

ROUGHLY EDITED COPY

MANHATTAN BEACH CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
REMOTE BROADCAST CAPTIONING
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2022

Services provided by:
QuickCaption, Inc.
4927 Arlington Avenue
Riverside, CA 92504
Telephone - 951-779-0787
Fax Number - 951-779-0980
quickcaption@gmail.com
www.quickcaption.com

* * * * *

This text is being provided in a rough draft format.
Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in
order to facilitate communication accessibility and **may not be
totally verbatim record of the proceedings.**

* * * * *

>> WE ARE LIVE, MADAM MAYOR.
>> Mayor Stern: WELCOME EVERYONE TO OUR CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING ON TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 1st, AT 6 P.M.
I WILL CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER.
AND INVITE EVERYONE TO JOIN US WITH A PLEDGE TO THE FLAG.
SO I ASK EVERYONE TO RISE TO THE HIGHEST LEVEL THAT THEY'RE ABLE AND JOIN TOGETHER IN THE PLEDGE.
>> MADAM MAYOR.
>> Mayor Stern: YES.
>> WE HAVE KATYA ON THE CALL FROM THE MANHATTAN BEACH MIDDLE SCHOOL TO LEAD US IN THE PLEDGE.
>> Mayor Stern: I'M SORRY.
WE DO HAVE OUR STUDENT FROM THE MIDDLE SCHOOL WHO WE ARE WELCOMING TO JOIN US FOR THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.
KATYA, I WILL TURN THIS OVER TO YOU.
DO WE SEE KATYA ON THIS -- ON THE CALL?
>> YES, MADAM MAYOR, SHE'S UNABLE TO UNMUTE AND TURN ON HER CAMERA.
>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.
IT'S A PRIVILEGE TO HAVE YOU HERE TODAY AND I WILL TURN THIS OVER TO YOU TO LEAD US IN THE PLEDGE.
>> THANK YOU.
THANK YOU FOR INVITING ME HERE.
IT'S A GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO BE LEADING.
IF YOU COULD ALL PLEASE PUT YOUR RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR HEART FOR THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.
[RECITING PLEDGE]
>> Mayor Stern: WELL THANK YOU, KATYA.
IT IS A PRIVILEGE TO HAVE YOU HERE AND I KNOW THAT YOUR PRINCIPAL, PRINCIPAL AHRENS WOULD LIKE TO SAY A FEW WORDS ABOUT HOW YOU'VE BEEN SELECTED FOR THIS HONOR AN YOUR ACCOMPLISHMENTS AT MBMS.
IS PRINCIPAL AHRENS IN THE MEETING?
>> I AM NOT SHOWING THE PRINCIPAL.
BUT IF THEY CAN RAISE THEIR HAND THROUGH THE REACTIONS I CAN ACTIVATE THEIR ACCOUNT.
>> HI, EVERYONE.
THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR HAVING BOTH KATYA AND I TONIGHT.
IT'S AN HONOR TO RECOGNIZE ONE OF OUR FELLOW STUDENTS.
WE WANTED TO SHARE A FEW WORDS OF PRAISE ABOUT KATYA.
SO KATYA IS AN EXCEPTIONAL STUDENT.
ALWAYS HAD A POSITIVE ATTITUDE AND CONTRIBUTING DAILY TO CLASS DISCUSSIONS WHICH HER TEACHERS LOVE.
SHE HAS A STEADY WORK ETHICS AND IS METHODICAL IN OUR EFFORTS TO LEARN.
SHE ISN'T AFRAID TO ASK QUESTIONS WHEN NEEDED.

KATYA WORKS COLLABORATIVELY WITH HER PEERS AND IS DEPENDABLE IN BOTH HER DETERMINATION AND INTEGRITY. SHE NEVER FAILS TO SAY THANK YOU OR GRACIAS AT THE END OF THE CLASS.

WITH WE'RE SO FORTUNATE TO HAVE HER AS A MEMBER OF OUR SCHOOL COMMUNITY.

CONGRATULATIONS ON TONIGHT'S WELL-DESERVED RECOGNITION, KATYA, AND YOUR PARENTS, TOO, BECAUSE I KNOW THEY'RE SUPER PROUD.

>> Mayor Stern: WELL, THANK YOU, PRINCIPAL AHRENS FOR SHARING THOSE WONDERFUL WORDS TO HELP US UNDERSTAND THE ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF KATYA.

KATYA, DO YOU HAVE FAMILY MEMBERS THAT HAVE JOINED YOU TONIGHT THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE US TO?

>> YES, I BELIEVE I HAVE MY PARENTS, MY GRANDPARENTS AND MY UNCLE AND AUNT HERE.

>> Mayor Stern: LOVELY.

CAN YOU TELL US THEIR NAMES AND WE'LL WAVE AND SAY HELLO.

>> THERE'S ED HORAS, I BELIEVE MY MOM KAREN IS ALSO ON HERE. AND I BELIEVE MELISSA HOWARD AS WELL.

>> Mayor Stern: HOW NICE.

SOUNDS LIKE YOU HAVE WONDERFUL SUPPORT FROM YOUR FAMILY AND IT ALSO SOUNDS LIKE YOU'RE A WONDERFUL CONTRIBUTOR TO THE SCHOOL WITH YOUR POSITIVE ATTITUDE, WITH YOUR ALWAYS CONTRIBUTING. IT WAS LOVELY TO HEAR ALL OF THE WAYS THAT YOU HAVE BEEN SUCH A POSITIVE INFLUENCE AT MBMS AND WE'RE PROUD TO HAVE YOU JOIN US TONIGHT AND IT'S LOVELY TO HEAR AND TO HAVE YOU WITH US.

THANK YOU FOR LEADING US IN THE PLEDGE.

THANK YOU, PRINCIPAL AHRENS FOR JOINING US AS WELL.

>> THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: OKAY.

I WILL TURN THIS OVER TO CITY CLERK, LISA TAMURA FOR ROLL CALL.

>> DANK YOU, MADAM MAYOR.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: I AM HERE.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN: HERE.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HADLEY.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HADLEY: HERE.

>> MAYOR PRO TEM NAPOLITANO.

>> Mayor Pro Tem Napolitano: HERE.

>> MAYOR STERN.

>> Mayor Stern: I AM HERE.

LET'S MOVE TO THE CEREMONIAL CALENDAR.

A PRESENTATION DECLARING THE WEEK FEBRUARY 20, 2022, THROUGH FEBRUARY 26, 2022, AS NATIONAL ENGINEERS WEEK.

I'M GOING TO TURN THIS OVER TO PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE.

>> THANK YOU FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO RECOGNIZE OUR ENGINEERING STAFF FOR NATIONAL ENGINEERS WEEK COMING UP FEBRUARY 20th THROUGH 26th.

I LIKE TO TELL OUR STAFF WE HAVE TWO MAIN FUNCTIONS, TO BUILD A CITY AND MAINTAIN THE CITY.

THE ENGINEERING TEAM IS THE WORKGROUP TASKED WITH BUILDING THE CITY.

AS YOU KNOW AND THE COMMUNITY KNOWS, THE CITY HAS A LONG-STANDING COMMITMENT TO REINVEST IN ITS INFRASTRUCTURE.

THE FIRE STATION NO. 2 RECONSTRUCTION AND ALSO JUST REGULAR ROUTINE PROJECTS THAT SOMETIMES GO UNNOTICED BUT HELP US MAINTAIN THE UTILITIES, THE ANNUAL WATERLINE REPLACEMENT AND SEWER LINE REPLACEMENTS.

TONIGHT WE HAVE ALL OF OUR ENGINEERING STAFF ACTUALLY ON THE ZOOM CALL.

I WOULD LIKE THEM TO TURN THEIR CAMERAS ON.

AS THEY'RE TURNING THEIR CAMERAS ON, THESE ARE THE PEOPLE REBUILDING OUR ROADS, WIDENING CONGESTED INTERSECTIONS, ENSURING WE HAVE LOCAL WATER CAPACITY, BUILDING SIDEWALK TO ENSURE CHILDREN HAVE SAFE PATHWAYS TO SCHOOL AND IMPROVING THE RELIABILITY OF OUR COMMUNITIES.

MICHAEL GUERRERO, TIM BITTERISEL, WE'RE FORTUNATE TO HAVE YOU ON THE TEAM.

I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE THE NEW CITY ENGINEER, KATIE DOHERTY. SHE JOINS US IN DECEMBER AND COMES TO US FROM LOS ANGELES. A MASTER'S DEGREE IN CIVIL ENGINEERING WITH AN EMPHASIS IN ENVIRONMENTAL.

SHE'S MAKING A BIG IMPACT IN THE ENGINEERING DIVISION AND THE PUBLIC WORKS AS WELL.

KATIE, DO YOU HAVE A FEW WORDS FOR US?

>> IT'S AN HONOR TO BE ADDRESSING YOU FOR THE FIRST TIME THIS EVENING.

IT'S FITTING.

THE TEAM IS WONDERFUL.

THESE ENGINEERS HAVE DEDICATED, PROFESSIONAL.

I'M SO EXCITED TO BE A MEMBER OF THE TEAM.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME TO RECOGNIZE OUR ENGINEERING TEAM TONIGHT.

>> Mayor Stern: WELL IT'S AN HONOR TO RECOGNIZE THIS AMAZING TEAM.

WELCOME, ENGINEER DOHERTY TO THE TEAM.

AND I COULDN'T SAY IT ANY BETTER THAN THIS PROCLAMATION.

WE, THE CITY COUNCIL AND THE RESIDENTS OF MANHATTAN BEACH RECOGNIZE THIS WEEK AS NATIONAL ENGINEER'S WEEK AND TOGETHER WE CELEBRATE THE ENGINEERS OF MANHATTAN BEACH PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT WHO ARE TASKED WITH IMPROVING OUR CITY'S

INFRASTRUCTURE, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECTS AND CITY-OWNED ASSETS.

THEIR WORK IS VITAL TO THE SUCCESS OF OUR COMMUNITY AND WE THANK THEM FOR ALL THAT WE ACCOMPLISH.

SO THANK YOU ALL FOR YOUR CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH, THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT.

AND THANK YOU FOR JOINING US TONIGHT AS WE HAVE THE PRIVILEGE OF HONORING YOU.

LOOKS LIKE COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY HAS SOMETHING HE WANTS TO SAY.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: HEY, WHAT A GREAT PROJECT THAT WAS. AND ERIC STUCK UP THE NAME CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH ON THE WALL, WHAT A GREAT SIGN.

WHOEVER TOUCHED THAT PROJECT, CONGRATULATIONS, AWESOME. NEXT TIME I'M GOING TO ASK FOR A SPOTLIGHT AT NIGHTTIME.

>> THAT WAS HELEN'S PROJECT TO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY.

I THANK YOU AGAIN, ALL OF OUR ENGINEERING STAFF AND PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE FOR LEADING THAT CHARGE AND HAVE A VERY GOOD NIGHT AND ENJOY YOUR WEEK.

WE ARE NOW MOVING ON TO ITEM NUMBER TWO, PRESENTATION OF A PROCLAMATION DECLARING THE MONTH OF FEBRUARY 2022 AS BLACK HISTORY MONTH.

SO JUST A LITTLE BACKGROUND.

BLACK HISTORY MONTH DATES BACK TO THE 1920s.

AND BY THE 1950s AND '60s, THIS WEEK WAS A CENTRAL PART OF AFRICAN-AMERICAN LIFE.

THE CELEBRATION WAS OFFICIALLY EXPANDED TO A MONTH IN 1976 BY PRESIDENT GERALD FORD WHO URGED AMERICANS TO, QUOTE, SEIZE THE OPPORTUNITY TO HONOR THE TOO OFTEN NEGLECTED ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF BLACK AMERICANS IN EVERY AREA AND ENDEAVOR THROUGHOUT OUR HISTORY.

BY THIS PROCLAMATION MANHATTAN BEACH JOINS WITH EACH AMERICAN PRESIDENT SINCE 1976 IN CITIES ACROSS THE COUNTRY TO HONOR THE HISTORY AND CULTURE, THE TRIUMPHS AND STRUGGLES OF AFRICAN-AMERICANS THROUGHOUT HISTORY.

RECOGNIZING THAT WE IN MANHATTAN BEACH HAVE OUR OWN SLICE OF THAT HISTORY AND AS STATED IN THE PROCLAMATION, THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH CONTINUES TO WORK TOWARD BECOMING AN INCLUSIVE COMMUNITY IN WHICH ALL CITIZENS, PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE, HAVE RESPECTED AND RECOGNIZED FOR THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS AND POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO OUR COMMUNITIES.

THROUGHOUT OUR STATE, THE COUNTRY AND THE WORLD.

AND SO WE DO HERE BY PROCLAIM FEBRUARY 2022 AS BLACK HISTORY MONTH AND URGE ALL CITIZENS TO CELEBRATE OUR DIVERSE HERITAGE AND

CULTURE AND CONTINUE OUR EFFORTS TO CREATE A WORLD THAT IS MORE JUST, PEACEFUL AND PROSPEROUS FOR ALL.

THANK YOU FOR THAT.

WE'LL MOVE ON TO CEREMONIAL CALENDAR ITEM NUMBER THREE, A PRESENTATION OF A CERTIFICATE OF RECOGNITION IN HONOR OF MY KINDNESS INITIATIVE TO CEDRIC "BEASTIE" JONES, FOUNDER OF BMOVED FOR HIS SUPPORT FOR INNER CITY YOUTH.

I'LL ASK IF CEDRIC IS IN THE MEETING.

>> I AM NOT SHOWING HIM ON THE CALL BUT IF THEY'LL USE THE RAISE HAND ICON, I'LL RECOGNIZE.

HE DOESN'T SEEM TO BE ON THE CALL, MADAM MAYOR.

>> Mayor Stern: I'LL POSTPONE THIS RECOGNITION AND IF SHE HAS A CHANCE TO COME INTO THE MEETING, WE CAN DO IT AT ANOTHER TIME TONIGHT.

OR AT ANOTHER MEETING.

SO NOW WE WILL MOVE ON TO THE ITEM E, APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA AND WAIVER OF THE FULL READING OF THE ORDINANCES.

AND THIS IS THE TIME FOR COUNCIL TO NOTIFY THE PUBLIC OF ANY CHANGES TO THE AGENDA AND REMOVE ANY ITEMS FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR.

SO DO I HAVE ANY REQUESTS TO MOVE ANY ITEMS FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR?

>> MADAM MAYOR.

>> Mayor Stern: YES.

>> IF I MAY, I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COUPLE OF ANNOUNCEMENTS REGARDING THE AGENDA TONIGHT WITH YOUR PERMISSION.

SO, MADAM MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL, I WOULD LIKE TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION THAT AGENDA ITEM 13, CONSIDERATION OF UPDATING THE CITY'S SPECIAL EVENT POLICY TO REMOVE THE EXCLUSIVE 8th STREET PARKETTE AND LARSON STREET PARKETTE HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS AGENDA AND BEING REFERRED TO THE PARKS AND REC COMMISSION FOR DISCUSSION.

