$100	\$1,835	(\$1,735)	\$1,835	Set by Council Policy	1

Other Fees (By Statue, Market Driven/Rental, Variable Rate/Deposit, and Fines)

BY STATUTE OR RESOLUTION

	DI STATUTE OR RESOLUTION									
Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Consideration	Estimated Annual Volume		
		CITY CLERK								
Initiative Petition Processing	A formal notice of intent to circulate an initiative petitic Election Code Section - 9202(b)]	on for a municipal measure. <i>[California</i>	\$200	\$388	(\$188)	\$200	Set by Statute	1		
Candidate Processing	Process a candidate for office in the City not to excee Section - 10228]	d \$25. [California Election Code	\$25	\$167	(\$142)	\$25	Set by Statute	4		
Candidate Statement	Process a candidate statement for publication electronically or in voter guide per <i>California Election Code Section 13307.</i>		Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$0	Actual Cost	Set by Statute	Bi-Annually 10 or less		
Reproduction Service	Making a copy of a City document upon request.	Regular Copies	\$0.10	\$1.03	(\$0.93)	\$0.10	Set by Resolution	2692		
neproduction Service	[Per City Resolution 6302]	Election Documents	\$0.25	\$3.03	(\$2.78)	\$0.25	Set by Resolution	0		
		FINANCE FEE	S							
Re-processing of checks or other payments due to insufficient funds. [California Civil Code Section	First Check	\$53	\$25	\$28	\$25	Set by Statute	77			
Funds Fee	Funds Fee 17719]		\$53	\$35	\$18	\$35	Set by Statute	0		
		POLICE FEES	<u> </u>							
DUI Accident Response/ Investigation	Responding to and investigating a DUI Accident which results in property damage, injury, or death. Actual Cost [Per California Gove Code: 53150]	Not to exceed \$12,000.00 per incident	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$0	Actual Cost	Set by Statute	50		
Duces Tecum Subpoena	Processing a duces tecum subpoena at the request of the court. *Set by State Law		\$15.00	\$15	\$0	\$15	Set by Statute	0		
Civil subpoena	Responding to a request for a civil subpoena.	Documentation	\$15.00	\$15	\$0	\$15	Set by Statute			
		Appearance	\$150.00	\$150	\$0	\$150	Set by Statute			
	Review an application for a concealed weapons permit to insure the public safety.	New	\$100.00	\$100	\$0	\$100	Set by Statute			
Concealed Weapons Permit		Renewal	\$25.00	\$25	\$0	\$25	Set by Statute			
	State background check	Amended	\$10.00	\$10	\$0	\$10	Set by Statute			
Police Reports	Producing a copy of a police report upon request. [Per City Resolution 6302]	Per Page	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0	\$0.10	Set by Resolution	50		
Vehicle Repossession	Receive and enter repossessed vehicles into the statewide computer system. [Government Code Section 41612]		\$15.00	\$15.00	\$0	\$15.00	Set by Statute	2		

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Consideration	Estimated Annual Volume
		CIVIL ENGINEER	ING					
Wide / Oversize Vehicle	To review the plans for moving a wide / oversize	Per Trip	\$16.00	\$16	\$0	\$16	Set by	0
Review	vehicle through the city.	Per Year	\$90.00	\$90	\$0	\$90	Statute 590	0
FIRE								
Ambulance transport with advanced life support. [Per Resolution 6262] Ambulance Transport ALS Current LA County Rate					Set by Resolution	134		
Ambulance Transport	Per Resolution 6262] BLS (Service provided by McCormick Ambulance) Current LA County Rate		Set by Resolution	926				
		RENTAL						
Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Consideration	Estimated Annual Volume
		PLANNING						
Long Term Rental (City Property)	Long Term commercial use of City Property - per sq.		\$3	N/A	\$0	\$3		5
	PARKS AND REC	REATION FEES (NON-PRO	OGRAM / A	ACTIVITY F	RELATED)			
	City Use Fee Per Day - Motion Picture (Major and Minor Impacts are based on cast and crew):	Major Impact Motion Picture (Per Day)	\$2,500	N/A	\$0	\$2,500		15
Film Permits Reference: LA Film Office 30		Minor Impact Motion Picture (Per Day)	\$1,500	N/A	\$0	\$1,500		30
mile zone fee schedule	City Use Fee Per Day - Still Photography (Major and Minor Impacts are based on cast and crew):	Major Impact Still Photography (Per Day)	\$500	N/A	\$0	\$500		10
		Minor Impact Still Photography (Per Day)	\$300	N/A	\$0	\$300		20
		VARIABLE RATE SE	ERVICE					
Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Consideration	Estimated Annual Volume
PUBLIC WORKS								
Street Vacation Proceedings	Process an application to vacate a public street or rigi	ht-of-way. Actual Costs	VARIABLE	N/A	\$0	VARIABLE	Actual cost of providing the service	Varies

