

ROUGHLY EDITED COPY
MANHATTAN BEACH CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
REMOTE BROADCAST CAPTIONING
TUESDAY, JULY 20, 2021

Services provided by:
QuickCaption, Inc.
4927 Arlington Avenue
Riverside, CA 92504
Telephone - 951-779-0787
Fax Number - 951-779-0980
quickcaption@gmail.com
www.quickcaption.com

* * * * *

This text is being provided in a rough draft format.
Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in
order to facilitate communication accessibility and **may not be
totally verbatim record of the proceedings.**

* * * * *

>> GOOD EVENING, WELCOME TO OUR ADJOURNED REGULAR MEETING ON JULY 26, 2021. WE'RE OPENING FROM OUR PREVIOUS CLOSED SESSION. I'LL ASK FOR A REPORT FROM OUR CLOSED SESSION MEETING.

>> 549, 5726, CLOSED SESSION, AND THE CONFERENCE OF THE LOCAL NEGOTIATORS. NO OTHER REPORTABLE ACTION TAKEN.

>> WE'LL CLOSE THE CLOSED SESSION AND WILL OPENING THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING JULY 26 HERE. IT IS VIA ZOOM AND IN CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS. I DON'T KNOW IF THE CAMERAS CAN SEE, THERE'S A MASK REQUIREMENT IN L.A. COUNTY. JOE AND I ARE FAR APART. I'LL PUT MY MASK ON WHEN WE COME CLOSER. WE WILL BEGIN WITH THE PLEDGE TO THE FLAG. [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. THANK YOU, NOW LISA WILL DO ROLL CALL. [ROLL CALLED].

>> I'M HERE AS WELL. THANK YOU. WE MOVE TO LETTER D, CEREMONIAL CALENDAR. FUN PART OF THE EVENING. I HAVE A DISTINCT PLEASURE OF WELCOMING VICE PRESIDENT OF OPERATIONS FROM AEROSPACE SYSTEMS SPACE PARK IN CELEBRATION OF RETIREMENT AFTER 32 YEARS OF SERVICE. I'M HAPPY TO SAY SHE AND HER FAMILY ARE HERE. I'LL DO READING AND THEN CALL YOU UP. LET ME READ AND YOU STAY COMFORTABLE. MARIA HAS BEEN A MEMBER OF SOUTH BAY FOR 36 YEARS AND BEEN HOMEOWNER FOR 18. SHE SPENT 32 YEARS IN NORTHRUP GRUMMAN. SHE GENERATED A VARIETY OF PROTOCOLS TO PROTECT PEOPLE FROM THE PANDEMIC. SHE WAS INSTRUMENTAL IN HER PARTNERSHIP TO USE THE PARKING FACILITIES DURING THEIR VACCINATIONS FOR TEACHERS AND STUDENTS. SHE LED DAY-TO-DAY OPERATIONS OF THE COMPANY'S LARGEST SITE IN THE NATION WITH MORE THAN 10,000 EMPLOYEES. SHE HAS THREE DECADES OF FUNCTIONAL MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE IN THE SPACE AND AEROSPACE INDUSTRIES NORTH OF GRUMMAN. PREVIOUSLY SHE SERVED AS VICE PRESIDENT OF JAMES WEBB VOICE TELESCOPE, SPACE ENGINEERING. DIRECTOR IN AEROSPACE SYSTEMS AND SENSING AND SCIENCE ORGANIZATIONS AND OTHER POSITIONS INCLUDING AIRBORNE COMMUNICATIONS DIRECTOR AND PROGRAM MANAGER FOR THE B2 EXTREMELY HIGH FREQUENCY INCREMENT PROGRAMS. SHE HAD SOLUTIONS FOR AIRBORNE AND PROTECT AND COMMAND CONTROL. SHE HAS PRODUCTS IN SPACE AND DEFENSE. INCLUDING SENSORS AND PAY LOADS. SHE'S WORKED IN THE COMMERCIAL INDUSTRY AND HELD POSITIONS OUTSIDE THE COMPANY IN MARKING AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT FOR VENTURE STARTUP FIRMS IN THE AREA OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY. SHE EARNED HER BACHELOR'S AND MASTERS. TODAY WITH HER IS JEFF WARNER AND THEIR SONS THAT ATTEND MIRA COSTA AND MANHATTAN SCHOOL. PLEASE COME DOWN AND JOIN ME, AND I HAVE RECOGNITION FOR YOU.

>> OKAY. MARIA. THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH IS PROUD AND I AM AS MAYOR TO HONOR YOU WITH THIS RECOGNITION CERTIFICATE FOR 32 YEARS OF EXEMPLARY SERVICE AS VICE PRESIDENT OPERATIONS FOR THE AEROSPACE SYSTEMS SPACE PARK. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE].

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH. IT IS VERY MUCH APPRECIATED. I'M HONORED TO RECEIVE THIS. IT IS A PRIVILEGE TO BE A PART OF THE COMMUNITY

IN OBSERVING THE COMMUNITY HERE AS ONE OF THE BUSINESSES AND I JUST REALLY WANT TO THANK YOU AND THE CHAMBER AND COUNCIL HERE FOR ALL OF THE COLLABORATION OVER THE YEARS. IT WAS PARTICULARLY VERY GOOD FOR ME TO BE ABLE TO ENABLE THE COVID PANDEMIC TEACHERS COMING IN AND GETTING VACCINATED AS WELL AS STUDENTS AT THE ADVENTURE PLEX. MY KIDS TWO TO SCHOOL HERE. THAT WAS APPRECIATED THAT WAS COLLABORATED ON. THAT WAS THE HIGHLIGHT OF MY CAREER AND I'M HAPPY TO BE HERE. NOW RETIRING INTO THIS COMMUNITY, I'M GOING TO BE ABLE TO SPEND TIME IN MANHATTAN BEACH PLAYING VOLLEYBALL AND HAVING A GREAT TIME IN THE CITY. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU. CONGRATULATIONS.

>> A FUTURE BOARD OR COMMISSION, PERHAPS.

>> WITH THE RECENT SPIKE IN COVID CASES, WE EVEN NOW MORE APPRECIATE OUR VACCINATION PARTNERS. I'VE BEEN VACCINATED AND ATTENDED THE COVID SITE AT THE ADVENTURE PLEX. OTHER MEMBERS WERE THERE AS WELL WELCOMING THE FIRST SHOTS. OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT PARTNERS AS WELL WERE THERE TO ADMINISTER THE FIRST COVID VACCINE TO OUR TEACHERS WAS IMPORTANT. WE KNOW THE SPREAD IS AMONG THE UNVACCINATED. WE KNOW THE SHOPS ARE FREE AND WE CAN SET YOU UP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION. FOR THOSE OF YOU NOT VACCINATED, I HIGHLY RECOMMEND YOU GET VACCINATED. THANK YOU, MARIA AND JEFF AND YOUR BOYS AND THANKS FOR RAISING YOUR FAMILY HERE. WE HOPE YOU STAY INVOLVED. WE HOPE YOU STAY AND IF YOU DON'T IT WON'T HURT OUR FEELINGS. OKAY. WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON TO LETTER E OF THE AGENDA, APPROVAL OF AGENDA AND WAIVER OF FULL READING OF THE AGENDA.

>> MOVED.

>> MOTION BY RICHARD AND SECOND BY HILDY STERN. CITY CLERK, CAN YOU DO A ROLL CALL VOTE, PLEASE. [ROLL CALLED].

>> I NEGLECT TO MENTION JOE AND MY THREE COLLEAGUES ARE ZOOMING FROM HOME WHICH IS LEGAL UNDER GOVERNOR NEWSOME'S ORDER AS WELL. I BELIEVE THAT THEY ARE VACCINATED, BUT THEY HAVE PERSONAL REASONS AND SPECIAL RESPONSIBILITIES. THEY'RE TAKING EXTRA PRECAUTIONS IN BEING HOME TONIGHT. ALL FIVE ARE PRESENT IN THE MEETING AND WILL BE VOTING. ALL OF THIS IS OKAY WITH THE CURRENT COVID REGULATIONS. WE MOVE TO LETTER F. ANYONE IS ALLOWED THREE MINUTES ON THE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION ON THE AGENDA. THE MAYOR'S POINT OF PRIVILEGE, WE HAVE A FEW FOLKS FRONT LOADED IN PUBLIC COMMENTS TONIGHT. SADLY I WILL BE TURNING TO MY COLLEAGUE JOE FRANKLIN FIRST FOR A SAD NOTE. WE LOST A MANHATTAN BEACH RESIDENT, FORMER RESIDENT, A YOUNG MAN THAT GREW UP HERE. LOST HIS LIFE IN AN UNTIMELY FASHION. WE'LL ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF CONNOR HENDERSON. JOE ASKED IF HE COULD BE AFFORDED A FEW MINUTES TO SPEAK ABOUT CONNOR HENDERSON AND HIS TRAGIC LOSS OF LIFE AT THE BEGINNING OF PUBLIC COMMENT AND I SAID HE COULD DO SO. TURN IT OVER.

>> I HAVE THE SAD DUTY TO ANNOUNCE THAT CONNOR HENDERSON, 30 YEARS OLD PASSED AWAY IN A TRAGIC ACCIDENT LAST FRIDAY, JULY 16th. OUR HEARTS AND LOVING PRAYERS GO TO HIS FAMILY. PARENTS RICK AND COLLET, HIS OLDER SISTER MORGAN, AND HIS TWIN BROTHER CHASE. OUR FAMILY HAS KNOWN CONNOR SINCE PRESCHOOL. FROM THE VERY BEGINNING YOU COULD SEE WAS A BOY OF ACTION. THAT DIDN'T CHANGE AS HE GOT OLDER. HE WENT TO PACIFIC MBMS AND GRADUATED FROM MIR KA COSTA IN 2010. TALK ABOUT AN INTERESTING LIFE, HE WENT TO CHICO FOR TWO YEARS AND TRANSFERRED TO THE CALIFORNIA MARITIME ACADEMY IN VALLEJO. CONNOR AND ALL OF THE FAMILY SHARE A LOVE OF THE OCEAN AND BOATING IN GENERAL IN CATALINA ISLAND IN PARTICULAR. HE GRADUATED FROM CALIFORNIA MARITIME ACADEMY IN 2014 WITH A BACHELOR'S DEGREE IN MARITIME BUSINESS AND PROMPTLY STARTED HIS CAREER IN THE SHIPPING BUSINESS. MOST RECENTLY HE OPENED A NEW OFFICE FOR INCH CAPE SHIPPING IN AN PEDRO WHERE HE WAS THE OFFICE MANAGER AND SHIPS AGENT. HE WAS A FRIEND TO EVERYBODY. HE NEVER HESITATED TO START CONVERSATIONS WITH STRANGERS. HE LOVED TO TRAVEL. HE LOVED HIS MANHATTAN BEACH LIFE AS WELL AND SPENT SUMMERS ON CATALINA ISLAND. AND ALL OF THE BEACH LIFE HAD TO OFFER. HE SHALL BE MISSED BEYOND WORDS BY SO MANY. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, MY SINCERE CONDOLENCES TO THE HENDERSON FAMILY AND YOU AS WELL. THAT'S WHAT NO PARENT WANTS TO LIVE THROUGH IS A LOSS OF A CHILD. NEXT WE'LL TURN TO OUR PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR. ERIC, ARE YOU IN THE HOUSE?

>> I'M HERE VIRTUALLY.

>> THE FLOOR IS YOURS.

>> THANK YOU, MAYOR, HONORABLE MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL, I'M GOING TO UPDATE YOU ON OUR PROGRESS ON THE PARKING STRUCTURE. AS YOU WILL RECALL THE PROJECT IN THE CITY AND EMBARKED ON A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO. CENTRALIZE PAYMENTS AND INCREASED [INDISCERNIBLE] AT THE MET LOCK FACILITY AS WELL AS MAINTAINING THE PARKING METERS AT THAT FACILITIES. THE KIOSKS WERE IN YESTERDAY. OUR STAFF ENCOUNTERED TECHNICAL DIFFICULTY. WE HOPE TO HAVE THIS ADDRESSED IN DAY OR TWO. THE PARKING METERS ARE STILL OPERATIONAL AND REVENUE IS BEING COLLECTED FROM THAT FACILITY. ONCE THE KIOSKS ARE PLACED IN SERVICE AND TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES OVERCOME. WE'LL BE OPEN TO COUNCIL AND THE DOWNTOWN BUSINESS ASSOCIATION. THAT'S MY UPDATE.

>> THANK YOU TO MANHATTAN BEACH. YOU'VE ONLY BEEN ON THE JOB FOR A COUPLE OF MONTHS NOW. WELCOME.

>> THANK YOU.

>> NEXT UP I WILL PASS THE BATON TO MY COLLEAGUE RICHARD MONTGOMERY AND HE HAS AN UPDATE ON MANHATTAN BEACH.

>> [INAUDIBLE]. PEOPLE THAT LIVE AND WORK AT MAN HATTEN BEACH. [INAUDIBLE] OUTSIDE THE CITY. THAT'S THE MAIN PART. [INAUDIBLE]

TO MAKE IT EASIER JUST [INAUDIBLE]. 310-938-1538. [INAUDIBLE]
[INAUDIBLE]

>> THANK YOU, RICHARD FOR THAT UPDATE. LEADERSHIP MANHATTAN BEACH. WE HAVE A COUPLE OF ALUMNI AND BOARD MEMBERS HERE. THANK YOU FOR COMING TO OUR MEETING. KATHLEEN TERRY IS AMAZING. PAUL AND RUSS WHO FOUNDED THE ORGANIZATION DECADES AGO ARE LEGENDARY AND CAN'T SPEAK HIGHLY ENOUGH ABOUT LEADERSHIP MANHATTAN BEACH. THANK YOU FOR THE UPDATE. NEW CLASS STARTING DEADLINE IS AUGUST 10TH. IF YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY HEARING THEN I THINK THE CLASSES START IN SEPTEMBER, IS THAT RIGHT, KIM? SEPTEMBER 15th, CLASSES START AND IT IS ABOUT NINE MONTHS OF CLASSES. YOU WON'T REGRET IT. I'M GOING TO CLOSE OUT THE PUBLIC COMMENTS BEFORE WE GO TO THE PUBLIC. I JUST WANTED TO GIVE A SHOUT OUT TO TWO MANHATTAN BEACH RESIDENTS WHO ARE IN TOKYO FOR THE SUMMER OLYMPICS WHICH IS VERY EXCITING, NOT ONLY TO HAVE ONE OLYMPIAN ATHLETE BUT TO HAVE TWO THERE. OUR OWN ALEX KLEIMAN WHO IS A MEMBER OF TEAM USA YOUTH VOLLEYBALL. BEST OF LUCK TO YOU. GO GET THEM. AND ISABEL CONNOR, SHE'S A MEMBER OF THE RHYTHMIC GYMNASTICS GROUP. IT IS TOUGH TO PRACTICE AND TO TOURNAMENTS AND COMPETE IN THE LAST YEAR. WE'RE THRILLED YOU QUALIFIED FOR THE OLYMPICS. WE UNDERSTAND YOU'RE BOTH THERE AND IN GOOD HEALTH. BEST OF LUCK TO YOU, WITH TEAM USA, MAKE US PROUD.

>> NOW DEPUTY CITY CLERK MARTHA ALVAREZ WHO IS TEED UP TO COMMENT.

>> FIRST FOR THE RECORD FOR THE TONIGHT'S MEETING. THIS IS A BREAKDOWN OF PUBLIC COMMENTS. ITEM 7, PROSECUTION AND HOMELESS COURT SERVICES AND OTHER ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA, FIVE E-MAILS. WE HAVE DIANA SKARR. THEY HAVE THREE MINUTES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> MY NAME IS DIANA SKARR, I'M A MANHATTAN BEACH RESIDENT. I URGE THE COUNCIL TO APPROVE THE BEACH AND COMPLETE THE JOB IT HAS SET UP TO DO. UNFORTUNATELY I AND MANY OTHERS TODAY HAVE RECEIVED ANONYMOUS E-MAILS WHO ARE SHARING THIS INFORMATION ABOUT THE HISTORY OF BRUCE'S BEACH AND ABOUT THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD. A SAD ATTEMPT TO NOT MOVE FORWARD WITH THE PLAQUE. THOSE SAY WE SHOULD NOT MOVE FORWARD BECAUSE WE'RE NOT SURE OF THE HISTORY OF THE BEACH. I RECALL MAYOR HADLEY STATING AT A PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING THAT [INDISCERNIBLE] THERE'S NO [INDISCERNIBLE] DEFINITIVE HISTORY. THERE'S ALWAYS DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES ON HISTORY. THERE ARE ALWAYS TWO POINTS OF VIEW. IT IS FOR THIS REASON THAT THE COUNCIL VOTED TO REPLACE THE PLAQUE TO BEGIN WITH. THIS IS A WHITEWASHED VIEW. THE ONLY PEOPLE DOES HAVE A DEFINITIVE HISTORY ARE THOSE THAT ARE TRYING TO SILENCE OTHERS. THE BRUCE'S BEACH TASK FORCE AND I ASSUME THE HISTORY OF THE ADVISORY BOARD IS A PARTISAN GROUP. THIS HISTORY IS MADE UP OF MANHATTAN BEACH RESIDENTS WHO WERE SPECIFICALLY APPOINTED BY CITY COUNCIL. THEY'RE NOT PARTISAN, THEY'RE REGULAR CITIZENS. THEN FINALLY, REGARDING THE NONSENSE CLAIM THAT NO ONE WAS

[INDISCERNIBLE] IS A FACT THAT AFRICAN-AMERICAN FAMILIES AFFECTED BY THE CITY'S ACTIONS IN THE 1920s WERE LATER ABLE TO ACQUIRE PROPERTY IN THE CITY. RACIST DECISIONS BY THE CITY 100 YEARS AGO. LET'S NOT BE PART OF FEAR MONGERING GROUP AND APPROVE THE RIGHT LANGUAGE TONIGHT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> NEXT JOSH MURRAY.

>> LAST WEEK THE LIBRARY ADDED SERVICE A DAY TO OUR SCHEDULE. WE'RE OFFERING EXCITING VIRTUAL PROGRAMS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO TELL THE COMMUNITY ABOUT. ON SATURDAY JULY 24th AT NOON, VIRTUALLY, WE'RE TRACING THE PATH OF THE HUMMINGBIRD LANE. SHE CAN HAVE LOCATIONS. THE T GIRL OF HUMMINGBIRD LANE. THE ORIGIN AND HISTORY. THIS PROGRAM IS VIRTUAL. NEXT TEAMS ARE INVITED TO JOIN US VIRTUALLY ON MONDAY FROM 4 TO 5 P.M. SPEAKING TO AN EXPERIENCE. SEE US ONLINE AT L.A. COUNTY LIBRARY. CLICK ON VIRTUAL PROGRAMS. I THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

>> THANK YOU, JOSH, FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

>> NEXT WE HAVE [INDISCERNIBLE] THEY HAVE THREE MINUTES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> HELLO, EVERYBODY, I'M HUTCHEN DIRE. I'M A RESIDENT OF MANHATTAN BEACH. I WAS 15 YEARS AND HAVE A DEEP PASSION FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE ISSUES. MY FAMILY RECENTLY MOVED INTO MANHATTAN BEACH AND THE FIRST THING I NOTICED IS A GREAT DEAL OF OUR NEIGHBORS HAVE [INDISCERNIBLE]. I DON'T HAVE AN ISSUE WITH THANKING THE LOCAL POLICE DEPARTMENT, OF COURSE, BUT IF SOMEONE HAD SEEN THE CASES OF POLICE BRUTALITY SIGNIFICANTLY RISE IN THIS COUNTRY OVER THE PAST YEAR, I TOOK NOTICE OF THESE TIMES IMMEDIATELY. A FEW WEEKS AFTER WE MOVED IN, ONE OF OUR NEIGHBORS STARTED A CONVERSATION WITH [INDISCERNIBLE]. HE TOLD HER ABOUT THE MAGNIFICENT SCHOOLS IN THE AREA AND ENCOURAGED ME TO ATTEND THEM. HE SAID HE HOPED I WOULD NOT ATTEND A SCHOOL THAT I'M EMBARRASS OF MY WHITENESS. THIS STATEMENT IS THE WAY I VIEWED THIS CITY. IT SEEMED AS IF WE WERE MOVING IN TO A TOWN THAT THE RESIDENTS WERE FLAUNTING RED PRIVILEGE. I DON'T BELIEVE ANY TOWN OR CITY IN THIS COUNTRY SHOULD IGNORE ITS TRUE HISTORY, ESPECIALLY WHEN PEOPLE OF COLOR ARE STILL BEING DISCRIMINATED AGAINST. THAT'S WHY I'M IN SUPPORT OF A PROPOSED BEACH PLEX. IT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE FUTURE OF THE CITY AND COUNTRY. IT IS THE TRUE HISTORY OF MANHATTAN BEACH. EDUCATING OUR RESIDENCE ABOUT OUR RACIST HISTORY IS THE FIRST STEP IN PROMOTING POSITIVE SOCIAL CHANGE. AS DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING SAID, OUR LIVES END THE DAY WE BECOME SILENT ABOUT THINGS THAT MATTER. THIS IS ONE OF THOSE CASES. PLEASE DON'T BE ON THE WRONG SIDE OF HISTORY.

>> THANK YOU.

>> FRED TAYLOR. STATE THEIR NAME AND THREE MINUTES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> WE CAN HAVE FRED TAYLOR ACCEPT THE AUDIO.

>> THANK YOU.

>> WE CAN HEAR YOU.

>> I'M A RESIDENT. I OFFER CONDOLENCES TO THE HENDERSON FAMILY. THAT'S SUCH A TRAGIC LOSS AT SUCH AN INCREDIBLE YOUNG AGE. I NEVER SPOKE AT A PUBLIC MEETING LIKE THIS. I DID NOT ENJOY, WHY? BECAUSE I TRUSTED THE ELECTED LEADERS TO DO THE RIGHT THING WITH GOVERNMENT. FOR MY OPINION THE MOST [INDISCERNIBLE]. I WANT TO ADVOCATE ON TRUTH AND JUSTICE AND I WANT TO THANK THE COUNCIL FOR THEIR TIME AND THE CITY AND I APPRECIATE VERY MUCH THE DECISION TO HAVE THE TASK FORCE UP AND MOVING. PREVIOUSLY THE OPPOSITION TO THE BASIC PREMISE OF THE TASK FORCE REPORT GOING TO CONFLICT THE JANUARY 6th, 3,000 MILES AWAY TO OUR LOCAL EVENT. I PASSIONATELY URGE WE TO THE PLAQUE EARLY AND THE HIGHLY SKILLED EARLY. THE DOCUMENT WILL BE FOR THE REPARATION ADVOCATES. THEY WON'T SELL THE PROPERTY BACK. THE SECOND ABOUT JUSTICE. I DIDN'T AGREE WITH IT. I LIKE TO TALK ABOUT JUSTICE. WHO WAS TALKED ABOUT RACE MOTIVATED. OTHER FAMILIES [INDISCERNIBLE] SHOULDN'T THAT BE ON THE PLAT OR ONLY INCLUDING RACIST HISTORY NARRATIVE. ALSO, 24 [INDISCERNIBLE]. I WOULD LIKE TO ENCOURAGE THE BOARD TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION THAT 25 FAMILIES RECEIVE THE PROPERTY BACK. THE COUNTY SEEMS TO BE PICKING AND CHOOSING. IF WE'RE GOING TO HAVE JUSTICE, LET'S HAVE JUSTICE FOR EVERY ONE OF OUR FAMILIES. AND RACIST MOTIVATED AND [INAUDIBLE]. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> WE HAVE ANDREW GOLDSTEIN.

>> HELLO ANDREW GOLDSTEIN, LONG-TIME RESIDENT. FORMER LEADER. LAST EVENING I MADE A PUBLIC COMMENT REGARDING MY AND OTHER RESIDENTS DESIRE TO [INDISCERNIBLE] IN SMALL BUSINESSES ARE MOVING 10 MILLION DOLLARS DUE TO THE PANDEMIC RELIEF CLOSURES. RESTAURANTS ARE NOT THE ONLY [INDISCERNIBLE] THROUGH THE ECONOMIC DISASTER. THERE'S MANY RETAIL STORES AND OTHER SMALL BUSINESSES HAVE SUFFERED AS WELL. MANHATTAN BEACH WILL RECEIVE ROUGHLY 8 1/2 MILLION DOLLARS FOR COVID RELIEF. THAT MONEY WILL COME TO THE CITY IN THE NEXT FEW MONTHS. WE PUBLICLY DISCUSS WHAT RESIDENTS HAVE ENDURED. I FORMALLY ASK THE CITY COUNCIL THAT THE PLANS FOR THE FEDERAL COVID RELIEF MONEY TO MAKE PUBLIC DID NOT OFFICIALLY DISCUSS IN THE FINANCE COMMITTEE OR ITS OTHER PREVIOUS CITY COUNCIL. THIS MONEY WAS EARMARKED FOR COVID RELATED ASSISTANCE AND THAT IS WHERE PUBLIC MONEY IS GOING TO USE. MANY RESIDENTS LEAD TRANSPARENCY CENTRAL. THIS COULD BE USED A MULTITUDE OF WAYS AND STILL HAS BUSINESSES. AS WE WERE DISCUSSING THE 10 MILLION DOLLARS FROM A SECOND FIRE STATION. I HOPE THAT NONE OF THE COVID RELATED FUNDS IN MANHATTAN BEACH WILL BE USED IN THE PROJECT. I HUMBLLY ASK FOR THE 9.7 MILLION DOLLAR PROJECT THAT WE DEFINE WHERE THE FUNDING IS COMING FROM AND WHERE HE AS A CITY ARE PAYING FOR IT. COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY HAS SAID MORE THAN NUMEROUS TIMES. WE HOPE TO USE

FUNDS THAT ARE ALLOCATED AND TELL THE PEOPLE AND BUSINESSES. THAT'S [INDISCERNIBLE] AND MANY IN OUR COMMUNITY ARE [INDISCERNIBLE] DESPERATE NEED. THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENT.
>> THANK YOU. JUST SO YOU KNOW THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE DID JUST MEET THIS PAST WEEK AND COUNCIL WILL BE DISCUSSING HOW TO INVEST OR ALLOCATE THE ARCO FUNDS ON OUR NEXT MEETING WHICH IS TUESDAY AUGUST 3rd. SO STAY TUNED AND PLEASE STAY INVOLVED. THANK YOU.
>> ANGELA NELSON.