THEY'RE SCHEDULED TO DISCUSS THIS ITEM AT THE FEBRUARY 28th MEETING WITH ANY RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE CITY COUNCIL BEING ADDRESSED AT THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING MARCH 2022. NOTIFICATION OF THE FEBRUARY 28 PARKS AND REC COMMISSION DISCUSSION ON THE TOPIC WILL BE MAILED TO PARCELS WITHIN A 500 FEET RADIUS OF THE PARKS WHICH ARE THE SUBJECT PARKS OF THE POLICY CHANGE.

THESE NOTIFICATIONS ARE EXPECTED TO BE MAILED BY THE END OF NEXT WEEK AND SHOULD ARRIVE TWO WEEKS PRIOR TO THE PLANNED COMMISSION MEETING.

AS A RESULT OF THIS ITEM BEING PULLED OFF OF TONIGHT'S AGENDA, THERE WILL BE NO FORMAL DISCUSSION ON THIS TOPIC AND I WOULD RECOMMEND ANYONE ARE HAVING COMMENTS ON THIS ITEM PLAN ON PROVIDING THEM TO THE PARKS AND REC COMMISSION BEFORE CONSIDERATION.

SECONDARILY, ITEM NUMBER 15 PEER RAILINGS, WITH I WOULD LIKE TO ASK DIRECTOR LEE TO EXPLAIN WHAT THAT IS.

DIRECTOR LEE, IF YOU COULD STEP IN.

>> ITEM BEFORE YOU TONIGHT FOR NUMBER 15, THE ACTION ITEM IS TWO PART.

ONE IS TO APPROVE AMENDMENT TWO TO OUR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH OUR CONSULTANT FOR ADDITIONAL DESIGN SERVICES. THE SECOND PART OF THAT IS TO DIRECT STAFF TO PREPARE FINAL PLANS AND BID DOCUMENTS INCLUDING A VERY SPECIFIC TYPE OF METAL WITH PREFERRED VENDORS.

SO THE TYPE OF METAL THAT WE'RE RECOMMENDING IS AN ALUMINUM PVDF COATING.

AND IT'S OUTLINED IN THE STAFF REPORT ON WHY WE ZEROED IN ON THIS BEING THE PREFERRED.

AS WELL AS THE SEA FOAM GREEN COLOR SPECIFICATIONS AVAILABLE FROM CRANE CORPORATION OR LINDATECH.

THESE WOULD BE RECOMMENDED MANUFACTURED INCLUDED IN THE BID SPECS AND A PROPOSED BIDDER WOULD BE ADVISED TO USE THESE MANUFACTURERS.

IF THEY WANTED TO USE A DIFFERENT MANUFACTURER, THEY WOULD HAVE TO DEMONSTRATE TO THE CITY THEY COULD PROVIDE THE EXACT COLOR MATCH.

WHEN WE COME BACK TO AWARD THE CONTRACTS, WE'LL BRING IT TO THE COUNCIL'S ATTENTION SO THAT YOU KNOW EXACTLY WHAT WE'RE GETTING.

>> AND ERIC, WE KNOW THE ACTUAL COLOR WILL BE SELECTED THEN?

>> SO PART OF THE DIRECTION THAT THE COUNCIL GAVE EARLY IN 2021 WAS TO DO THE RESEARCH.

YOU WANTED STAFF TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE COLOR MATCH COULD OCCUR. WE GOT SAMPLES FROM PREMANUFACTURERS.

STAFF BELIEVES THAT TWO OF THEM DO COMPLY WITH OR ARE A MATCH WITH THE CURRENT PIER RAILINGS.

ONE OF THEM WAS TOO FAR OFF IN SHADING.

WE'RE NOT RECOMMENDING THAT VENDOR.

AND SO IF A PERSPECTIVE BIDDER CAME BACK WITH THE TWO WE'RE RECOMMENDING, THEY WOULD ESSENTIALLY MEET THE BID SPECS.

DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, BRUCE?

>> IT DOES.

COUNCIL, DO YOU HAVE QUESTIONS?

>> Mayor Stern: I WOULD ASK CITY ATTORNEY, SHOULD WE PULL THIS AND DISCUSS IT AS A PULLED CONSENT ITEM?

>> IT'S YOUR CHOICE.

IT CAN BE PULLED FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION IF ANY COUNCILMEMBER WANTS TO.

>> Mayor Stern: SO IT'S OKAY TO HAVE QUESTIONS?

I SEE COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN HAS HIS HAND UP?

>> YES, AT THIS POINT THERE CAN BE QUESTIONS AS WELL.

>> Mayor Stern: SO COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> Councilmember Franklin: COULD WE PERHAPS INCREASE THE NOTIFICATION FOR ITEM 13?
500 FEET IS ONLY TEN HOMES ON EACH SIDE.
I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT EXTENDED.
IS IT POSSIBLE TO DO THAT FOR THE PUBLIC OUTREACH 13?
>> WE CAN DO ANY RADIUS YOU CHOOSE.
>> Councilmember Franklin: I DON'T KNOW IF WE CAN DISCUSS THAT HERE.
>> Mayor Pro Tem Napolitano: IT PROBABLY TAKES A MAJORITY.
>> Councilmember Montgomery: I'LL JUST PULL THE ITEM.
>> LET ME MAKE A SUGGESTION.
SINCE IT'S ALREADY OFF THE AGENDA, LATER OP DURING COUNCIL COMMENTS YOU CAN DISCUSS NOTICING.
YOU'RE NOT MAKING DECISIONS ON ANY PROJECT.
YOU'RE DISCUSSING WHETHER ADDITIONAL NOTICE SHOULD BE PROVIDED.
WHY DON'T YOU DEFER THOSE COMMENTS UNTIL COUNCIL COMMENTS AT THE END?
>> Mayor Stern: OKAY.
ANY OTHER QUESTIONS ABOUT THE TWO ITEMS?
>> AND MAYOR STERN IF I COULD INTERJECT, THE 500-FOOT RADIUS IS APPROXIMATELY I THINK 450 PARCELS.
SO THERE ARE A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER.
USUALLY THAT REACHES ABOUT 500 PARCELS PER NOTIFICATION.
I JUST WANTED TO SHARE THAT INFORMATION.
>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.
OKAY.
>> Councilmember Montgomery: I'LL MAKE THE MOTION.
>> Mayor Stern: OKAY.
>> Councilmember Montgomery: ITEMS 7 THROUGH 15 WITH THE EXCEPTION OF 13 BEING PULLED OFF THE ENTIRE AGENDA, AND TONIGHT I'LL PULL OFF 15 FOR DISCUSSION LATER MYSELF.
>> Mayor Stern: WE'RE PULLING OFF ITEM 15 AS WELL?
>> Councilmember Montgomery: IT'S THE DISCUSSION WE JUST TALKED ABOUT, ITEM 1-5.
>> Mayor Stern: SO WE HAVE A MOTION.
>> Councilmember Hadley: I'LL SECOND, YOUR.
>> Mayor Stern: CAN I HAVE A ROLL CALL, PLEASE.
>> COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN.
>> Councilmember Franklin: YES.
>> COUNCILMEMBER HADLEY.
>> Councilmember Hadley: YES MOTION PASSES.
>> THAT WAS TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AS AMENDED, TO REMOVE 13 AND THEN PULL 15 FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION AND IT'S A WAIVER OF FULL READING.
>> YES.
THANK YOU.
>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.

OKAY.

WE ARE NOW MOVING ON TO ITEM F, CITY COUNCIL AND COMMUNITY ORGANIZATION ANNOUNCEMENTS OF UPCOMING EVENTS.

AT THIS TIME WE ALLOW ONE MINUTE PER PERSON.

AND I BELIEVE CITY MANAGER MOE, YOU WANTED TO LEAD US OFF WITH A COMMENT.

>> YES, ACTUALLY.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

I AM PLEASED TO ANNOUNCE THE SEARCH FOR OUR NEW POLICE CHIEF IS UNDER WAY.

THE FIRM RALPH ANDERSON AND ASSOCIATES IS LEADING THE RECRUITMENT AND WILL BEGIN ACCEPTING APPLICATIONS OVER THE NEXT FEW WEEKS. VARIOUS INFORMATION HAS BEEN COLLECTED.

ADDITIONALLY, I WELCOME INPUT FROM THE COMMUNITY AND TO THAT END AN E-MAIL ADDRESS HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED TO ACCEPT THOUGHTS AND COMMENTS ABOUT THE QUALITIES THE COMMUNITY WOULD LIKE TO SEE IF OUR NEXT POLICE CHIEF.

THE E-MAIL ADDRESS IS

POLICECHIEF-COMMUNITYINPUT@MANHATTANBEACH.GOV.

THIS ADDRESS WILL BE ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE AS WELL AND A MEDIA RELEASE IS ALSO PLANNED.

WE LOOK FORWARD TO RECEIVING THOSE COMMENTS IN VALUABLE INPUT IN SELECTING OUR NEXT CHIEF OF POLICE.

SO THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.

DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER REQUESTS TO MAKE COMMUNITY ORGANIZATION ANNOUNCEMENTS?

>> YES, MADAM MAYOR, WE HAVE CULTURAL ARTS MANAGER STEWART ON THE CALL FOR A COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT.

>> HELLO, EVERYONE.

THANK YOU SO MUCH.

I THINK THERE MIGHT BE A SLIDE COMING UP.

I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO INVITE MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL AND ANYONE ELSE ON THE CALL, MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO ATTEND AN OPENING RECEPTION FOR OUR EXHIBITION THAT'S ACTUALLY ON VIEW CURRENTLY.

THE OPENING RECEPTION WILL BE THIS FRIDAYS, FEBRUARY 4th, FROM 6 P.M. -- EXCUSE ME, FROM 5 P.M. TO 7 P.M. WITH A PROGRAM AT 6 P.M. AT THE MANHATTAN BEACH ART CENTER.

AND OUR ARTIST IS CHARLES LYNN BRAGG.

A MANHATTAN BEACH RESIDENT OF MANY DECADES.

THE EXHIBITION IS CALLED "IN PROGRESS, A MID-CAREER RETROSPECTIVE OF CHARLES LYNN BRAGG."

THIS IS THIS FRIDAY.

WE WOULD LOVE TO SEE EVERYBODY THAT WOULD LOVE TO COME.

MASKS ARE REQUIRED INDOORS AND LIMITED CAPACITY INDOORS FOR THIS EVENT.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.
THAT'S EXCITING.
THANK YOU CULTURAL ARTS MANAGER STEWART.
NEXT COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT.
>> WE HAVE ENVIRONMENT SUSTAINABILITY MANAGER, DANA MURRAY.
>> GOOD EVENING, MAYOR STERN AND HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS.
I'M HERE TO MAKE AN ANNOUNCEMENT ABOUT UPCOMING VOLUNTEER DUNE
RESTORATION DATES.
FIRST I WANT TO THANK COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN AND MAYOR STERN FOR
COMING OUT ON THE FIRST AND THIRD DAYS.
WE'VE HAD THREE VOLUNTEER DAYS SO FAR AND EACH ONE THERE'S MORE
AND MORE PEOPLE SHOWING UP.
THE NEXT IS EVERY FRIDAY IN FEBRUARY FROM 11:30 A.M. TO 1:30 AND
TWO SATURDAY MORNINGS ON FEBRUARY 12th AND 26th.
INFORMATION AT MANHATTANBEACH.GOV/BEACHRESTORATION.
THE CITY AND COUNTY ARE WORKING TOGETHER ON THE DUNE RESTORATION
AND LEADING THESE VOLUNTEER OPPORTUNITIES.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.
>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.
IT'S AN EXCITING PROJECT.
WONDERFUL TO SEE IT DEVELOPING.
THANK YOU, ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES MANAGER MURRAY.
NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.
>> NEXT IS JOSH MURRAY.
>> HI.
GOOD EVENING, MAYOR, AND MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL.
MY NAME IS JOSH MURRAY.
I'M CALLING IN ON BEHALF OF MANHATTAN BEACH LIBRARY.
I'D LIKE TO REMIND THE COMMUNITY THAT ALTHOUGH WE'VE SUSPENDED
IN-PERSON LIBRARY PROGRAMS DUE TO COVID-19 WE'RE STILL OFFERING
PROGRAMS ONLINE.
COMMUNITY MEMBERS ARE WELCOME TO SIGN UP FOR OUR MANY VIRTUAL
OFFERINGS, INCLUDING THE STORY TIME THIS FRIDAY FEBRUARY 4th AT
11 A.M. OR AFRICAN AND AFRICAN-AMERICAN TALES ON WEDNESDAY,
FEBRUARY 16th AT 4 P.M. THROUGH OUR WEBSITE LAKE COUNTY
LIBRARY.ORG AND I THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.
>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, JOSH.
NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT -- OR COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT.
>> NEXT IS BETTY RUBINO.
>> HELLO AND GOOD EVENING MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL.
THANK YOU FOR HAVING ME.
I'M HERE TONIGHT AS A REPRESENTATIVE LEADERSHIP MANHATTAN BEACH
CLASS OF 2022.
FIRST WE WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT OF OUR LMB MURAL
PROJECT.
WE'RE VERY EXCITED ABOUT THE PROSPECT OF ENGAGING THE COMMUNITY
AND CREATING A MURAL AT THE MANHATTAN BEACH ARTS CENTER.

SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT SUPPORT.
AT THIS TIME WE WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT WE HAVE LAUNCHED THE
COMMUNITY SURVEY AND WE WANT TO THANK CULTURAL ARTS MANAGER ALYN
STEWART FOR HER HELP IN THAT.

OUR SURVEY IS DESIGNED FOR THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY TO WEIGH
IN ON WHICH OF THE ARTIST RENDERINGS THEY PREFER AND IT CAN BE
FOUND AT MANHATTANBEACH.GOV/SURVEY.

IT'S AVAILABLE UNTIL FEBRUARY 20th.

THANK YOU SO MUCH AND WE HOPE YOU'LL JOIN US AT THE SURVEY.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, BETSY, FOR YOUR ANNOUNCEMENT.

AND OUR NEXT ANNOUNCEMENT.

>> NEXT IS DAVID ARCHER.

>> GOOD EVENING, EVERYBODY.

THANK YOU FOR HAVING ME HERE, MADAM MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL.

I'D LIKE TO INVITE EVERYBODY TO COME OUT TO OUR SECOND ANNUAL
UNITY IN THE COMMUNITY, WHICH IS FEBRUARY 3rd, THIS THURSDAY.

AND MAYOR STERN WILL BE ONE OF OUR PANELISTS.

IT'S AT 1:00 TO 2:30 AND YOU ARE REGISTER ON OUR WEBSITE
MANHATTANBEACHCHAMBER.COM.

I LOOK FORWARD TO HAVING EVERYBODY OUT.

THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, DAVID, FOR YOUR ANNOUNCEMENT.

AND YOUR NEXT ANNOUNCEMENT.

>> NEXT IS LaROSA.

>> HI.

CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> YES, WE CAN HEAR YOU.

>> HI.

I'M A RESIDENT OF MANHATTAN BEACH AND I WOULD LIKE TO ANNOUNCE
THAT THE COUNTY REGISTER APPROVED THE PETITION FOR THE RECALL OF
THE DISTRICT.

WE'RE STARTING THE 160 DAYS FOR SIGNATURE COLLECTION AND I'M
COORDINATING THIS EFFORT IN MANHATTAN BEACH.