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Consideration	Estimated Annual Volume
Damage to City Property	Repairing damage to City property by a third party. Ac	ctual Cost	VARIABLE	N/A	\$0	VARIABLE	Actual cost of providing the service	Varies
Spilled Load Clean-up	Clean spilled loads on public right-of-ways. Actual Costs		VARIABLE	N/A	\$0	VARIABLE	Actual cost of providing the service	Varies
Hazardous Materials / Sewer Spill Clean Up	Clean up a toxic (hazardous) spill or sewer spill on the public right-of-way. Actual Costs		VARIABLE	N/A	\$0	VARIABLE	Actual cost of providing the service	Varies
Nuisance Abatement	Inspecting, notifying and removing various nuisances Actual Costs	and safety hazards from private property.	VARIABLE	N/A	\$0	VARIABLE	Actual cost of providing the service	Varies
NPDES Sandbag Placement	, ,	The placement of sandbags for NPDES Storm water purposes due to the property owner not placing the required sandbags during construction. Actual Costs		N/A	\$0	VARIABLE	Actual cost of providing the service	Varies
Concrete Repair	Construct and remove concrete upon request. Actual Costs		VARIABLE	N/A	\$0	VARIABLE	Actual cost of providing the service	Varies
Asphalt Repair	Remove, replace, and clean-up asphalt upon request	. Actual Costs	VARIABLE	N/A	\$0	VARIABLE	Actual cost of providing the service	Varies
		DEPOSITS						
Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Consideration	Estimated Annual Volume
		CITY CLERK						
Development Agreement	Review an application for a development agreement to project and provide for future improvements with City Actual Cost		\$20,000	N/A	\$0	\$20,000	Actual cost of providing the service includes	1
Specific Plan	Review an application for a specific plan, and make comments and revisions as necessary for development project. Minimum Deposit - Actual Cost		\$20,000	N/A	\$0	\$20,000	Actual cost of providing the service includes	1
Specific Plan Amendment	Reviewing an application and making recommendati specific plan for consideration by the Planning Comm Actual Cos	ission and City Council. Minimum Deposit	\$20,000	N/A	\$0	\$20,000	Actual cost of providing the service includes	0
							A	

\$20,000

N/A

Reviewing an application and making recommendations regarding proposed amendments to the City's comprehensive general plan to the Planning Commission and City Council. Minimum

Deposit - Actual Cost

General Plan Amendment

Actual cost of

providing the

service

includes

1

\$20,000

\$0

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee	Special Consideration	Estimated Annual Volume
Zoning Text Amendment	Review an application to amend the text of the municipal code involving zoning. Minimum Deposit		\$20,000	N/A	\$0	\$20,000	Actual cost of providing the service includes	0
Zoning Map Amendment	Review a request to change the regulations established by zoning maps. Minimum Deposit - Actual Cost		\$20,000	N/A	\$0	\$20,000	Actual cost of providing the service includes	1
Environmental Impact Report Review	Review of an Environmental Impact Report of a proposed development. Minimum Deposit - Actual Cost		\$20,000	N/A	\$0	\$20,000	Actual cost of providing the service includes	1
Neighborhood Overlay District	Review an application for new development stand request of all the property owners in the affected		\$20,000	N/A	\$0	\$20,000	Actual cost of providing the service includes	0
Street Name Processing	Processing a request to name a street. N	Minimum Deposit - Actual Cost	\$20,000	N/A	\$0	\$20,000	Actual cost of providing the service includes	0

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee
		FINES				
Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee
		POLICE				
	Burglary - False Alarm (per calendar year)	1st Response	\$0	N/A		\$0
Police False Alarm	Response to a burglary alarm by a Police Officer where the officer can find no	2nd Response	\$190	N/A	\$0	\$190
Response	evidence of forced entry and it is concluded that the alarm was activated due to an electrical or mechanical	3rd Response	\$285	N/A	\$0	\$285
		4th Response	\$380	N/A	\$0	\$380
	Robbery/Panic - False Alarm (per calendar year)	1st Response	\$290	N/A	\$0	\$290
Police False Alarm	Response to a robbery/panic alarm by a Police Officer where the officer can find no	2nd Response	\$435	N/A	\$0	\$435
Response	evidence of forced entry and it is concluded that the alarm was activated due to an electrical or mechanical	3rd Response	\$580	N/A	\$0	\$580
	malfunction or user error within one calendar year.	4th Response	\$580	N/A	\$0	\$580
		1st Offense	\$60	N/A	\$0	\$60
ANIMAL SERVICES	Return of an animal to its owner after it was taken into custody by the City.	2nd Offense	\$120	N/A	\$0	\$120
		3rd Offense	\$185	N/A	\$0	\$185

Category	Description	Range	Current Fee	Total Cost	Net change	Proposed Fee
		FIRE				
	Decree ding to a fine plane who are it is	First 2 responses	\$0	N/A		\$0
Fire False Alarm Response	Responding to a fire alarm where it is concluded that the alarm was activated due to a system malfunction.	3rd, 4th, 5th responses	\$680	N/A	\$0	\$680
	due to a system mandifiction.		\$1,360	N/A	\$0	\$1,360
		FINANCE				
Film Permit	Request to issue permit within less than 5 days	Expediting Fee	\$500	N/A	\$0	\$500
riiii reiiiit	Cancellation of project within less than 72 hours	Cancellation Fee	\$550	N/A	\$0	\$550
Finance Penalty Provision	When the City mails an invoice the invowithin 30 days. If any fee imposed for votherwise provided for remain unpaid of to a penalty of 5% per month on the unpaid there is an unpaid balance	which penalties are not rot rot fully paid it shall be subject		N/A		