>>

>> HI, MY NAME IS ANGELA NELSON. I'M A RESIDENT. [INAUDIBLE]. THE DRAMA BEHIND THIS SITUATION HAS ONLY CREATED RESIDENTS AND NEIGHBORS. WHY IS SO MUCH TIME AND ENERGY BE PUT IN YEARS AGO. WHY ARE WE HAVING THIS CONVERSATION. WE COULD PUT THE ENERGY OF WHAT REALLY MATTERS TODAY, RIGHT NOW 2021. WE'RE FOCUSING ON THINGS THAT ARE TRUE. GIVEN THIS MONEY, I FEEL IS RIGHT AT THE RESIDENCY. [INAUDIBLE] THE ONLY [INDISCERNIBLE] [INAUDIBLE]. AS IT STANDS NOW, THE LANGUAGE IS AN ACCURATE AND TOXIC ATTEMPT FOR A RACIST TOWN AND RACIST PEOPLE. THAT'S NOT TRUE. IT HAS A FALSE PICTURE OF OUR TOWN. THIS IS THE TRUE HISTORY OF THE GROUP. PLEASE EXPLAIN FOR ME. I FEEL THIS IS OVERKILL. ONE POT OF ACTIVE INFORMATION IS APPROPRIATE. AS A TAXPAYER, I FEEL WE HAVE A RIGHT TO HAVE OUR OPINION. AND WE RECOUNT THE RESIDENTS. YES, I SAID RESIDENTS, NOT RANDOM TO SHARE THEIR OPINIONS. THIS IS NOT A POPULARITY CONTEST. I LOOK AT THE RESIDENTS OF 90266 THAT ARE CONCERNED AND STRONGLY OPPOSE. PLEASE STAND YOUR GROUND AND DON'T BE PRESSURED BY THIS RADICAL THAT IS ALL OVER THE COUNTRY. [INDISCERNIBLE]. THANK YOU FOR LISTENING.

>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

>> NEXT KIM BRANT. CITY OF RESIDENCY, WE HAVE THREE MINUTES FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS.

>> THIS IS KIM BRANT. I'M A MANHATTAN BEACH RESIDENT. I LIKE TO THANK THEM FOR THEIR FINE WORDS EARLIER. I ALSO LIKE TO COMMENT ON THE DAY, ONE OF OUR ANONYMOUS HATE GROUPS IN MANHATTAN BEACH WAS STRICTED A MISINFORMATION CAMPAIGN REGARDING THE BRUCE'S BEACH LANGUAGE. I URGE CITY COUNCIL TO ADOPT THE LANGUAGE BEING PROPOSED AND THE GROUP THAT CALLS THEMSELVES BRUCE BEACH GETS THE FACTS. GET THESE FACTS. EMINENT DOMAIN TARGETED BLACK OWNERSHIP. THE FACT THAT THEY WERE RACIALLY MOTIVATED IS AND WAS AND REMAINS UNDISPUTED. THE TAKING OF PROPERTIES OWNED BY WHITE ABSENTEE OWNERS. THE FACT THAT BLACK AMERICANS WERE ABLE TO ACQUIRE PROPERTIES IN THE CITY HAS NO BEARING ON THESE DEPICTIONS OF THE OFFICIAL CITY ACTION AT THAT TIME AS RACIST. THE ISSUE AT HAND IS NOT THE SALE OF REAL PROPERTY TO BLACK AMERICANS. NOR BRAND EVERY RESIDENT AS A RACIST OR COMPLICIT IN THE ACTIONS OF THE CITY COUNCIL AT THAT TIME. THE FACT THAT SOME RESIDENTS MAY HAVE OPPOSED THE EMINENT DOMAIN ACTION. THE AUTHOR OF THE MB ANONYMOUS GROUP OF E-MAILS AND FRED TAYLOR TO YOUR

POINT, YOU MISSED THE POINT WHICH IS THE FACT THAT SEVERAL DISPLACED FAMILIES DID RELOCATE WITHIN THE CITY. BUT THE BLACK AMERICANS DRIVEN OUT WERE THOSE PEOPLE THAT WHO HAD BEEN DRAWN TO THE CITY FOR RECOMMENDATION BY VIRTUE OF THE EXISTENCE OF THE RESORT. THE CITY'S VOLUNTARY TAKING OF THE PROPERTY, DEPROVIDED MANY BLACKS OF A SITE AT THE BEACH. THEY WERE DRIVEN OUT. WHY ARE WE HAVING THIS CONVERSATION? BECAUSE 100 YEARS AGO HAS BROUGHT US A LOT OF NATIONAL AS WELL AS INTERNATIONAL ATTENTION. THE GUARDIAN OF THE UNITED KINGDOM AND IN FRANCE, BOTH HAVE CALLED OUT OUR CITY FOR ITS FAILURE TO ISSUE AN APOLOGY AND THESE GROUPS ATTEMPTING TO INFLUENCE COUNCIL. I APPRECIATE COUNCIL AND THE APPOINTED NONPARTISAN ADVISORY BOARD. PLEASE ACCEPT THE ADVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDATION.

>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

>> NEXT WE HAVE HEATHER AND THEY HAVE THREE MINUTES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> MY NAME IS HEATHER. I'M A RESIDENT. I'M NOT SURE THIS ANNOUNCEMENT WAS MADE. WE RALLY SUPPORT FOR CRIME VICTIMS, FRIDAY JULY 30th, FROM 6 TO 7:30 AT CITY HALL PLAZA. FOR A PERIOD THEY COME TOGETHER. THE RIGHTS OF CRIME VICTIMS AND CRIMINALS' RIGHTS. SCHEDULES THAT APPEAR [INDISCERNIBLE] AND WORK ON THE GABRIEL FERNANDEZ CASE AND FAMILIES ARE ALL SCHEDULED TO BE THERE TO SPEAK. THERE'S MANY REASONS WHY I AND MANY OF US ARE VOLUNTEERING TO HELP IN THIS RECALL AGAINST [INDISCERNIBLE]. GABRIEL HERNANDEZ WAS [INDISCERNIBLE] AND HER BOYFRIEND. WHEN PARAMEDICS THEY FOUND PELLETS STUCK IN HIS BODY. THAT IS A DOCUMENTARY ABOUT THE CASE. AND THEY WERE ABLE TO HAVE [INDISCERNIBLE] HUMILIATING COMMITTED AGAINST THE YOUNG BOY. COUNCIL MEMBERS [INDISCERNIBLE] [INAUDIBLE]. IN JUNE 2018 SUPERIOR COURT GEORGE SENT HIM TO DEATH FOR THE FIRST-DEGREE MURDER INVOLVING TORTURE. HE WAS COMMITTED TO LIFE IN PRISON. FERNANDO'S CASE WAS AT A COURTROOM AND THE PROSECUTOR [INDISCERNIBLE] [INAUDIBLE] AND THE DEATH PENALTY. ACCORDING TO THE COMPLAINT, ANOTHER A WRITTEN STATEMENT [INAUDIBLE]. WOULD CAUSE PROBLEMS IN THE HERNANDEZ CASE. I GUARANTEE THEY WOULD APPEAL AND THEY DID. BOTH APPEALS. THE JURY APPEAL IS STILL PENDING. THE POLICY HAS BEEN DIRECTED FROM MY OFFICE AND CREATED THE REST. INDEED THE ATTORNEY [INAUDIBLE] PROSECUTORS DISMISSED ESSENTIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. ONLY JUDGE [INAUDIBLE] FOR SENTENCING FOR [INDISCERNIBLE] [INAUDIBLE] SO PLEASE IF.

>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

>> THERE'S NO FURTHER PUBLIC PARTICIPATION THROUGH ZOOM. WE TO HAVE PEOPLE IN COUNCIL CHAMBERS.

>> ONLY OUR SECOND PUBLIC COMMENTER IN PERSON IN CHAMBERS AND OUR FIRST OF TONIGHT. THANK YOU MR. PACKWOOD.

>> CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, THOSE PRESENT AND THOSE ON ZOOM, I HOPE EVERYTHING IS GOING WELL WITH YOU. I'M HERE TODAY TO SPEAK ON A

TOPIC THAT IS DEAR TO ME. I DON'T COME OFTEN TO YOU. ONLY WHEN I SENSE THAT THERE'S A PROBLEM THAT NEEDS TO BE LOOKED AT. IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE IT IS IN THE AREA OF PUBLIC SAFETY. FIRST OF ALL, I LIKE TO THANK THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THEIR HARD WORK. SABLE FOR WHAT HE'S BEEN ABLE TO DO OVER THE PAST TIMES. I THINK WE SHOW THEM A LOT OF POSITIVE FOR DOING REMARKABLE JOB. MY CONCERN IS FIVE VACANCIES OF FTEs IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. AS I UNDERSTAND IT, PRIOR TO COVID THERE WAS A LOT OF POTENTIALLY GOOD CANDIDATES THAT WOULD MEET OUR EXPECTATIONS IN THE QUEUE. AND FOR WHATEVER REASON, ALL OF A SUDDEN THEY WERE NO LONGER THERE. I'M NOT HERE TO POINT FINGERS OR THIS OR THAT. WHAT I'M HERE TODAY FOR IS TO ASK YOU AS CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS TO SET AS A PRIORITY, AT THE RECRUITMENT OF THOSE FIVE FTEs IN THE POLICE AREA. WHAT THAT MEANS TO ME IS HAVE YOUR HR HAVE A GROUP THAT WILL PROCESS CANDIDATES AND GET THEM OVER TO THE CHIEFS WHO WILL THEN LOOK AT THOSE CANDIDATES AND ALSO ACT QUICKLY TO MOVE OUR PROCESS. IT IS A HIGH PRIORITY AND THAT WOULD BE MY WISH FROM THAT STANDPOINT. THIS IS LONG-TERM PROCESS. YOU'RE GOING TO RECRUIT PEOPLE THAT IS NOTHING TO TAKE A YEAR AND A HALF TO TWO YEARS BEFORE THEY COME ON TO OUR ROLLS AS EMPLOYEES. ON A SHORT-TERM BASIS, THOUGH, I WOULD ALSO SUGGEST AND RECOMMEND THAT YOU BRING ME THE BEVERLY HILLS MODEL WHERE THEY HAVE GONE OUT WITH THE POLICE OFFICERS TO COME AND WORK FOR THEM DURING THEIR SHORT-TERM ISSUES FROM THAT STANDPOINT. I WOULD LIKE THAT ISSUE TO BE LOOKED AT AS WELL. I HAVE OTHER ISSUES THAT WILL BE COVERED. I'LL HOLD MY REMARKS FOR THAT. THE OTHER ONE I DON'T SEE AND THAT'S DEALING WITH THE HOMELESS. I DON'T SEE IT ON THE AGENDA, SORRY IT IS THERE. I MISSED IT.

>> THAT'S AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 7 TONIGHT.

>> ALONG WITH THE OTHER THINGS. I'LL HOLD MY REMARKS FOR THAT. AGAIN GOOD SEEING YOU. THANK YOU FOR THE SUPER -- THE CITY COUNCIL PEOPLE THAT HAVE RESPONDED TO MY E-MAILS THAT I SENT OUT. AGAIN, I'M JUST LOOKING FOR SOLUTIONS SO WE CAN GET OUR COMMUNITY SAFE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ANY OUR PUBLIC COMMENTS?

>> THAT CONCLUDES OUR PUBLIC COMMENTS FOR THIS ITEM.

>> WE MOVE ON TO G OUR CONSENT. ANY REMOVALS FROM MY COLLEAGUES, OTHERWISE I'M LOOKING FOR A MOTION TO APPROVE AND A SECOND.

>> MAYOR PRO TEM IS MOVING TO APPROVE AND RICHARD, CAN I COUNT YOU AS AT SECOND? YES.

>> NO REMOVAL FROM THE CONSENT. CITY CLERK, MAY WE HAVE A VOICE ROLL CALL VOTE. [ROLL CALLED].

>> WE'LL MOVE TON LETTER H, NO REMOVALS, LETTER I, WE HAVE NONE. LETTER J IS GENERAL BUSINESS. NEXT UP IS SIX, THE CONSIDERATION OF THE HISTORY ADVISORIES PROPOSAL OF MAN HAT ERIN BEACH. MANHATTAN BEACH. TAKE IT AWAY ALI.

>> CAN YOU HEAR ME?
>> YES. [INAUDIBLE].
>> [INAUDIBLE]. I'M GOING TO START. WHAT IS THE DISCUSSION REGARDING THE BEACH? THE COUNCIL BEGAN DISCUSSION FROM STAFF. [INAUDIBLE].
>> THE PHOTO ON THE RIGHT IS WHAT THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD WAS RECOMMENDING AS FAR AS THE OVERALL SHAPE INSIDE. THERE'S ATTACHED PHOTOS, AND THERE'S THOSE THAT WERE ATTACHED. IT IS PROPOSED THE ATTACHED PHOTOS INCLUDE A MAP THAT I SHOWED YOU THAT HAD PHYSICAL LOCATION OF THE MAP AND THE STRUCTURES AND THE LIST OF OWNERS THAT WERE INVOLVED IN THE EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDING. ANOTHER OPTION THAT THE ADVISORY BOARD WAS RECOMMENDING WAS RECOMMENDING WAS A QR CODE AND THEY WERE INTERESTED TO LEARN MORE AND WE WANTED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION OR UPDATED INFORMATION AND COULD DO THAT BY SCANNING A QR CODE AND IT WOULD BRING THEM TO THE CITY'S WEBSITE WITH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. OR ANYONE WHO IS INTERESTED. THEY UNCOVER SO MUCH THAT IT ALL CAN'T FIT ON THE PLAQUE. WE DO HAVE THE HISTORY REPORT AND OUR OWN WEBSITE THAT COULD PROVIDE THIS WEALTH OF INFORMATION FOR ANYONE SEEKING IT. STAFF RECOMMENDS THE LANGUAGE AND DESIGNED FROM THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD AND FROM THE PUBLIC ARTS TRUST FUND AND FOR THE INSTALLATION OF THE PLAQUE AND EXECUTE CONTRACTS NOT TO EXCEED 20,000 DOLLARS. I'M WORKED WITH THE OFFICE AND THEY INDICATED THEY'RE SUPPORTIVE OF WHATEVER LOCATION AND HOPING TO NAVIGATE THAT PROCESS FOR US. I DID MENTION TO US OUR TIMELINE THAT WAS DIRECTED BY COUNCIL TO BE AT THE END OF THE YEAR AND THEY DIDN'T SEE ANY SORT OF PROBLEMS ARRANGING THAT THROUGH AN MOU.
>> THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD HAS BEEN WORKING ON THE DRAFT LANGUAGE FOR THE PLAQUE. WE'RE GRATEFUL FOR THE VOLUNTEER HOURS ON BEHALF OF THE CITY TO GET THESE THINGS TO COUNCIL TO DISCUSS AND VOTE AND ALLOCATE FUNDS. SO HOLD ON STEVE. LET ME SEE IF I COULD GET A ZOOM SCREEN AND SEE IF THE COLLEAGUE'S HANDS ARE RAISED. I DON'T HAVE THE EXACT ZOOM SCREEN IN FRONT OF ME.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER NAPOLITANO, YOUR HAND IS UP FIRST, GO.
>> YOU'RE ON MUTE. THAT WAS A TEST OF YOU YOUR HONOR. MY APOLOGIES. ALI, THANK YOU FOR YOUR HARD WORK AND OF COURSE TO THE TASK FORCE. QUESTION, THE MAP, IS THAT INTENDED. WAS THAT INTENDED TO BE PART OF PLAQUE AS WELL WHERE IT WOULD LIST EVERY PROPERTY OWNER, BLACK OR WHITE?
>> YES. THAT'S CORRECT. I'M SORRY IF I DIDN'T MAKE THAT CLEAR. THAT WAS THE PROPOSAL OF THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD TO BE PLACED ON EACH PLAQUE. DOWN BELOW IN THE BOTTOM PORTION OF THAT MAP, IT IDENTIFIES ALL OF THE OWNERS THAT WERE PART OF THE EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS, WHITE AND BLACK OWNERS.
>> SOMEBODY BROUGHT ISSUE OF TAXPAYER MONEY. CAN YOU PROVIDE CLARITY ON THE ART TRUST FUND AND WHERE THOSE FUNDS COME FROM?

MY UNDERSTANDING IS IT IS NOT TAXPAYER MONEY. THOSE ARE DEVELOPER FEES THAT ARE PAID BY COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT SUCH AS THE MALL REMODEL THAT IS PUT IN -- THAT WAS ESTABLISHED YEARS AGO AS A 1 PERCENT FEE AND MONEY IS SET ASIDE FOR ART PROJECTS IN MANHATTAN BEACH. IS THAT CORRECT?

>> THAT'S CORRECT. COULDN'T EXPLAIN IT BETTER MYSELF.

>> THANK YOU. THAT'S ALL FOR NOW, THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU STEVE, MAYOR PRO TEM STERN, SIGH YOUR HAND UP.

>> THANK YOU. THANK YOU. THANK YOU, ALI. THE TIME COMES TO WHERE WE APPRECIATE YOU AND THE ADVISORY BOARD AND THEY HAVE STAFF. MY QUESTION, AND I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS A QUESTION FOR THE AT ADVISORY BOARD, LOOKING AT THE LIST OF THOSE ON THE BRUCE'S BEACH RECOMMENDED LANGUAGE. THERE'S THOSE THAT OWN PROPERTY AT THAT TIME. I WAS NOTICING THERE WAS TWO NAMES I DON'T SEE LISTED HERE. IT WAS THE McCASKILLS AND THE IRVINS. I'M WONDERING WHY THEY WEREN'T LISTED. I KNOW THERE WAS ONE OTHER FAMILY THAT LISTED HERE THAT DIDN'T HAVE PROPERTY THAT WAS PART OF THE EMINENT DOMAIN. I WAS WONDERING IF THEY WEREN'T LISTED AS WELL.

>> I TURN THAT QUESTION OVER TO CHRISTIAN LONG WHO IS SO WELL VERSED ON THE HISTORY AND INSTRUMENTAL IN ADDRESSING THE HISTORY REPORT AND YOU KNOW LIKE -- SHE SORT OF JEOPARDY CHAMPION ON MANHATTAN BEACH HISTORY. LET'S ASK HER. I BELIEVE SHE CAN UNMUTE HERSELF.

>> HI, EVERYBODY, MEMBERS OF COUNCIL. TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, MAYOR PRO TEM STERN. THE McCASKILLS WERE OUTSIDE OF THE PROPERTY AND THEY WERE LOCATED ON THE OUTSIDE OF 26th STREET. THE REASON WE DIDN'T INCLUDE THEM OR THE SLAUGHTERS IS BECAUSE THE SLAUGHTERS WERE SIGNIFICANTLY INVOLVED IN WHAT IS BEING CALLED THE NCAA SWIM IN. THEY CAME TO BE KNOWN AS THEY TOOK OVER FOR BRUCE'S BEACH. IT IS A WEEKEND THAT THE BRUCES LEFT MANHATTAN BEACH AND THE SLAUGHTERS OPENED THEIR BOARDINGHOUSE. THEY ADVERTISED AS BEING FORMALLY KNOWN AS BRUCE'S BEACH. THAT'S WHY WE INCLUDED THEM AND NOT THE OTHERS.

>> THANK YOU. THAT WAS MY ONLY QUESTION.

>> THANK YOU, MAYOR PRO TEM AND COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> THANK YOU. ALI, SO THE COUNTY IS OPEN TO ALLOWING US TO PUT THE PLAQUE ON BASICALLY WHERE THE LIFEGUARD STATION IS, COUNTY OWNED PROPERTY?

>> IT IS CORRECT, YES.

>> THERE'S A LITTLE BIT OF LAND, THERE'S A LITTLE LAND RIGHT IN FRONT OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING THAT IS EITHER DIRT OR A PLANTER. TO IT WOULD BE THE PERFECT SPOT TO -- FOR A PLAQUE IF YOU WERE TO CHOOSE THAT LOCATION. THEY'RE ABSOLUTELY OPEN TO BE SUPPORTED.

>> OKAY.

>> DO THEY WANT ANY EDITING RIGHTS OR INPUT INTO THE LANGUAGE? THE DESIGN?

>> I ASKED THAT QUESTION. AND THEY SAID NO. WE'RE PAYING FOR IT. THIS WOULD BE SUPPORTIVE OF LETTING US USE THAT TO MOU.

>> GREAT. THANK YOU. THAT'S ALL I HAVE YOUR HONOR.

>> GREAT, COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> NOTHING YET.

>> ALI AND CRISTIN, I HAVE A QUESTION. I'LL WRAP UP WITH A QUESTION. CAN I ASK CHRISTIAN LONG DREW TO COME UP? I HADN'T REALIZED UNTIL PREPARING FOR THIS ABOUT THE SLAUGHTERS, THAT THEY'RE -- CAN YOU TELL ME AGAIN WHERE THE BOARDINGHOUSE WAS LOCATED AND ELABORATE -- THE ONLY MENTION THAT I SEE OF THE BOARDINGHOUSE IS PAGE 119 OF MY PACKET WHICH IS THE HISTORIC BLACK ENCLAVE OF BRUCE'S BEACH. THE SAME DAY IT CLOSED THE SLAUGHTERS OPENED A BOARDINGHOUSE WITH THEIR SEVEN CHILDREN. DO WE KNOW HOW LONG THE BOARDING HOUSE OPENED? DO WE KNOW WHERE IT WAS? DID IT OPERATE IN THE CONDEMNED AREA THAT WAS REMOVED WHEN IT WAS CLEARED IN 29. FOR INSTANCE, DID THEY HAVE IT OPEN TWO YEARS OR SOMEWHERE OUTSIDE OF THE PARK AND IT WAS OPENED LONGER THAN THE TWO YEARS?

>> THE SLAUGHTERS OPENED THEIR BOARDINGHOUSE ON THE MEMORIAL DAY WEEKEND OF 1927. SO THAT WAS RIGHT AFTER THE BRUCES, ALL THE FAMILIES TURNED OVER ALL OF THEIR PROPERTY TO THE CITY. IT WOULD BE IN BETWEEN OCEAN AND MANHATTAN AVENUE. IT IS OUTSIDE OF THE CONDEMNED AREA. THEY OPERATED UNTIL ABOUT 1930, ACCORDING TO BRIGHAM. HE SAID A MORTGAGE COMPANY ENDED UP TAKING OVER THEIR PROPERTY AND THAT IS REALLY ALL WE KNOW AT THIS POINT BECAUSE I HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO FIND INFORMATION FROM THE MORTGAGE COMPANY. THEY WERE PROMINENT IN THE SENSE NOT ONLY DID THE CHILDREN GO TO MANHATTAN BEACH SCHOOLS, THEIR DAUGHTER WAS A MEMBER OF THE GLEE CLUB AND FEATURED ON THE MANHATTAN BEACH NEWS. SHE'S IN THE CLASS AT CENTER STREET SCHOOL. THEY WERE RESIDENTS AND PART OF THE COMMUNITY. WHEN THERE WAS WHAT WAS BEING CALLED THE RACE WAR OF 1928 WHICH IS A LOT OF INCIDENTS OF VIOLENCE AGAINST THE BLACK RESIDENTS IN THE AREA. THE SLAUGHTERS WERE THE ONES THAT FOUND GASOLINE OVER THERE AT NIGHT. THEY FOUND GASOLINE UNDER THEIR HOUSE AND IT STARTED TO SMOKE AND IT WAS CAUGHT FIRE. AND THEN THERE WAS A BURNING CROSS ACROSS THE STREET NEAR THEM. ONE OF THE RACE NEWSPAPERS SAID THAT THE SLAUGHTERS ARE NOT OF THE RUNNING KIND AND THEY WERE GOING TO STAY THERE ANYWAY. THEN WHEN THERE WAS A SWIM IN, ONE OF THE SWIM-INS INVOLVED A YOUNG WOMAN NAMED CATLEY THAT WAS FRIENDS WITH THE SLAUGHTER GIRLS AND SHE MARRIED ONE OF THE SLAUGHTER BOYS AND SHE WAS THE YOUNG LADY THAT WAS ARRESTED AND NOT ALLOWED TO GET HER CLOTHES BEFORE SHE WENT TO JAIL IN REDONDO BECAUSE SHE DEFIED THE ORDER BY THE POLICEMAN BECAUSE SHE WAS NOT ABLE TO GET IN THE WATER HERE. WAS THAT THE QUESTIONS? MORE?

>> YOU SAID THE SLAUGHTERS OPENED THEIR BUSINESS IN 1927 UNTIL 1930, WE DON'T HAVE MORE RECORDS THAN THAT. DOES THAT MEAN, WAS IT A LEGAL BUSINESS?

>> I BELIEVE SO. HERE'S THE THING ABOUT BUSINESS LICENSES AT THAT TIME. THEY'RE HARD TO FIND. I FOUND A BOOK AT HISTORICAL SOCIETY AND THEY WERE MOSTLY HANDWRITTEN AND THEY SEEMED VERY ARBITRARY. BECAUSE I KNOW SO MUCH ABOUT ERGLES, ERGLES WAS NOT DOCUMENTED IN THAT BOOK. THERE'S NO ENTRIES FOR THAT AND IT IS NOT AS REGULATED AS TODAY.

>> THE BRUCE'S BUSINESS WAS CLOSED BY EMINENT DOMAIN BUT THE SLAUGHTERS THEN OPENED THE BUSINESS ACROSS THE STREET A COUPLE OF YEARS LATER, 1924 WAS --

>> THAT'S WHEN. THAT'S WHEN EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS STARTED IN 1924. THEY ACTUALLY DIDN'T -- THE FINAL JUDGMENT WASN'T RENDERED UNTIL 1929 FOR REASONS THAT ARE UNCLEAR TO ME. THE BRUCE'S AND ALL OF THE OTHER FAMILIES TURNED OVER THEIR LAND IN 27 AND THE BRUCE'S RESORT WAS DEMOLISHED IN JUNE OF 27.

>> JUNE OF 27.

>> YES.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS. STEVE?

>> COUPLE MORE. IF I MAY. CRISTIN ARE YOU STILL ON?

>> YES.

>> HAVE YOU SEEN THE ANONYMOUS E-MAILS?

>> YES.

>> SOME I SAW, SOME ISSUES I SAW WERE THE ISSUE OF NO PRESSING - - NO TRESPASSING SIGNS WERE POSTED IN FRONT OF THE BRUCE'S PROPERTY ON A STRIP OF BEACH OWNED BY SUBDIVIDER GEORGE PECK AND THE POINT THAT WAS MADE IN THE E-MAIL WAS SINCE WHEN IS IT RACIST TO PUT A NO TRESPASSING SIGN ON PRIVATE PROPERTY?