AND I HOPE THAT THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY AND THE CITY WILL PROVIDE
SUPPORT.

I HAVE LONG FORM PETITIONS.

I ASK THE CITY, THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND THE BUSINESS OWNERS
TO ALL PARTICIPATE IN THE EFFORT BY HAVING PETITIONS AVAILABLE AT
THEIR PREMISES.

THIS IS A BIPARTISANSHIP INITIATIVE AND SUPPORT IS CONTINUED
[INDISCERNIBLE] CRIME RAGING OUT OF CONTROL IN THE COUNTY.

AND I HOPE WITH ALL OF THE COMMUNITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH WILL BE
UNITED IN THIS EFFORT.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, LUCIA, FOR YOUR ANNOUNCEMENT.

NEXT ANNOUNCEMENT.

>> THERE'S NO FURTHER REQUESTS FOR COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS AT THIS TIME.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: I HAVE ONE, YOUR HONOR.

>> Mayor Stern: COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: I RAN INTO SOME PEOPLE THAT ARE NEW RESIDENTS OF MANHATTAN BEACH THEY ASKED A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS WHILE I WAS SITTING AT THE BENCH AT RED CARPET CAR WASH. THOSE WHO ASKED A QUESTION, THOSE THAT CONTACTED ME, WE ARE, THIS COUNCIL, PARTNERS WITH THE SCHOOL DISTRICT AND THE SCHOOL BOARD. WE STAND SHOULDER TO SHOULDER WITH THEM. SO I THINK THE FOCUS HERE IS THAT WE ARE ONE COMMUNITY, WE'RE IN THIS TOGETHER. NOTHING CHANGED. AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH THEM GOING FORWARD FROM THIS POINT FORWARD. THAT'S ALL I WANTED TO SAY, YOUR HONOR.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY. I'M WONDERING IF YOU HAVE A LITTLE NAME PLAQUE AT THE RED CARPET FOR YOUR OFFICE HOURS.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: I SHOULD. THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: OKAY. COUNCILMEMBER HADLEY, I BELIEVE YOU WANTED TO ALSO MAKE A PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT.

>> Councilmember Hadley: THANK YOU, MAYOR STERN. ON THOSE WORDS FROM RICHARD, THANK YOU. AT OUR LAST COUNCIL MEETING ONE HOUR OF PUBLIC COMMENT AND 70% OF THE SPEAKERS MENTIONED MY NAME. TWO OF MY COLLEAGUES MADE PUBLIC COMMENTS ABOUT ME AS WELL. AND IT SEEMS FAIR FOR ME TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND. FIRST, THE GOOD NEWS. DUE TO THE DEDICATED EFFORTS OF MANY PARENTS OVER THE PAST FIVE MONTHS WITH, STAFF AT MIRA COSTA HAS REMOVED TWO INAPPROPRIATE BOOKS FROM THE LIBRARY LINKS AND. LAWN BOY AND GENDER QUEER ARE NO LONGER RECOMMENDED READING FOR MINOR CHILDREN AT MBMS. THIS IS GOOD NEWS AND I WANT TO SINCERELY THANK THE RESPONSIBLE PARTIES. THE NOT SO GOOD NEWS IS THERE'S APPARENTLY MORE WORK TO DO. I REACHED OUT TO THE SCHOOL BOARD AND DR. BOSE TO CONFIRM THAT THESE BOOKS ARE NO LONGER RECOMMENDED TO FIND OUT WHO MADE THIS DECISION AND TO ASK FOR A PUBLIC STATEMENT AS TO HOW THE BOARD AND THE SUPERINTENDENT WOULD ENFORCE THE CODE AND ENSURE THAT ONLY APPROPRIATE MATERIALS ARE BEING RECOMMENDED TO OUR STUDENTS. AFTER ALL, THE BUCK STOPS WITH THE BOARD AND WITH THE SUPERINTENDENT.

AS OF TONIGHT I HAVE NOT RECEIVED A REPLY OR SEEN A PUBLIC STATEMENT NOR WAS THIS BIG STAFF DECISION MENTIONED AT LAST YEAR'S SCHOOL BOARD MEETING OR INCLUDED IN DR. BOSE'S E-MAIL. PARENTS STAY VIGILANT.

WITHOUT A CLEAR POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS AND LINKS THAT MAGICALLY DISAPPEAR ONE DAY COULD JUST AS EASILY REAPPEAR THE NEXT. WE NEED A TRANSPARENT AND DURABLE DECISION BY THE SCHOOL BOARD TO PROTECT OUR CHILDREN FROM PORNOGRAPHY AND INAPPROPRIATE MATERIAL. I WILL CONTINUE TO REQUEST A PUBLIC STATEMENT.

SO WHY DID I CHOOSE TO SPEAK UP?

LATE LAST FALL IN A MEETING OF PARENTS WITH DISTRICT STAFF DR. BOSE PLUS ANOTHER ADMINISTRATOR AS WELL AS TWO BOARD MEMBERS WERE HANDED COPIES OF PAGE 167 OF GENDER QUEER.

THEY TURNED THE OFFENSIVE PICTURES FACE DOWN IMMEDIATELY.

DR. BOSE ESSENTIALLY SAID THERE WAS NOTHING HE WAS GOING TO DO. THAT'S WHEN I DECIDED TO SPEAK UP FOR WE'RE ADVOCATING FOR THE SAFETY OF CHILDREN I WAS LABELED A BOOK BURNER, A BOOK BANNER, A FOX NEWS STOUGE AND AN IDIOT.

THE MAYOR SAID IT WAS QUOTE CONFRONTATIONAL, INSENSITIVE, DISRUPTIVE AND DISRESPECTFUL.

THE PRIOR MBMS STUDENT WHO IS NOT A LOCAL TAXPAYER OR RESIDENT AS I AM ARGUED I WAS ON THE WRONG SIDE OF HISTORY ALONG WITH NAZIS AND SOVIETS.

HIS PUBLIC BLOG STATED THAT MY CHOICE TO SPEAK UP ABOUT OBSCENE BOOKS WAS QUOTE A THREAT TO FREEDOM, UNQUOTE.

WHO ARE YOU GOING TO BELIEVE?

ME OR YOUR LYIN' EYES.

THE ISSUE WASN'T WHAT I DID OR HOW.

I WAS THE MESSENGER.

THE ISSUE WAS THE MESSAGE.

OUR MAYOR SAID I WAS AN EMBARRASSMENT FOR CHOOSING TO READ AN OBSCENE PASSAGE OUT LOUD THAT WAS RECOMMENDED TO OUR CHILDREN IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

I'M PROUD OF PARENTS WHO PERSEVERED TO BEGIN THIS OVERDUE DISCUSSION.

WE NEED MORE PARENTS TO SPEAK UP ABOUT MATERIAL IN OUR SCHOOLS. I'LL CLOSE WITH THE WORDS OF THE LATE JUSTICE BADER GINSBERG WHO VALUED AS WE KNOW LIVELY POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT.

THE GREATEST MENACE TO FREEDOM IS AN INERT PEOPLE.

PUBLIC DISCUSSION IS A POLITICAL DUTY AND THIS SHOULD BE A FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLE OF AMERICAN GOVERNMENT.

THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.

DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER REQUESTS FOR COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS?

>> THERE'S NO FURTHER REQUEST FROM THE PUBLIC SIDE.

>> Mayor Stern: I DID WANT TO MAKE ONE MORE PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT.

AND I AM REITERATING THE COMMENT THAT I HAD MADE AT OUR LAST CITY COUNCIL MEETING ABOUT THE PETITION THAT IS CIRCULATING TO ALLOW THE RETAIL SALE OF CANNABIS IN MANHATTAN BEACH.

AND I WANTED TO REITERATE FOR EVERYONE THAT WE ARE HEARING THAT THERE ARE SOME DECEPTIVE PRACTICES IN THE SIGNATURE GATHERS WHO ARE CIRCULATING THIS PETITION AND COMING TO HOUSEHOLDS TO GATHER THE SIGNATURES.

AND WE'VE BEEN MADE AWARE OF EVEN ADDITIONAL EXAMPLES OF MISREPRESENTATIONS THAT THEY HAVE MADE WHEN THEY ARE REQUESTING THE SIGNATURES.

SO I JUST WANT TO REMIND EVERYBODY, SOME OF THESE MISREPRESENTATIONS AND A NEW ONE WE JUST LEARNED OF IS THAT STATING THAT THE CITY ATTORNEY ACTUALLY WROTE THE PETITION, WHICH IS NOT TRUE.

AGAIN, THERE'S BEEN REPRESENTATIONS THAT CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS SUPPORT THE PETITION.

WE HAVE HAD NO COUNCILMEMBERS WHO HAVE TAKEN A POSITION. THEY STATE THE PETITION IS ACTUALLY, IF YOU SIGN IT YOU'RE ACTUALLY PREVENTING THE SALE OF CANNABIS IN MANHATTAN BEACH. THAT IS NOT TRUE.

THEY STATE THEY'RE WORKING ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OR THEY REPRESENT THE CITY OR EVEN THAT THE CITY IS IN FAVOR OF CANNABIS STORES IN MANHATTAN BEACH.

ALL OF THOSE ARE NOT TRUE.

SO IF YOU FEEL THAT YOU HAVE SIGNED IT UNDER FALSE PRETENSES, IF YOU'VE BEEN DECEIVED OR MISLED, THERE IS A PROCESS FOR WITHDRAWING YOUR SIGNATURE.

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO SIGNED IT MAY WITHDRAW THEIR SIGNATURE BY FILLING OUT A WRITTEN REQUEST WITH THE ELECTIONS OFFICIAL BEFORE THE PETITION THE FILED.

SO THAT WRITTEN REQUEST SHOULD BE SUBMITTED TO OUR CITY CLERK. IT INCLUDES THE VOTER'S NAME, THE RESIDENT ADDRESS AND SIGNATURES.

IT CAN EITHER BE DELIVERED TO THE CLERK'S OFFICE OR SENT TO CITY HALL ELECTRONICALLY TO CITYCLERK@MANHATTANBEACH.GOV.

OKAY.

SO IF THERE ARE NO MORE COMMUNITY ORGANIZATION ANNOUNCEMENTS, I AM GOING TO MOVE THE PRESENTATION UNDER ITEM NUMBER FOUR UP TO BEFORE PUBLIC COMMENTS SO THAT WE CAN INTRODUCE OUR NEW LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERVISOR FOR THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH.

AND SO I WELCOME SUPERVISOR HOLLY MITCHELL TO OUR MEETING.

I SEE YOUR SMILING FACE.

HELLO, SUPERVISOR MITCHELL.

IT'S SUCH A PRIVILEGE TO HAVE YOU JOIN US TONIGHT AND I REALLY APPRECIATE YOU BEING HERE AND TAKING TIME FOR US TO JUST SAY HELLO AND GET A CHANCE TO INTRODUCE YOU TO OUR COMMUNITY.

WE ASKED YOU TO JOIN US AND BRIEFLY I JUST WANTED TO SAY THE REASON THAT WE'VE ASKED YOU TO JOIN US IS BECAUSE CHANGES WERE MADE TO THE L.A. COUNTY REDISTRICTING AND OUR LOCAL REPRESENTATION HAS CHANGED AND MANHATTAN BEACH IS NOW IN SUPERVISORY DISTRICT 2 WHICH IS SUPERVISOR MITCHELL'S DISTRICT. AND AS A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND AND THEN I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU A CHANCE TO SAY HELLO TO ALL OF US.

I JUST WANTED TO EXPLAIN SUPERVISOR HOLLY MITCHELL WAS ELECTED TO SERVE ON THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IN NOVEMBER OF 2022.

SHE CURRENTLY SERVES AS THE CHAIR OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. AND BEFORE SERVING ON THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SHE SERVED FOR A DECADE IN THE CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE.

A THIRD GENERATION ANGELENO.

SHE REPRESENTS 2 MILLION RESIDENTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY SECOND 2, INCLUDING THE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT SHE GREW UP IN WHICH IS LEE MARK PARK AND OUR OWN MANHATTAN BEACH.

SUPERVISOR MITCHELL, WELCOME.

I WANT TO GIVE YOU A COUPLE OF MINUTES TO SAY HELLO AND INTRODUCE YOURSELF.

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH, MAYOR STERN.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

AND MAYOR STERN PRO TEM NAPOLITANO AND THE ENTIRE COUNCIL.

YOU CAN IMAGINE ONBOARDING 14 MONTHS AGO IN THE MIDDLE OF A PUBLIC HEALTH PANDEMIC CREATED A NUMBER OF CHALLENGES.

AND MY TEAM AND I LOOKED FOR OPPORTUNITIES TO FIGURE OUT HOW IN THE MIDDLE OF THE PANDEMIC WE COULD CONNECT WITH RESIDENTS ALL OVER THE SECOND DISTRICT.

SO WE STARTED A PRACTICE A YEAR AGO OF QUITE FRANKLY TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THE FACT THAT MANY OF OUR CITY COUNCILS WERE MEETING USING TECHNOLOGY AND SO WE HAVE BEEN DOING THIS, COMING ON VERY BRIEFLY INTRODUCING OURSELVES TO THE COUNCIL, WANTING TO LET YOU KNOW THAT WE HAVE AN OPEN-DOOR POLICY.

I'VE INTRODUCED MY AMAZING TEAM I'VE BEEN ABLE TO ASSEMBLE AND JUST REALLY WANTED TO SAY HELLO AND TALK TO YOU ABOUT MY EXPECTATION THAT WE'RE REAL PARTNERS.

WE HAVE SHARED CONSTITUENCY AND I LOOK FORWARD TO CONTINUING TO WORK WITH YOU TO SERVE THE RESIDENTS OF MANHATTAN BEACH.

WE'VE GOT GREAT OPPORTUNITIES COMING UP.

I KNOW MY ENTIRE POLICY STAFF WHO FOCUS ON EVERYTHING FROM HOUSING TO TRANSPORTATION TO HEALTH WILL BE MEETING WITH YOUR CITY MANAGER AND ANY OF YOUR CITY DEPARTMENTS YOU'D LIKE TO JOIN THAT MEETING TO REALLY BEGIN TO HAVE A MEANINGFUL EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION.

SO WE WANT TO TALK ABOUT, YOU KNOW, OUR PRIORITIES AROUND ARPA FUNDS INVESTMENT AND THOSE KINDS OF THINGS.

I'M VERY GLAD THAT AT THE STAFF LEVEL -- QUITE FRANKLY, MAYOR STERN, I'M FORMER STAFF SO I BELIEVE THAT THE STAFF LEVEL IS WHERE THE REAL MAGIC HAPPENS.

I'M PLEASED THAT WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO FORGE THAT PARTNERSHIP. AGAIN, WE SPENT LAST YEAR TOUCHING EVERY CITY COUNCIL THAT I REPRESENTED AT THE TIME.

WE'VE HAD A QUARTERLY MEETING WITH THE MAYORS OF ALL OF THE CITIES AND THOSE ARE PRACTICES THAT WILL CONTINUE TO ENGAGE IN EVEN THOUGH I'VE PICKED UP ADDITIONAL CITIES THROUGH REDISTRICTING.

WE THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO KEEP THE LINES OF COMMUNICATION OPEN. SO THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THE OPPORTUNITY.

I LOOK FORWARD TO GETTING TO KNOW EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU AND BEING REAL PARTNERS IN PROVIDING CRITICAL COUNTY SERVICE TO THE RESIDENTS OF MANHATTAN BEACH.

THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR TIME THIS EVENING.

AND LET ME SAY, HOPPY LUNAR NEW YEAR AND THANKS FOR INVITING ME ON THE FIRST DAY OF BLACK HISTORY MONTH.

THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: WONDERFUL.

I LOOK FORWARD TO THAT PARTNERSHIP AS WELL.

AND DO I HAVE ANY OF MY COLLEAGUES THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT.

I SEE LOTS OF WAVING AND LOTS OF SMILES.

SO THANK YOU FOR COMING AND WE WILL LET YOU GO.

IT'S BEEN A PRIVILEGE TO HAVE YOU HERE TONIGHT AND I LOOK FORWARD TO MANY MORE OPPORTUNITIES.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

BE WELL.

>> Mayor Stern: OKAY.

SO I WILL NOW MOVE ON TO ITEM G WHICH IS OUR PUBLIC COMMENTS.

AND I WILL JUST REMIND OUR AUDIENCE -- OUR MEMBERS OF OUR COMMUNITY THAT THESE PUBLIC COMMENTS ARE LIMITED TO THREE MINUTES PER PERSON AND WE WILL -- I WILL ASK THAT ALL OF OUR PUBLIC COMMENTS RESPECT OUR CIVILITY POLICY, RESPECT OUR RULES OF DECORUM AND THAT WE DO NOT HAVE DISRUPTIVE OR INAPPROPRIATE COMMENTS IN THIS CHAMBER.

SO WITH THAT I WILL TURN THIS OVER TO ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AND ASK IF ANYBODY HAS RAISED THEIR HAND FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> YES.

WE FIRST HAVE DARIO VULLO.

IF I CAN HAVE DARIO VULLO ACCEPT THE AUDIO PROMPT.

>> HELLO.

CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> HI, YES, WE CAN HEAR YOU.

>> HI.

THANK YOU FOR HAVING ME.
LET ME KNOW WHEN IT'S MY TURN TO TALK.
>> I'LL START THE TIMER NOW.
>> OKAY.
SO I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU VERY MUCH TO THE CITY COUNCIL TO GIVE ME THE POSSIBILITY TO SPEAK.
TALKING TODAY ABOUT THE CIVILITY IN THE AGENDA, THE ISSUE THAT WE'RE EXPERIENCING OVER HERE IN DOWNTOWN MANHATTAN BEACH.
YOU KNOW, FIRST OF ALL I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR THE ONLY CITY MEMBER FOR THE EFFORTS THAT HAVE BEEN DONE TO SUPPORT US.
AND BETWEEN THE CITY COUNCIL THERE ARE MANY OF THEM THAT ARE SUPPORTING US.
THIS HAS BEEN INCREDIBLE AND TERRIBLE TIME.
YOU KNOW, PANDEMIC HAS BEEN REALLY HARSH ON US.
SO WITHOUT ANY PP, WITHOUT ANY FUNDS, WITHOUT ANY GRANT, AND YOUR HELP COUNCILMEMBER WAS INCREDIBLE FOR ME TO SUSTAIN THE BUSINESS, TO KEEP MY DOOR OPEN.
SO [INDISCERNIBLE] WE HAD A 50% LOSS OF BUSINESS JUST BECAUSE -- NOT ONLY THE LOSS OF TIME.
[INDISCERNIBLE] THIRD VARIANT OMICRON GIVE ANOTHER BIG SHOCK TO MY BUSINESS.
I MEAN WE ARE COMPLETELY IN NEEDS RIGHT NOW, THE EXTRA SPACE. IN THE PAST A LOT OF ISSUE REGARDING PARKING BUT IT SOUNDS LIKE THE PARKING RIGHT NOW IS A LITTLE BIT BETTER.
BUT I DON'T UNDERSTAND THESE OCCUPANCY LIMIT.
DURING THE COUNCIL MEETING THE 21st, THE SOLUTION WAS THE CITY HAS TO FOLLOW THE STATE EMERGENCY, WHICH RIGHT NOW IS ALL ABOUT MASK AND CHECK THE VACCINATION STATUS.
NOBODY WAS TALKING ABOUT OCCUPANCY.
SO WE RAISED THE \$3 SQUARE FOOT FOR THE OUTDOOR THAT WE CAN'T EVEN USE.
WE DON'T UNDERSTAND.
SO NOT ONLY WE ARE IN PANDEMIC TIME BUT I SEE BIG PROBLEM FOR OUR BUSINESS TO BE SUSTAINED IF YOU DON'T HAVE LIKE THE ADDITIONAL SEATING CAPACITY THAT WE NEED TO EXTEND THE BUSINESS.
IT IS CRITICAL RIGHT NOW FOR US.
SO IN ADDITION, IF WE CAN WORK TOGETHER, I'M WILLING TO WORK TOGETHER IN ORDER TO MAKE ANYTHING THAT YOU GUYS NEED IN ORDER TO SUSTAIN THE BUSINESS.
SO IT IS IMPERATIVE FOR US TO KEEP NOT ONLY THE OCCUPANCY INSIDE BUT TO HAVE THE ADDITIONAL OCCUPANCY OUTDOOR IN ORDER TO SUSTAIN.
YOU KNOW, WE NEED TO KEEP OUR DOOR OPEN.
THIS IS THE ONLY WAY TO KEEP OUR DOOR OPEN.
LANDLORD AND ALL, THEY ARE NOT LISTENING.
GOVERNMENT, FORGET ABOUT.
SO I REALLY BEG YOU GUYS TO PLEASE HELP US TO KEEP THE PATIO.

AND TO PUT ON THE AGENDA THE OCCUPANCY ISSUE WHICH IS IMPERATIVE FOR US TO SUSTAIN THE BUSINESS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

AND AGAIN, SORRY FOR MY ENGLISH, YOU KNOW.

I APPRECIATE YOUR NAME.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU WERE DARIO, FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS WHITNEY STOKER.

>> HELLO.

FIRST IT'S AN HONOR TO SPEAK TO YOU, MAYOR STERN AND ALL CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT TONIGHT.

I'M A JUNIOR AT THE HIGH SCHOOL AND I'M INTERESTED IN ALL THINGS ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY.

LAST SEMESTER I PARTICIPATED IN A PROJECT IN WHICH I RESEARCHED MANHATTAN BEACH'S GREEN WASTE PROGRAM.

I LEARNED THAT FOOD WASTE IS PICKED UP BY WASTE MANAGEMENT AND TAKEN TO EITHER A COMPOST FACILITY OR SANITATION.

I REACHED OUT IN HOPES OF COLLECTING INFORMATION OF THE PERCENTAGES OF THE BUSINESSES THAT HAVE THESE GREEN WASTE BINS SINCE IT DIDN'T SEEM TO BE A RESPONSIBILITY.

I RECEIVED A RESPONSE THAT LED ME TO BELIEVE THAT THE PARTICIPATION OF THE PROGRAM IS NOT BEING TRACKED.

I CALLED JUST UNDER 50 MANHATTAN BEACH RESTAURANTS ASKING WHETHER OR NOT THEY HAD GREEN WASTE BINS.

IT TURNS OUT MORE THAN HALF OF THESE BUSINESSES DID NOT.

I INFORMED THE WASTE MANAGEMENT SECTOR OF THE CITY OF THIS INFORMATION AND GOT NO RESPONSE.

I WENT TO 18 OF THE RESTAURANTS IN DOWNTOWN MANHATTAN BEACH WHO ON THE PHONE SAID THEY DID NOT HAVE THE BINS AND I INFORMED THE MANAGERS ABOUT THE PROGRAM DETAILS AND PROVIDED THEM WITH A SHEET ABOUT HOW TO GET THE BINS.

THAT SAME AFTERNOON I SENT FOLLOW-UP MESSAGE TO THE BUSINESSES WITH THE SAME INSTRUCTIONS.

I FEEL PASSIONATELY ABOUT THIS PROGRAM BECAUSE IT HAD HAS A LOT OF POTENTIAL.

EVERY MANHATTAN BEACH RESIDENT AND BUSINESS OWNER PAYS FOR THESE BINS AS A PORTION OF THEIR STANDARD WASTE MANAGEMENT FEE WHICH MAKES IT CONVENIENT AND THERE'S NO ADDITIONAL COST FOR THE BINS THEMSELVES.

REALLY, ALL IT TAKES IS A CALL TO WASTE MANAGEMENT.

HOWEVER, A PROGRAM LIKE THIS WILL BE FAR LESS EFFECTIVE IF ONLY A FRACTION OF THE CITY IS ACTIVELY SEPARATING THEIR FOOD SCRAPS EACH MEAL.

EACH DAY LITERAL TONS OF FOOD FROM OUR ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY CITY IS TAKEN TO LANDFILLS.

IT SEEMS RIDICULOUS TO ME THAT MY OWN TOWN HAS SUCH AN INNOVATIVE PROGRAM BUT HASN'T ADVERTISED IT OR REQUIRED PARTICIPATION ENOUGH TO MAKE IT CITY WIDE.

WHILE WAS SPEAKING WITH MANHATTAN BEACH MANAGERS, I HEARD FROM A FEW THAT THE CITY WOULD BE REQUIRING GREEN WASTE BINS STARTING THIS YEAR.

IF IT IS TRUE, IT IS CERTAINLY NOT IMPLEMENTED TO THE DEGREE IT NEEDS TO BE.

I WANT TO SEE EVERY NEIGHBOR OF MINE HAVE THE BINS ON THEIR PROPERTY AND EAT AT RESTAURANTS KNOWING THAT MY EXTRA FOOD WILL BE RECYCLED AND USED.

IT'S TIME TO PUT THIS PROGRAM TO WORK SOONER THAN LATER TO LIVE UP TO THE CITY'S LABEL OF QUOTE ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY.

I'M REQUESTING WITH WHATEVER POWER I HAVE TO REQUIRE ALL RESIDENTS AND COMMERCIAL BUSINESSES IN MANHATTAN BEACH TO USE THESE GREEN WASTE BINS.

IT'S IMPORTANT TO GET THE WHOLE COMMUNITY ON BOARD AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

THAT'S ALL.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, WHITNEY, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS MARILYN.

>> THANK YOU.

SORRY.

LET ME GO AHEAD AND SHARE MY VIDEO.

OKAY.

CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> YES, WE CAN HEAR YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

I'M HERE ON BEHALF OF [INDISCERNIBLE] A RESTAURANT HERE, OPENING HERE ON THURSDAY FULL TIME IN MANHATTAN BEACH.

WHILE I AM ALSO HERE TO TALK ABOUT THE CAPACITY AS WELL.

WITH US OPENING THE PATIO, IT DOES CAUSE EVERY RESTAURANT BUSINESS MONEY.

AND IF WE CAN ONLY SERVE THE SAME AMOUNT OF CAPACITY THAT WE DO INDOORS, IT'S ACTUALLY MAKING US LOSE MONEY IN THE END.

AND WITH RESTAURANTS, IT IS A 15 PER SQUARE FEET PER PERSON IS THE CAPACITY HERE IN CALIFORNIA.

SO IF WE'RE ADDING MORE SQUARE FOOTAGE IN THE RESTAURANT BY CREATING A PATIO BUT YET WE CAN'T ADD MORE PEOPLE TO US IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE.

WE'RE ACTUALLY LOSING MONEY IN THE END.

I'M HERE TO ASK FOR EVERYTHING TO STAY THE SAY IF WE'RE ABLE TO HAVE A PATIO WITH MORE SEATING WE SHOULD ALLOW MORE PATRONS INSIDE AS WELL.

I AM A LOCAL HERE AS WELL.

AND I WOULD HATE TO SEE ALL OF THESE RESTAURANTS WHO ARE SUFFERING AND LOSING MONEY BECAUSE OF THE OUTDOOR SEATING. AND THEY HAVE OPEN TABLES INDOORS AS WELL.
THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, MARIN, FOR YOUR COMMENTS.
NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS AMY YETASOOK.
>> HI.

CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> YES, WE CAN HEAR YOU.
>> OKAY.

ALL RIGHT.

THANK YOU, HONORABLE MAYOR AND THE COUNCIL FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK.

AS SOME PREVIOUS PEOPLE HAVE SAID, I'M REALLY GRATEFUL TO BE PART OF THIS COMMUNITY AND I'M PROUD TO SEE THAT OUR LOCAL GOVERNMENT REALLY WORKS.

MANHATTAN BEACH LED THE WAY INTO ALLOWING SMALL BUSINESS SUCCESS AT THE BEGINNING OF THE PANDEMIC IN 2020 AND ON THAT I HOPE I'M SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF MOST OF SUSTAINABILITY SMALL BUSINESS OWNERS, WE'RE ALL VERY GRATEFUL.

I'M GOING TO PUT MY HAT OF A LIFE PARTNER OF A BUSINESS.

IT'S DIFFICULT TO WATCH YOUR PARTNER BE DESPONDENT.

OUR RESTAURANT ISN'T THRIVING.

WE'RE SURVIVING.

THE COST OF LABOR WERE SEAFOOD, INGREDIENTS HAVE RISEN.

CUSTOMERS ARE STILL AFRAID TO SIT INSIDE.

OMICRON LEFT US KEEPING UP.

BUSINESS LICENSE TAXES ARE BASED IN REVENUE.

WHILE I'LL HAPPY TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE CITY AS ANYONE SHOULD, I REPEAT, WE'RE BARELY SURVIVING, NOT THRIVING.

WE DIDN'T RECEIVE ANY PPP OR PANDEMIC FUNDING AND YET WE AGREE TO TIN CREASED CHARGE FOR OUR OUTDOOR SPACE.

WE'RE CURRENTLY PAYING MORE FOR SPACE WE CANNOT OCCUPY NOW AND THIS SHOULD BE ADDRESSED.

I ASK YOU TO PUT OCCUPANCY RESTRICTIONS ON THE AGENDA SO IT CAN BE DISCUSSED.

I ASK YOU TO THINK OF YOUR CONSTITUENTS WHO LIVE AND WORK HERE CLEARLY EXPRESSED IN THE PAST THEY WISH TO KEEP OUTDOOR DINING.

I THINK THIS IS SOMEWHAT CRUEL TO THE SMALL RESTAURANTS.

I ASK YOU TO ALLOW SMALL RESTAURANTS TO WORK WITH THE COUNCIL AS THE VERY OCCUPANCY LIMITATION ONLY EXACERBATED A CONGESTION ISSUE AS PATRONS WAITED ON TABLES.

I ASK YOU TO HEAR THE FRUSTRATION OF SMALL BUSINESSES THAT ARE NOT NOTIFIED CLEARLY AT THE TIME OF VIOLATION AND HOW TO CORRECT THEMSELVES IMMEDIATELY.

I ASK YOU TO LISTEN TO THOSE IN YOUR COMMUNITY WHO WORK VERY HARD FOR THEIR LIVELIHOOD WHICH INCLUDES DARIO. PLEASE PLACE OCCUPANCY RESTRICTIONS ON THE AGENDA FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH TO THE COUNCIL.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, AMY, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

NEXT COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS FRED TAYLOR.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS OF THE CITY.