>> IT IS NOT RACIST TO PUT NO TRESPASSING SIGN ON PRIVATE PROPERTY, EXCEPT THE ONLY PEOPLE TOLD NOT TO CROSS OVER TO THIS LAND TO GET TO THE WATER OR THE BLACK VISITORS TO THE BEACH.

>> THEN THE NEXT ONE THE INVASION BY AFRICAN-AMERICANS, I SEEM TO REMEMBER THAT TAKEN FROM A STORY IN THE NEWSPAPER. IS THAT CORRECT. WHERE DID THE WORD INVASION COME FROM?

>> IT WAS QUITE COMMON THAT WE FOUND AN ARTICLE IN THE "NEW YORK TIMES" FROM AN ABOUT 1912 THAT TALKED ABOUT THE NEGRO INVASION. THAT'S A QUOTE. IT WAS A SERIES WHERE THEY WERE ENCOURAGING PEOPLE TO NOT SELL TO MEMBERS OF THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN RACE. SO IT BECAME A VERY POPULAR -- THIS PHRASE USED FOR BLACK PEOPLE COMING INTO A WHITE COMMUNITY AND THE WHITE PEOPLE MOVING AWAY. HE SAID HE FEARED INVASION. THE THING IS IT WAS WHAT I LEARNED FROM THIS WHOLE PROCESS WAS THAT THE TERM NEGRO INVASION WAS A COMMON THING. YOU KNOW, LIKE -- I DON'T WANT TO EQUATE IT WITH ANYTHING, BUT IT WAS A PHRASE THAT WAS COMMONLY USED AT THAT TIME FOR NO BEHAVIOR.

>> UNDER THE POINT, THE RACIST MOTIVATION BEHIND EMINENT DOMAIN, THE FIRST PART OF THAT SEEMS TO PUT GREAT WEIGHT ON THE CALIFORNIA EAGLE REPORT REGARDING KKK. I SEEM TO REMEMBER A BIT OF HESITATION IN THE REPORT OF GIVING ANY REAL ATTRIBUTION OF ACTIONS TO KKK BECAUSE IT WASN'T FOR CERTAIN THAT THE -- ANY MEMBER DID SOMETHING IN REGARDS TO BRUCE'S BEACH THAT WE COULD FIND. CAN YOU ELABORATE ON THAT?

>> FIRST OF ALL, JUST BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW IT IS NECESSARY TO PUT ALL OWNERS ON THE KKK. THE CLAN DID NOT BECOME ACTIVE IN THIS AREA UNTIL 1924 WHICH IS WHEN THE BRUCE'S, THE CONDEMNATION HAD STARTED. THE LEGITIMATE ACTIONS OF THE RACIST ACTIONS AGAINST THE BLACK HOMEOWNERS STARTED IN 1926, 27 INTO 28 WHERE THERE WAS ARSON, DYNAMITING, GUNSHOTS AND BURNING CROSSES. THEN IN FEBRUARY 1928 THAT'S WHERE THERE WAS A GRAND JURY INVESTIGATION INTO A NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS IN MANHATTAN BEACH THAT DIDN'T ACTUALLY COME TO ANY CONCLUSION. THERE WAS NO INDICTMENTS AND FOR WHAT REASON I'M NOT SURE. IT WAS IN NEWSPAPERS, ALL SORTS OF NEWSPAPERS ACROSS THE COUNTRY.

>> MY POINT IS THE LAST SENTENCE OF THAT PARAGRAPH, THE AREA SUGGESTED THAT THE CLAN CONDEMNED BRUCE'S BEACH. DO WE HAVE THAT OTHER THAN THE NEWSPAPER?

>> NOT THAT IT WAS THE CLAN, THAT IT WAS RACIALLY MOTIVATED.

>> THE CLAN, IS THAT SOMETHING THAT REALLY SHOULD BE INCLUDED HERE?

>> THAT'S AN EXCELLENT QUESTION. THANK YOU FOR ASKING IT. I THINK IT WAS AN IMPORTANT NOTE FROM THE BRUCE FAMILY TO INNINGS THE CLAN. I THINK THAT THEY FEARED THAT THE CLAN WAS MORE INVOLVED FROM THE VERY BEGINNING AND THEY WEREN'T. IT JUST -- I'M SORRY THAT -- THAT'S NOT IN THE EVIDENCE BUT WHAT IS IN THE EVIDENCE IS THAT BEGINNING WITH THE ENGLEWOOD FIRES, THEY WERE -

>> I'M SORRY, TO INTERRUPT. I DON'T WANT TO GO ON TOO LONG BECAUSE OTHER PEOPLE HAVE QUESTIONS AND STATEMENTS AND THE PUBLIC WAITING. SO IT IS IN HERE BECAUSE OF THE BRUCE FAMILY?

>> NO.

>> I GUESS MY QUESTION IS WHY IS IT IN HERE THEN IF WE DON'T HAVE SUPPORTING -- MAYBE IN LARGER CONTEXTUAL WAY, IT IS APPROPRIATE AS SOME PEOPLE HAVE SAID, YOU KNOW, THAT WE'RE LACKING CONTEXT OF THE GREATER NATIONAL CONTEXT REGARDING RACE RELATIONS IN THE 1920s. BUT WHY IS THIS HERE IN REGARDS TO RACIST MOTIVATION REGARDING BRUCE'S BEACH HERE IN MANHATTAN BEACH.

>> ONE OF THE SIGNIFICANT STORIES IN THE RACE PAPER FROM THE CALIFORNIA EAGLE WAS ABOUT THE GENTLEMEN THAT WERE FISHING ON THE REDONDO PIER AND THEY WERE HANDED KKK PARAPHERNALIA AND THEY WERE TALKED TO ABOUT PURE AMERICANISM. THEY SAID THAT IN THE MARGIN OF THAT PAMPHLET IT SAID COLORED BEACH THREE MILES NORTH.

I THINK THAT WAS A VERY VISUAL STORY THAT KIND OF SHOWED THAT THE PRESENCE OF THE CLAN IN THE SOUTH. AND DON'T FORGET THAT -- I GUESS THAT WAS LATER, THE FIRE IN 26 -- IN 27.

>> THAT LAST SENTENCE THOUGH. EVEN THOUGH A NEWSPAPER WITH WHO KNOWS WHAT SOURCE IF ANY WAS SAID BACK WHEN IT WAS WRITTEN, WE DON'T HAVE ANYTHING WITH THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES?

>> YOU'RE RIGHT. WE HAVE THAT NEWSPAPER.

>> I DON'T KNOW IF I'M RIGHT, I'M ASKING YOU.

>> I HAVE DONE THE RESEARCH. I HAVE LOOKED, EXPLORED IT ALL. THERE'S NO QUESTION IN MY MIND THAT IT WAS RACIALLY MOTIVATED IN SO FAR AS THE CLAN'S INVOLVEMENT, THEY DIDN'T KEEP GOOD RECORDS.

>> I'M NOT QUESTIONING THE RACIAL MOTIVATION AT ALL, I THINK THAT'S ESTABLISHED ABOUT YOUR RESEARCH AND FRANK'S STATEMENT AND LOTS OF THINGS. BUT AGAIN WHEN IT COMES TO THE CLAN, AND FACTS SUPPORTING THE INCLUSION OF THAT PLAQUE THAT WE'RE TRYING TO GET RIGHT, WHICH WE DIDN'T GET RIGHT IN 2007 AND WE'RE CONTINUING TO STRIVE TO GET RIGHT NOW, I DON'T KNOW THAT SHOULD BE IN THERE THEN, RIGHT?

>> THERE'S SOME -- ONE THING TO ALSO REMEMBER IS THE CLANSMEN WERE HOODS AND WE DON'T KNOW WHO THEY WERE. THERE'S A REASON FOR THAT.

>> OF COURSE.

>> IT WASN'T WELL DOCUMENTED. IF ONLY WE COULD GET THOSE GRAND JURY FILES, IF ANY KNOWS HOW TO DO THAT, THAT WOULD BE AMAZING.

>> SO THEN --.

>> TO FIND OUT EXACTLY WHO WAS INVOLVED. ANOTHER POINT WAS UNDER THE LEGACY OF BRUCE'S BEACH PARAGRAPH THAT THE ISSUE, ALTHOUGH THEY RECEIVED COMPENSATION, IT WAS FAR LESS THAN THEY REQUESTED FOR THEIR PROPERTY AND THRIVING BUSINESS. SO THAT GOES TO THE QUESTION OF WHETHER THE COMPENSATION WAS FAIR OR NOT AND WHO JUDGES WHETHER IT IS FAIR OR NOT. THAT'S AN ISSUE THAT HAS BEEN BROUGHT UP IN THOSE ANONYMOUS E-MAILS AS TO WHY THE IMPLICATION THAT SOMETHING WAS TAKEN FROM THEM WITHOUT FAIR COMPENSATION. OBVIOUSLY THE MOTIVATION BEHIND IT, WRONG. BUT IN TERMS OF THE AMOUNT OF COMPENSATION, DO WE KNOW WHETHER THAT WAS UNDERCOMPENSATED OR NOT?

>> BASED ON THE OTHER PROPERTY VALUES WE FOUND AT THE TIME, IT WAS ABOVE MARKET VAL. THE QUESTION IS, THE STATEMENT SAYS WHAT THEY REQUESTED. THEY REQUESTED, I THINK 150,000 DOLLARS.

>> THE IMPLICATION WAS THERE WAS SOMETHING WRONG ABOUT THE COMPENSATION.

>> I UNDERSTAND THE IMPLICATION. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT CAN BE THE EXACT VALUE OF THE BUSINESS WAS BUT WE CAN ONLY TELL YOU WHAT THE VALUE OF THE PROPERTY WAS.

>> COUPLE OF OTHER THINGS.

>> ONE IS A COMMENT ABOUT 25 WHITE FAMILIES, ACTUALLY TEN ENTITIES, TEN WHITE ENTITIES THAT OWNED 25 LOTS. JUST SO THAT'S CLEAR FOR EVERYBODY.

>> OKAY. THERE WAS SEVERAL THINGS THAT WASN'T SAID ABOUT HOW THERE WAS NO MENTION THAT IN THE PROPERTY TO BLACKS AND OTHER CITIES DOES NOT. IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH WASN'T DOING THE SELLING, THESE WERE INDIVIDUAL TRANSACTIONS BETWEEN PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS.

>> EXACTLY. I DON'T KNOW IF THE CITY HAD A SAY IN THAT AND THERE WAS NO INDICATION IN THAT, AND MAYOR HADLEY POINTED OUT WHEN WE WERE DISCUSSING THE REPORT, WE FOUND EVIDENCE THAT THERE WERE BLACK PROPERTY OWNERS THAT PURCHASED IN PLAYA DEL REY.

>> WAS THERE A MENTION OF THE FACT THAT FOUR OF THE FIVE PROPERTY OWNERS INVOLVED IN THE CONDEMNATION REPURCHASED IN MANHATTAN BEACH.

>> THERE WAS SO MUCH I WANTED TO INCLUDE IN THE PLAQUE BUT I WAS REMINDED THIS IS A PLAQUE AND KEEP PEOPLE INTERESTED AND NO ONE LIKE TO READ.

>> IS THAT SOMETHING FOR THE QR CODE?

>> PRECISELY.

>> THANK YOU. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

>> THANK YOU. MY COLLEAGUE JOE FRANKLIN HAS QUESTIONS.

>> HI, CRISTIN, AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR ALL OF THE TIME AND EFFORT YOU PUT IN. YOU MENTIONED RACE NEWSPAPERS. WOULD YOU EXPLAIN THAT. I DON'T THINK IT IS DEROGATORY, JUST EXPLAINING THESE NEWSPAPERS. COULD YOU GIVE BACKGROUND TO THEM?

>> I'M NOT AN EXPERT ON THIS BY ANY MEANS. I DO KNOW THERE'S NEWSPAPERS THAT CATERED TO A BLACK AUDIENCE. IT WAS MORE ABOUT EVENTS IN THE BLACK COMMUNITIES. IT WAS THE LIBERATOR AND THEN IT WAS THE CALIFORNIA EAGLE THAT WERE THE PREDOMINANT ONES IN THE AREA IN LOS ANGELES AND BY THE END OF THEIR DOMAIN HERE IN MANHATTAN BEACH.

>> THAT'S ALL MY QUESTIONS.

>> WHO CALLED THEM THE RACE NEWSPAPERS? WHO COINED THAT PHRASE? I'M SORRY, ALI, AND CRISTIN.

>> SORRY, I WASN'T ON CAMERA BEFORE AND I DIDN'T KNOW I NEEDED TO BE BUT HI. I THINK IT IS JUST A HISTORICAL TERM. I NOTICED IT WAS USED DOUGLAS FLEMINGS BOOK THAT WAS A GOOD RESOURCE FOR US. IT WAS A COMMON -- ALSO NEW YORK PUBLIC LIBRARIES PAID, THAT'S HOW THEY REFERRED TO THEM.

>> ANY OTHER QUESTIONS. HILDY, RICHARD, JOE. GOOD?

>> OKAY. ALI AND CRISTIN, GREAT JOB. THANK YOU. SIT TIGHT. WE DID QUESTIONS. NOW TO PUBLIC COMMENT. OF COURSE FOLKS IN OUR CHAMBERS ARE WELCOME TO SUBMIT A YELLOW SHEET OF PAPER AND GET IN THE QUEUE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. YOU KNOW WHAT YOU'RE DOING AND WE'LL GO TO ALVAREZ AND SEE IF THERE'S QUESTIONERS IN ZOOM LAND.

>> STATE THEIR NAME AND TWO MINUTES FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS.

>> MY NAME IS ROY. I'M A MANHATTAN BEACH RESIDENT. I WAS GOING TO VOICE MY FRUSTRATION BASED ON A PLAQUE THAT IS NOT COMPLETE AND NOT REVIEWED BY HISTORICAL EXPERTS. NAPOLITANO HAD THE QUESTIONS I HAD THAT ARE STILL VAGUE AND NOT NAILED DOWN THE FACTS LIKE THE PURPOSE OF THIS WHOLE TASK FORCE BACK FROM OCTOBER OF 2020. I THINK THE EXPERTS WHAT THEY'RE KNOWN FOR IS ANALYZING AND DISTINGUISH WHETHER IT IS FACTUAL OR RUMORS OR STORIES. THEY SOURCE ADDITIONAL SOURCES TO LOOK FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO BACK UP WHAT MIGHT BE WHETHER OR NOT THE STORY IS -- HAS BEEN CORROBORATED BY A SEPARATE SOURCE AND THAT SORT OF THING. THANK YOU, STEVE FOR DOING THAT. THE REPORT IS NOT COMPLETE. IT IS NOT SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETE BECAUSE OF THE MISSING COURT DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE EMINENT DOMAIN. THE CASE BROUGHT UP BY THE LADY ARRESTED ON THE BEACH AND SHE ADVOCATED POLICE BRUTALITY AND APPEALED AFTER SHE LOST THAT APPEAL. I FOUND OUT ABOUT THE GRAND JURY DOCUMENTS. THERE'S NO REASON WE SHOULDN'T TAKE THE HISTORY ACCURATE THIS TIME. STEVE MENTIONED IT. WE GOT IT WRONG IN 2007. IT IS TIME TO GET IT RIGHT. LET'S NOT RUSH. WE DON'T WANT TO PUT UP PLAQUES AND ARTWORK THAT LATER HAS TO COME DOWN BECAUSE IT IS PROVEN TO BE INACCURATE. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THERE'S NO FURTHER REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS FOR THIS ITEM OR IN COUNCIL CHAMBERS FOR THIS ITEM.

>> OKAY. WE'LL STALL A MINUTE IN CASE SOMEBODY RAISES THEIR HANDS IN THE NEXT NEW SECONDS BEFORE I CALL A COLLEAGUE FOR DISCUSSION. GOING, ONCE, GOING TWICE, GOING THREE TIMES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT, EITHER IN CHAMBERS OR IN ZOOM. OKAY, IF STAFF IS ABLE TO TAKE ME BACK TO THE ZOOM SCREEN, I LOVE TO HAVE COUNCIL DISCUSSION. THIS WOULD GREATLY FACILITATE KNOWING WHEN THEY RAISE THEIR HANDS. TERRIFIC. CHRISTIAN YOU CAN MUTE YOUR CAMERA FOR NOW, IF YOU WOULD LIKE, UNLESS YOU LIKE TO STAY ON AND WE WILL DISCUSS THIS NOW. WHO WOULD LIKE TO START US OFF?

>> I'LL GO AHEAD AND START.

>> OKAY. YEP. COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> I LIKE IT THANK THE ADVISORY BOARD SPENDING HOURS ON THE REPORT AND THE LANGUAGE WE'LL DISCUSS THIS EVENING. IT WOULD NOT BE AN EASY TASK PIECING TOGETHER FACTS FROM 100 YEARS AGO, MUCH LESS THAN IN THE MIDDLE OF A PANDEMIC. YOUR WORK AND DEDICATION AND PERSISTENCE IS APPRECIATED. THE WORDS WE CHOOSE FOR THIS TASK WILL BE DISPLAYED FOR DECADES TO COME. CARE MUST BE TAKEN TO MAKE THEM AS ACCURATE POSSIBLE. IT BEING A, QUOTE, LIVING DOCUMENT OR OPEN SOURCE, THE WORDS WE CHOOSE NOW WILL NOT BE SO EASY TO CHANGE EVEN IN THE LIGHT OF NEW RESEARCH. AS SUCH, I LIKE TO DISCUSS SEVEN ITEMS IN THE THREE OF THE SECTIONS. FIRST SECTION, READS HARASSMENT OF THE BRUCES AND SOME WHITE NEIGHBORS, UNQUOTE IS USED. LATER IT IS WRITTEN IN THE

PARAGRAPH, QUOTE, AS BRUCE'S BEACH POPULARITY SOARED, WHITE RESIDENTS EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT AN INVASION BY AFRICAN-AMERICANS. THE WORD WHITE NEIGHBORS WAS QUALIFIED BY SOME, STATES THERE IS SOME OF THE WHITE NEIGHBORS, NOT ALL OF THEM. IN THE SECOND INCIDENCE, INVASION IS NOT QUALIFIED. I FEEL THAT SHOULD BE QUALIFIED. I DON'T THINK YOU KNOW, IN UNISON ALL -- APPROXIMATELY 600 RESIDENTS OF MANHATTAN BEACH EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT AN INVASION. I THINK WE SHOULD PUT A QUALIFIER IN FRONT OF THAT AS WELL. MANY, ALL, SOMETHING LIKE THAT. YOU DON'T WANT TO LEAVE IT TO THE INTERPRETATION OF THE READER, SOME OF IT YOU DO. THIS SHOULD BE SPELLED OUT. THE NEXT ONE, AIMS TO THWART THE BUSINESSES AND DRIVER THE BLACK COMMUNITY OUT OF THE CITY. I DON'T THINK THAT WAS THE INTENTION OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES WAS TO DRIVE EVERY SINGLE BLACK RESIDENT OUT OF THE CITY. IF THAT WERE THE CASE, THEN WHY WERE ALL OF THE BLACK FAMILIES ALLOWED TO REPURPOSE IN MANHATTAN BEACH. THAT'S NOT VERY CLEAR. IT IS NOT VERY PRECISE. THIS IS NOT AN EFFORT TO DRIVE THE BLACK COMMUNITY OUT OF THE CITY. THE CITY PURSUED MORE AGGRESSIVE MEASURES. IT SHOULD READ BOARD OF TRUSTEES. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT BOARD OF TRUSTEES. THEY CAN MAKE THOSE DECISIONS. I LIKE TO ADD HISTORICAL CONTEXT FROM L.A. CITY LIMITS. FROM THE GREAT DEPRESSION TO THE PRESENT.

>> THIS WAS FROM THE CALIFORNIA PRESS AND WRITTEN BY JOSH SYKES. DR. JOSH SYKES WHO IS A PROFESSOR. AWARD WINNING PROFESSOR. CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES. HE WAS DIRECTOR AT USC IN THE FEEDBACK NAVAS PROVIDED IN THE PACK ON JUNE 15th. HERE'S THE BOOK IN CASE YOU SAW IT. I MARKED IT UP. I INDICATED PART OF MY RESEARCH HERE. IT WAS USED ONE REFERENCE. HE SAYS, QUOTE, JEFFERSON ELMAN, EDITOR OF THE BLACK LOS ANGELES NEWSPAPER, THE LIBERATOR DECLARED IN 1902 THAT CALIFORNIA IS THE GREATEST STATE FOR THE NEGRO, UNQUOTE. THEY ELABORATED ONLY A FEW YEARS AGO, THE PRESENCE OF THE COLORED POPULATION CAME FROM THE SOUTH WITH NO MONEY IN SEARCH OF OTHER THINGS. WE'RE NOT DISAPPOINTED. THE HOSPITABLE WHITE COMMUNITY EMPLOYED THEM AND PROVIDED THEIR CHILDREN WITH BEST EDUCATION AND THE BLACK POPULATION FEELING SAFE PLANTED THEMSELVES. I AM SURE THAT WE CAN AGREE, IT IS LIKELY, A NUMBER, IF NOT THE MAJORITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH AT THE TIME, JUST 1500 YARDS AWAY WOULD HAVE THE SAME HOSPITABLE ATTITUDE OF BLACK OWNERSHIP AS WAS FOUND IN NEARBY LOS ANGELES. THE EAGLE -- I JUST WANTED TO INTRODUCE THAT. AS HISTORICAL CONTEXT THAT WE DIDN'T HEAR BEFORE. THE NEXT SECTION IS THE RACIST MOTIVATION AROUND THE EMINENT DOMAIN ACTION. THE EAGLE, THE EAGLE, SPECULATED THAT THE CLAN WAS OPERATING UNRESTRICTEDLY ALONG THE WATERFRONT, INCLUDING MANHATTAN BEACH UNQUOTE. I THINK THE ANSWER SORT OF COVERED THAT. MY COMMENT WAS THAT -- I KEEP HEARING SOMETHING ABOUT SOMETHING IN THE MARGIN. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT WAS HANDWRITTEN OR PART OF THE PRINTED DOCUMENT. I FORGOT

TO ASK ABOUT THAT. NOR DO I WANT TO FOCUS IN AND SPECULATE. IT IS INACCURATE WHEN THE WORD SPECULATED IS GOING TO DESCRIBE KU KLUX KLAN ACTIVITY IS REPRESENTED WHEN THE FACTS DON'T SUPPORT IT. THIS QUOTES A PIECE FROM THE CALIFORNIA EAGLE ON PAGE 46 OF THE REPORT. THE BRUCES HAVE BEEN IN MANHATTAN BEACH FOR 14 YEARS AND ARE THE SETTLERS ON THAT END OF THE BEACH. SOME KU KLUX THAT MOVED IN OBJECTED TO THE PRESENCE OF COLORED FOLK AND HAVE MANIPULATED THEIR OBJECTIONS AND THEY REACHED OUT AND IMPACTED THE PEOPLE WHO RESIDE OVER THE COUNCIL AND THIS BODY HAS DECIDED THIS IS A BEACH FOR COLORED PEOPLE. THIS IS A REFERENCE, A -- ARTICLE WAS CALLED, CLANS OPERATION FOR THE EAGLE. IT IS PAGE 46 OF THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD. SO QUOTING, THESE RECOUNTS WERE RECITED NEARLY 30 YEARS TO ROBERT BRIGHAM AFTER THEY WERE ALLEGED TO HAVE OCCURRED. SOME WERE NOT FROM FIRSTHAND EXPERIENCE, CALLING INTO QUESTION THE VALIDITY AND CREDIBILITY. WITH FURTHER RESEARCH HOWEVER WE DISCUSSED REPORTS BY A VARIETY OF SOURCES THAT CORROBORATE MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY IN MANHATTAN BEACH. THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD REPORT SEEMS TO LIST THREE PAGES, 37 THROUGH 39 OF RACIST AND INTIMIDATING EVENTS FROM RECORDS AND GRAND JURY ACTIONS. THERE'S NO DOUBT THAT THESE EVENTS OCCUR AND IT WAS LIKELY -- AND THEY WERE RACIST, RACIALLY MOTIVATED. IT WAS LIKELY A BIAS AT PLAY WITH THE PROSECUTION. BUT THERE'S NO CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE DRAWN TO DEFINITELY POINT TO EVIDENCE OF KKK INVOLVEMENT. THE USE OF THE WORD CLAN INVOLVEMENT DOES NOT BELONG ON A PLAQUE DESCRIBING THIS HISTORY. GOING ON THE ARTICLE QUOTES, SUGGESTED THAT THE CLAN INCLUDE THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES REGARDING BRUCE'S BEACH. SO DR. ALISON JEFFERSON WROTE, BASED ON CURRENT AVAILABLE EVIDENCE WE CAN ONLY SPECULATE THAT THE KKK'S ACTIVITY IMPACTED THE BRUCE'S BEACH ESTABLISHMENT. AND IN THE TASK FORCE REPORT, THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE DIRECTLY LINKING ACTS OF HARASSMENT TO THE KKK, UNQUOTE. COMBINED WITH THE WORD SPECULATED SUGGESTED IS JUST AS AMBIGUOUS. DR. GROSS WHO IS THE PROFESSOR OF LAW WHO WAS FEATURED IN THE ADVISORY BOARDS PROFESSIONAL REVIEW FEEDBACK DOCUMENT STATED THE FOLLOWING IN HER E-MAIL TO THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD DATED JUNE 4th, 2021. IN HER FIRST PARAGRAPH, SHE WROTE SEVERAL PLACES IN THE L.A. AREA WHERE BLACK PEOPLE COULD OWN PROPERTY, EVEN NEAR THE BEACH, THERE WAS BLACK OWNED PROPERTY TAKING PLACE. SANTA MONICA WAS ANOTHER ONE. THIS WAS JUST NOT ONE OF THE MECHANISMS, THIS WAS JUST ONE OF THE MECHANISMS AVAILABLE TO THOSE THAT ESCHEWED THE MORE VIOLENT TACTICS OF THE KKK. UNQUOTE. WHERE IS THE FACTUAL PROOF OF KKK INVOLVEMENT IN MANHATTAN BEACH INFLUENCING THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES. FOR MORE CONTEXT, DR. JOSH SIDE. L.A. CITY LIMITS RELATES HIS RESEARCH INTO THE NEWSPAPERS OF THE DAY. HE SAID QUOTE THE BLACK PRESS OF LOS ANGELES PLAYED A CRUCIAL ROLE IN POLITICALIZING ITS READERS, MORE NEWSWORTHY INFORMATION, THE

CITY'S TWO MOST INFLUENTIAL NEWSPAPERS PRODDED THEIR LEADERSHIP TO CHALLENGE RACIAL DISCRIMINATION. UNQUOTE. SO YES, THAT'S FINE. WE SEE THAT IN NEWSPAPERS TODAY. BUT WHERE WAS THE LINE DRAWN AND DID THEY DRAW BETWEEN NEWS AND EDITORIAL. QUOTES FROM PIECES OF THE CALIFORNIA EAGLE APPEAR 15 TIMES IN THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD REPORT. THE POINT BEING AS WAS SAID EARLIER, DOES THIS REFERENCE TO THE KKK REALLY BELONG ON A PLAQUE. THIS PLAQUE. MOVING ON TO ITEM NUMBER SIX ON MY LIST. MAY 16, 1927, THE BRUCE FAMILY LEFT MANHATTAN BEACH. THEY RECEIVED COMPENSATION BUT IT WAS FAR LESS THAN FOR THEIR PROPERTY AND BUSINESSES. IT WAS ABOVE MARKET VALUE, UNQUOTE, WHAT THEY RECEIVED. THERE WAS INFORMATION PROVIDED FOR BACKGROUND. THEY ASKED FOR 70,000 FOR THE PROPERTY. AND 50,000 DOLLARS FOR THEIR BUSINESSES. THESE DEFENDANTS WILL BE OUSTED. IF THE LAND DESCRIBED IN THE COMPLAINT, THESE DEFENDANTS WILL BE OUSTED FROM THEIR HOMES AND ARE UNABLE TO PROCURE THEIR HOMES, UNQUOTE. THIS IS QUOTING FROM THE REPORT. IN MANHATTAN BEACH BECAUSE THEY'RE BLACK, BUT THIS WAS NOT LIKELY AND AGAIN THIS IS THE REPORT, THIS IS NOT LIKELY A CONDITION OF THE CONDEMN NATION BECAUSE OF THE FAMILIES THAT HAD PROPERTY BOUGHT OTHER PROPERTY. THE BRUCES DID NOT. WHEN THEY TURNED OVER THE LAND, IT HAD BEEN 15 YEARS SINCE SHE PURCHASED A LOT AND SHE WAS NOW 64 YEARS OLD AND CHARLES WAS 66. THEY PURCHASED A HOME AT 1042 AND 44 EAST 20th STREET TO THE 1930 U.S. CENSUS THAT COST 75,000 DOLLARS. THEY ALLEGEDLY PURCHASED PROPERTIES. SO THEY WERE ABLE TO BUY PROPERTIES. THEY WERE ABLE TO BUY COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES WHICH PRESUMABLY WOULD GENERATE INCOME. THE BRUCE FAMILY AND THIS IS ME TALKING NOW. THE BRUCE FAMILY PAID A TOTAL OF 1235 DOLLARS FOR THE TWO LOTS AND TWO TRANSACTIONS. THEY SPENT MONEY, YOU KNOW, TO BUILD BUILDINGS. THEIR RESORT. I BELIEVE THIS COULD BE REWORDED.