I APPRECIATE ALL YOU DO FOR THE CITY.

I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO COUNCILMEMBER HADLEY FOR ADVOCATING FOR OUR KIDS OF THE SEXUAL MATERIAL OF SEXUAL GROOMING.

I ALSO WANT TO RECOMMEND THAT WHITNEY CONSIDER RUNNING FOR CITY COUNCIL.

SHE REALLY IMPRESSED ME.

KEEP IT UP.

I'VE BEEN HEARING RUMORS OVER THE MONTHS ABOUT THIS 79-UNIT APARTMENT BUILDING.

I WAS QUITE FRANKLY HOPING THAT IT REALLY WASN'T GOING TO HAPPEN. AND THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT BLOWS AWAY EXISTING HEIGHT LIMITS AND BUILDING CODES.

AND I UNDERSTAND THAT IT'S ON THE DOCKET.

I'VE LIVED HERE FOR 46 YEARS.

WE HAVE AN INCREDIBLY WORKABLE AND TIME PROVEN BALANCE BETWEEN SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES AND APARTMENT BUILDING AND IT ENABLES A WIDE RANGE OF RESIDENTS, PROFESSIONALS, FAMILIES AS WELL AS SINGLES AND OUR WONDERFUL YOUNGER POPULATION.

SO RECENTLY I'VE HEARD DISCUSSION OF THIS VERY CITY COUNCIL WAS DISCUSSING PARKING ISSUES IN EL PORTO AND MORE SPECIFICALLY VANDALISM OF RESIDENTS WHO PARK IN EL PORTO.

THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT VIOLATES OUR BUILDING CODES, IT ENDANGERS EVERY PRECEPT OF WHAT I CONSIDER LOCAL GOVERNANCE AND SELF-DETERMINATION.

ADDITIONALLY, IT THREATENS OR TRAFFIC CONGESTION AND MORE IMPORTANTLY OUR PROPERTY VALUES.

I HAVE A QUESTION.

HOW DID THIS PROJECT GET SO FAR ALONG THE LINES AND WHAT CAN WE DO TO STOP IT.

SACRAMENTO HAS NO BUSINESS WHATSOEVER DICTATING WHAT AND HOW WE BUILD IN OUR OWN CITY.

THERE'S LOTS OF PLACES TO LIVE IN CROWDED, OVERBUILT BEACH CITIES IN THE SOUTH BAY.

BUT THANKFULLY THERE'S ONLY ONE MANHATTAN BEACH.

LET'S KEEP IT THAT WAY.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, FRED, FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS DAVID SLAY.

>> GOOD EVENING.

I AGAIN WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU ALL, MAYOR STERN, CITY COUNCIL, FOR YOUR HELP WITH OUTDOOR DINING AND LISTENING FOR ANOTHER NIGHT OF RESTAURANT TALK OF WHICH I HAVE WE ARE ALL PRETTY SPENT ON. THAT ALONG WITH COVID, THE VARIANTS THAT HAVE COME ALONG WITH IT, I THINK WE ARE ALL EMOTIONALLY AND PHYSICALLY DRAINED FROM ALL OF THIS.

AS A RESTAURATEUR AND RESIDENT I'M ASKING THAT THE OCCUPANCY GUIDELINES/RESTRICTIONS BE ADDED TO THE AGENDA.

I'VE HEARD FROM RESIDENTS WHO OPPOSE OUTDOOR DINING AND SOME ARE OUR CUSTOMERS.

AND FOR THE EXCEPTION OF ONE THAT I KNOW OF ANYTIME A RESIDENT HAS HAD AN ISSUE, WE RESPOND QUICKLY.

IN PARTICULAR, SLAY ITALIAN, A NEIGHBOR A FEW DOORS DOWN CAME TO ME LAST SUMMER, WE HAD A CONVERSATION ABOUT OUTDOOR DINING AND NOISE AND WE WORKED IT OUT.

I SEE HIS FAMILY IN THE YARD, I SEND THEM PIZZAS AND DESSERTS. WHEN THEY VISIT THE RESTAURANT WE TREAT THEM GRACIOUSLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR SUPPORT.

WITHOUT THE NEIGHBORS WE ALL KNOW WHERE WE ARE.

I VALUE THEM.

WE ALL SIGN LEASES FOR OUR BUSINESSES WITHOUT OUTDOOR DINING. WE SIGN THE DINING DECKS WITH A CAP ON OCCUPANCY DURING COVID. HOWEVER WHAT NONE OF US KNEW IS THAT COVID WOULD HIT US ALL ONE WAY OR ANOTHER, INCLUDING CITY GOVERNMENT, BUT NOT FOR THIS LONG. THE FINANCIAL HIT AND LOSSES ESPECIALLY JUST OPENING SLAY ITALIAN AND CLOSING ONE MONTH LATER HAS NOT OVERCOME IN ONE YEAR. KEEPING EMPLOYEES WORKING AND CONSTANTLY OPENING, CLOSING, OPENING, CLOSING, UNTIL SOMEONE GOT SICK WE CLOSED AGAIN, WHEN EVERYONE WAS SAFE WE WOULD REOPEN.

WE FINALLY SHUT DOWN AND THOUGHT THAT WAS THE SAFEST FOR ALL. WELL IT WASN'T.

THAT'S WHEN I GOT COVID.

IN CLOSING I WANT TO EMPHASIZE THE FINANCIAL DRAIN THESE PAST FEW YEARS HAVE HAD ON US AND OTHERS.

I HEAR ANYTIME THE DINING ROOM.

I SEE ANYTIME THE DINING ROOM.

I HAVE CONVERSATIONS WITH PARENTS AT ODDS WITH EACH OTHER AND THEIR KIDS THAT ARE STRUGGLING.

DINING OUT IS SOMETIMES SOME FAMILIES ONLY ESCAPE FROM THE PRESSURES MANY ARE UNDER.

IT IS WITH SINCERITY THAT YOU UNDERSTAND THAT OUR FIGHT IS NOT TO GET UP ON ANYONE ON CITY COUNCIL OR OUR CITY BUT TO SURVIVE FINANCIALLY.

WE ALL WANT TO CONTINUE TO MAKE MANHATTAN BEACH THE GREAT BEACH TOWN PEOPLE EXPECT.

I AM SURE WE WILL SEE THAT IN THE COMING DAY TO SUPER BOWL.
HONESTLY.

WE MISS SEEING YOU ALL IN OUR RESTAURANTS, RUNNING INTO YOU ON
THE STREETS, HAVING A QUICK CONVERSATION AND A LAUGH.
WE LOOK FORWARD TO GETTING THAT RELATIONSHIP BACK AND KNOW THAT
WE'RE ALL SMART ENOUGH TO MAKE THAT HAPPEN.
THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, DAVID, FOR YOUR COMMENTS.
NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS KELLY.

>> GOOD EVENING, MAYOR STERN AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL.
I'D LIKE THE SAY AGAIN THIS COUNCIL HAS BEEN CRUCIAL FOR THE
SURVIVAL OF RESTAURANTS, SMALL BUSINESSES AND BARS IN ITS
OPENNESS AND RESPONSIVENESS TO OUR NEEDS.
I WOULD LIKE TO THANK EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU FOR ALL THAT
YOU'VE DONE.

TONIGHT I'M CALL ON BEHALF OF CULTURE BREWING AND OUR NEIGHBORS
AT LOVE AND SALT AND ALL OF THE RESTAURANTS WITH TWO REQUESTS AND
ONE STATEMENT.

MY FIRST REQUEST, CAN WE PLEASE ADD SEATING CAPACITY TO THE NEXT
COUNCIL AGENDA.

CURRENTLY RESTAURANTS ARE HELD AT 100% CAPACITY FOR THE INDOOR
AND OUTDOOR SPACE.

YET WE'RE BEING CHARGED \$3 PER SQUARE FOOT FOR THE OUTDOOR SPACE.
FOR CULTURE IT'S ABOUT \$1,000.

IT BECOMES A STRAIGHT COST AND WE'RE UNABLE TO GENERATE
ADDITIONAL REVENUE TO MAKE UP THAT COST FOR ANY OF THE ADDITIONAL
INCREASE THAT WE'RE EXPERIENCING RELATED TO THE SUPPLY CHAIN,
LABOR CRISIS AND SAFETY COSTS.

SO CAN WE PLEASE ADD THIS ITEM TO THE NEXT COUNCIL AGENDA.

AND THAT LEADS ME TO MY SECOND REQUEST.

CAN WE PLEASE STOP THE CODE ENFORCEMENT OF OUR SEATING CAPACITY?

I'VE TAKEN A SURVEY OF THE RESTAURANTS AND EVERY RESTAURANT IS
BEING VISITED BY CODE ENFORCEMENT THREE TIMES A NIGHT TO COUNT
HEADS TO SEE HOW MANY CUSTOMERS WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE WE'RE NOT
OVERCAPACITY AND THIS SCARES US, SCARES OUR STAFF WITH THE THREAT
OF ISSUING THOUSAND DOLLAR FINES FOR ANYONE WHO HAS ONE HEAD OVER
CAPACITY.

I'VE BEEN TOLD THAT CODE ENFORCEMENT IS COMING AROUND DUE TO
COMPLAINTS.

I'VE BEEN OPERATING AT CAPACITY FOR THE LAST TWO YEARS BUT NOW
THE STRESS ON TOP OF WHETHER THE STRESS OF IF I'M OPENING IT'S
MAKE MESSAGE MY STAFF ANXIOUS AND CAUSING ME ADDITIONAL STRESS.

IT'S ALSO MAKING PEOPLE WAIT OUTSIDE SO THEY CAN TAKE A HEAD
COUNT EVERY TEN MINUTES TO MAKE SURE WE'RE NOT FINED.

THE LAST POINT IS TO ADDRESS THE POINT MADE AT THE LAST COUNCIL
MEETING IN DECEMBER THAT RESTAURANTS ARE UP 30% IN REVENUE.

I WANT TO CLARIFY THAT THIS STATEMENT COULD NOT BE REPORTING REVENUES COMPARING PRECOVID SALES.

I'VE ASKED EVERY RESTAURATEUR AND NOT ONE HAS REPORTED SALES BEING UP MORE THAN 3%.

I'D ALSO LIKE TO STATE THAT I AM NOT TOO CLOSE TO OUT OF SURVIVAL MODE.

THE LAST MONTH HAS BEGUN TO QUESTION WHETHER IT'S WORTH IT.

I ASK YOU TO PLEASE CONSIDER MY REQUEST.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, KELLY, FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS LUCIA LaROSA.

>> HI.

HI.

CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> WE CAN HEAR YOU.

YES, WE CAN HEAR YOU.

>> HI.

I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR REMOVING THE ITEM 13 FROM THE AGENDA AND ALLOW PUBLIC COMMENTS AND INFORMATION.

FOR THE SAME OF TRANSPARENCY, I WOULD STILL LIKE TO KNOW HOW WAS THIS PUT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR WITHOUT INFORMING THE COMMUNITY AND THE RESIDENTS THAT LIVE AROUND THE PARK.

AND SO I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN PLEASE LET ME KNOW.

WHO PUT IT ON THE AGENDA, WHO REQUESTED THIS TO BE PUT ON THE AGENDA?

AND IN IS STILL SOMETHING THAT CAN HAPPEN, CLEARLY.

I WOULD LIKE YOU TO CONSIDER THAT PARK IS A NEIGHBORHOOD PARK AND WE DON'T WANT IT TO BECOME -- IT'S NOT IN THE INTEREST OF ANYONE.

AND CLEARLY YOU HAVE SEEN FROM ALL OF THE E-MAILS THAT YOU RECEIVED, THE COMMENTS THAT YOU RECEIVED I THINK IS THE REASON YOU REMOVED IT FROM THE AGENDA IN THE FIRST PLACE, MANY OF THE RESIDENTS AND THE MAJORITY OF THE RESIDENTS DOES NOT THINK THIS IS ADVANTAGEOUS FOR THE CITY.

THE PARK HAS BEEN IN THE PAST A POINT FOR [INDISCERNIBLE] AND IT SHOULD RETURN TO BE WHAT IT WAS IN THE BEGINNING, A NEIGHBORHOOD PARK.

IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE CALLED ROSE BEACH PARK ANYMORE BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE BRUCE FAMILY'S LAND.

SO THANK YOU SO MUCH.

AND I HOPE THAT [INDISCERNIBLE] STAYS HOME.

BECAUSE IT'S THE BEST ITALIAN FOOD EVER.

KEEP THE PATIO OPEN.

IT CAN CHANGE THE LOOK OF THE CITY FOREVER, WHICH IS A GOOD THING.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

BYE.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, LUCIA, FOR YOUR COMMENTS.
NEXT PUB CLICK COMMENT.

>> OUR NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT IS ON THE PHONE WITH DIGITS ENDING IN
500.

IF THEY CAN FOLLOW THE PROMPT TO UNMUTE AND THEN IF THEY WOULD
HAS STATE THEIR NAME FOR PUBLIC RECORD THEN THEY CAN PROVIDE FOR
THEIR THREE MINUTES OF PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> HI.

MY NAME IS ERIN SHIPLEY FROM CAFE WILDS.

CAN YOU GUYS HEAR ME?

>> YES, WE CAN HEAR YOU.

>> OKAY.

THANK YOU, MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS FOR YOUR TIME AND THANK YOU
FOR YOUR HELP THROUGHOUT THE PANDEMIC.

I'M ASKING YOU TO PLEASE PUT OCCUPANCY LIMITS AND THE ENFORCEMENT
OF THEIR ON THE AGENDA.

OUR RESTAURANT IS VERY SMALL.

WE'RE A TRUE MOM-AND-POP BUSINESS.

AND OUR REVENUES ARE WAY DOWN FROM 2019 STILL.

THE COST OF SUPPLIES AND FOOD HAS BEEN DRIVEN WAY UP.

MINIMUM WAGE IS UP.

WE'RE BARELY SURVIVING WITH OUR NORMAL RENT.

SO BETWEEN THE ADDITIONAL RENT FOR OUR OUTDOOR SPACE AND NOW
BEING CHARGED FOR SIDEWALK DINING FOR THE FIRST TIME EVER,
BETWEEN THOSE TWO THINGS OUR RENT IS UP NEARLY 50%.

BUT SINCE WE'RE NOT ALLOWED ANY ADDITIONAL SEATING, AT THIS RATE
I DON'T KNOW HOW OUR BUSINESS IS GOING TO SURVIVE.

SO I'M ASKING YOU TO PLEASE PUT THIS ON THE AGENDA FOR NEXT TIME.
THAT'S IT.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.
AND OUR NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS ANGELINA GIANCANA.

>> GOOD EVENING, CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> YES, WE CAN HEAR YOU.

>> OKAY.

THANK YOU.

GOOD EVENING, MANHATTAN BEACH CITY COUNCIL.

I'M CALLING, I THINK WHAT I WANTED TO SAY -- MR. TAYLOR WHO SPOKE
PREVIOUSLY WORDED IT PERFECTLY.

BUT BASICALLY AS A BORN MANHATTAN BEACH LOCAL, RAISED IN EL
PORTO, THIS MONSTROUS BUILDING THAT THEY WANT TO BUILD ON HIGH
LAND AND ROSE CRANS, MY COMMENT IS I CAN'T BELIEVE PEOPLE WHO
ACTUALLY LIVE HERE ARE INVOLVED WITH SOMETHING LIKE THIS.

BUT I GUESS IT'S A SIGN OF THE TIMES.

MANHATTAN BEACH, GROWING UP IN PORTO, IT'S A VERY SPECIAL PLACE.

IT'S VERY, VERY DIVERSE AND IT'S WONDERFUL AND IT'S SAFE, FOR THE MOST PART.

AND I JUST REALLY CAN'T BELIEVE THAT THIS WOULD EVEN BE PUT THROUGH WITHOUT REALLY, REALLY GIVING ANY CONSIDERATION TO WHAT THE RAMIFICATIONS ARE.

LET'S JUST TAKE, YOU KNOW, THE PARKING AND THE DRIVING.

I KNOW PEOPLE WHO LITERALLY SPEND PROBABLY 65 TO 70% ON THEIR RENT ALONE JUST SO THEY CAN LIVE CLOSE TO THE OCEAN AND THEY HAVE TWO AND THREE JOBS AND THEY WORK THEIR BEHIND OFF SO THEY CAN LIVE HERE.

AND THEY DON'T EVEN HAVE PARKING SPOTS BUT THEY DO IT BECAUSE THEY LOVE THE BEACH.

I HAVE OTHER NEIGHBORS WHO ARE MORE AFFLUENT, WHO WORK VERY, VERY HARD, WHO DO ALL OF THE RIGHT THINGS AND THEY LIVE HERE BECAUSE THEY LOVE THE BEACH.

AND THEN THEY'RE GOING TO PUT THIS HUGE, UGLY, MONSTROUS, YOU KNOW, MULTIUNIT APARTMENT BUILDING IN AN AREA WHERE IT'S JUST NOT SUITED AND IT WILL HAVE NEGATIVE RAMIFICATIONS FOR THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY.

IT WILL BE ONE OF MANY.

IT'S PROBABLY A TROJAN HORSE.

THAT'S WHAT A LOT OF PEOPLE ARE SAYING.

THEY'RE GOING TO PUT THIS AND THEN THEY'RE GOING TO TRY TO GET ANOTHER BUILD IN MANHATTAN BEACH WHEREVER THEY CAN GET IT.

BUT BASICALLY MY TWO CENTS ARE, IT'S A VERY BAD IDEA.

I HOPE THAT IT DOESN'T GO THROUGH.

AND I HOPE THAT SOME CONSIDERATION WILL BE TAKEN -- I HOPE THEY'LL CONSIDER THE FACT THAT, YOU KNOW, IF WE DON'T PROTECT OUR BEACH CULTURE AND WE DON'T PROTECT OUR RESIDENTS, THEN THERE'S -- LIKE NO ONE ELSE IS GOING TO LOOK OUT FOR US.

SO IF IT'S YOUR NEIGHBOR THAT'S INVOLVED IN THIS PROJECT, I HOPE YOU'LL SPEAK WITH THEM AND I HOPE THAT YOU'LL TRY TO TALK SOME SENSE INTO THEM.

LIT BE A SAD DAY TO HAVE JUST AN UGLY, HUGE, MONSTROUS BUILDING ON THE CORNER OF ROSE CRANS AND HIGHLAND.

IT'S HARD ENOUGH TRYING TO DRIVE THROUGH THAT AREA AS IT IS.

I CAN'T IMAGINE ALL OF THE CARS AND THE TRAFFIC AND ALL OF THAT NONSENSE.

ANYWAYS, IT'S A TERRIBLE IDEA AND I HOPE IT DOESN'T GO THROUGH. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, ANGELINA, FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> THERE'S NO FURTHER REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AT THIS TIME.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.

WE'LL MOVE ON TO ITEM H, THE COVID-19 REPORT.

I'M GOING TO TURN THIS OVER TO CITY MANAGER MOE FOR THE REPORT ON THE EOC AND UPDATE ON THE COVID-19 RESPONSE.

>> GREAT.

THANK YOU, MADAM MAYOR.

BY WAY OF A REPORT, MANHATTAN BEACH IS REPORTING A TOTAL OF 4563 CASES FROM THE BEGINNING OF THE PANDEMIC.

THAT'S 462 CASES MORE THAN I REPORTED BACK ON JANUARY 18th.

UNFORTUNATELY AND SADLY SINCE MY LAST REPORT WE'VE HAD FOUR ADDITIONAL DEATHS REPORTED IN MANHATTAN BEACH BRINGING OUR TOTAL SINCE THE INCEPTION TO 24.

OUR AVERAGE NUMBER OF NEW COVID CASES HAS GONE DOWN FROM 67 AVERAGE PER DAY TO ABOUT 29 PER DAY, WHICH IS A 57% REDUCTION IN AVERAGE CASES IN THE LAST TWO WEEKS.

SO WE ARE SEEING PROGRESS IN THAT RESPECT.

OUR COMMUNITY VACCINATION RATE REMAINS HIGH, 84.2% OF ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS, MEANING THOSE 5 AND UP ARE FULLY VACCINATED.

ALMOST 51% OF THOSE 5 AND UP HAVE RECEIVED A BOOSTER SHOT.

WHILE THOSE 65 AND UP ARE 80% HAVING RECEIVED A BOOSTER SHOT.

BY WAY OF COUNTY-WIDE HOSPITALIZATIONS, THERE ARE 3072 PEOPLE COMPARED TO 4562 TWO WEEKS AGO.

THAT'S A REDUCTION OF 15%, MOVING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION.

AND FINALLY THE COUNTY-WIDE TEST POSITIVITY RATE 18.8%, DOWN FROM 16.5% TWO WEEKS AGO.

BY WAY OF CITY DATA, AT THE END OF DECEMBER, CITY HALL AND THE POLICE LOBBY, POLICE DEPARTMENT AND FIRE STATION WERE CONSIDERED OUTBREAK SITES BY THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.

WE'VE SINCE BEEN CLEARED BY THE COUNTY THAT WE'VE NOT HAD THE CONTINUATION OF THE PROLIFERATION OF COVID CASES.

ABOUT 87% OF CITY EMPLOYEES ARE FULLY VACCINATED AT THE THIS TIME.

WE CONTINUE TO FOLLOW COUNTY GUIDANCE ON WORKPLACE SAFETY.

AND AS OF TODAY WE'VE HAD A FEW CASES HERE AND THERE BUT NOTHING LIKE WE SAW AT THE END OF LAST MONTH.

AND LATE DECEMBER IN RESPONSE TO THE OMICRON SURGE, CITY HALL SWITCHED BACK TO APPOINTMENT-ONLY IN-PERSON SERVICES AUGMENTED BY OUR ONLINE SERVICES.

I'M PLEASED TO REPORT THAT WE REOPENED TO WALK-IN CUSTOMERS YESTERDAY AS PLANNED.

I WANT TO REMIND THE COMMUNITY THAT APPOINTMENTS ARE AVAILABLE FOR THE MOST EFFICIENT SERVICE POSSIBLE.

AND MORE ONLINE SERVICES MAKE THE SERVICE MORE CONVENIENT.

ENTER INTO CITY FACILITIES DOES REQUIRE WEARING AN N95 RESPIRATOR MASK.

THE OLD CLOTH MASKS ARE NOT ACCEPTABLE FOR PROTECTION.

AS WE DO IN EACH CITY COUNCIL MEETING, IF THE COUNCIL WILL BIFF GIVE THE FORMAT FOR THE NEXT MEETING FEBRUARY 14th, THAT WOULD BE GREAT, WHETHER IT'S ZOOM OR A HIGH DID PLATFORM.

WITH THAT I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

>> Mayor Stern: ANYBODY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR CITY MANAGER MOE? I DO HAVE A QUESTION.

WHAT IS THE REQUIREMENT FOR FACE COVERINGS?
IS IT STILL THE N95 OR MEDICAL GRADE INDOOR?
>> YES IT IS.
>> Mayor Stern: ANYONE HAVE ANY INPUT ON CONTINUING WITH OUR
REMOTE MEETINGS?
I'D LIKE TO CONTINUE WITH THEM.
WE'RE STILL HAVING AN AVERAGE OF 29 A DAY.
I DO NOT WANT TO SIT THROUGH A MEETING HAVING TO WEAR AN N95 FOR
THAT DURATION FOR THE LONG DURATION.
I THINK IT'S STILL PRUDENT TO CONTINUE OUR REMOTE MEETINGS.
COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> Councilmember Montgomery: I AGREE, YOUR HONOR.
>> Mayor Stern: DO I HAVE A THIRD THAT AGREES WITH THAT?
AND I CANNOT SEE STEVE ON MY SCREEN.
IS STEVE STILL HERE?
>> Councilmember Montgomery: I DON'T SEE HIM EITHER.
>> I DON'T SEE HIM IN OUR QUEUE.
>> Mayor Stern: OKAY.
SO IF EVERYONE WILL BEAR WITH US JUST A MINUTE WHILE WE TRY TO
FIND MAYOR PRO TEM NAPOLITANO.
AND IN THE MEANTIME I CAN TAKE A COMMENT FROM COUNCILMEMBER
HADLEY.
>> Councilmember Hadley: YES, I'M IN FAVOR OF RETURNING TO
IN-PERSON.
I'D LIKE TO REMIND EVERYONE THE SCHOOL BOARD HAS BEEN MEETING,
OUR OWN MBMS HAS BEEN MEETING WITHIN INCLUDING THE PUBLIC.
IT WORKS WELL.
THEY'RE WELL ATTENDED.
THE LIBRARY AS YOU KNOW HAS REMAINED OPEN THE ENTIRE TIME CITY
HALL WAS CLOSED.
I WOULD BE IN FAVOR OF RETURNING BACK TO IN-PERSON MEETINGS.
THANK YOU.
>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.
AND COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN.
>> Councilmember Franklin: YES, THANK YOU, MAYOR STERN.
IN ADDITION TO THOSE THAT COUNCILMEMBER HADLEY -- WE JUST HEARD
FROM OUR, YOU KNOW -- COURAGEOUS RESTAURANTS AND BUSINESSES,
THEY'RE OPERATING FULLY IN PERSON AND I DON'T THINK WE
SHOULD -- I THINK WE SHOULD FOLLOW THEIR EXAMPLE AND LET'S GO
AHEAD AND START, YOU KNOW, MEETING IN PERSON.
WE CAN WEAR A MASK.
IT'S NOT COMFORTABLE.
BUT NEITHER IS IT FOR THEM.
AND THEY'RE RUNNING AROUND AND WALKING AROUND AND, YOU KNOW,
SERVING THEIR GUESTS AND CUSTOMERS.
AND I THINK WE SHOULD AS WELL IN CITY HALL.
>> Councilmember Hadley: I WEAR A MASK ALL DAY AT WORK.

>> Mayor Stern: OKAY.
SO THANK YOU.
I WILL -- I'LL JUST FILL MAYOR PRO TEM NAPOLITANO IN.
COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT CONTINUING
MEETING REMOTELY.
AND I THINK YOU HEARD COUNCILMEMBER HADLEY AND FRANKLIN WOULD
CONTINUE.
I HAVE NOT BEEN ASKED TO APPEAR IN PERSON IN ANY OF THE MEETINGS
THAT I ATTEND.
AND SO I AM COMPLETELY COMFORTABLE -- I THINK WE GET GREAT
PARTICIPATION FROM OUR REMOTE MEETINGS AND AT THIS TIME WITH AN
AVERAGE OF 29 CASES A DAY I THINK IT'S PRUDENT TO CONTINUE.
SO MAYOR PRO TEM NAPOLITANO WHAT IS YOUR FEELING ABOUT THE
MEETINGS?
>> Mayor Pro Tem Napolitano: SOUNDS LIKE I'M THE SWING VOTE.
>> Mayor Stern: YOU'RE THE SWINGER.
>> Councilmember Montgomery: WELCOME BACK.
>> Mayor Pro Tem Napolitano: MY COMPUTER FROZE.
LOOK.
I'VE DONE BOTH.
I DO BOTH ALL DAY EVERY DAY.
BUT I THINK IN DEFERENCE TO STAFF, I THINK IN TERMS OF
EFFICIENCY, RIGHT NOW THE REMOTE IS THE BETTER OPTION AND I'M
FINE WITH STICKING WITH REMOTE.
THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
>> Mayor Stern: LOOKS LIKE WE HAVE THREE THAT AGREE TO CONTINUE
OUR MEETINGS REMOTELY.
SO WE WILL BE REMOTE FOR OUR NEXT MEETING, WHICH IS ON
FEBRUARY 15th.
AND DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FOR CITY MANAGER MOE WITH
RESPECT TO HIS COVID-19 REPORT?
>> Councilmember Montgomery: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE A QUESTION.
I HEARD EARLIER IN PUBLIC COMMENT AND I TRIED TO WRITE DOWN WHAT
THE NUMBER IS, BUT DIRECTOR CITY MANAGER MOE CAN EXPLAIN.
I HEARD THE COMPLAINT THAT THE RESTAURANTS THAT ARE NOT IN
COMPLIANCE WITH OUR ORDINANCES REGARDING THE OCCUPANCY LEVEL ARE
RECEIVING A THOUSAND DOLLAR TICKET FOR FIRST OFFENSE?
I FIND THAT HARD TO BELIEVE.
BEFORE I SAY ANYTHING I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT CITY MANAGER MOE
OR DIRECTOR TA ICON FIRM WHAT THAT PROCESS IS, SECOND TICKET,
THIRD TICKET.
OBSVIOUSLY THE FIRST TICKET THEY'RE WELL AWARE THAT CODE
ENFORCEMENT IS WATCHING WHAT THEY'RE DOING.
IF THEY'RE FOLLOWING THE LAW THEY SHOULDN'T WORRY ABOUT IT.
BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE DON'T GIVE A FIRST TICKET AT A
THOUSAND DOLLARS.

AGAIN, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT'S DIRECT BUT I WANT TO HEAR IT FROM CITY MANAGER MOE OR DIRECTOR TAI.

>> THANK YOU.

FIRST OFFENSE IS \$250 AND BY THE WAY, LETTERS WERE SENT OUT TO ALL OF THE RESTAURANTS, ALERT THEM TO THE FACT THAT THIS WAS AN ISSUE THAT WE'RE MONITORING.

THE FINES DO GO UP FROM THE FIRST FINE UP TO A MAXIMUM OF A THOUSAND DOLLAR FINE, I THINK IT'S A THIRD CITATION.

AFTER THAT IF THEY VIOLATE IT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT POTENTIAL CLOSURE.

WE DID START WITH A LETTER AND GO INCREMENTALLY WITH CITATIONS.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: I THANK YOU FOR THAT.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT CLARIFICATION.

>> Mayor Stern: COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> Councilmember Franklin: IS THERE A TIME RESET?
PER WEEK?

PER MONTH.

FIRST, SECOND, THIRD, FOUR MONTHS, FIVE MONTHS?

>> NO.

WHENEVER THEY'RE VIOLATING, COULD BE A WEEK LATER, THREE HOURS LATER.

IT ALL DEPENDS ON THE VIOLATION.

THERE'S NO MINIMUM TIME FRAME IN BETWEEN.

>> Councilmember Franklin: IS THERE A MAXIMUM?