>> FINALLY MORE GRAMMATICAL, THE BRUCE AREA SECTION, THE BRUCE FAMILY WERE COMPELLED TO ABANDON THEIR DREAMS IN MANHATTAN BEACH. I THINK THAT SHOULD SAY WAS COMPELLED. THE BRUCE FAMILY WAS COMPELLED TO ABANDON THEIR DREAMS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU. JOE. ARE YOU READY, HILDY?

>> I JUST WANTED TO CIRCLE BACK SOMETHING THAT WAS SAID AT THE LAST MEETING. IT REFERENCES THE COMMENTS ABOUT THE ACCURACY AND REVIEW OF THE REPORT. COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN HAS NOTED THE LETTER BY DR. GROSS WHEN SHE IDENTIFIED TWO AUTHORS THAT SHE SUGGESTED THAT THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD REFERENCED. IT SOUNDS ON WHAT WE HEARD FROM FRANKLIN, HE DID READ ONE OF THOSE BOOKS. I WANTED TO CLARIFY AND MAYBE THIS IS SOMETHING I SHOULD CLARIFY THAT IN FACT THE RECOMMENDATIONS BY DR. GROSS TO REFERENCE AND READ AND REFERENCE THE TWO AUTHORS THAT WERE NOTED LAST TIME AS FURTHER REVIEW AND ACCURACY OF THEIR REPORT THAT WAS THROUGH IT AND THE SECOND LETTER THAT DR. GROSS WROTE WAS TO KIND OF

CONFIRM THAT MANY OCCASIONS IN THE REPORT THE AUTHORS WERE NOTED WERE INCLUDED IN THE ADVISORY REPORT. THEY'RE CITED. THE ACCURACY WAS FURTHER UNDERScoreD FROM FOLLOWING THAT DIRECTION FROM DR. GROSS.

>> YES, THAT'S CORRECT.

>> I WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT. IT WAS IN THE E-MAILS. I RESERVE THE REST OF MY COMMENTS.

>> JOE?

>> I WANT TO RESPOND TO THAT. YES, IN FACT DR. SAIEZ REPORT WAS REFERENCED BUT ONLY ONE TIME IN THE REPORT WHERE THERE WAS A LOT MORE INFORMATION THAT WAS GERMANE. IT WAS USED ONCE.

>> RICHARD, STEVE. RICHARD.

>> I HAVE A QUESTION FOR CRISTIN. THERE YOU ARE. YOU AND YOUR GROUP. I TOLD YOU THANK YOU FOR YOUR HARD WORK. UNOFFICIAL SPOKESPERSON OF THE GROUP. HERE'S MY QUESTION. HERE'S HOW YOU DID IT? THE RECOMMENDED PLOT TWO, YOU FOCUSED ON THE FAMILIES, THE FAMILIES THAT WERE THERE, THE ENCLAVE. MY QUESTION WAS WHY THEY OMITTED THE WYATT OWNERSHIP AT THE SAME TIME. THEY ALL SUFFERED THE SAME EMINENT DOMAIN. THE SAME. I'M NOT QUESTIONING OF HOW THEY GOT THERE. WE'RE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE THERE. WE NEED TO RECITE THAT AGAIN. WE GOT IT. IF WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ACCURACY, HOW COULD WE ADMIT SOMETHING THAT EFFECTED MORE?

>> BASICALLY WE TRIED TO FIND INFORMATION ABOUT THE OTHER TEN ENTITIES, THE WHITE ENTITIES. THERE'S LITTLE TO BE FOUND ABOUT THEM. THEY NEVER BUILT A HOME HERE IN MANHATTAN BEACH. THEY WERE NOT INVOLVED IN THE COMMUNITY IN ANY WAY. COMPARED TO THE BLACK FAMILIES THAT DID HAVE, ALBEIT VACATION HOMES OR RESORT HOMES, THEY WERE PARTS OF THE COMMUNITY. THE BRUCES WERE SUBSTANTIAL MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY. WE LISTED THEM BECAUSE WE THOUGHT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO MENTION THEIR NAMES. HOWEVER, AS FAR AS I COULD FIND AND MY BOARD CO-MEMBERS COULD FIND, THERE WAS NO MORE INFORMATION TO BE FOUND OUT ABOUT THEM. THEY WERE JUST -- THERE JUST WASN'T INFORMATION.

>> LET ME REPHRASE. ON THE PROPERTY THE CITY OWNS NOW, THE PARK, JUST THE PARK, TO THE PARK ITSELF, WE DIDN'T FIND INFORMATION BUT THE DECISION ON THE PLAQUE TO WAS TO NOT INCLUDE ANY.

>> WE LISTED THEM BY NAME. DID WE LIST THEM BY NAME?

>> YOU MADE NO MENTION OF ANY NAMES.

>> ON THE MAP.

>> IT IS DEFINITELY ON THE MAP. YES. I THINK THAT WAS OUR WAY OF ACKNOWLEDGING THEM BECAUSE THAT WAS ALL OF THE INFORMATION THAT WE DID HAVE ABOUT THEM, SO YES, CORRECT.

>> THANK YOU. THAT'S ALL I NEED. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU. STEVE WAS YOUR HAND UP.

>> IT WASN'T UP, BUT I'LL GO. SO I DON'T HAVE A LONG LIST OF ISSUES HERE. I SPOKE EARLIER ABOUT CONCERNS. I WANT TO ALERT EVERYBODY, THESE FOLKS WORKED LONG AND HARD, WHETHER WE AGREE IN

TOTAL OR NOT AND AS FAR AS THE ANONYMOUS E-MAILS AND THE MISINFORMATION THAT I READ OUT THERE, EACH OF THESE MEETINGS THAT THESE FOLKS HAVE HAD, HAVE BEEN ADVERTISED AND THESE CONCERNS BETTER LATE THAN NEVER WE COULD HAVE AVOIDED THIS ALONG THE WAY IF ANYTHING WAS PUT TO THESE FOLKS ALONG THE WAY AS WELL. WE HAVE OPPORTUNITY TOWARD THAT. IF YOU DON'T LIKE WHAT YOU READ HERE, IT IS BECAUSE YOU HAVEN'T HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS IT NOW. I ENCOURAGE OTHER PEOPLE TO DO THAT RATHER THAN PLAYING GOT YOU AFTER THE FACT. MY CONCERN, I'M NOT GOING TO NITPICK THIS THING MYSELF, IN IT HAS TO BE THIS, I WON'T EDIT EITHER. I LIKE TO TAKE EVERYONE'S CONCERNS THAT HAVE BEEN STATED TONIGHT. AND OBVIOUSLY AS CRISTIN SAID, SHE'S REVIEWED THE E-MAILS AND WE TALKED ABOUT CONCERNS AND THE KKK AND THE COMPENSATION ISSUE AND THINGS LIKE THAT. WHAT I LIKE TO DO IS SEND THIS BACK TO THE HISTORY COMMITTEE, WITH ALL OF THE ISSUES BEEN RAISED TONIGHT AND LET THEM ADDRESS THAT AND BRING THAT BACK. THEY'LL EITHER AGREE WITH THE CONCERNS AND THE REQUESTS THAT SOME OF THESE THINGS BE CHANGED OR NOT. OR THEY'LL PROVIDE SUPPORTING MATERIALS AND EVIDENCE TO THE POINTS THEY MADE. THEN WE CAN REVIEW IT AGAIN. IF SOMEONE WANTS TO EDIT AFTER THAT, THEY CAN DO THAT.

>> I FIGURE OUT IT IS ONLY BECAUSE.

>> I DON'T KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON. WHATEVER PROFOUND THING I JUST SAID, I'LL WRAP UP BY SAYING, I THINK -- LET US PUT TOGETHER, IF THEY'RE NOT DOING IT ALREADY. I'M SURE ALL OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS ARE LISTENING TO THIS NOW. LET'S SEND THEM TO THE HISTORY COMMITTEE, LET THEM REVISE ADDITIONAL OR REVISED LANGUAGE TO ADDRESS THOSE CONCERNS OR THEY WILL SUPPORT WHAT THEY'RE PUTTING FORWARD NOW WITH ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE OR DOCUMENTATION IN FAVOR. I'M NOT GOING TO SIT HERE AND EDIT THEIR WORK. I THINK THAT THEY PUT IN THE EFFORT. THEY PUT IN THE WORK. THAT DOESN'T MEAN I HAVE TO BUY EVERYTHING THEY PUT INTO IT. IT MEANS THEY GET A CHANCE TO DEFEND IT. I GIVE THEM THAT CHANCE.

>> MAYOR PRO TEM STERN AND THEN RICHARD AND I WILL WRAP UP.

>> THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR PUTTING THAT IN A CLEARER FRAMEWORK. IT IS EASIER TO BE AN EDITOR THAN WRITER, I THINK. IT IS TEMPTING BUT NOT ALWAYS NECESSARY. I REALLY APPRECIATE THE WAY THE ADVISORY BOARD HAS BROKEN OUT INTO PLAQUES. I WANT TO REMIND MY COLLEAGUES THAT THE POINT TO THE EFFORT, THE POINT TO CREATING THESE PLAQUES WAS TO DOCUMENT A HISTORIC TIME THAT WAS NOT A DATE BUT IT WAS AN ACTION. IT WAS TO DOCUMENT THE ACTION ON THE SIDE OF THE MANHATTAN BEACH TRUSTEES THAT THEIR MOTIVATION WAS A RACIAL MOTIVATION TO INCLUDE BLACK PEOPLE FROM MANHATTAN BEACH. I THINK THAT'S SOMETHING THAT IS PRETTY CLEARLY ACCEPTED. THIS WAS A RACIALLY MOTIVATED ACTION, THE ACTION OF THE CONDEMNATION AND EMINENT DOMAIN AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO MEMORIALIZE HERE. I UNDERSTAND THERE'S OTHER THINGS

HAPPENING. THERE WAS WHITE LANDOWNERS AND ENTITIES THAT OWNED THE LAND AND MANY OTHER THINGS. WHAT WE'RE TRYING WITH THE EFFORT HERE IS TO REALLY MEMORIALIZE WHAT HAPPENED IN THIS SITUATION TO THE BLACK PEOPLE WHO WERE VISITING AND LIVED AND HAD BUSINESSES IN MANHATTAN BEACH. THIS PRESENTATION BY THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD IS THAT DOCUMENTATION. THERE'S A QUESTION OF KIND OF THE CONTEXT OF THE TIME. I THINK THAT'S THE QUESTION ABOUT THE CLAN AND THE SURROUNDING AREAS. THAT'S WHAT THIS GOES BACK TO. THE REPORT DID A GOOD JOB OF CONTEXTUALIZING THIS TIME. MAYBE THAT'S THE WAY TO GO BACK. IT CLEARLY WE CAN'T INCLUDE ALL 75 PAGES OF A REPORT. THIS WAS A GREAT SUMMARY OF THE MOST PROMINENT PARTS OF THE TIME THAT THIS OCCURRED BUT MAYBE THAT -- MAYBE THAT'S THE WAY TO LOOK AT THE RACIST MOTIVATION BEHIND THE EMINENT DOMAIN ACTION IS TO KIND OF EXPLAINED IT AS A CONTEXTUAL, MORE CONTEXTUALLY SO THOSE ITEMS THAT ARE BEING PULLED FROM THESE DIFFERENT NEWS ARTICLES CAN BE GIVEN SOME FRAMEWORK FOR WHY THEY ACTUALLY HAVE BEARING ON THE RACIAL MOTIVATION BEHIND EMINENT DOMAIN. I WANTED TO JUST ASK AND I APPRECIATE NOT, NOT EACH GOING IN AND BEING WORD EDITORS THAT WE LOOK AT THESE TWO AS IF THEY ALSO ARE STAND ALONE PLAQUES. SOMEBODY COULD VISIT PLAQUE NUMBER TWO AND SEE THE LIST OF NAMES OF THESE BLACK LANDOWNERS IN THE 1920s AND MAYBE NOT UNDERSTAND WHAT THIS PLAQUE REALLY REPRESENTS. THERE'S A CONTEXT HERE. I WOULD ENCOURAGE THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD TO MAYBE PUT IN A PARAGRAPH THAT KIND OF PUTS THIS IN CONTEXT SO THERE'S A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF WHY THESE SIX FAMILIES ARE LISTED HERE. I WOULD ALSO PERHAPS AT SOME PLACE ON THIS, REFERENCE THE OTHER PLAQUES SO THAT IT ENCOURAGES SOMEBODY THAT IS READING ONE TO ACTUALLY GO AND VISIT THE OTHER TO GET A FULL UNDERSTANDING OF THE HISTORY. IT COULD BE VERY OFTEN THAT PEOPLE HAPPEN ON THEM AND DON'T KNOW OF THE OTHER PLAQUE IF THEY'RE NOT COMING TO SEE THE PLAQUE. THE OTHER THING I LIKE TO SEE IS A LITTLE MORE EXPLANATION OF A CONDEMNATION PARAGRAPH. THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES INITIATED THESE EVENTS IN 1923. I THINK I'M NOTING THAT CORRECTLY. THAT CONDEMNATION PARAGRAPH MAYBE FLOWS BETTER FROM SOME OF THE INFORMATION BUT THERE COULD BE MORE INFORMATION THERE TO KIND OF EXPLAIN THE PROCESS BECAUSE THAT WAS SO IMPORTANT TO WHAT HAPPENED TO THESE FAMILIES. THERE ARE A COUPLE -- YOU KNOW, WE'VE BEEN ASKED TO DECIDE ABOUT LOCATION, THE CHOICE OF STYLE, THE LANGUAGE, THE PHOTOS, THE QR CODE AND THE COST. AND PERHAPS WHAT WE SHOULD BE LOOKING AT RIGHT NOW IS GETTING SOME -- THE TIGHTER SOLID LANGUAGE AND I'M -- I KNOW THAT THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD THAT SPENT HUNDREDS OF HOURS AND WEEK AFTER WEEK IS PROBABLY VERY INTERESTED AS ARE WE AND ANXIOUS TO MOVE THIS FORWARD AND HAVE A FINAL DOCUMENT. AT LEAST I'M SO GRATEFUL AND YOU KNOW, I THINK THAT OUR CITY WILL -- SHOULD BE VERY MUCH EMBRACING THE INSTALLATION OF THESE PLAQUES

AND ENCOURAGING THE USE OF AN EDUCATIONAL WAY TO ACKNOWLEDGE AND RECOGNIZE THIS HISTORY. SO I DON'T WANT TO SEND THIS BACK AND -- IN THE THOUGHT THAT THIS WAS NOT VERY MUCH APPRECIATED BUT -- I THINK IT WOULD NOT SERVE US TO MAKE CHOICES ABOUT SOME OF THE OTHER THINGS IN THIS REPORT BEFORE WE HAVE THE FINAL DISCUSSION ABOUT THE LANGUAGE. I DID ALSO, THOUGH, WANT TO ASK ABOUT SOME OF THE PICTURES. MAYBE THIS IS ALSO SOMETHING THAT WE CAN TWO BACK WITH. THERE'S PICTURES ABOUT THE PRILO FAMILY AND THEY ARE REPETITIVE. I WONDER IF YOU COULD LOOK AT THOSE AND WHICH WE CAN PARE DOWN. THERE WAS CAPLY WHO HAD A SIGNIFICANT EXPERIENCE. BUT WE DON'T HAVE LANGUAGE AS TO WHY SHE WAS NOTED AND HER PICTURE WAS INCLUDED. I'M WONDERING IF THERE'S GOING TO BE SOME EXPLANATIONS UNDER THE PICTURES OR IF THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING WE WANT TO BE INCLUDED THAT SOMEONE COULD SEE IT SEE THE QR CODE IF THEY WANT INFORMATION. I THINK I'LL STOP THERE. BUT ALSO THE PICTURES IN THE ATTACHMENTS IN THE ARTICLES ARE ALSO LOOKED AT AGAIN. AND UNDERSTOOD WHERE THEY WOULD FIT APPROPRIATELY.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY. YOU'RE STILL ON MUTE.

>> THERE WE GO. BEFORE MISQUOTED. LET ME GET CLEAR ABOUT WHAT I'M GOING TO SAY. LAST YEAR I WATCHED OR REWATCHED THE VIDEO OF THE COUNCIL MEETING. WE WERE THERE EXCEPT COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN TALKING ABOUT WHAT WE THOUGHT WE WOULD WANT TO SEE. THERE'S NO DISPUTE, AS I SAID EARLIER, THE AMOUNT OF HARD WORK WE RECEIVED AND ALL OF THE PEOPLE THAT WERE ON THE COMMITTEE. NO DISPUTE AT ALL. I KNEW IT WOULDN'T BE DONE BY DECEMBER. WE HOPED WE COULD HAVE THE PLAQUE INSTALLED BY THEN. IT WAS A WISH THAT NEVER HAPPENED. MY COMMENTS WERE CLEAR. I THOUGHT WE'RE GOING TO INSTALL THE HISTORICALLY ACCURATE AND FACTUALLY ACCURATE REVISED PLAQUE AT THE PLACE WHERE ALL OF THIS STARTED. THE BRUCE BEACH PROPERTY OWNED BY THE COUNTY. THEY DO WHAT THEY WANT TO DO AND WE'LL SEE HOW THAT GOES DOWN THE ROAD. MY POINT, I USE THE ALAMO REFERENCE. YOU SEE HISTORICAL PLAQUE AS YOU WILL AT THE PLACE WHERE IT TOOK PLACE, NOT A BLOCK AWAY, NOT TWO BLOCKS AWAY. IN MY MIND, THIS COMMITTEE WOULD COME BACK WITH, WE'RE GOING TO REVISE THIS. THE QR CODE. THE PLACE WHERE IT BELONGS. PLACE THE PLAQUE SOUTH, NORTH, EAST PART OF THE LOT. THAT'S WHERE YOU BEGIN. DOES THAT MEAN YOU REMOVE PLAQUE NUMBER TWO AT TOP? WHAT BECOMES OF THAT? A LOT HAPPENS THERE. TALK ABOUT PLAQUE 2? I NEVER TALKED ABOUT PLAQUE 2 BUT IF IT IS AN OPTION, LET'S TALK ABOUT IT. I TRUST MY COLLEAGUES AND STERN AND WORK WITH THE COMMITTEE AND FIND THE VERBIAGE AND WORK WITH THE COMMITTEE, THAT'S FINE AND GREAT. THERE'S SO MANY QUESTIONS WE HAVE. THE ISSUE IS NOT THE HISTORY. IT IS INTERPRETATION OF THE HISTORY. WHEN I ASK WHY SOME THINGS ARE OMITTED AND SOME AREN'T. FOLKS ARE TELLING THE TRUTH OR NOT. YOU COULD HAVE IT BOTH WAYS. YOU CAN'T SAY IT IS ACCURATE AND OMIT THINGS. YOU GOT TO SHOW ALL THE WARTS AT THE SAME TIME. THAT'S MY CONCERN. I DON'T WANT TO

BE SELECTIVE WITH HISTORY. IF WE'RE GOING TO DO IT RIGHT DO IT RIGHT THE RIGHT WAY. I THINK THEY'VE DONE A GREAT JOB. I DID NOT GIVE MY COMMENTS BECAUSE I WANTED TO MAKE SURE I WATCHED MY OWN COMMENTS LAST YEAR. I WATCH THAT TWICE TO MAKE SURE WHAT I WAS LOOKING FOR. WE WANT THESE THINGS TO HAPPEN. WE WANT TO DO THEM CORRECTLY. IT TAKES SIX MONTHS MORE, IT DOES. WE WANT TO SEE WHAT RESIDENTS WANT TO SEE, FAIR HISTORIC PLAQUE. THAT'S WHAT THEY'RE CHARGED WITH. WHEN IT WAS TEN, THREE, FOUR, PLAQUES, IT HAS TO BE ACCURATE. THIS DOES NOT MEET THAT CRITERIA BY FAR. NOT TO MENTION THE POINT BROUGHT YOU WERE EARLIER, WE'RE STILL OUT THERE. IT IS ON ITS WAY, GETTING THERE. I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY THE RUSH IS. WHY A BIG PRIORITY TO FINISH QUICKLY? DO IT OWN WAY. SEND IT BACK TO THE COMMITTEE. I AGREE WITH THE IDEA. NOTHING BRINGS IT BACK AND ACCURATE VERBIAGE AND WE TWO FROM THERE. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

>> THANK YOU. I WILL WRAP UP HERE. THANK YOU AGAIN TO THE LIST ADVISORY BOARD. I ECHO STERN'S COMMENTS THAT WE HATE TO SEND IT BACK, YOU HAVE PUT IN SO MUCH TIME. WE'RE GRATEFUL. RICHARD SAID IT WELL LAST THAT WE WANT A FAIR HISTORICAL AND ACCURATE SET OF PLAQUES OR WE WANT NOTHING. THERE'S NO RUSH IN MY MIND. DON'T FEEL A RUSH FROM COUNCIL. YOU MAY FEEL PRESSURE FROM THE COMMUNITY AND THAT'S FAIR. BUT I THINK YOU'RE HEARING LOUD AND CLEAR FROM COUNCIL WE WANT TO GET THIS RIGHT. AS ONE COLLEAGUE SAID, THE HISTORY ON THE WEBSITE CAN BE UPDATED AS WE GET NEW DOCUMENTS THAT DREW IS ASKING FOR. PEOPLE GO AND UPDATED INFORMATION. BUT WITH THE PLAQUE WE PURCHASE IT AND IT IS OLD SCHOOL AND EXCEPT THE QR CODE WILL BE LINKED TO SOMETHING THAT CAN BE UPDATED. THE PLAQUE WILL BE THERE FOR AS JOE SAID, DECADES IS NOT LONGER. WE WANT THIS TO BE RIGHT. IT MUST BE RIGHT. I AGREE WITH MOST OF MY COLLEAGUES THAT IT IS TOO SOON TO ACT TONIGHT. THIS IS A GOOD FIRST DRAFT. I WOULD LIKE TO IN MY COMMENTS DISCUSS THE TONE OF WHAT WAS BROUGHT TO US TONIGHT. I THINK THE PAGE 119 OF OUR PACKET WHICH IS THE HISTORIC BLACK ENCLAVE OF BRUCE'S BEACH IS CLOSER TO WHAT I WOULD LIKE FOR THE PLAQUE LANGUAGE VERSUS 118 THAT SAYS THE HISTORY OF BRUCE'S BEACH. I WOULD LIKE THAT TO REFLECT THE TONE OF THE OTHER PAGE, 119. I'LL TELL YOU WHY. I WAS ONE THAT VOTED IN THE MAJORITY TO ADOPT THE HISTORY ON JUNE 15th OF BRUCE'S BEACH. I THOUGHT THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD DID QUITE A GOOD JOB AS AMATEURS. I DID SAY AND STAND BY THE FACT THAT THERE'S NO DEFINITIVE HISTORY OF HUMAN EVENTS ON ANYTHING. EVERYTHING IS OPEN TO INTERPRETATION. OUR HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD IS STAFFED WITH AMATEURS AND -- ONE OF OUR REQUIREMENTS WAS YOU HAD TO LIVE IN MANHATTAN BEACH TO BE ON THE TASK FORCE OR THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD AND THAT RULES OUT MOST HISTORIANS. YOU DID A GREAT JOB OF CREATING THE TEMPLATE. IT IS LONGER AND LOTS OF SUBHEADINGS. THAT'S THE TOP PART AND THE PLAQUE NEEDS TO SLOW DOWN FROM THAT. THE PLAQUE IS