IN OTHER WORDS IS THE CITATION RESET AFTER A MONTH AND THEN IT STARTS AGAIN AT, YOU KNOW, ONE, TWO, THREE?

>> NO.

>> Councilmember Franklin: IT'S IN PERPETUITY.

>> YES.

>> Councilmember Franklin: OKAY.

GOT IT.

>> Mayor Stern: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

I'M NOT SEEING ANYBODY WAVING AT ME OR HANDS RAISED.

WITH THAT WE'LL GO ON TO ITEM NUMBER SIX, CONSIDERATION OF INCLUDING CONTRACTORS AND VOLUNTEERS IN A COVID-19 VACCINE MANDATE AND DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER TO ISSUE A RELATED EMERGENCY ORDER.

AND I WILL TURN THIS OVER TO HUMAN RESOURCES DIRECTOR JENKINS.

>> ALL RIGHT.

GOOD EVENING, HONORABLE MAYOR STERN AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL.

I JUST WANT TO CHECK TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU CAN HEAR ME AND YOU CAN SEE THE POWER POINT.

>> Mayor Stern: BOTH OF THOSE ARE PERFECT.

>> GREAT.

AS PART OF YOUR COVID REPORT TONIGHT I'M PRESENTING FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION CONTRACTOR AND VOLUNTEER COVID-19 VACCINE MANDATE.

THE CITY DOES HAVE AN EMPLOYEE VACCINE MANDATE WHICH HAS AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF JUNE 1st, 2022.

THE IMPACTS OF THE VACCINE HAVE BEEN DISCUSSED WITH ALL OF OUR EMPLOYEE LABOR ASSOCIATIONS IMPACTED AND THOSE LABOR ASSOCIATIONS HAVE AGREED ON THE IMPACT OF THE EMPLOYEE MANDATE.

NOW, THE DISCUSSION ON WHETHER THIS MANDATE SHOULD ALSO APPLY TO CONTRACTORS AND VOLUNTEERS CAME UP IN NOVEMBER OF 2021.

AS A RESULT OF THIS, CITY STAFF AND OUR VARIOUS CITY DEPARTMENTS REACHED OUT TO OUR CONTRACTORS TO DETERMINE WHAT THE IMPACTS OF A POTENTIAL MANDATE WOULD BE.

THIS ITEM WOULD ORIGINALLY ON THE AGENDA ON DECEMBER 21st AND WAS CONTINUED TO TONIGHT'S MEETING.

SO IN ORDER TO PROVIDE THE COUNCIL WITH SOME FRAMEWORK FOR THIS DISCUSSION, STAFF HAS CATEGORIZED OUR CONTRACTORS INTO VARIOUS BUCKETS TO EXPLAIN THE TYPES OF CONTRACTORS AND ALSO TO PROVIDE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE COUNCIL SHOULD YOU DECIDE TO ADOPT THE MANDATE.

STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ON WHICH CATEGORIES OF CONTRACTORS SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THAT.

I WOULD LIKE TO EXPLAIN FOR THE PURPOSES OF SUCH A MANDATE WE WOULD ONLY BE TALKING ABOUT CONTRACTORS OR INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE IN-PERSON INTERACTIONS WITH CITY EMPLOYEES, ASSIGNED TO WORK ON CITY PROPERTY OR COME INTO CONTACT WITH THE PUBLIC.

WE DO HAVE CONTRACTORS WHO WORK FULLY REMOTE FOR THE CITY. THEY WOULD NOT BE IMPACTED BY A VACCINE MANDATE.

SO I WOULD LIKE TO GO THROUGH THOSE DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF DIFFERENT CONTRACTORS AND VOLUNTEERS SO WE HAVE A SHARED UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THOSE ARE.

THE FIRST CATEGORY BEING CONTRACT INSTRUCTORS.

MOST OF THE INSTRUCTORS HAVE CONTRACTORS WITH THE CITY RATHER THAN CITY EMPLOYEES.

SOME OF THESE ARE SOLE PROPRIETORS AND SOME OF THEM ARE LARGER ORGANIZATIONS.

BUT A LOT OF THEM ARE INDIVIDUALS.

THE NEXT CATEGORY IS TEMPORARY AND SUPPLEMENTAL ON-SITE STAFFING. THIS COULD BE YOUR TRADITIONAL TEMP EMPLOYEE WORKING FOR THE CITY WITHIN A CITY DEPARTMENT AT CITY HALL.

THIS COULD ALSO BE OUR SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICES SUCH AS PARKING ENFORCEMENT, LIKE OUR LAZ CONTRACT THAT WE HAVE FOR PARKING ENFORCEMENT, CSC FOR EVENTS AND OTHER SUPPORT AND VARIOUS TEMPORARY OR SUPPLEMENTAL ON-SITE STAFFING.

NEXT IS ONGOING ON OF SITE MANHATTANBEACH INNOCENCE, LANDSCAPING SERVICES, JANITORIAL SERVICES.

VARIOUS ONGOING AND VARIOUS MAINTENANCE.

THE NEXT CATEGORY IS PUBLIC WORKS CONTRACTORS AND SUBCONTRACTORS. THESE ARE ACTUALLY PUBLIC WORKS CONTRACTS THAT GO OUT FOR A BID ON CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS.

NEXT CATEGORY IS ALSO MAINTENANCE BUT THIS IS ON-CALL MAINTENANCE.

THESE ARE PROVIDERS THAT COME ON AN AS-NEEDED BASIS IF SOMETHING BREAKS, LIKE OUR HVAC SYSTEM OR FOR DELIVERY SERVICES, SO NOT NECESSARILY COMING ON A DAILY OR REGULAR BASIS.

AND THEN WE HAVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES.

SO THIS IS ANYTHING FROM ATTORNEYS WHO WORK FOR THE CITY, ACCOUNTANTS, AUDITING PROFESSIONALS, INVESTIGATION SERVICES. MANY OF THESE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS DO NOT REQUIRE THAT THE INDIVIDUALS WOULD COME ON SITE, BUT FOR THOSE WHO DO, THIS IS THE CATEGORY THAT WOULD ENCOMPASS ALL OF THOSE AREAS.

AND THEN WE'VE PUT OUR SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE INTERSECTION INTO A SEPARATE CATEGORY.

THIS IS WASTE MANAGEMENT AS WELL AS SOLID WASTE COLLECTION AS WELL AS ATHENS SERVICES FOR AND POWER WASHING.

WHEN IT COMES TO THE VOLUNTEERS, WE'VE DIVIDED THE VOLUNTEERS INTO TWO PRY PLAYER CATEGORIES.

THE FIRST ONE BEING BOARD MEMBERS AND COMMISSIONERS.

THIS WOULD INCLUDE TASK FORCE MEMBERS AS WELL.

WE HAVE NOT TOLD ALL OF OUR BOARD MEMBERS AND COMMISSIONERS.

AT THIS POINT WE'VE SOLICITED VOLUNTARY RESPONSES.

ALL OF THOSE WHO HAVE RESPONDED ON A VOLUNTARY BASIS HAVE INDICATED THAT THEY'RE FULLY VACCINATED.

THE SECOND CATEGORY ARE VOLUNTEERS WHO SERVE IN VARIOUS CAPACITIES.

SO WE HAVE MANY DEDICATED VOLUNTEERS WHO MAYBE WORK ON BEHALF OF OUR OLDER ADULTS PROGRAM, OUR MB CERT PROGRAM, PARKS AND RECREATION AREAS, POLICE VOLUNTEERS AND THEN FOR, YOU KNOW, VARIOUS VOLUNTEER SERVICES OR EVENTS THAT COME UP.

NOW, WE HAVE NOT -- WE DON'T HAVE A COMPREHENSIVE RESPONSE FROM ALL OF OUR VOLUNTEERS, BUT DUE TO THE HIGH VACCINATION RATES WITHIN THE CITY, WE BELIEVE THERE'S ALSO A HIGH VACCINATION RATE WITHIN OUR VOLUNTEER POPULATION.

FOR EXAMPLE, THE FIRE DEPARTMENT DID REACH OUT TO ALL OF OUR CERT VOLUNTEERS AND 53 OUT OF 53 INDICATED THAT THEY ARE FULLY VACCINATED.

SO WE DO ANTICIPATE WITH THE VOLUNTEERS THAT MOST OF THEM WOULD ALREADY BE VACCINATED.

LOOKING AT THE IMPACTS FOR WHAT A VACCINE MANDATE WOULD MEAN FOR OUR EXISTING CONTRACTS.

WE WORKED WITH ALL OF THE VARIOUS CITY DEPARTMENTS WHO WOULD BE IMPACTED AND SHOULD THE COUNCIL DECIDE TO PURSUE A VACCINE MANDATE FOR CONTRACTORS AND VOLUNTEERS, WHAT I'M SHOWING TO YOU HERE IS A RECOMMENDATION FOR WHICH CATEGORIES THAT I EXPLAINED WOULD BE REQUIRED TO HAVE THE VACCINE MANDATE BE APPLICABLE TO THEM AND WHICH CATEGORIES WOULD BE EXEMPT.

AND PRIMARILY THE RECOMMENDATIONS ON THOSE EXEMPT FROM THE VACCINE MANDATE RELATE TO AVAILABILITY OF STAFF THAT RESPOND TO THESE CONTRACTS.

FOR EXAMPLE, THE SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION, THERE'S ALREADY STAFFING SHORTAGES FROM TIME TO TIME AND IT'S ANTICIPATED THAT SUCH A MANDATE WOULD POTENTIALLY EXACERBATE THOSE STAFFING SHORTAGES.

PUBLIC WORKS, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS, THOSE CONTRACTS, IT'S ANTICIPATED THAT THAT MIGHT INCREASE THE COST OF THOSE CONTRACTS AND MAYBE DECREASE THE POTENTIAL POOL OF BIDDERS WHICH CAN BE AN ISSUE.

AND THEN SIMILARLY FOR OUR MAINTENANCE SERVICES.

SO THAT'S THE RATIONALE BEHIND THOSE CATEGORIES THAT ARE RECOMMENDED TO BE EXEMPT.

SO THE DECISION FOR COUNCIL TO CONSIDER IS DOES THE CITY COUNCIL WISH TO ADOPT A MANDATE FOR CONTRACTORS AND VOLUNTEERS?

AND IF SO, WHICH CATEGORIES SHOULD THE MANDATE APPLY TO.

AGAIN, THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION WAS SHOWN FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

AND ALSO IF YOU DO WISH TO ADOPT A MANDATE, A COVID-19 VACCINE MANDATE, THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON EFFECTIVE DATE WOULD BE FOR VOLUNTEERS JUNE 1st, WHICH COINCIDES WITH OUR EMPLOYEE MANDATE.

AND FOR OUR CONTRACTORS THAT ANY NEW CONTRACTS ENTERED INTO AFTER THE COUNCIL DECISION WOULD THEN BE REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH THE VACCINE MANDATE.

AND THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION AND I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS OR TO TURN IT OVER TO YOU FOR YOUR DISCUSSION.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, DIRECTOR JENKINS.

AND DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?

I'M NOT SEEING ANYBODY RAISING A HAND FOR A QUESTION.

IF WE DON'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I WILL TURN THIS OVER TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

DO WE HAVE ANYONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT?

>> THERE'S NO REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS REGARDING THIS ITEM.

>> Mayor Stern: WELL, THANK YOU.

COLLEAGUES --

>> MADAM MAYOR, I DID GET ONE RAISED HAND.

RAY JOSEPH.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT ABOUT THIS.

THERE ARE PEOPLE THAT REALLY DON'T WANT TO GET VACCINATED.

I AM FULLY VACCINATED MYSELF BUT THERE ARE PEOPLE THAT DON'T FEEL IT'S RIGHT FOR THEIR BODIES.

AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE CDC, THERE HAVE BEEN THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE DIED FROM GETTING THE VACCINE.

SO IF YOU MAKE IT MANDATORY, YOU KNOW, THAT COULD BE AN ISSUE.

THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THIS YEAR AND 2020.

IN 2020 WE DIDN'T HAVE VACCINES.

THERE WEREN'T OPTIONS.
JUST LIKE THE FLU EVERY YEAR YOU GO AND HAVE A CHOICE OF GETTING
THE VACCINE OR NOT.
I HAD COVID WITHIN I HAD A RUNNY NOSE FOR TWO DAYS.
MY KID GOT COVID, HE LOVED IT.
HE WAS OUT SURFING, SITTING AT HOME.
HE DIDN'T HAVE TO GO TO SCHOOL.
WHAT'S HAPPENING NOW WITH THE INFECTIONS IS THEY'RE NOT AS BAD.
WITH OMICRON IT'S MUCH LOWER.
MOST OF THE PEOPLE THAT I KNOW THAT HAVE GOT IT -- I MEAN IT'S
BEEN INCREDIBLY MILD.
SO YOU'VE GOT TO BALANCE PEOPLE'S FREEDOM OF CHOICE BECAUSE IF
THEY DON'T GET THE VACCINES AND THEY GET COVID BAD, THAT WAS
THEIR CHOICE.
FOR THE PEOPLE WHO CHOSE TO GET THE VACCINES, AND IF THEY GET
MILD SYMPTOMS, YOU KNOW, THAT WAS THEIR CHOICE AS WELL.
BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SUPPORT, YOU KNOW, SOME OF OUR WORKERS THAT
CHOOSE NOT TO.
IT'S THEIR PERSONAL CHOICE.
THANK YOU.
>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.
DO WE HAVE ANY FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENTS?
>> YES, WE HAVE A COUPLE NOW.
HEATHER.
>> Mayor Stern: AND CAN I JUST ASK IF WE CAN HAVE THE CLOCK TIMER
FOR THESE PUBLIC COMMENTS?
>> YES, THE NEXT ONE WILL HAVE THE TIMER ON.
THANK YOU.
>> HI.
YEAH.
I DON'T THINK THAT YOU GUYS SHOULD BE MANDATING VACCINES FOR YOUR
EMPLOYEES, FOR VOLUNTEERS.
THAT IS SUCH A PERSONAL CHOICE AND THERE ARE MANY REASONS, VERY
VALID REASONS WHY PEOPLE ARE HESITANT TO GET THE VACCINE AND SOME
PEOPLE REALLY CANNOT GET THE VACCINE.
AND TO -- YOU KNOW, I NOTICE THERE WERE A FEW EXEMPTIONS AND IT
WAS BASED ON WELL, MAYBE IN THIS PARTICULAR CATEGORY OF PEOPLE WE
HAVE A HARD TIME FILLING THIS, THESE WORKERS AND IT WILL MAKE
THAT PROBLEM WORSE.
SO IT'S NOT REALLY ABOUT HEALTH THEN, RIGHT, IF YOU ARE GOING TO
MAKE, YOU KNOW, CERTAIN -- LIKE THE SANITATION WORKERS EXEMPT
BECAUSE IF IT WAS TRULY ABOUT HEALTH THERE WOULD BE ZERO
EXEMPTIONS, YOU KNOW.
THERE WOULD BE PEOPLE WALKING DOWN THE STREET GAPPING PEOPLE AND
INJECTING THEM BECAUSE IT'S FOR EVERYONE'S HEALTH.
I MEAN, THIS IS ABOUT -- THIS IS REALLY ABOUT PERSONAL CHOICE
THAT YOU CAN MAKE WITH YOUR DOCTOR AND IT'S NOT FOR EVERYBODY AND

IT WAS MADE IN RECORD TIME WHICH OBVIOUSLY PEOPLE ARE CONCERNED ABOUT.