TINY. LET ME JUST TELL YOU WHEN PAGE 118, THIS IS WHAT WE WOULD LIKE THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD TO REMOVE. I WON'T VOTE FOR THESE. THIS IS MORE OF A STORY OF BRUCE'S BEACH. PERHAPS MAYOR PRO TEM STERN, SHE HAS THE RIGHT TO HER OPINION. I NEVER THOUGHT ABOUT THAT VERSION OF WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO HERE WITH THE PLAQUE. I DON'T WANT TO PUT WORDS IN HILDY'S MOUTH. I'M NOT SURE SHE SAID THE STORY OF BRUCE'S BEACH. BUT I WANTED THE HISTORY OF BRUCE'S BEACH. I'M A JUST THE FACTS KIND OF PERSON, ESPECIALLY IN SOMETHING SMALL LIKE THIS. WE HAVE WORDS LIKE THIS THAT ARE - - THAT DON'T HAVE TO GO BUT THEY ADD UP TO A PICTURE THAT TROUBLED ME. WORDS LIKE PIONEER, RACIALLY DIVERSE, JIM CROW ERA. IMMEDIATELY, INVASION, DRIVE THEM OUT. AND ENCOURAGED BY THE BRUCE'S SUCCESS. THE LEGACY. FAR LESS, DEMOLISHED AND ABANDONED. THOSE ARE A LOT OF WORDS WITH A LOT OF EMOTION THAT I WOULD SAY NOT ONLY ARE THEY VERY DIFFERENT IN TONE FROM 119 WHICH IS THE HISTORIC BLACK ENCLAVE. ON SEPTEMBER 15th, 1923, MARY SANDERS NOT PICTURED, BOUGHT BLOCK SIX AND IN-OPERATED IN 1887 AND SHE WAS DESCRIBED AS A WOMAN OF SUCCESS AND PRESTIGE. THAT'S A GREAT PARAGRAPH, A LOT OF FACTS. INTERESTING, I'M ASSUMING FACTUAL. I'M BANKING ON THE SOURCES THAT THE ADVISORY BOARD WILL CITE ALL OF THE SOURCES. THAT'S A FACTUAL PARAGRAPH, BUT TO USE WORDS LIKE ABANDONED, IMMEDIATELY, INVADERS, DRIVE THEM OUT, I WOULD SUBMIT THOSE ARE NOT HISTORICALLY COMMON SETS OF WORDS. IT IS MORE OF THE AMAZON CRIME OF BRUCE'S BEACH THAN HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD VERSION. WHEN I VOTED FOR THIS, IT WASN'T THE STORY, IT WAS THE FACTS. SO I WOULD LOVE TO JUST NOT WORDSMITH MORE BUT TO PUT THAT BACK IN THE LAP OF THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD AND THE ROLE MODEL PARAGRAPH I AGREE WITH ONE OF MY COLLEAGUES, CONDEMNATION PARAGRAPH ON PAGE 118 COULD USE MORE CONTEXT. IT IS VERY BRIEF AND IT DOES JUMP INTO THIS ORDINANCE 282 WITH NO CONTEXT. THAT'S A FACTUAL PARAGRAPH. IT IS THE MOST FACTUAL PARAGRAPH. IT IS WRITTEN BY ONE PERSON AND THE REST OF THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD DRAFTED THE OTHER PARAGRAPHS. I KNOW IT IS HARD IN A COMMITTEE, MAYBE THE COMMITTEE DIVIDED THESE THINGS UP. THIS IS THE TIGHTEST AND DRIEST. THAT'S THE ROLE MODEL. I WOULD LIKE IT TO BE DRIER AND FACTUAL. I LIKE TO PROPOSE TO MY COLLEAGUES, I THINK WE'RE HEARING IT IS TOO SOON TO VOTE AND DISCUSS THE PLAQUES WHETHER IT IS ONE OR TWO OR WHERE THEY'RE GOING TO BE, TO SOON TO AUTHORIZE BIDS AND AUTHORIZE CONTRACTS. I THINK WE AGREE ON THAT. SOMEONE CAN CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG. I LIKE TO ASK MY COLLEAGUES. A LOT WROTE ALTERNATIVE TO THE APOLOGY AND ALTERNATIVE TO CONDEMNATION A FEW MONTHS AGO. I SUBMIT PAGE 118 IS SHORT ENOUGH THAT THOSE OF US WHO WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A STAB AT DRAFTING SOMETHING, I DON'T THINK THERE WOULD BE ANYTHING WRONG ON PUTTING FLESH ON THE BONES OF THE SKELETON. THE ADVISORY BOARD HAS GIVEN US A GREAT FOUNDATION WITH THE HEADINGS AND THE CHAPTERS AND MAYBE THOSE OF US, I'M NOT MAKING ANYBODY

DO THAT, I MIGHT, I MIGHT NOT. I DON'T KNOW. THERE'S NO REASON THAT EVEN THE COMMUNITY COULDN'T REWRITE THAT PAGE AS THEY SEE FIT. WE HAVE SOME ALTERNATIVES THERE FOR THE COMMUNITY TO WEIGH IN ON. I WILL CLOSE THERE. I THINK YOU'RE HEARING SOME SIMILAR COMMENTS FROM ALL OF US. IT IS A GREAT FIRST CUT. WE LIKE TO SEE MORE. WE LIKE IT TO COME BACK TO US. IT IS TOO SOON TO VOTE TONIGHT. THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORK. WE LOOK FORWARD TO THE NEXT ONE. WE'LL SEE IF WE HAVE THE BRAIN SPACE TO TAKE A STAB. STEVE HAS HIS HAND UP. I STOP THERE. STEVE?

>> IF ANYONE GOING TO DRAFT THEIR OWN VERSIONS, IF THEY'RE GOING TO TAKE A STAB AT THIS, WHAT THEY WOULD DO IS SUBMIT THAT TO THE HISTORY COMMITTEE FOR THEIR REVIEW AS WELL. AT LEAST GIVE THEM A STAB AT IT TOO.

>> ABSOLUTELY.

>> I MEAN, THEY'VE DONE THE RESEARCH HERE. UNLESS YOU'RE GOING TO TWO OFF AND WORDING AND INTENT, THEY COULD SPEAK TO WHY THEY USED THE WORDS THEY USED. I WANT TO GIVE THEM THAT CHANCE.

>> I AGREE. I DID NOT TUNE INTO THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THE PLAQUES BUT I DID HEAR THAT SOME FAMILY MEMBERS OF SOME OF THE AFFECTED BRUCE FAMILY MEMBERS WERE IN THE MEETINGS AND SUBMITTED COMMENTS AND OTHERS DIDN'T. I WOULD ASK THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD TO TREAD LIGHTLY THERE. WE WANT THEM TO WRITE THIS. THERE'S NO FAMILY MEMBERS THAT ARE RESIDENTS OF MANHATTAN BEACH. THIS SHOULD BE A RESIDENT, HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD AND COUNCIL DECISION. I JUST HEARD RUMORS AND MUTTERINGS ABOUT THAT. I'LL LEAVE THAT THERE. I THINK WE NEED TO BE CAREFUL. SOMEBODY EVEN SAID TONIGHT, THE BRUCE FAMILY ASKED FOR THAT TO BE IN THERE. THAT, IF IT IS ACCURATE AND IF IT IS WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WITH THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD AND THE PLAQUE AND WHAT COUNCIL WANTS, THAT'S FINE BUT IF IT IS ONE PREFERENCE OVER ALL OF THE FAMILIES, I'M NOT SURE WE WANT TO GO THERE. OKAY. SO MAYOR PRO TEM STERN?

>> I WANT TO FOLLOW UP WITH THE LAST COMMENT. I FIND THAT TO GIVE THIS INFORMATION GREAT CREDENCE. WE'RE SPECIFICALLY TALKING ABOUT CERTAIN PEOPLE WHO LIVED HERE AND HAD DESCENDANTS AND HAD A HISTORY THAT IS BEING CODIFIED IN THESE PLAQUES. TO GIVE THE COURTESY AND THE RECOGNITION TO THESE FAMILIES, JUST BECAUSE THEY DON'T LIVE IN MANHATTAN BEACH. THEY DESERVE THAT COURTESY. I'M NOT SAYING IT IS INACCURATE, THEIR INPUT, BUT I THINK THERE'S COURTESIES THERE THAT I THINKS IS ABSOLUTELY APPROPRIATE. I WOULD LIKE TO IDENTIFY THERE'S A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHETHER THE INFORMATION IS ACCURATE, VERSUS WHETHER WE INCLUDED EVERYTHING. I WANT YOU TO REMEMBER THE HISTORY REPORT WAS 75 PAGES LONG. IT IS NOT GOING TO BE THESE TWO PLAQUES WON'T BE INCLUSIVE OF ALL OF THAT INFORMATION. THESE ARE SUMMARIES OF THE HISTORY OF WHAT HAPPENED OVER A LONG PERIOD OF TIME. I DON'T THINK THE ABSENCE INDICATES THEY'RE NOT ACCURATE. I THINK THIS

IS LIKE ANY DOCUMENT OF HISTORY. IT IS IDENTIFYING THOSE IMPORTANT ASPECTS THAT CAN AND I'LL USE THE WORD AGAIN THAT CAN TELL THE STORY. HISTORY IS A STORY. I THINK THIS WAS -- AND YOU CAN SEE FROM THE TOPICS THIS WAS A VERY IMPACTFUL WAY OF SUMMARIZING THE STORY. MY INTENTION TO SEND THIS BACK FOR TIGHTENING IS NOT IN ANY WAY I DON'T SUPPORT THIS. I THINK THE TONE OF THE PLAQUE ACTUALLY IS THE EXPERIENCE AND THE CHOICE OF WORD DOESN'T MAKE IT INACCURATE. IT JUST MAKES IT SO THAT IT DOES CAPTURE THE CONTEXT OF WHAT WAS GOING ON IN THE 1920s. I DON'T HOPE THAT BY ASKING THE FAMILY MEMBERS TO REVIEW THIS THAT THERE WAS ANY INDICATION THAT THEIR INPUT IS NOT ACCURATE.

>> ALL INPUT IS WELCOME. THE MEETINGS ARE PUBLIC. EVERYONE IS WELCOME TO WEIGH IN. WHAT I THINK THERE WAS A SPECIFIC INSTANCE TONIGHT THAT WAS MENTIONED THAT THE BRUCE FAMILY WANTED THE CLAN REFERENCE IN THERE AND THEN WE -- WHEN ONE OF THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS WAS PRESSED, THE CLAN REFERENCE IS NOT PERHAPS AN ACCURATE THING TO INCLUDE. SO WHETHER THEY WANT SOMETHING IN THERE, FAMILY MEMBER OR NOT, EVERYONE IS WELCOME TO WEIGH IN. THAT'S NOT MY POINT. JUST BECAUSE THEY WANT SOMETHING IN THERE, IF WE CAN'T FEEL GOOD ABOUT THE SUBSTANTIATION AND THE PROOF, IT SHOULD NOT BE IN THERE JUST BECAUSE OF THE FAMILY MEMBER REQUEST. THIS CAME UP TONIGHT AND THAT'S WHY I ADDRESSED THAT. JOE?

>> A COMMENT ABOUT EDITOR VERSUS WRITER. I SERVED ON A LOT OF COMMITTEES THREW MY 37 YEARS HERE. THAT'S WHAT THE COUNCIL DOES. COMMITTEES AND COMMISSIONS DO GREAT WORK. S IN THE FUNCTION AS I SEE AS A COUNCIL TO GO AHEAD AND DO A DEGREE OF THE EDITING. I'M NOT SURE IF YOU'VE EXPERIENCED THAT MAYOR PRO TEM. THE COMMENT ABOUT EDITOR VERSUS WRITER, I COULDN'T DISAGREE MORE IN TERMS OF THE ROLE OF THE COUNCIL IS TO TAKE A LOOK AT THINGS. NOT TO REWRITE THE WHOLE THING BUT AT LEAST TO EDIT. WRITING IS TOUGH. I'VE WRITTEN QUITE A BIT. THIS IS WHY WE'RE HERE. WE'RE HERE TO LOOK AND OVERSEE THESE THINGS. AS FAR AS INTERPRETING THE MEETINGS AND SO ON, I DON'T THINK ALL OF THE MEETINGS ARE FROM THE HISTORY ADVISORY BOARD ARE POSTED ON THE WEBSITE. IF YOU WANT TO GO AHEAD AND FOLLOW THOSE, I KNOW I TRIED TO GO BACK AND TAKE A LOOK AT THINGS AND STUFF LIKE THAT. WHETHER A TECHNICAL ISSUE, I BELIEVE IT IS A TECHNICAL ISSUE. LET'S GET THOSE UP THERE TO REVIEW AND DO IT ON A TIMELY BASIS. IT IS TIMELY. WE GO BACK AND RESIDENTS MAKE COMMENTS.

>> GOOD POINT. ALI, ARE YOU STILL ON THE LINED. HILDY, I GET TO YOU. IS IT TRUE THAT THE ADVISORY MEETINGS ARE NOT POSTED ON THE WEBSITE?

>> THERE'S HALF POSTED ON THE WEBSITE RIGHT NOW. WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF DOWNLOADING THOSE AND GETTING THEM, CREATING SPACE FOR THEM FOR A WEBSITE AND FINALLY PUTTING THEM ON THE WEBSITE. NOT ALL ARE POSTED RIGHT NOW BUT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

>> WHY ARE THEY NOT POSTED AND WHAT WOULD THE DELAY BE?
>> THERE ISN'T -- THE DELAY IS IT IS DIFFICULT TO DOWNLOAD THEM AND I HAVE TO SEND THEM TO I.T.
>> I COULDN'T HEAR LAST PART.
>> I HAVE TO DOWNLOAD THEM FROM ZOOM AND TO THE SERVER. IT IS A DELAY ON MY PART.
>> I DIDN'T REALIZE THEY WERE NOT UP. I THINK THAT WOULD BE IMPORTANT AND EVEN MAYOR PRO TEM'S POINT, IT SHOULD BE UP THERE FOR PEOPLE THAT ARE NOT ABLE TO TUNE IN LIVE TO THE MEETINGS, IF THEY DO WANT TO WEIGH IN AND WRITE THEIR OWN VERSION. IF THEY ARE A FAMILY MEMBER OF ONE OF THE AFFECTED FAMILIES, THEY NEED TO SEE WHAT WE'RE DOING AND WEIGH IN, JUST LIKE HILDY SAID. BRUCE IS THAT POSSIBLE TO PUT THE THUMB ON THE SCALE?
>> ABSOLUTELY, I LOADED ALI WITH A LOT OF WORK AND DIDN'T ALLOW HER TO PRIORITIZE THAT. WE'LL MAKE A PRIORITY.
>> THAT WOULD BE GREAT. HILDY?
>> JUST TO CONFIRM THAT ALL THOSE MEETINGS ARE ALSO NOTICED. MAKE SURE THAT PUBLIC NOTICE, WE CAN ALWAYS ATTEND. I UNDERSTAND THE ISSUE WITH HAVING THEM UP AT A LATER DATE. I WANT TO CLARIFY, I HAVE I COULD HOLD UP MY NOTES, I HAVE RED MARKS EVERYWHERE, I'M ETERNAL EDITOR. THERE'S FIVE OF US, I DIDN'T WAN THE ADVISORY BOARD TO UNDERSTAND THAT WRITING IS REDUCED TO WHEN WE SUGGEST EDITING WHICH IS TO ME MUCH EASIER THAN WRITING THAT THAT SUGGESTION THAT WE ARE NOT SUPPORTING WHAT IS WRITTEN HERE. I DO HAVE MY OWN SUGGESTIONS AND THEY DON'T DIMINISH THE VALUE OF WHAT WE SEE.
>> ABSOLUTELY.
>> EDITING IS NOT ONE OF OUR ROLES. I WAS JUST SUGGESTING IT SHOULDN'T BE VIEWED AS CRITICISM TO THEIR WORK.
>> ABSOLUTELY NOT. IT IS A JOB.
>> ABSOLUTELY. GET I.T. TO HELP YOU WITH THE RECORDINGS BECAUSE WE KNOW YOU'RE LOADED WITH WORK. WE'RE SUPER HAPPY THAT YOU'RE GOING TO PLAY THIS OUT WITH US. HANG IN THERE UNTIL WE GET THE NEXT VERSION AND THE NEXT VOTE AND DISCUSSION. WELL DONE. IT IS 8:30, WE USUALLY TAKE A BREAK AT 8:00. WHY DON'T WE TAKE A FIVE OR TEN-MINUTE BREAK? HOW LONG? TEN MINUTES?
>> 8:40 WE COME BACK. [BREAK TAKEN].
>> WELCOME BACK. WE TOOK A TEN-MINUTE BREAK. WE TWO TO SEVEN, CONSIDERATION OF CONTINUING THE ANALYSIS OF TRANSFERRING PROSECUTION SERVICES OF STATE MISDEMEANORS, UTILIZING THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, POTENTIALLY CONTRACTING THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH.
>> GEORGE, WHERE ARE YOU NOW?
>> I'M UPSTAIRS.
>> GOOD VOLUME.
>> EVERYONE SEE MY SCREEN?

>> THANK YOU. TODAY WE WILL BE DISCUSSING PROSECUTION OF HOMELESS COURT SERVICES. AS YOU'RE AWARE THE CITY COUNCIL REQUESTED A FOLLOW-UP ON THIS FROM THE MARCH MEETING AND JUNE MEETING AS WELL. FIRST BACKGROUND ON THIS TO PROSECUTION ANALYSIS AND THE PROCESS. WE EXPECT THIS AND CONSIDERATIONS AND SPECIFICALLY OPTIONS FOR COUNCIL CONSIDERATION. A BRIEF BACKGROUND, YOU PRIMARY REMEMBER THE PUBLIC BECAUSE I KNOW WAHLBERG HAS ATTENDED. IN ESSENCE HOMELESS COURT PROVIDES SERVICES SUCH AS MENTAL HEALTH COUNSELING AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND HOUSING PLACEMENT. IN ESSENCE, REDONDO BEACH HAS HOMELESS COURT. THEY ARE UNIQUE IN THE SENSE THAT THERE'S TEN OTHER CITY THAT IS OFFER THAT ABILITY. THIS IS APPREHENSION. THEY PROSECUTE CRIMES IN INCORPORATED AREAS IN 77 OF THE 88 CITIES, INCLUDING MANHATTAN BEACH. THIS BREAKS DOWN THE LOCATIONS UNDER THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY PROSECUTOR. EVERYWHERE THAT IS SHADED IS UNDER CITY PROSECUTOR. HERMOSA BEACH DOES IT THROUGH A CONTRACT THROUGH REDONDO BEACH. THEY DON'T HAVE THE ESTABLISHED CITY PROSECUTOR, BUT THEY CONTRACT OUT THOSE SERVICES. OTHER CITIES, LOS ANGELES, SANTA MONICA, THESE ARE SIZEABLE CITIES. ONE KEY FACT WITH THE PROSECUTION ASPECT OF ANY CITY THAT HAS ITS OWN PROSECUTION, THEY EITHER GRAND FATHERED IN OR THEY HAVE THE CONSENT OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF THE COUNTY TO -- OR THEY ARE A CHARTER CITY TO PROSECUTE THE MISDEMEANOR CRIMES WITH THE STATE AND THE CITY ARISING OUT OF A VIOLATION OF STATE LAW. THIS IS GOVERNMENT CODE, 418.0385. SHOULD THEY PROSECUTE THESE WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS, THE CITY MUST REQUEST AUTHORITY TO PROSECUTE THOSE FROM THE L.A. COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY. THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT WE HAVE THE RIGHT. CURRENTLY THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH FILES THOSE TO THE D.A. AND REDONDO BEACH THEY CONDUCT HOMELESS BECAUSE THEY PROSECUTE THE CRIMES WITHIN CITY LIMITS. THE CITY STAFF HAS SENT A NONBINDING LETTER AND AT THAT MEETING AS WELL OR THE SAME DATE, THE REDONDO BEACH AUTHORIZED THAT THE CITY ATTORNEY TAKES REDONDO BEACH AND HAS A MEETING WITH THE OFFICIALS TO DISCUSS THE NEXT STEPS. WHAT WE'VE DONE, HOW MANY CASES ARE WE TALKING ABOUT? WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH? THE MANHATTAN BEACH IS RESPONSIBLE FOR FILING CASES WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY. THEY SEPARATED OUT JUVENILE CRIMES FOR A TOTAL OF 2015 TO 2021 OF CRIMES THAT HAVE BEEN RETURNED TO THE LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY. THE ONES HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW SHOWCASE CASE THAT IS CURRENTLY PROSECUTED BY THE D.A. THAT WOULD BE DEFERRED FOR A PROSECUTOR SHOULD THE COUNTY DIRECT SO. THERE ARE 586 CASES THAT WERE REFERRED TO L.A. COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH. YOU SEE A SLIGHT DOWNTURN. CORONAVIRUS CURBED CRIME PATTERNS. THAT'S WHAT THAT SHOWCASES THERE. AFTER ANALYZING THESE, STAFF ANALYZED DIRECTIVES ISSUED BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY WITH PROSECUTE THE CRIMES AND WHAT PROSECUTIONS MAY BE UTILIZED FOR HOMELESS COURT.

MOST IS SPECIAL DIRECTIVE 20-07. THIS WAS DISCUSSED IN A MEETING IN THE SPRING. CAN DISTRICT ATTORNEY GASCON. IT HAD NUMEROUS CHARGES WITH ARRAIGNMENT. THESE CRIMES, INCLUDE, TRESPASSING, DISTURBING THE PUBLIC, DRIVING WITHOUT A LICENSE. MINOR POSSESSION OF ALCOHOL, LOITERING TO COMMIT PROSECUTION AND RESISTING ARREST. THESE WERE DIRECTIVES LISTED IN THE DIRECTIVE ISSUED IN 20-07. WHAT ARE THE IMPACTS ON MANHATTAN BEACH? I HAVE TO COMPLIMENT THEM FOR GATHERING THE STATUS AND THEY WENT BACK IN CASE FILES AND LOOKED AT WHAT HAD BEEN GOING ON THE PAST YEAR OR TWO. WE'RE ABLE TO LOOK AT CASES FROM 2019 TO 2020 THAT MAY HAVE BEEN IMPACTED HAD THEY BEEN IN EFFECT. IN ESSENCE, THAT AMOUNTED TO 702 MISDEMEANOR CASES THAT WERE REFERRED AND COULD BE IMPACTED. IF THE DIRECTIVE WERE IN EFFECT, HOW MANY CASES IT WOULD HAVE IMPACTED.

>> DO YES KNOW HOW MANY ARE RELATED TO HOMELESSNESS?

>> I'LL GET INTO THAT. SO NPD ESTIMATES THAT 61 CASES HAVE BEEN DECLINED SINCE DECEMBER 2021 WHEN THOSE -- WHEN THE DIRECTIVE WAS ISSUED. THEY REFERRED CASES TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY. THIS GOES INTO HOMELESS COURT. THERE'S A COUPLE OF THINGS I TOUCHED UPON AT THE JUNE MEETING. AND COUNCIL CAN WEIGH AND CONSIDER. POPULATION HAS CHANGED. IN APRIL OF 2021, THE POLICE IDENTIFY 20 INDIVIDUALS THAT MAY BENEFIT FROM A PROGRAM. I KNOW COUNCIL MEMBER NAPOLITANO ASKED ABOUT SUCCESS. THE DATA I WAS ABLE TO GATHER WAS DECEMBER OF 2019, REDONDO BEACH PERSON PATED IN HOMELESS COURT AND THEY HAVE BEEN HOUSED AS A RESULT OF THE PROCESS. THEY HAVE 20 PARTICIPANTS IN THE PROGRAM. FROM JULY 2020 TO JULY 2021. 59 INDIVIDUALS WERE CITED BY THE PD WITH NO KNOWN ADDRESS AND COMMITTING CRIME. THAT DOES NOT MEAN THEY ALL COULD BE ELIGIBLE FOR HOMELESS COURT. BUT IT MEANS THEY COULD BE ELIGIBLE BASED ON THE NATURE OF THE SPECIFIC CRIME. WHEN WE LOOK AT CASE FILES AND DETERMINE WHETHER THE INDIVIDUAL IS HOMELESS OR NOT SOMETIMES IT IS NOT APPARENT. THE ONLY WAY THE STAFF CAN LOOK AT AND JUDGE WHAT AN INDIVIDUAL IS CONSIDERED HOMELESS IS WHERE THERE'S NO KNOWN ADDRESS PROVIDED. HERE ARE THE CONSIDERATIONS THAT CITY COUNCIL SHOULD WEIGH. GIVEN THE SMALL NUMBER OF HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS, THAT WE REFERENCED THAT RESIDE IN MANHATTAN BEACH, THEY DETERMINE WHETHER THE PROGRAM IS WORTH WHILE DETERMINING THE PARTICIPANTS. WE KNOW THE HOMELESS COURT CAN BE A TOOL FOR THE CITY TO UTILIZE. THAT BEING SAID, OTHER CONSIDERATIONS AND DIRECTIVE 20-77 HAS HAD IMPLICATIONS LOCAL AND COUNTY WIDE. IF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROVIDES THAT THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH ADDRESS CRIMES IN THE CITY WOULD NOT BE SUBJECT TO 20-77 AND COULD CONTRACT WITH ANOTHER ENTITY. HOWEVER, AND THIS IS A SPECIAL NOTE, ULTIMATELY JUST BECAUSE A CITY DECIDES TO PROSECUTE A CRIME, DOES NOT BELIEVE A PERSON IS GUILTY. THERE'S A JUDGE TO CARRY OUT THAT PROCESS IN ORDER TO PURSUE JUSTICE. HERE WE HAVE COSTS. THE COSTS ASSOCIATED HERE

ARE WITH PROSECUTION AND ARE SIGNIFICANT AND UNCERTAIN AT THIS POINT. THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH DOES NOT EXTEND FUNDS TO CITY SERVICES. THIS IS BY COUNTY FUNDS AND RESIDENTS MAY HAVE RESULT OF PROPERTY AND SALES TAXES. IT IS NOT A BUDGET EXPENSE FROM THE CITY'S BUDGET PER SE. IF A CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH WERE TO REFER TO THOSE THAT WOULD BE A NEW EXPENSE THAT THE CITY WOULD HAVE TO AUTHORIZE. ADDITIONALLY, THE CONTRACT THEY HAD WOULD BE TO BE DETERMINED. RIGHT NOW THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH DETERMINES THAT. FOR REFERENCE, WHAT STAFF HAS DONE, IS WE ATTACHED THE HERMOSA BEACH CONTRACT THAT THE CITY HAS, WE ATTACHED THE CONTRACT THAT THE CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH HAS WITH REDONDO AND THAT CONTRACT IS WORTH 200,000 DOLLARS ANNUALLY. OBVIOUSLY JUDGING BY THE SIZE OF HERMOSA BEACH AND THE CRIMES, IT IS SAFE TO SAY THAT THE CITY WOULD PROBABLY TAKE 200,000, IF NOT MORE BECAUSE HOMELESS COURTS PROBABLY ADD COSTS BEYOND THAT. THANK YOU. BY WAY OF PROCESS EXPLORE FURTHER. ANALYSIS THAT IS BEING DONE TODAY, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE FUNDING IMPLICATIONS BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE THE COSTS OF THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH. SO THE CITY COUNCIL IS GOING TO REVIEW TONIGHT AND HOPEFULLY DIRECT STAFF AND UNDERTAKE FOR THE NEXT STEPS. SOME OPTIONS THAT THE STAFF HAS CREATED FOR CITY COUNCIL ARE AS FOLLOWS. WE COULD DISCONTINUE THE ANALYSIS, WE COULD APPLY FOR GRANT FUNDS BRING AUGUST 10TH, 2021 AND ATTACH TO THIS STAFF REPORT WAS A CALL FOR PROJECT THAT WAS ISSUED FOR MAJOR GRANT FUNDS FOR THE COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS. THE DEADLINE IS AUGUST 10TH AND THAT'S AN OPPORTUNITY WHERE WE ARE ALREADY PLANNING TO SUBMIT FOR FUNDING FOR OUTREACH SERVICES SO WE COULD CONTINUE BEYOND DECEMBER OF 2021. IF DIRECTED BY STAFF WE COULD REQUEST HOMELESS SERVICES OBVIOUSLY ONCE WE GO THROUGH THE LEGAL PROCESS REQUESTING AUTHORITY FROM THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY D.A., ANOTHER OPTION IS REQUEST FROM THE CITY COUNCIL ONCE THEY ASK FOR HOMELESS COURT SERVICES AND REALIZE THAT'S AN IMPORTANT CONSIDERATION FOR CITY COUNCIL. THAT'S A CONSIDERATION. NUMBER FOUR WE CONTACT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE TO OBTAIN THEIR REQUIREMENTS FOR SEEKING CONSENT. ONE THING THAT IS STATED IN THE REPORT IS THAT THIS IS A PROCESS THAT IS NOT VERY WELL KNOWN. NO THEY HAVE UNDERTAKEN THIS AUTHORITY. THAT'S INFORMATION THAT WE DO NOT HAVE RIGHT NOW. WE WOULD BE GOING INTO FOR LACK OF BETTER WORD UNCHARTED TERRITORY IF THE CITY COUNCIL DIRECTED. THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH, GEORGE. TAKE ME BACK TO THE ZOOM SCREEN, THE BRADY BUNCH SCREEN. I CAN'T SEE HILDY. QUESTIONS BY MY COLLEAGUES OF GEORGE.

>> NO QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.

>> JOE AND HILDY?

>> GEORGE, THANK YOU. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR WORKING THOSE NUMBERS. THAT CLARIFIES IT. THESE ARE MISDEMEANORS. IF IT IS FELONY DONE BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY.

>> YES. THEY HAVE TO REFER TO THE LOS ANGELES DISTRICT ATTORNEY BECAUSE THAT'S THEIR RIGHT I THINK IN THE GOVERNMENT CODE.

>> ARE THERE A SIMILAR TYPE OF RESTRICTIONS ON THOSE THAT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY HAS IMPOSED LIKE THROUGH THE DIRECTIVE 20-07 LIKE CERTAIN ONES THAT PROSECUTE?

>> I HAVEN'T DONE A DEEP ANALYSIS ON THE OTHER DIRECTIVES BUT I KNOW 20-07 ONLY APPLIES TO MISDEMEANORS.

>> MAYBE CITY ATTORNEY QUINN, DO YOU KNOW IF ANY OF THESE -- I'M SORRY, CITY ATTORNEY QUINN BARROW, WOULD YOU KNOW IF THERE'S ANY SIMILAR SOURCE OF DIRECTIVES REGARDING THE PROSECUTION OF FELONIES?

>> IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THEY DO NOT AFFECT THE FELONIES. AT ONE POINT THERE WAS A LIST OF IT IS CALLED NOT SERIOUS FELONIES. THERE'S ANOTHER ONE ON THAT. ABOUT A MONTH AGO WE LOOKED AT THAT. THAT'S SEPARATE FROM THIS DISCUSSION. REDONDO WOULD NOT BE DOING -- THERE'S A LIST OF NONSERIOUS FELONIES.

>> IT IS NOT GERMANE TO THIS. OUR PD DID A HOMELESS COUNT IN APRIL. I CAN'T PUT MY FINGER ON IT.

>> APRIL 2021.

>> GEORGE, AS YOU RECALL, I PARTICIPATED IN THE COUNTY'S HOMELESS COUNTY AND WE DID IT IN JANUARY, FEBRUARY AND DIDN'T GET THE RESULTS. THEY HAD TO GO THROUGH VARIOUS FORMULAS. WAS THAT FOR THAT ONE POINT IN TIME?

>> THEY IDENTIFIED LOCATION THAT IS WERE KNOWN.

>> THEY ARE HOTSPOTS FOR THOSE WELL KNOWN IN THE COMMUNITY. THEY IDENTIFIED THOSE INDIVIDUALS.

>> SINCE THEY WITH HAD MAJOR EVENTS CLOSING DOWN OF ECHO PARK AND RUMBLINGS ABOUT DOING THE SAME AT VENICE. I DON'T KNOW THE STATUS. THAT WAS STOPPED AND STARTED. WE'VE SEEN AN INCREASE IN HOMELESS FROM THOSE EVENTS OR AT LEAST OVER THE WINTER.

>> I CAN'T SAY. I WOULD SAY THERE'S INCREASE REPORTING AND DEFINITELY CONCERN AS A RESULT. I'VE BEEN IN THE COMMUNITY. THIS POLICE HAVE RESPONDED TO CALLS ON HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS. IT IS HEART TO DETERMINE IF THIS IS HAPPENSTANCE OR IF IT OCCURS OVER A TREND OR A TREND IS OCCURRING. I SAY THAT BECAUSE WE ALL KNOW IN THE SUMMER MONTHS THE CITY SEES UPTICK IN HOMELESSNESS. THAT SAID AND TO IDENTIFY AND UNDERSTAND THE TREND, IT TAKES TIME TO PASS. IF SUMMER WERE TO PASS, I COULD PROBABLY SAY, THERE'S A SUBSTANTIAL INCREASE OR NOT. GIVEN WE'RE IN THE SUMMER MONTHS WE SEE THE HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS, IT IS HARD TO SAY RIGHT NOW.

>> MAYOR PRO TEM STERN, DID YOU HAVE YOUR HAND UP?

>> I KNOW WE DON'T HAVE FIRM CATEGORIZATIONS OF THE MISDEMEANORS AND SOME OF THE -- WHETHER THEY ADDRESS HOMELESS OR MISDEMEANORS

OF PEOPLE THAT ARE UNSHELTERED. SEVEN PEOPLE UNSHELTERED IN MANHATTAN BEACH, IS THAT CORRECT?

>> YES. THERE'S THE STAFF REPORT THAT THERE WAS TOTAL OF 454 MISDEMEANOR CASES FROM JANUARY TO APRIL THAT WERE REFERRED TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. UNLESS THERE'S REPEAT INCIDENTS, I'M GOING TO ASSUME 154 DOESN'T REPRESENT ONE REFERRAL FOR HOME LESS INDIVIDUALS.

>> THAT'S CORRECT. IT SEEMS TO IDENTIFY INDIVIDUALS, WE DON'T KNOW HOW MANY CASES HAVE BEEN REFERRED OR EVEN IF THERE HAS BEEN ANY REPORTING INCIDENCES OF MISDEMEANORS ON BEHALF, BY THOSE SHELTERS? ANY ESTIMATION ON THAT?

>> NO.

>> OKAY THANK YOU.

>> QUESTIONS OR PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NO QUESTIONS.

>> GREAT JOB, GEORGE, YOUR NEW TITLE ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER. GREAT TO SEE THAT. I THINK I CALLED YOU CITY MANAGER BUT YOU HAVE A NEW TITLE. DEPUTY CITY CLERK, MARTHA ALVAREZ. ANYONE WAITING FOR COMMENTS ON AGENDA ITEM H.

>> NOT HERE IN COUNCIL CHAMBERS.

>> GREAT.

>> I THINK WE SHOULD HAVE AUDIT COME IN AND MAKE SURE IT IS WITHIN GUIDELINES. WE KNOW CRIME INCREASED IN MANHATTAN BEACH. WE'RE LOOKING AT RECALL OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR NOTING TO HIS JOB, ANOTHER ISSUE WHERE WE'RE PAYING MONEY TO THE COUNTY AND NOT GETTING WHAT WE'RE PAYING FOR FROM THAT PERSPECTIVE. THAT'S GOING TO CONTINUE. WHAT YOU DON'T SEE IS THE POLICE. THEY GET ARRESTED AND THEN THEY ARE RELEASED. WE DON'T KNOW THE OUTCOME. I SUSPECT THAT THOSE PEOPLE ARE BACK ON THE STREETS DOING THE SAME THING THEY'VE DONE. CALLED REPEAT BUSINESS. ER THEY COME BACK BECAUSE THEY KNOW THERE'S NO CONSEQUENCE IN THE SYSTEM FROM THAT PERSPECTIVE. PUBLIC SAFETY IS THE BIGGEST ISSUE. I STRONGLY SUPPORT GETTING A CONTRACT WITH REDONDO AND WHOEVER TO GET THOSE PROSECUTIONS. OUR HOMELESS PEOPLE ARE IN FACT INCREASING AND WHATEVER YOU CAN DO TO HELP THAT. WE HAVE A LOT OF SUPPORTERS HERE. I HOPE YOU MOVE FORWARD FOR THIS CITY FOR WHAT YOU WERE ELECT TO DO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> NO FURTHER COMMENTS.

>> WE'LL CLOSE COMMENT AND GO BACK TO MY COLLEAGUES.

>> THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. JUST GOING BACK IN TIME HERE, AFTER ASKING, WE HAVE LITTLE TO NO INFORMATION ON THIS ISSUE STILL. WE HAVE HERMOSA BEACH AT OUR SIDE. IN ORDER TO HAVE HOMELESS COURT IN REDONDO, WE HAVE TO HAVE HOMELESS SERVICES. I THINK CONSERVATIVE ESTIMATE IT WOULD COST 3,000 DOLLARS A YEAR. I WOULD SAY IT IS 100,000 DOLLARS FOR HOMELESS COURT FOR TOTAL OF 100,000 DOLLARS. WE HAVE NO INFORMATION THAT REDONDO WOULD PROSECUTE MORE OR LESS CASES WITH OUR NO COST D.A., WHILE HE HAS

REPEAT OFFENDERS WHICH SOME HOMELESS BECOME AND OTHER PEOPLE ARE AS WELL AND WE'VE EXPERIENCED THOSE AS WELL WHO AREN'T HOMELESS, THEY'RE PROSECUTING OTHER EXCEPTIONS AS WELL. WE TOOK A VOTE AGAINST GAS CONAND THAT'S WHAT ELECTIONS ARE FOR. I REMIND PEOPLE THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE WERE OUT BEFORE HE WAS ELECTED TOO. YOU THROW THESE GUYS IN JAIL AND YOU GOT SEVERAL PROPOSITIONS, 57, 47 THAT YOU KNOW WELL THAT HAVE CHANGED FELONIES AND MISDEMEANORS AND THEY ARE SLAPS ON THE HAND AND YOU PUT IT TOGETHER AND THAT'S WHY THEY'RE NOT SERVING TIME ANYMORE. NOTHING ABOUT THE D.A., THERE'S NOTHING ABOUT THE CONTRACT. WOULD L.A. COUNTY TAKE US BACK? WE DON'T KNOW. WE IDENTIFIED AS FAR AS HOMELESSNESS GOES, FOLKS THAT -- HOMELESSNESS GOES, WE IDENTIFIED 59 PEOPLE WITH NO KNOWN ADDRESSES. WE DIDN'T KNOW IF THEY WOULD BE PROSECUTED OR NOT. ACCORDING TO THE NUMBERS WE TALKED ABOUT NUMBERS GOING UP OR DOWN BUT THE NUMBERS THAT WE KNOW, WE HAVE ABOUT 15 HOMELESS FOLKS IN MANHATTAN BEACH. HOMELESS COURT HAS RESULTED IN HOUSING FOR 15 OUT OF THE 176 HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS JUST OVER A PERCENT. WE HAD A LESS THAN THE THIRD OF THE POPULATION PARTICIPATE IN HOMELESS COURT AT ALL AND ONLY 20 HOMELESS COURT IS PART OF THE PROGRAM. HOW DOES THIS ADD UP? IT WOULD COST MANHATTAN BEACH TO DEAL WITH 15 INDIVIDUALS. WE DROPPED BY 26 AND NOT SPENDING ANYTHING CLOSE TO THAT. YOU GO BY THOSE THAT ARE PART OF THE COURT, THAT WOULD BE 57,000 PER PERSON. THOSE MISDEMEANOR CRIMES, WE HAVE NO IDEA WHETHER THEY'RE PROSECUTED OR NOT. EVEN IF THEY'RE PROSECUTED KNOW GO TO JAIL. JUDGES DETERMINE IF THEY GO TO JAIL. YOU COULD GO TO JAIL AND BE TURNED OUT IN THREE HOURS. THERE'S NO CASH BAIL ANYMORE EITHER IN L.A. COUNTY. THOSE NOT GOING TO CHANGE EITHER. EVEN IF WE PROSECUTE THEM, NO CASH BALL. THEY COULD BE BACK ON THE STREET COMMITTING MISDEMEANORS WITHIN AN HOUR. THAT STILL HAPPENS. 400,000 DIVIDED, 26.6,000 PER PERSON. HOMELESS COURT SHOULD BE FUNDED AND RUN BY THE D.A. AND THAT IS WHAT WE SHOULD BE PURSUING AND WHAT I RECOMMEND HERE. I CANNOT SEE THE DOLLARS OR THE SENSE IN JOINING WITH REDONDO FOR PROSECUTION SERVICES. WE HAVE NO IDEA IF IT WOULD BE WORTH THE COST OR FOLLOW THROUGH. AND WHETHER THOSE FOLKS SERVE TIME AND FACE CONSEQUENCES FOR THEIR ACTIONS AND FOR THAT I WOULD SAY IT IS NOT WORTH THE MONEY. THANK YOU.

>> HILDY, RICHARD, NEXT.

>> THE DOLLAR AND CENTS ARE ONE POINT OF THE DOLLAR. THE FLIP SIDE OF THE COIN AND NOT THAT I AGREE WITH THE COMMENT THAT WE'RE ALL COUNTY HERE, MY POINT IS THAT THE RESIDENTS ARE ASKING, DEMANDING THE COUNCIL TO TAKE THE STEPS ON OUR OWN. WE ENFORCE MISDEMEANORS AND IT IS ON US TO DO THAT. I AGREE, THE ANALYSIS TO FIND OUT WHAT THAT COST WOULD BE WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND REDONDO AND SEE WHAT HAPPENS. APPLY TO THE SERVICES. THAT'S A GREAT WAY TO LOOK AT IT. SEE IF THEY MAKE

THAT HAPPEN. IF THEY DO NOT, IF THEY DO NOT WANT TO USE THE WORD PLAY BALL WITH US, COME TO REDONDO, I'M REMINDED THAT WE HAVE AN OPTION HERE AS THE STATE SEES ITSELF AND INTERJECTS ITSELF INTO THE COURTS FREQUENTLY AS WE FIND OUT. WE HAVE THOSE THAT INTERJECT IN THIS CASE AND WE COME TO THAT POINT, THE D.A. REJECTS US. WE HAVE AN OPTION DOWN THE ROAD. THE RESIDENTS DEMAND THE POLICE TO DO THE RIGHT THING AND ENFORCEMENT FOR OURSELVES. REDONDO DOES THAT FOR US. WE SHOULD LOOK AT WHAT THE COSTS SHOULD BE. AND WHETHER TO TRY IT WITH FUNDING FROM THE BRANCH FUNDS TO FIND OUT WHAT IT WOULD BE? LET'S LOOK AT THAT. BUT TO SAY NO, THESE GUYS ARE KICKED OUT THE SAME DAY, THAT'S NOT WHAT I WANT TO SEE THE ANSWER. THANK YOU YOUR HONOR.

>> THANK YOU, RICHARD. MAYOR PRO TEM.

>> I WANT TO START BY CLARIFYING THINGS. THIS HAS BEEN RETURNED BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. THOSE CASES HAVE DECREASED AND DECREASED AND DECREASED. VIEWING THE CHART, ACCORDING TO THE CHART, YOU KNOW IN EVERY YEAR BY MANY HUNDREDS OUR CASES HAVE GONE DOWN. WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH WHAT OUR CHIEF HAS RECORDED TO US WHEN HE WAS RECORDING THAT, THE AREA THAT CRIME HAS GONE UP. AND AUTO THEFT. I DIDN'T WANT EVERYBODY TO RAISE THE ALARM WHEN CRIME IS UP. THAT'S NOT NECESSARILY PROVEN OUT BY THE INFORMATION WE'RE GETTING. THAT BEING SAID, IT IS UTMOST IMPORTANCE AND CONCERN AND WE HEAR THE CONCERN OFTEN AND FREQUENTLY. WE HEAR, WE SEE THE VALUE TO HOMELESS COURT. I HAVE THE GREATEST OF RESPECT FOR THE CITY ATTORNEY WHO CREATED THAT IN REDONDO BEACH BECAUSE IT IS REALLY A VERY COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM. THE STATISTICS WORK WELL FOR REDONDO. THERE'S CONFUSION ABOUT THE NUMBER OF HOMELESS PEOPLE IN REDONDO BY HOMELESS COURT. THEY DID THEIR OWN POINT IN TIME COURT THAT IS LESS. IT IS INCREDIBLY EFFECTIVE PROGRAM. THE HOMELESS COURT IS A DIVERSION PROGRAM TO NOT USE CRIMINAL JAIL SYSTEM TO DO THINGS THAT CONTINUE TO JUST ENABLE AND CONTINUE THE CYCLE OF INSTEAD OF TREATING AND HELPING PEOPLE GET OUT OF THAT. SO WE HAVE BEFORE US QUESTIONS. WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE. WE HAVE NOT HEARD FROM REDONDO. WE DON'T HAVE COST UNLESS FROM THEM OR COMMITMENT, IF THEY WANT US TO PARTICIPATE. AT THIS POINT THAT'S A WAIT AND SEE. IT SEEMS PREMATURE IF WE WOULD TO ASKED DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO RELEASE US FROM THOSE -- FROM HIS PROSECUTORIAL SERVICES AND WE DON'T HAVE A COMMITMENT FROM REDONDO BEACH. THAT IS NOT THE CORRECT SEQUENCE OF EVENTS. MEASURE H, WE HEAR THOSE PROPOSALS FOR THOSE -- THOSE REQUESTED PROPOSALS ARE DUE ON AUGUST 10TH. IT IS NOT CLEAR IF HOMELESS COURT QUALIFIES UNDER MEASURE H REQUIREMENTS. THERE'S TWO BUCKETS IN MEASURE H, ONE FOR HOUSING AND THE OTHER FOR OTHER TYPES OF PROGRAMS. BUT AS I HAVE BEEN TOLD BY THOSE INVOLVED IN USING THOSE FUNDS IT MAY NOT NECESSARILY QUALIFY. BEYOND THAT MEASURE H IS AN 18 MONTH PROGRAM AND WE'RE LOOKING AT UNDERSTANDING WE HAVE A SITUATION

THAT IS NOT NECESSARILY GOING TO GO AWAY. WE WANT IT TO GO AWAY. WE WANT TO DO WHAT WE CAN AND WE KNOW IT WON'T GO AWAY. WE WOULD BENEFIT FROM SOMETHING THAT PROBABLY HAS MORE LONGEVITY. AND THAT IS WHY I TOOK THE NEXT STEP WHICH WAS TO TALK TO CERTAIN PEOPLE IN THE COUNTY. MY A MEETING WITH MEMBERS OF THE COUNTY. IT WAS LARGELY IN RESPONSE TO THE PREVIOUS STAFF REPORT THAT ATTACHED THIS TIME, THE STAFF REPORT DATED 6/20/21 AND ON PAGE FIVE IT IS NOTED THERE WAS CLEAR PRELIMINARY DISCUSSIONS WITH SUPERVISOR JANET TAUN WHO SAYS SHE SUPPORTS THE HOMELESS COURT AND IN EXPANDING IT. I SUGGEST THAT WE CONTINUE TO LOOK INTO THAT. WE LOOK AT WHETHER THERE ARE OPPORTUNITIES TO HAVING MORE REGIONAL OR SUBREGIONAL HOMELESS COURT. THAT SHOULD BE WHILE WE'RE SITTING HERE IN LIMBO AND NOT KNOWING WHETHER REDONDO WOULD INVITE US TO PARTICIPATE IN THEIR PROGRAM AND WHAT THE COSTS WOULD BE AND IF THEY WOULD REQUIRE THAT WE ALSO ENLIST THEIR PROSECUTORIAL SERVICES. WE SHOULD LOOK AT WHETHER THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY IN THE COUNTY AND THERE ACTUALLY IS. I WOULD DIRECT STAFF TO INVESTIGATE OTHER OPTIONS FOR ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY HERE. I THINK IT IS AN IMPORTANT OPPORTUNITY. WE KNOW THAT THERE ARE PEOPLE LIVING UNSHELTERED AND WOULD BENEFIT FROM THE SERVICES THAT WOULD HELP THEM BREAK OUT OF THE CYCLE OF HOMELESSNESS AND INTO A MORE STABLE HOUSING SITUATION. THAT'S SOMETHING WE SHOULD INVESTIGATE IN ANY WAY WE CAN. THIS COMMENT ABOUT WE'RE NOT COUNTY PEOPLE. WE ARE IN THE COUNTY. WE SHOULD ESTABLISH SHOWS RELATIONS WITH THE COUNTY. IT IS ONLY TO OUR BENEFIT TO DO THAT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> HOW MANY MILLIONS OF DOLLARS HAS THE COUNTY SPENT IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS? MILLIONS AND MILLIONS AND MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. WHAT ARE RESULTS? MORE HOMELESS. BY PROJECT ROOM KEY. BRIDGE HOMES. ALL OF THESE ACRONYMS AND THE SERVICES AND THE LIKE. VERY LITTLE COORDINATION. WHAT HAS TAKEN THEM SO LONG? LET'S DO IT. WE PAY IN, YOUR PROPERTY TAXES, WHAT PERCENTAGE GOES TO THE COUNTY? EXCUSE ME IF I DON'T HAVE THE NUMBER BUT IT IS ALMOST THE SAME PERCENTAGE THAT GOES TO US. THEY GET A GREAT DEAL OF MONEY. THEY'RE GETTING PROPOSITION H FUNDS. IT IS ROLLING IN AND IT HAS BEEN ROLLING OUT. WHAT ARE THE RESULTS? WHY DO WE HAVE THESE PROBLEMS STILL? IS THERE A LACK OF WILL OR ABILITY OR WHAT? THE RESIDENTS HAVE HAD IT. YOU INVEST TO LIVE IN YOUR CITY. THEY DON'T WANT TO WAIT FOR ANOTHER AGENCY TO BE DEVELOPED OR ANOTHER PROTOCOL TO BE DEVELOPED FOR A PROSECUTOR, YOU KNOW, TO WAIT UP AND HELP. SO YOU KNOW, THIS IS A FUNDAMENTAL PROGRAM THAT IS MAKING IMPACT. THIS IS WITHOUT CREATING THE ENTIRE OVERHEAD OURSELVES. THE COUNTS ARE ONE POINT IN TIME. YOU SEE HOW CLOSE THEY ARE TO OUR FAMILIES AND PUBLIC AREAS. YOU SEE THE PROBLEMS THEY HAVE. IF THE COUNTY IS NOT GOING TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT? DO THEY THINK WE HAVEN'T ASKED? DO THEY THINK WE HAVEN'T ASKED

FOR THE HELP? TO COME UP WITH A SOLUTION, A REAL SOLUTION AND PUT FEET ON THE GROUND ABOUT IT. IT IS HALF MEASURES. HALF-BAKED. PEOPLE ARE TIRED OF IT. PEOPLE ARE MOVING. THEY TURN TO US ON THE CITY COUNCIL. I SAY LET'S GET THE NUMBERS FROM REDONDO BEACH. LOVE TO TALK FOR THE COUNTY. LET'S DO IT ANYTIME. LET'S DO IT IN PERSON. BY ZOOM. LET'S GET IT DONE. YOU'RE UNDERSERVING THOSE THAT NEED IT THE MOST, THE HOMELESS, A GREAT DEAL OF THEM ARE ADDICTED TO DRUGS AND ARE USERS. THEY TURN DOWN SERVICES BECAUSE THEY DON'T LIKE THE RESTRICTIONS OF THE SHELTERS THEY NEED TO GO TO. THERE'S GOT TO BE ANOTHER PATH. YOU KNOW, THERE'S -- THEY GOT TO LEARN THE CONSEQUENCES THAT THEY CAN'T BE IN PUBLIC PLACES DOING THESE THINGS. PERIOD. WE GOT TO GIVE THE TOOLS TO THE POLICE. WE GOT TO FIND A WAY TO PROSECUTE, OKAY. LET'S JUST WORK ON IT AND KEEP THIS BALL GOING FORWARD WITH REDONDO BEACH. LOVE TO HEAR IT.

>> THANK YOU. I WILL SPEAK UP. I AGREE WITH A LITTLE BID OF WHAT ALL OF MY COLLEAGUES SAID. I THINK WE HAVE A SMALL NUMBER OF UNHOUSED INDIVIDUALS. I DO AGREE WITH JOE AT A POINT IN TIME JUST BECAUSE IT IS A DIFFERENT SEVEN, THERE CAN BE MULTIPLES OF SEVEN THROUGHOUT THE YEAR. SO YES, STEVE, I'M SENSITIVE TO HOW EXPENSIVE THIS IS. I'M AS CHEAP AS ANYONE UP HERE WITH OUR TAXPAYERS' DOLLARS. THIS IS REAL MONEY WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. I DON'T GO LIGHTLY. I LIKE THE WAY JOE PUT IT. I THINK OUR RESIDENTS WITH FED UP. I'M FED UP WITH THE COUNTY. I'M NO A COUNTY GAL. MR. PACKWOOD, PEOPLE MOVE TO THE SOUTH BAY FOR LOCAL CONTROL. THEY WANT LOCAL FIRE, LOCAL POLICE, LOCAL PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND THEY WANT CONTROL OVER THEIR LIVES AND I DO THINK WHEN YOU HAVE A DISTRICT ATTORNEY WITHIN HOURS OF THE JOB IS SAYING WHAT HE'S NOT GOING TO DO AND TALKS ABOUT RESTORATIVE JUSTICE, I DON'T THINK IT IS RESTORATIVE JUSTICE TO IGNORE THESE PEOPLE AND LET THEM BE UNHOUSED AND CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT. IT IS NOT FAIR AND LOVING TO YOUR TODDLERS TO LET THEM MISBEHAVE ALL THE TIME AND NOT LOVINGLY CORRECT THEM. YOU'RE TRYING TO GROW YOUR KIDS FOR THE FUTURE. YOU DON'T COME DOWN LIKE A TON OF BRICKS THE FIRST TIME THEY DO SOMETHING. BUT YOU HAVE TO RESPOND AND HAVE TO EXPECT HUMAN BEINGS TO BE CAPABLE OF MORE THAN LANGUISHING ON THE STREETS IN THEIR ADDICTIONS AND ISSUES. I THINK THIS IS THE BEST -- I THINK HOMELESS COURT IS A COMPASSIONATE AND LEGAL FORM OF CARROT AND STICK THAT IS LOVING TO THE UNHOUSED. IT GIVES OUR RESIDENTS THAT CARROT AND STICK THAT THEY CRAVE. I THINK OUR RESIDENTS AND BUSINESSES ARE FED UP. OUR BUSINESSES, RETAIL, RESTAURANTS AND SERVICES. WE PASSED ANTI-CAMPING LAWS. WE REALLY ARE A ROLE MODEL IN MANY WAYS. BUT LIKE MAYOR PRO TEM STERN SAID, MIKE WEBB THE CITY ATTORNEY OF REDONDO BEACH HAD THIS IN REDONDO BEACH. I RESPECT HIM FOR THAT. I RESPECT THEM FOR BLAZING THE TRAIL HERE. THEY DID IT BECAUSE THEY FELT LIKE THEY WEREN'T GETTING ANYWHERE IN THE COUNTY. AND

JUDGE HONEYCUTT AND GILBERTSON OUT OF THE SUPERIOR COURT THERE, THEY PUT THEIR HEADS TOGETHER AND CAME UP WITH AN INNOVATIVE PROGRAM. IT HAS GOTTEN SUPERVISOR HAHN'S POSITION. SUPERVISOR HAHN SAYS SHE LIKES IT. NOW TORRANCE IS CONSIDERING JOINING WITH -- OR TORRANCE CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS I SHOULD SAY ARE SERIOUSLY CONSIDERING CONTRACTING WITH REDONDO. THE MORE OF US THAT DO, THE MORE IT FORCES THE SUPERVISORS' HANDS. LIKE A VOTE OF NO CONFIDENCE AND IT IS JUST SYMBOLIC, WELL, NOW IT IS 31 PERCENT OF THE CITIES WHO USE GEORGE GASCON FOR PROSECUTION OF MISDEMEANORS. YOU WOULDN'T PLAY RUSSIAN ROULETTE. THAT'S A SUBSTANTIVE PERCENTAGE OF THE CITIES THAT DON'T FEEL SAFE UNDER THOSE PROSECUTION LEVELS AND THAT'S FOR THE -- THAT'S FOR THE NON-HOMELESS. MORE CITIES LIKE TORRANCE AND HERMOSA, THE MORE THE COUNTY HAS TO SIT UP AND TAKE NOTICE. I SAY WE MOVE FIRST AND WE ASK -- I LOVE GEORGE'S SUGGESTION THAT WE APPLY FOR THE MEASURE H FUNDS, GRANT FUNDS BY AUGUST 10TH. WHAT HAVE WE GOT TO LOSE? LET'S APPLY AND SEE WHAT HAPPENS. SHOW MOMENTUM. I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO REWARD WHAT WORKS. IT SEEMS THIS HOMELESS COURT IS WORKING. HONEYCUTT, GILBERTSON, THE HOMELESS PEOPLE, SUPERVISOR HAHN ALL SAY IT IS WORKING. WHO ARE WE TO SAY THAT'S A BIG WHIP, TOO EXPENSIVE. THEY'RE CHANGING LIVES. THEY'RE GETTING RECORDS EXPUNGED AND THEY'RE GETTING PEOPLE DOCUMENT READY AND INTO HOMES. WE CAN PUT A PRICE ON IT DOLLARS AND CENTS OR WE CAN SAY, YEAH, IS IT PRICEY? ABSOLUTELY. BUT WE ARE GOING TO DO WHAT WE CAN. WE'RE GOING TO GIVE THE TOOLS TO OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT TO NOT BE DISCOURAGED SO THEY HAVE ADDITIONAL TOOLS IN THEIR TOOL KIT AS WELL. I AGREE THAT OUR POLICE ARE DISCOURAGED. I WOULD BE IF YOU'RE NOT GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY, CHIEF ABEL SAID THE CALIFORNIA STATE PENAL CODE HAS NOT CHANGED, HOUSED OR UNHOUSED. THE PENAL CODE IS THE SAME, NO MATTER IS GASCON IS THE D.A. OR NOT AND THEN TO TELL OFFICERS, THE PENAL CODE IS THE SAME BUT JUST IGNORE THIS STUFF OR THEY'RE UNHOUSED SO YOU CAN'T BRING THEM IN FOR THOSE CRIMES. THAT'S NOT WHAT OUR COMMUNITY WANTS. I DON'T THINK THAT'S LOVING TO OUR UNHOUSED. THIS IS WORKING BY ALL ACCOUNTS IN REDONDO BEACH, WORKING WELL ENOUGH THAT THE SUPERVISOR SAYS SHE LIKES IT. HERMOSA DOES IT. I LIKE TO TANG TAKE GEORGE UP ON -- WE GO FORWARD AND APPLY FOR THE MEASURE EIGHT FUNDS BY AUGUST 10TH. IT WAS RICHARD THAT SAID, LET'S NOT ASK, MAYBE HILDY, TO NOT ASK THE D.A. TO OPT OUT OF HIS SERVICES UNTIL WE KNOW REDONDO WILL GIVE US PERMISSION. THAT'S FAIR. MAYBE REDONDO CITY COUNCIL WILL SHUT THIS DOWN. THAT'S POSSIBLE. WE MOVE FORWARD IN CONFIDENCE THAT THIS WILL WORK OUT. REDONDO BEACH, AS FAR AS I HEARD. THEY WANTED TO JOIN THE COURT AND HAVE ECONOMIES OF SCALE. LET'S SAY WE WON'T PAY MORE. WE DON'T HAVE TO PAY MORE. IF WE HAVE SEVEN UNHOUSED, WE'LL SAY 200 GRAND. THIS IS MARGINAL REVENUE TO THEM WITH NOT MANY ADDITIONAL UNHOUSED INDIVIDUALS. THIS IS NEGOTIABLE. SAY

WE'RE INTERESTED. DO ALL OF THE ABOVE. APPLY FOR THE MEASURE H. GRANT FUNDS AND CONTINUE TO TALK TO THE COUNTY AND THAT'S A GREAT SUGGESTION OF HILDY AND JOE AND TALK TO THE SUPERVISOR. BUT OUR COMMUNITY DOES WANT US TO DO SOMETHING. WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT THIS TONIGHT BUT IT IS NOT IN THE STAFF REPORT. I WANT SHELTER BEDS AND HOUSING NAVIGATOR. THE TWO SHELTER BEDS OR 50 OR 75,000 DOLLARS A YEAR. REALLY MONEY, ABSOLUTELY. I'M REALLY CONSERVATIVE AND CHIEF WITH TAXPAYER MONEY. IT IS MY MONEY TOO AND MY HUSBAND'S MONEY BUT OUR COMMUNITY WANTS THESE PEOPLE TO HAVE HELP. WE NEED A FULL-TIME RAMON. RAMON DIVIDES HIS TIME BETWEEN REDONDO, HERMOSA, AND MANHATTAN. EVERYONE WANTS HIM HERE FULL TIME. WE WANT HIM TO KNOW THEM. EVERY DAY AND EVERY WEEK AND EVERY MONTH, WE WANT RAMON WORKING WITH ALL SEVEN OF THESE AND THE NEXT SEVEN AND THE NEXT SEVEN. WHOEVER THEY ARE. WE'RE NOT GETTING ENCAMPMENT. WE'RE GETTING DOCUMENT READY AND INTO HOUSING. SHOULD WE HAVE TO SPEND THIS MONEY? NO. MEASURE H FUNDS AND THE PROPOSITIONS THAT WE PASSED SHOULD BE TAKING CARE OF THIS. IT IS NOT. THE PROBLEM IS NOT GETTING BETTER. IT IS GETTING WORSE. THESE ARE THINGS THAT WE CAN DO. I'M GOING TO STOP THERE. I WANT SHELTER BEDS AND FULL-TIME HOUSING NAVIGATOR AND I LIKE TO MOVE FORWARD. WE HAVEN'T DONE ANYTHING WITH REDONDO BEACH. I LIKE TO MOVE FORWARD AND TABLE THE MOTION OF GASCON UNTIL WE HAVE AN ACTUAL CONTRACT LARGELY FLESHED OUT ARE REDONDO. STEVE HAD HIS HAND UP AND I THINK HILDY.

>> A LOT OF ISSUES BEING CONFLATED HERE. STOP WITH THE COUNTY PEOPLE. THE ONLY COUNTY PERSON IS HADLEY THAT WORKS FOR THE COUNTY. NO ONE ELSE WORKS FOR THE COUNTY. AND HAVING WORKED FOR THE COUNTY, I KNOW WHAT WORKS AND DOESN'T. I ALSO KNOW THAT FOR EVERYTHING YOU SAY YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE COUNTY, YOU PROBABLY LOVE YOUR COUNTY LIFEGUARD AND LIBRARY. IT IS PICK AND CHOOSE. DON'T SAY THERE'S COUNTY PEOPLE UP HERE. I'M HERE FOR WHAT IS BEST FOR MANHATTAN BEACH AND DO THE BEST. PEOPLE WILL DO SOMETHING. BUT IT IS OUR JOB TO DO THE RIGHT THING AND DO IT AT THE RIGHT PRICE. COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLINS POINT OF THE MONEY BEING SPENT. THE HOMELESS COURT PROGRAM, 250,000 DOLLARS A YEAR, IT HAS 20 PEOPLE IN IT. THAT'S A LOT OF MONEY THROWN AT 20 PEOPLE WHEN WE HAVE PEOPLE RIGHT NOW WHO DO THE OUTREACH, THESE FOLKS, NOT EVERY HOMELESS PERSON COMMITS CRIMES EITHER. IT IS NOT A CRIME TO BE HOMELESS. LET'S REMEMBER THAT. THE ISSUE WITH THE IDEA OF WHAT IT COSTS IS NOT THE ONLY ISSUE. WE HAVE TO TALK ABOUT PROSECUTION SERVICES. 200,000 DOLLARS, WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE SERVED WITH PROSECUTION SERVICES FOR REDONDO, LESS THAN WHAT HERMOSA IS PAYING FOR, WHEN LIKELY WE HAVE LESS MISDEMEANORS. YOU CAN PROSECUTE THEM ALL YOU WANT AND THEY TWO TO COUNTY JAIL AND THEY TURN THEM BACK. LET'S DO THIS SMARTLY. I LIKE THE SUGGESTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE. LET'S NOT LEAVE THE COUNTY

BEFORE WE HAVE REDONDO BEACH. MAKE A DECISION WHEN THE PRICE COMES. I'M NOT IMPRESSED WITH WHAT I SEE. THERE'S NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION THAT THIS WILL MAKE A GREAT DIFFERENCE IN WHAT WE'RE DOING NOW. IN TERMS DOING SOMETHING AND FIGHTING CRIME, IF YOU SAW OUR MISDEMEANORS BEING DOWN, WHY IS THAT? THERE'S PEOPLE THAT ARE NOT HOMELESS. WE'RE NOT HERE TO ATTACK HOMELESS. WE'RE HERE TO FIND HOUSING AND SERVICES FOR THE HOMELESS AND PROSECUTION AND CONSEQUENCES FOR THOSE THAT COMMIT CRIMES WHETHER THEY'RE HOMELESS OR NOT. THANK YOU.

>> HILDY.

>> I JUST WANT TO UNDERSCORE WE HAVE THE ATTENTION OF THE COUNTY. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD GIVE THAT UP. IT IS ONLY TO OUR BENEFIT TO CONTINUE THE CONVERSATION. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT'S AN OPTION NOW, ESPECIALLY WHILE THEY'RE SITTING AND WAITING. THEY MAY NOT REQUIRE US TO ENGAGE THERE. WE DON'T KNOW. IT IS NOT CLEAR WHETHER THEY WOULD BE FUNDED FOR MEASURE H FUNDS. OR WHETHER THAT'S GOING TO BE SOMETHING. THEY'RE NOT CHARGING HERMOSA. THERE'S NO INDICATION WHAT THAT CHARGE WOULD BE. WE DON'T KNOW THAT BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW HOW THEY WILL BE FUNDED AFTER DECEMBER 31st. I DO THINK THAT WE SHOULD KEEP ALL OPTIONS OPEN.

>> GEORGE SAID WE WOULDN'T HAVE ACCESS TO HOMELESS COURT. I THINK THAT'S IN THE STAFF REPORT.

>> WE HAVEN'T GOTTEN COMMITMENT FROM THEM AND WE HAVEN'T HEARD FROM THEM IN QUITE A WHILE. I DIDN'T KNOW THAT WAS FIRM. I THOUGHT THAT WAS INFORMAL CONVERSATION.

>> GEORGE ON THE LINE?

>> RIGHT HERE.

>> MAYOR CAN YOU RESTATE THE YES?

>> WE'RE NOT CLEAR AS TO WHAT THE THE COMMUNICATIONS HAVE BEEN THUS FAR FROM REDONDO BEACH. I THOUGHT THAT THE THAT THEY HAVE NOT INDICATED CLEARLY THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO ENGAGE THE PROSECUTORIAL SERVICES IN ADDITION TO HOMELESS COURT BUT THAT THESE WERE INFORMAL CONVERSATIONS AND IF THEY MAKE A PROPOSAL WHAT THE PARAMETERS WOULD BE.

>> YES. WE DON'T NOTE PARAMETERS OF THAT PROPOSAL. THAT BEING SAID, IN ORDER FOR THE CITY TO PROSECUTE OUR MISDEMEANOR CRIMES, THE CITY WOULD HAVE TO RETAIN THAT PROSECUTORIAL ABILITY. THAT BEING SAID, IF THERE WAS -- IF THE COUNTY LET'S SAY, THEY DECIDE TO -- WE'RE THINKING HYPOTHETICAL. LET'S SAY THEY DECIDED TO ADD A PROGRAM, UNDER THE D.A.'S OFFICE, THEY WOULD LIKELY HAVE TO LEAN ON THE MISDEMEANOR CRIMES THAT THEY CURRENTLY DISMISSED OR DECLINED. THAT DEPENDS ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS. IT DOESN'T MEAN EVERY HOMELESS INDIVIDUAL IS GOING TO BE PROSECUTED. WE TEND TO SEE THAT MOST OF THOSE CRIMES ARE PROSECUTED AND A HOMELESS INDIVIDUAL WOULD BE PROSECUTED.

>> THAT'S THE STICK REMEMBER, HAVING THE ABILITY TO PROSECUTE WHEN HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS -- THIS DOESN'T GO TO PROSECUTION OF ALL CRIMES, BUT IF A HOMELESS PERSON GOES TO A HOMELESS COURT AND THEY DON'T FOLLOW THROUGH WITH WHAT THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO DO, THE PROSECUTION IS THE STICK THAT IS HELD OVER THEM TO MAKE THEM DO THAT.

>> RIGHT. THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING.

>> JOE, IS IT RELATED TO THIS? OTHERWISE I DON'T WANT TO INTERRUPT.

>> WERE YOU FINISHED? MY QUESTION IS RELATED.

>> GO AHEAD.

>> WHO HOLDS SWAY OVER THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY IN THE DOUBT? THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS?

>> THE VOTERS.

>> ELECTED OFFICIAL.

>> COUNTY WIDE. THE SUPERVISORS CAN'T DO ANYTHING ABOUT THOSE THAT THEY PROSECUTE THESE -- THIS LIST OF MISDEMEANORS.

>> JUST LIKE THEY DEMAND [INDISCERNIBLE], THEY CAN DEMAND IT.

>> NOTHING IS GOING TO HAPPEN, BECAUSE HE'S GOING TO SAY LOOK AT THE LIST I'M NOT GOING TO PROSECUTE THOSE THINGS?

>> HE'S BEEN VERY CLEAR ABOUT HIS CHOICES OF WHAT HE'LL PROSECUTE AND NOT.

>> HILDY.

>> I WANT TO UNDERSTAND HIS POLICY AND DIRECTIVE. THERE'S THE -- HE HAS IN THIS DIRECTIVE, THERE IS THE EXCEPTION WHICH ALLOW FOR REPEAT OFFENDERS TO HAVE PRETRIAL DIVERSION PROGRAMS AND ALL OF THE DIVERSION PROGRAMS YOU'RE SUPPORTING THROUGH HOMELESS COURT ARE ACTUALLY REFERENCED IN HIS DIRECTIVE. I WOULDN'T JUMP TO THE FACT THAT HE'S NOT GOING TO PROSECUTE THINGS. HIS DIRECTIVES ARE ACTUALLY IN SUPPORT OF THE CONCEPTS OF JUST LIKE HOMELESS COURT, WE'RE LOOKING FOR DIVERSION OF GETTING SOMEONE OUT OF THE CYCLE OF PROSECUTION. THAT'S THE PURPOSE. AGAIN, THERE'S NO ADVANTAGE TO US IN BEING DEFEATED. IT WON'T HAPPEN. LET'S SET IT ASIDE. LET'S PURSUE IT. THE CONVERSATIONS CAN BE HAD. LET'S PURSUE IT. LET'S SEE IF THERE'S SUPPORT FROM THE COUNTY. I'VE BEEN TOLD THERE'S SUPPORT FROM THE COUNTY. AND I THINK THERE'S NO REASON TO JUST IGNORE IT. AT THIS POINT WE DON'T HAVE AN ALTERNATIVE RIGHT NOW. LET'S LOOK AT OUR ALTERNATIVES AND LET'S WORK WITH THE COUNTY. THERE'S A WAY TO DO THAT. WE LOOK AT OPTIONS LISTED TODAY. ONE OF THE OPTIONS THAT IS LISTED HERE IS THAT -- OKAY, APPLY FOR MEASURE EIGHT FUNDS. TWO THINGS, ONE IS HOMELESS COURT SERVICES WHICH WE KNOW WE WANT TO PURSUE THAT IN SOME WAY, MEASURE H OR SOMETHING AT COUNTY OR REDONDO BEACH TO COME BACK WITH AN ANSWER. THE OTHER PROPOSAL FOR MEASURE H FUNDS IS TO ASK FOR A DEDICATED PROVIDER. I WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSCORE WE'VE HEARD MANY TIMES THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD BENEFIT MANHATTAN BEACH AND WE SHOULD ASK FOR THAT, THE MEASURE H THAT HAS BEEN

HELPFUL IN THE LAST YEAR AND A HALF THAT HAS BEEN AVAILABLE TO US THROUGH THE PREVIOUS MOU WITH HERMOSA AND REDONDO. AND THEN REPORT BACK TO THE COUNCIL ONCE WE HEAR BACK FROM MANHATTAN BEACH, ABSOLUTELY AND NUMBER FOUR I WILL SAY AGAIN, I THINK IT IS PREMATURE TO PURSUE THAT.

>> I SUPPORT YOUR POINTS, HILDY. THE OTHER REASON TO GET THE FULL-TIME HOUSING NAVIGATOR IS WHILE WE'RE WAITING ON REDONDO BEACH, WE CAN SEE HOW EFFECTIVE A FULL-TIME RAMON IS AND THE BEDS. GIVES US A JUMP START, I FEEL LIKE ON DOING THINGS WHILE WE WAIT FOR REDONDO BEACH.

>> UNDERSTAND.

>> I AGREE WITH MAYOR PRO TEM STERN.

>> JUST UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS FUNDS AFTER DECEMBER 2021, RIGHT? IT IS THE 18 MONTHS SUBSEQUENTLY.

>> RIGHT RIGHT.

>> IF I MAY INTERJECT, I BELIEVE I HEARD THAT THE CITY IS INTERESTED IN A CASE MANAGER AND MY GOAL IN THE GRANT APPLICATION THAT WE'RE GOING TO RESUBMIT AND WILL PASS ONE INDIVIDUAL PER CITY.

>> PERFECT.

>> A WAY TO MITIGATE OUR REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF CITY EXPENDITURES AND LEAN ON GRANT FUNDING FOR THAT. THAT'S MY GOAL. I MAY BE SHOWCASING MY HAND BEFORE THE GRANT APPLICATION.

>> THAT'S GREAT.

>> A COMMENT I WANT TO MAKE IS GEORGE GASCON WAS DISTRICT ATTORNEY IN SAN FRANCISCO. HOW DID THIS WORK FOR HIM THERE? HOW WERE THESE QUALITY-OF-LIFE ISSUES HANDLED THERE? WHAT WAS THE OUTCOME THERE OF HIS POLICIES? YOU HAVE A SIMILAR DIRECTIVE. I DON'T KNOW THE NUMBERS. I'M LOOKING AT THE LIST HERE. THE MISDEMEANORS. TRESPASS. I MEAN, THAT'S PRETTY IMPORTANT TO THE RESIDENTS IN MANHATTAN BEACH. DISTURBING THE PEACE, PUBLIC INTOXICATION, UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE. DRIVING WITHOUT A VALID LICENSE. DRUG PARAPHERNALIA POSSESSION. MINOR IN POSSESSION OF ALCOHOL. WE WANT THAT TAKEN CARE OF LOITERING. RESISTING ARREST. ATTACK THE QUALITY-OF-LIFE ISSUES HERE.

>> I'M HEARING DIRECTION TO WRAP UP FROM MAYOR PRO TEM STERN. I'M LOOKING AT PAGE 16 OF ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER'S APPROPRIATE. I DON'T KNOW, STEVE, HILDY AND RICHARD IF YOU GOT THIS E-MAIL. NUMBER ONE, WE'RE GOING TO X THAT OUT. WE'RE NOT GOING TO DISCONTINUE ANALYSIS, GEORGE, I'M HEARING AND MY COLLEAGUES CAN DISAGREE. NUMBER TWO, WE ARE GOING TO HEARING THREE VOTES FOR GRANT FUNDS FOR AUGUST 10TH, FOR THE HOMELESS COURT SERVICES SPECIFICALLY AND IN ADDITION TO FUND DEDICATED TO OUTREACH AND THE HOUSING NAVIGATOR.

>> YES.

>> ALSO --

>> AGAINST.

>> I THINK WE HAD A MAJORITY ANYWAY. NUMBER THREE, REPORTING BACK TO THE COUNCIL AFTER COST ESTIMATE FOR HOMELESS COURT SERVICES. I'M HEARING THERE'S THREE VOTES FOR TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THAT. HILDY WANTS MORE INFORMATION ON COST, MOVE FORWARD, NOT SIGNING ANYTHING.

>> COST ESTIMATE.

>> UNANIMOUS ON THAT. THEN NUMBER FOUR, GEORGE, I BELIEVE WE'RE NOT ASKING YOU TO CONTACT THE L.A. COUNTY D.A.'S OFFICE, YET. WE DON'T KNOW IF WE'LL GO FORWARD WITH IT. WHY COAX A SLEEPING BEAR IF WE DON'T WANT TO. GEORGE ARE YOU GOOD WITH THAT?

>> I'M CLEAR. OPTIONS TWO AND THREE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL.

>> CORRECT.

>> QUINN DO YOU HAVE WHAT YOU NEED FROM US FOR IN ITEM?

>> DEFINITELY. I WANTED TO ANSWER FRANKLIN'S QUESTION, SPECIAL DIRECTOR 20-06 IT IS A PRETRIAL RELEASE POLICY THAT DISTINGUISHES BETWEEN SERIOUS FELONIES AND NONSERIOUS FELONIES. THIS COMES BACK. I COULD SEND YOU A LIST OF WHAT IS CONSIDERED TO BE A SERIOUS AND NONSERIOUS FELONIES. IT DOESN'T NEED TO BE A PART OF THIS NEXT STAFF REPORT. IT IS A FAIRLY DIFFERENT ISSUE.

>> DIFFERENT ISSUE.

>> NOW I HAVE SOMETHING TO LOOK FOR.

>> GOOGLE IT. HILDY?

>> THE LAST THING I WANT TO INCLUDE IN THE DIRECTION IS CONTINUE -- IS STAFF CONTINUES TO PURSUE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS HOMELESS COURT WITH THE COUNTY.

>> GREAT POINT.

>> YES.

>> OKAY. GEORGE YOU HAVE WHAT YOU NEED. EXCELLENT STAFF REPORT. REALLY REALLY GOOD. I KNOW I TOLD YOU THAT BEFORE I WANT TO SAY THAT IN OPEN SESSION. WE'RE GRATEFUL FOR YOUR SERVICES HERE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> WELL DONE, WE'LL MOVE ON TO AGENDA ITEM 8 WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF A RESOLUTION FOR APPROVING ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATES PARTICIPATION NOT TO EXCEED 9.7 MILLION TO RECONSTRUCT FIRE STATION NUMBER TWO AND RELATED DOCUMENTS. WE WILL HAVE CYRILIAN AND BRETT HOUSER ON ZOOM AND NO POWERPOINTS.

>> GOOD EVENING, MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL. TONIGHT'S ITEM IS CONSIDER A RESOLUTION, NUMBER 21-0063, APPROVING CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION, NOT TO EXCEED 9.7 MILLION TO RECONSTRUCT FIRE STATION NUMBER TWO AND RELATED DOCUMENTS. CITY COUNCIL APPROVED IT ON MARCH 2nd, 2021, UP TO 9.5 MILLION. THE ESTIMATES REVISED TO 9.7 MILLION BASED ON KNOWN CONSTRUCTION COSTS AND ESTIMATE THE ON ANCILLARY COSTS. THE REVISED ESTIMATE OF 9.7 MILLION FOR CONSTRUCTION AND DESIGN AND REIMBURSEMENT AND COSTS AND ISSUANCE AND UNDERWRITERS DECIDES COUNT CAN BE ACCOMMODATED DUE TO THE

HIGH PREMIUM BASED ON THE SALE. FISCAL YEAR ADOPTED BUDGET INCLUDES 150,000 DOLLARS. THE DEBT SERVICE IS FROM THE RECENT FUNDING OF THE BONDS AND THE CITY'S ADOPTED UTILITY, I'M SORRY UAL PENSION POLICY IN THE BUDGET IS BASED ON A 30-YEAR TERM AT HISTORICAL LOW INTEREST RATES. LAST WEEK'S STAFF REPORTED A RATING CALL. WE RECEIVED CONFIRMATION THAT IT WILL BE DOUBLE A PLUS AND IT IS STANDARD THAT THE COPs ARE RELATED ONE LEVEL BELOW THE OBLIGATION BONDS. THE FINANCING FOR FIRE STATION TWO WILL BE FIRE STATION ONE, THIS BENEFITS THE CITY AND WON'T BE REQUIRED TO FUND THE INTEREST DURING CONSTRUCTION. THE C.O.P.s WILL BE TAX-EXEMPT BONDS. THEY WILL BE -- THE GOOD FAITH ESTIMATE IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT CODE AND MUNICIPAL ADVISOR HAS THE BONDS AT 2.54 PERCENT. THE NEXT COUNCIL MEMBER AUGUST 3rd AND AS WELL AS FUND. THE RECOMMENDATION IS TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 200163 WHICH INCLUDES PARTICIPATION AND APPROVES THE FOLLOWING RELATED DOCUMENT. THE CITY'S MUNICIPAL ADVISOR FROM PNN AND FROM THE CITY'S BOND COUNCIL ARE HERE TONIGHT ALONG WITH CITY STAFF TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE. THAT CONCLUDES MY BRIEF PRESENTATION. THANK YOU.

>> ANY QUESTIONS?

>> RICHARD, GO AHEAD.

>> THIS IS CONFIRMING THAT THE C.O.P.s AND WHAT AMOUNT YOU WANT TO USE. YOU WANT TO USE THEM AS PART OF THE NUMBER. THAT'S ONE OPTION FOR US. THAT'S WHY IT IS BLANK. NO NUMBER IS DECIDED EXCEPT PROCESS. MAKE SURE EVERYBODY HEARS THAT. WE'RE UP TO THAT NUMBER. MORE OF STATEMENT THAN QUESTION.

>> LET'S ASK DIRECTOR CYRILIAN FOR CONFIRMATION.

>> THAT'S CORRECT. YOU'RE APPROVING AND NOT TO EXCEED NUMBER TONIGHT AND YOU'RE NOT LOCKED IN.

>> IT WOULD BE UP TO 9.7 MILLION OR ANYTHING LESS?

>> CORRECT. BOTH SITES THE ARCO FUNDS AND THE AGREEMENT FOR CONSIDERATION AT THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING AND THE ARCO FUNDS ARE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT FUNDS THAT THE COUNCIL WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO HEAR THE USES FROM THE REPORTING GUIDANCE FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY. WE'LL DO THAT AUGUST 3rd.

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH. WE WILL GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING DEPUTY CITY CLERK, MARTHA ALVAREZ, WE HAVE MR. PACKWOOD IN THE HOUSE. WE'LL KICK IT OFF WITH OUR IN-PERSON PUBLIC COMMENT AND SEE IF WE HAVE ZOOM QUESTIONERS ONLINE.

>> MY ONLY QUESTION REGARDING THIS, I UNDERSTAND THAT YOU HAVE TO DO -- PRELIMINARY WORK MOVING AHEAD TO PREPARE YOURSELF TO ISSUE A BOND FROM THAT PERSPECTIVE. I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE'RE GOING THROUGH AT THIS POINT IN TIME WHICH IS GOOD. MY ONLY QUESTION IS WHEN AND THIS IS PART OF THE PROCESS. WHEN WILL THE COMMUNITY BE AWARE OF WHAT YOU'RE DOING AS IT RELATES TO BIO STATION NUMBER TWO? WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT IT FOR WHAT? EVER SINCE MONTGOMERY AND NAPOLITANO WERE ON PREVIOUS BOARDS IN TERMS

OF REPLACING FIRE STATION TWO. IT NEVER GOT THIS FAR YET. SOONER OR LATER, THE COMMUNITY NEEDS TO GET INVOLVED. I DON'T THINK THEY'RE GETTING IT FROM WATCHING YOU TONIGHT ON ZOOM OR ME, THE ONLY PERSON HERE. I RAISE THAT ISSUE WITH YOU. HOPEFULLY YOU WILL INCORPORATE THE COMMUNITY IN THE PROCESS.

>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

>> ANDREW GOLDSTEIN, VIA ZOOM.

>> I JUST WANTED TO ASK, I MISSED WHAT DIRECTOR SAID. I WANT YOU TO GO OVER THAT IN DETAILS OF THE FUNDING FOR THAT. SPECIFICALLY SAY IT IN A LITTLE MORE LAYMAN'S TERMS, THANK YOU.

>> NO FURTHER REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS AT THIS TIME VIA ZOOM OR IN CHAMBERS.

>> THANK YOU, ANDREW, FOR YOUR COMMENTS. COUNCIL WE'LL GO BACK FOR A DISCUSSION. DIRECTOR CYRILIAN, IF YOU WANT TO REPEAT ANYTHING ABOUT -- I'M NOT SURE IF THE QUESTION FROM THE PUBLIC WAS ABOUT THE ARCO FUNDS OR ABOUT THE [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE HE WAS REFERRING TO THE FUNDING OF THE DEBT SERVICE. THE FUNDING THAT WILL BE THE DEBT SERVICE IS THE AMOUNT WE WOULD HAVE TO PAY FOR 30 YEARS WOULD BE PAID USING SAVINGS FROM OUR CURRENT PENSION OBLIGATION BOND ISSUANCE IN THE SPRING AND COUNCIL ADOPTED A PENSION POLICY AND DIRECTED TO USE PORTION OF THOSE SAVINGS, THOSE ESTIMATED SAVINGS TO GO TOWARDS THE DEBT SERVICE FOR FIRE STATION TWO. THE FUNDING IS COMING FROM THE CITY DOING PENSION OBLIGATION BONDS ON UNFUNDED ACTUARIAL LIABILITY AND USING FUNDS FOR THAT.

>> I'LL KEEP THE MIC AND FOR FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS. CAN YOU DEFINE A CERTIFICATE OF PARTICIPATE I GUESS, THE COPS WE'RE ISSUING NOW, HOW DOES THAT DIFFER FROM A BOND ISSUE OR RAISING ANOTHER FUNDING MECHANISM?

>> THAT'S A REASON WE HAVE THE INITIAL ADVISOR HERE. IF I COULD ASK MARK TO CHIME IN ON THAT.

>> THANK YOU. UNDER PROP 13, THERE WAS A CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT THAT PROHIBITED CITIES AND COUNTIES FROM ISSUING DEBT WITHOUT A VOTE OF THEIR RESIDENCE. IF A TWO-THIRDS VOTE APPROVED DEBT, THEY COULD ISSUE THE DEBT AND IMPOSE PROPERTY TAX LEVEE AND ISSUE BONDS. AFTER THAT, MUNICIPALITIES IN CALIFORNIA REALIZED WE STILL HAVE LONG-TERM FINANCING NEEDS FOR OUR CAPITAL FACILITIES. SO WE CAN ACCOMPLISH THAT UNDER WHAT IS KNOWN AS A LEASE OBLIGATION. SO A LEASE OBLIGATION IS A LONG-TERM CAPITAL LEASE THAT IS PAID FOR ANNUALLY OUT OF YOUR GENERAL FUND. IT IS SUBJECT TO AN ANNUAL APPROPRIATION. SO AS LONG AS YOU HAVE USE WITH THE FACILITY YOU APPROPRIATE AND MAKE THE PAYMENT OF THE LEASE PAYMENT. BECAUSE IT IS SUBJECT TO ANNUAL APPROPRIATION, IT IS NOT CONSIDERED DEBT. SO WHAT YOU WOULD BE APPROVING TONIGHT IS THE APPROVAL OF CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION WHICH ARE SECURED BY THE CITY MAKING ANNUAL LEASE PAYMENTS AGAINST FIRE STATION ONE FACILITY THAT IS IN THE GROUND. YOU HAVE USE OF

THAT. YOU'RE MAKING LEASE PAYMENTS THAT WILL SECURE YOUR BORROWING FOR FIRE STATION 2. THE BENEFIT OF DOING THAT IS BECAUSE EARLIER IN THE YEAR, YOU APPROVED YOUR UAL POLICY WHICH DESIGNATED A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE OF YOUR SAVINGS ON THE PENSION OBLIGATION BOND GOING TOWARDS PENSION SAVINGS OR DEBT SERVICE OR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS. THE AT THE TIME SERVICE ON FIRE STATION 2 FITS WITHIN THAT ALLOCATION FOR DEBT SERVICE ON THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT. WHAT STEVE INDICATED WAS THE POLICY TOWARDS DEBT SERVICE ON CIP FITS WITHIN OUR ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE FOR FIRE STATION TWO. WE'RE USING FIRE STATION ONE AS THE ASSET TO SECURE THE LEASE PAYMENT AND BY DOING THAT YOU COULD IMMEDIATELY START MAKING LEASE PAYMENTS, NOT PAY INTEREST DURING CONSTRUCTION AND SAVE ABOUT 250,000 DOLLARS OF INTEREST COSTS BY DOING THAT.

>> 250,000 DOLLARS BY DOING WHAT?

>> BY UTILIZING YOUR CURRENT FIRE STATION ONE AS THE ASSET WHICH LEGALLY NOW ALLOWS YOU TO MAKE LEASE PAYMENTS ON THAT FACILITY WHEREAS IF WE FINANCED FIRE STATION TWO DIRECTLY, WE HAVE TO BORROW OUR INTEREST COSTS DURING CONSTRUCTION BECAUSE YOU CAN ONLY MAKE LEASE PAYMENTS ON A FACILITY THAT YOU'RE ACTUALLY -- THAT YOU HAVE USE AND EMPLOYMENT.

>> GOT YOU.

>> THE DECISION EARLIER IN THE YEAR TO ALLOCATE FROM SAVINGS FROM P.O.B. CREATED THE REVENUE TO SUPPORT FIRE STATION 2 IMMEDIATELY AND THAT CREATED THE OPPORTUNITY TO SAVE 250,000 DOLLARS IN COSTS AND WE'RE UTILIZING FIRE STATION 1 AN EXISTING ASSET TO ALLOW US TO DO THAT.

>> THANK YOU. VERY HELPFUL. COUNCIL ANY QUESTIONS? DISCUSSIONS? OR WOULD SOMEBODY LIKE TO MOVE TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 21-0063?

>> I'LL MAKE THE MOTION TO APPROVE.

>> WHAT WAS THAT?

>> REPEAT. 21-0199.

>> I HAVE 0063.

>> LET ME REPHRASE THE NUMBER I'M SEEING. NUMBER 21-0063.

>> OKAY. OKAY.

>> SECOND?

>> I'LL SECOND IT.

>> JOE FRANKLIN SECONDED. AND CITY CLERK, ROLL CALL. [ROLL CALLED]. MOTION PASSES 5-0.

>> THANK YOU. WE WILL MOVE ON TO -- THANK YOU. WE'RE GOING TO LETTER K ADJOURN THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING TO THE MEETING OF THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS CORPORATION. HOUSEKEEPING ITEM FOR THOSE WATCHING AT HOME. STAY TUNED IT WILL GO FAST. I WILL -- I JUST NEED TO ADJOURN, RIGHT?

>> RECESS.

>> RECESS. OKAY. I WILL RECESS THIS CITY COUNCIL MEETING SO WE COULD MEET, HAVE A MEETING OF THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS CAPITAL

APPROPRIATIONS. CALL THAT MEETING TO ORDER. ANOTHER ROLL CALL.
[ROLL CALLED].

>> GREAT. WE'LL MOVE TO THREE, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT
APPROPRIATIONS. ANYBODY WAITING FOR PUBLIC COMMENT?

>> NO REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT VIA ZOOM OR COUNCIL CHAMBERS.

>> WE GO TO FOUR, GENERAL BUSINESS OF THIS CAPITAL CORPORATION
AGENDA ITEM NINE, A SECOND SUPPLEMENT TO THE TRUST AGREEMENT,
SECOND AMENDMENT, AND ALSO RELIED TO THE CERTIFICATE OF
PARTICIPATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF FIRE STATION NUMBER TWO.
DIRECTOR, WHAT TO WE NEED TO KNOW?

>> THE IMPROVEMENT CORPORATION HAS FORMED AN ISSUE OF DEBT AND
THE CITY COUNCIL MEMBER HAS THE C.I.C. BOARD MEMBERS, SO TONIGHT
STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS ADOPT CIC10 AS A
SECOND AMENDMENT AND SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE LEASE AGREEMENT AND
CERTIFICATE VERSUS CONTRACT AND SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE
ASSIGNMENT AGREEMENT AND AUTHORIZING THE TAKING OF ACTIONS AND
DELIVERY NOT TO EXCEED 9.7 MILLION DOLLARS CERTIFICATE TO
CONSTRUCT FIRE STATION TWO. IT IS EXPECTED TO BE COMPLETED BY
AUGUST 31st.

>> THANK YOU. ANY QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS?

>> NO QUESTIONS WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT AGAIN? ON THIS ITEM.

>> NO REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AT THIS TIME.

>> BACK TO COUNCIL? RICHARD DID YOU WANT TO MOVE.

>> I DO, I MOVE.

>> SECOND.

>> SECOND BY STEVE NAPOLITANO. AND CITY CLERK, ROLL CALL. [ROLL
CALLED].

>> MOTION PASSES 5-0. OKAY. THANK YOU, COLLEAGUES, AT THIS TIME
I WILL ADJOURN THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS CORPORATION MEETING AND
RECONVENE THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 20th, 2021.
OKAY. MOVING RIGHT ALONG. THAT'S THE BULK OF OUR MEETING. WE'RE
NOW AT LETTER M, CITY COUNCIL REQUESTS AND REPORTS INCLUDING
AB1234 REPORTS.

>> I HAVE ONE.

>> I ATTENDED A BOARD MEETING LAST WEEK IN SAN DIEGO. NO COST TO
THE CITY. UP

>> THANK YOU. CAN I ASK YOU RICHARD WAS ANYTHING BEING DISCUSSED
ABOUT SB NINE AND TEN?

>> ABSOLUTELY MORE DETAIL, I CAN TELL YOU BUT IT WOULD TAKE TOO
LONG TONIGHT. SO I'LL CREATE E-MAIL AND SEND IT OUT.

>> THAT WOULD BE GREAT. I'M ALL EARS. ANYWAY, THERE'S STUFF
GOING ON IN SOUTH BAY.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER NAPOLITANO.

>> NOT A FUTURE AGENDA ITEM SO I'LL PUT IT HERE. I ASK FOR
STAFF'S SAKE WHETHER OR NOT WE SHOULD RESORT TO ZOOM MEETINGS
INTO THE INDOOR RULES CHANGE GOING FORWARD. I BRING IT OUT TO

YOU, FOR EVERYONE'S SAFETY, VACCINATED OR NOT THAT WE MAY WANT TO CONSIDER THAT.

>> GREAT POINT. CITY MANAGER MOE COULD YOU REMIND ME DID WE MEET IN CHAMBERS BEFORE JUNE 15th OR NO?

>> WE MET IN MAY AT JOCELYN CENTER AND THEN WITHIN SUBSEQUENT MEETING HERE IN CHAMBERS WITH TWO MEMBERS PRESENT.

>> OKAY. COLLEAGUES, WHAT DO YOU THINK? IT SEEMS LIKE WE UNFORTUNATELY ARE GOING BACKWARDS HERE WITH THE COUNTY.

>> GOOD IDEA.

>> GOOD IDEA TO SWITCH TO ZOOM?

>> I THINK WE'RE SAFE AND WE'RE PAST THE VARIANT ISSUE, NOT ONLY FOR OUR SAKE BUT OUR STAFF'S SAKE.

>> I KNOW IT IS DISAPPOINTING BUT IT IS PRUDENT AT THIS TIME.

>> GOT YOU.

>> LOOK AT THE STATS ON ANOTHER OCCASION, WE JUMPED IN THE LAST THREE WEEKS. AND MOE HAS THEM POSTED. WE HAVEN'T SEEN NUMBERS THAT HIGH SINCE MARCH OF THIS YEAR.

>> THIS SHOULDN'T BE -- IT IS --

>> I'M NOT OPPOSED. I'M JUST LOOKING FOR SOMEONE -- SO WE GET STEVE AND RICHARD.

>> AGREE.

>> I AGREE.

>> OKAY. SO LET'S GO MEETING BY MEETING BUT THE NEXT ONE WILL BE ZOOM ONLY THEN, WHICH IS AUGUST 3rd. WE HAVE ONE MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC HERE, MR. PACKWOOD, AUGUST 3rd BACK ON ZOOM. ANYONE AT HOME, ZOOM. THANK YOU.

>> YOU'RE WELCOME. ANY OTHER LETTER MAB1234s. I HAVE A FUTURE JEN THAT ITEM FLASH, BRUCE AND QUINN SAID IT IS NOT A FUTURE AGENDA ITEM. I LIKE TO PUT OUT WITH MY COLLEAGUES THE CHRISTMAS AND NEW YEAR'S DATES FOR COUNCIL MEETINGS. WE HAVE IN THE PAST, BEFORE CHRISTMAS OR THE FIRST MEETING IN THE NEW YEAR, NUMBER ONE, I THINK IT IS GOOD FOR STAFF AND I THINK A LOT OF THE RESIDENTS ARE ON VACATION, I HESITATE TO TAKE UP IMPORTANT MATTERS. WE'RE GOING TO GERMANY AND SEE MY SON IN THE ARMY OVER THERE. WE HAVE PLENTY OF TIME. I WANT TO GET PEOPLE THINKING AND IF EVERYONE KNOWS WHAT THEY WANT TO DO, PLEASE SHARE IT WITH ME. IF YOU DON'T START THINKING ABOUT WHETHER WE'RE GOING TO MEET DECEMBER 21st OR JANUARY 1st, ONE OR THE OTHER. I LIKE TO KNOW.

>> SOMEONE THAT USED TO TAKE THE LAW SCHOOL EXAM ON THE 21st ALL THE TIME. I'M HAPPY FOR THE 1st. ALSO BE MINDFUL OF THE JEWISH HOLIDAYS AND DOUBLE-CHECK CALENDARS. THERE'S ACTIVITY ON THE 21st AND THE 4th MOST PEOPLE ARE BACK. I'M NOT TRYING TO IMPINGE PLANS BUT -- THAT'S ME. I'M HERE TO SERVE. NOT TO SAY ANYONE ELSE ISN'T. I'M READY TO ATTEND THOSE MEETINGS IF NEED BE.

>> I WOULD VOTE SINCE THE 21st IS SO CLOSE TO CHRISTMAS EVE, I WOULD SAY TO FOREGO THE 21st.

>> YOUR PREFERENCE IS FORGO THE 21st.

>> I'LL TRAVEL TOO.

>> RICHARD DO YOU HAVE A REFERENCE. IT CAN WAIT. I JUST WANTED TO RAISE IT TONIGHT. IF WE KEEP IT, THAT'S FINE TOO. JUST ASKING FOR INPUT.

>> I DON'T HAVE CHRISTMAS PLANS YET BUT I'LL KEEP OPEN MIND ON IT.

>> I'LL SAY THANK YOU FOR RAISING THIS JEWISH HOLIDAY QUESTION THAT STARTS IN NOVEMBER AND NOT THE KIND OF HOLIDAY WHERE BUSINESS STOPS, JUST A FESTIVAL. THANK YOU FOR ASKING THAT QUESTION, BUT THAT WOULDN'T BE A HOLIDAY THAT WE WOULD NECESSARILY HAVE TO MOVE MEETINGS FOR.

>> I WOULD SEE US MOVE THE TUESDAY 4th OF JANUARY MEETING ESPECIALLY SINCE IF WE HAVE STAFF NOTICE A MEETINGS, IT IS GOING -- IT IS JUST CRUNCHING IN -- RIGHT AFTER CHRISTMAS AND RIGHT BEFORE NEW YEARS. THAT TYPICALLY THAT WEEK IS PROBABLY THE WEEK THAT MORE OF US WOULD APPRECIATE NOT HAVING AN OBLIGATION IF WE LOOK AT A TIME FOR MEETING. WE HAVE PLENTY OF TIME TO BE PREPARED FOR THE MEETING OF THE 21st, BUT NOT QUITE ENOUGH TIME FOR THE JANUARY 4th MEETING.

>> WE'LL JUST THINK ABOUT THOSE ISSUES.

>> I ALSO JUST SUGGEST, LET THE CITY MANAGER WEIGH IN WITH -- THROUGH E-MAIL OR WHATEVER WITH COUNCIL BECAUSE OFTENTIMES THEY CONDENSE THEM OR COMPACTION OF TIME EFFECTS THE AMOUNT OF WORK THAT STAFF HAS TO DO FOR MEETINGS.

>> OKAY.

>> THEN ALSO, WOULD HYBRID STILL BE AN OPTION?

>> YEAH.

>> WHO KNOWS?

>> ZOOM GOES AWAY.

>> THIS IS A BENEFIT.

>> IT DOESN'T HAVE TO GO AWAY. BUT YOUR PRESENCE WITHOUT HAVING TO POST AT YOUR LOCATION.

>> ATTORNEY BARROW.

>> REMOTE LOCATION WOULD HAVE TO BE POSTED UNLESS THE TED LINE IS EXTENDED.

>> CITY CLERK.

>> LOOK AT JANUARY 1st, REMIND YOU JANUARY 3rd IS THE JOINT CITY COUNCIL BOARD AND COMMISSIONS MEETING.

>> I HEARD THAT. STERN HAD IDEAS ABOUT THAT. I'LL LEAVE THAT TO HER. CITY MANAGER REPORT?

>> YES, MY REPORT IS FALLING UNDER CITY MANAGER REPORT. IT HAS BEEN MENTIONED BUT AS WE KNOW, THE CASES HAVE BEEN SPIKING. WE HAD GOTTEN DOWN TO LESS THAN ONE NEW CASE A DAY. PERSPECTIVE, IN JANUARY WE WERE GETTING 14.4 PER DAY AND OVER A SEVEN-DAY PERIOD. VACCINATION RATES REMAIN HIGH. THAT'S A BLESSING. 81 PERCENT HAVE GOTTEN VACCINATED. 17 TO 21-YEAR-OLDS ARE 68 PERCENT. THE VACCINATION STATUS, INCLUDES ALL BUSINESSES AND

OFFICE RETAIL AND RESTAURANTS AND GYMS AND ENTERTAINMENT CENTERS AND MEETINGS AND OTHERS SERVING THE PUBLIC. STANDARD EXEMPTIONS APPLY WITH THOSE WITH DISABILITIES, MEDICAL CONDITIONS AND DISABILITIES PREVENTING THEM FROM WEARING A MASK. THAT'S THE LATEST HERE. WE HAVE NOT HAD ANY ADDITIONAL DEATHS OVER THIS TIME. WE'RE ALWAYS CONCERNED ABOUT EVERYONE'S HEALTH. THAT CONCLUDES MY REPORT. THANK YOU.

>> YES. LET'S ALL GET OUR VACCINES. THE CASES DO NOT EQUAL HOSPITALIZATIONS AND DEATHS AND IT DOES 99 PERCENT OF THE CASES IN L.A. COUNTY ARE AMONG UNVACCINATED. THOSE CHOSEN NOT TO GET VACCINATED GETTING COVID. I ENCOURAGE EVERYONE TO GET IT. THAT'S OUR MEETING.

>> REPORT.

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH. THANK YOU CITY MANAGER MOE THAT CONCLUDES YOUR REPORT. CITY CORNER REPORT.

>> NOTHING TO ADD TONIGHT.

>> THANK YOU. THANK YOU. OKAY. WE WILL ADJOURN THIS MEETING TO 4 P.M. VIA ZOOM. WE HAVE A CLOSED SESSION ON TUESDAY AUGUST 3rd AND THEN A REGULARLY SCHEDULED MEETING AT 6 P.M. ON AUGUST 3rd ALSO ON ZOOM. THANK YOU, EVERYONE.

>> YOUR HONOR?

>> YES.

>> I ALSO JUST TO MENTION THAT THE MEETING WILL BE ADJOURNED IN CONNOR'S MEMORY.

>> YES. THANK YOU! YES THE MEETING WILL BE ADJOURNED TO THE REMINDER, I SAID THAT AT THE BEGINNING IN HONOR AND MEMORY OF CONNOR HENDERSON WHOSE WORDS, WHOSE MEMORIAL WAS MOVINGLY EXPRESSED BY MY COLLEAGUE JOE FRANKLIN AT THE BEGINNING OF THE MEETING. SO IN HIS HONOR WE WILL ADJOURN. LET'S STAND FOR A MOMENT OF SILENCE FOR CONNOR HENDERSON.

>> THANK YOU, EVERYONE, MY CONDOLENCES TO THE FAMILY. HUG YOUR LOVED ONES. YES?

>> INFORMATIONAL ITEM.

>> YES.

>> SORRY CLOSED MY AGENDA TOO EARLY.

>> SO. WE DID CITY COUNCIL REQUESTS AND REPORTS, FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS. CITY MANAGER REPORT, CITY ATTORNEY REPORT. AND Q, COMMISSION MINUTES AND INFORMATION ITEM ONLY. NUMBER 11, RECENT PLANNING COMMISSION, QUASI-JUDICIAL DECISION. AND THEN WE WON'T HAVE ANOTHER CLOSED SESSION AFTER THIS. WE WILL ADJOURN TONIGHT UNTIL 4 P.M. ON TUESDAY AUGUST 3rd AND OUR SPECIAL MEETING LIST IS AT THE BOTTOM OF THE AGENDA. GET IT ALL.

>> YES.

>> THANK YOU EVERYBODY, HAVE A GOOD EVENING.