AND I HAVE HAD COVID, A LOT OF PEOPLE HAVE HAD COVID, AND IT IS SOMETIMES PROBABLY WHEN YOU WEIGH THE RISK AND THE BENEFIT OF IT, YOU DON'T NEED TO MANDATE THIS VACCINE FOR SOMETHING THAT YOU HAVE A VERY, VERY HIGH CHANCE OF SURVIVING.

AND THAT IS EVERYONE'S PERSONAL CHOICE.

SO PLEASE DON'T DO THIS.

YOU KNOW, BIDEN'S MANDATE GOT STRUCK DOWN IN THE COURTS AND WE SHOULDN'T BE DOING THIS HERE EITHER.

LET'S NOT GO BACKWARDS AND START SEGREGATING PEOPLE.

AND EVERYBODY HAS THE RIGHT TO THEIR OWN PERSONAL FREEDOM AND CHOICE ON THIS TOPIC.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, HEATHER, FOR YOUR COMMENT.
NEXT COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS LEE PHILLIPS.

>> GOOD EVENING.

[INDISCERNIBLE] ARE YOU REQUIRING CONTRACTORS AND VOLUNTEERS TO BE VACCINATED.

AS I UNDERSTAND [INDISCERNIBLE].

BUT IF EVERYBODY ELSE IS VACCINATED, THEN ONLY THOSE PEOPLE WHO ARE NOT VACCINATED ARE ACCEPTING THE RISK.

PEOPLE CAN ACCEPT THAT RISK IF THEY CHOOSE.

AGAIN, WE DIDN'T DISCUSS [INDISCERNIBLE].

SO IF SOMEBODY IS MASKED, HOW DOES -- WHAT'S THE RISK [INDISCERNIBLE].

WHAT'S THE RISK TO OTHER PEOPLE IF YOU ARE VACCINATED?

[INDISCERNIBLE] FOR EXAMPLE, I'M FULLY VACCINATED [INDISCERNIBLE]

>> Mayor Stern: LEIGH, I'M GOING TO INTERRUPT YOU TO ASK YOU TO STAY CLOSE TO YOUR MICROPHONE.

YOUR VOICE IS GOING IN AND OUT.

>> [INDISCERNIBLE]

>> Mayor Stern: WE'RE STILL HAVING TROUBLE HEARING YOU, LEIGH.

>> YOU NEED TO HAVE A FULL RISK BENEFIT DISCUSSION

[INDISCERNIBLE].

AND I DON'T THINK YOU SERVE THE PUBLIC BY HAVING THIS ONE-SIDED DISCUSSION.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, LEIGH, FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

DO WE HAVE ANYONE ELSE REQUESTING PUBLIC COMMENT?

>> Councilmember Hadley: BOY, IT WOULD BE NICE TO MEET IN PERSON TO AVOID TECHNOLOGY GLITCHES.

>> THERE'S NO FURTHER REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS REGARDING THIS ITEM.

>> Mayor Pro Tem Napolitano: USER ERROR.

>> Mayor Stern: SO I WILL OPEN THIS UP TO DISCUSSION.

WOULD ANYBODY LIKE TO LEAD US OFF IN A DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS? I'M GOING TO ASK DIRECTOR JENKINS TO JUST CLARIFY FOR US. OUR CURRENT POLICY ALLOWS FOR EXCEPTIONS, LOCATIONS FOR EXCEPTIONS.

AND I JUST WANTED TO SEE IF THAT IS ALSO SUGGESTED IN THIS -- IN THESE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR VACCINATING VOLUNTEERS AND CONTRACTORS.

>> THANK YOU, MAYOR.

THE REQUEST.

WE'RE REQUIRED TO ALLOW FOR RELIGIOUS OR MEDICAL EXEMPTIONS. WE DO NOT HAVE THE SAME REQUIREMENT LEGALLY FOR CONTRACTORS AND VOLUNTEERS.

IF COUNCIL WOULD LIKE US TO ACCEPT THOSE SAME EXEMPTIONS, THAT SHOULD BE PART OF THE DIRECTION THIS EVENING.

AND I JUST WANTED TO ALSO MENTION, THERE WAS SOMETHING I HAD IN MY NOTES THAT I DIDN'T INCLUDE IN MY PRESENTATION.

THE OTHER KEY FACTOR THAT WE CONSIDERED IN THE CATEGORIES THAT ARE RECOMMENDED FOR INCLUSION IN THE VACCINE MANDATE ARE THOSE CONTRACTORS WHO ARE MOST SIMILAR TO CITY EMPLOYEES.

IN-PERSON INSTRUCTION FOR THE CLASSES AND CAMPS, TEMPORARY STAFFING WHERE THEY'RE WORKING AS A TEMPORARY EMPLOYEE OR IN THE SAME CAPACITY AS A STAFF MEMBER.

I JUST WANTED TO ADD THAT AS WELL TO THE DISCUSSION.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> Councilmember Franklin: YES, IF I COULD GO BACK TO THE DIRECTOR JENKINS.

SO IN THOSE EXEMPT -- THOSE ON THE CHART WHERE YOU HAVE EXEMPT, LIKE THE ONGOING ON-SITE MAINTENANCE -- I'M SORRY, THE REQUIRED. EXCUSE ME.

THE REQUIRED.

NOT SO MUCH THE INSTRUCTOR BUS THE TEMPORARY SUPPLEMENTAL ON-SITE STAFF, PROFESSIONAL SERVICES.

SO THOSE ARE PROFESSIONALS THAT WE'RE PAYING TO, YOU KNOW, AS CONTRACT EMPLOYEES.

HAVE THEY GIVEN US AN INDICATION OF IF THEY'RE EMPLOYEES OR WHAT THEIR VACCINATION STATUS IS?

>> WE HAVE SOME OF THAT INFORMATION.

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACTORS ARE MORE LIKELY TO HAVE THE MANDATORY VACCINE REQUIREMENTS ALREADY AS PART OF THEIR BUSINESS. FOR EXAMPLE, I KNOW OUR CITY ATTORNEY FIRM WHO PROVIDES OUR CITY ATTORNEY AND ALSO OTHER ATTORNEYS WHO WORK WITH THE CITY WHO MAY COME ON SITE HAS A VACCINE MANDATE FOR THEIR EMPLOYEES AND OTHERS THAT WE'VE REACHED OUT TO ALSO DO.

THIS CATEGORY OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES IN PARTICULAR, WE'VE REACHED OUT TO ALL OF THE DEPARTMENTS AND IT'S NOT ANTICIPATED THAT THERE WOULD BE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT BASED ON THE FACT THAT EITHER THE EMPLOYEES OR THE CONTRACTORS ARE VACCINATED OR IT

WOULDN'T BE A PROBLEM TO EITHER SEND VACCINATED INDIVIDUALS UNDER THE CONTRACT OR FOR THE SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED REMOTELY.

>> Councilmember Franklin: OKAY.

AND DO WE REQUIRE OUR EMPLOYEES THAT WORK OUTDOORS, DO THEY HAVE TO BE MASKED WHEN THEY'RE OUTDOORS IF THEY'RE IN PUBLIC?

>> AS PART OF OUR CITY -- I'M SORRY, AS PART OF OUR EMPLOYEE VACCINE MANDATE, THOSE EMPLOYEES WHO ARE UNVACCINATED DO HAVE TO WEAR FACE COVERINGS WHEN THEY'RE OUTDOORS.

>> Councilmember Franklin: AND WE STILL HAVE THE TESTING AVAILABLE, RIGHT, SO YOU CAN TEST AND MASK?

>> WE DO HAVE TESTING AVAILABLE.

>> Councilmember Franklin: OKAY.

>> FOR OUR CITY EMPLOYEES.

IT'S NOT SUGGESTED THAT THE TESTING WOULD EXTEND TO CONTRACTORS OR VOLUNTEERS.

>> Mayor Stern: COUNCILMEMBER HADLEY.

>> Councilmember Hadley: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

YEAH, DIRECTOR JENKINS, I DID NOT SEE ANYTHING MENTIONED ABOUT NATURAL IMMUNITY.

I SAW ON THE CALENDAR, YOU KNOW, THAT WE CAME UP WITH THE VACCINE MANDATE IN NOVEMBER OF 2021 AND I DID VOTE AGAINST IT AT THE TIME.

BUT THE WORLD HAS LEARNED A LOT SINCE NOVEMBER 2021.

AND ONE THING THAT'S INDISPUTABLE NOW, DR. FAUCI, CDC, ISRAEL, EUROPE, EVERYONE ACKNOWLEDGES THAT NATURAL IMMUNITY IS EVEN MORE POWERFUL THAN THE VACCINE.

SO GIVEN THAT WE HAVE NEW INFORMATION NOW, WHY DOES THE PRESENTATION NOT RECOMMEND THAT PROOF OF NATURAL IMMUNITY WOULD SUBSTITUTE FOR VACCINE GIVEN THAT ALL OF EUROPE IS GOING THAT WAY RIGHT NOW?

>> SO I THINK THAT'S CERTAINLY SOMETHING THAT COUNCIL CAN TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION IN WEIGHING THE DECISION TO APPLY THE VACCINE MANDATE TO CONTRACTORS AND VOLUNTEERS.

I DON'T FEEL THAT I'M IN A POSITION TO COMMENT, SPECIFICALLY ON THAT.

>> SO WHEN WERE THESE RECOMMENDATIONS DEVELOPED BY STAFF? WHAT MONTH?

>> SO THE BULK OF THIS REPORT WAS PREPARED FOR THE DECEMBER 21st, 2021, COUNCIL MEETING.

AND IT WAS CONTINUED FROM THAT MEETING TO TONIGHT'S MEETING.

>> Councilmember Hadley: SO LET THE RECORD SHOW THAT THE WORLD HAS LEARNED ABOUT NATURAL IMMUNITY THAT HAS MADE GLOBAL NEWS IN JANUARY 2021, YET THE STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS HAVE NOT BEEN UPDATED TO FOLLOW THE SCIENCE WHICH IS THAT NATURAL IMMUNITY IS MORE ROBUST THAN GETTING A VACCINE.

SO, YOU KNOW, I'M GOING TO VOTE AGAINST THIS.

I STILL AM AGAINST THE VACCINE MANDATE FOR EMPLOYEES.

I'M ABSOLUTELY GET A VACCINE MANDATE FOR COMMISSIONERS.
NOBODY IS EVEN MEETING IN PERSON.
I MEAN WE SEEM TO WANT IT ALL.
YOU KNOW, I'M FINE, YOU KNOW, IF YOU WANT MANDATES -- AND
DIRECTOR JENKINS, I'M NOT SPEAKING TO YOU ANYMORE.
THANK YOU FOR ANSWERING QUESTIONS.
THIS IS BEYOND OVERREACH.
JUST BECAUSE WE CAN DOES NOT MEAN THAT WE SHOULD.
THIS IS CHILLING THAT WE WOULD EXEMPT CERTAIN CLASSES OF
CONTRACTORS BECAUSE THEY MIGHT HAVE LABOR SHORTAGES AND YET ALL
OUR BOARDS AND COMMISSIONERS WHO, YOU KNOW, LIVE HERE AND THEY'RE
OUR NEIGHBORS AND, YOU KNOW, AND ARE A PART OF THIS 85% POOL OF
FULLY VACCINATED -- YOU KNOW, WHERE DOES THIS STOP.
I THINK WE NEED A LIMITING PRINCIPLE HERE AND I WOULD SAY THIS IS
JUST ABSOLUTELY NOT THE GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS.
AND IT'S NOT SCIENTIFIC.
EVERYONE WHO IS VACCINATED IS SPREADING COVID.
EVERYONE VACCINATED IS GETTINGOMICRON.
SO THE VACCINE DOES NOT PREVENT TRANSMISSION OF THE DISEASE.
I'M BEGGING MY COLLEAGUES.
WE'VE LEARNED A LOT SINCE NOVEMBER.
SO IF WE COULD JUST RESET AND SAY THAT WAS THEN, THIS IS NOW, NEW
YEAR, NEW SCIENCE, WE DON'T KNEE ANY OF THIS.
WE CERTAINLY DON'T NEED TO VACCINE MANDATE EMPLOYEES BUT WE
ABSOLUTELY DON'T NEED THIS ON OUR BOARD MEMBERS, OUR
COMMISSIONERS, OUR VOLUNTEERS, LET ALONE OUR CONTRACT WORKERS OR
MOM-AND-POPS.
YOU KNOW, MASKS ARE FINE.
BUT THIS IS, THIS IS BEYOND AN INTRUSION INTO PERSONAL SPACE.
I ACTUALLY LIKED WHAT ONE PUBLIC COMMENTER SAID ABOUT THE FLU
VACCINE.
ARE WE GOING TO REQUIRE THAT?
THERE'S JUST NO END TO THIS.
I SEE NO LIMITING PRINCIPLE THAT THIS COUNCIL IS WILLING TO -- OR
THAT STAFF IS WILLING TO PROPOSE.
AND WITHOUT A LIMITING PRINCIPLE I'M NOT GOING TO KEEP DOUBLING
DOWN ON THIS.
I DON'T SEE WHERE IT ENDS.
I THINK IT'S UNHEALTHY AND UNDEMOCRATIC.
THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.
MAYOR PRO TEM NAPOLITANO.
>> Mayor Pro Tem Napolitano: EVERYTHING I JUST HEARD IS
UNDOCUMENTED AND UNFAIR.
THE SCIENCE DOES NOT SAY THAT AT ALL.
I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE READING.
IT DOESN'T SAY THAT.

I DISAGREE WITH COMMENTS BY ALL OF THE SPEAKERS.
SHAKE YOUR HEAD ALL YOU WANT.
ASIDE FROM THAT, YOU KNOW -- EVERYTHING THAT WAS SAID EARLIER BY
THE SPEAKER.

I DON'T SEE THIS AS WORKING AND THAT'S WHY I'VE COME TO THE
CONCLUSION THAT THESE MANDATES ARE WORTHLESS.

THERE'S NOTHING -- IT WILL BE NOTHING BUT PUSHBACK IN
PRACTICALITY, CHALLENGES, REASONS, EXEMPTIONS.

WE LIVE IN THE REAL WORLD AND WE HAVE TO HAVE EXEMPTIONS SO
THINGS STILL GET DONE.

IF WE DON'T EXEMPT TRASH, THEN IT WOULDN'T GET PICKED UP.

I DON'T SEE THIS AS WORKABLE OVERALL.

STAFF DIDN'T DO THIS OUT OF THEIR OWN WILL.

STAFF DID IT BECAUSE THEY WERE ASKED TO.

IT'S UNFAIR TO SAY THEY'RE JUST BRINGING THESE THINGS UP TO
IMPOSE UNDEMOCRATIC SANCTIONS ON PEOPLE.

NONSENSE.

I'M JUST NOT GOING TO VOTE FOR IT BECAUSE IT'S IMPRACTICABLE AND
NOT GOING TO WORK.

EVERY MANDATE WE'VE IMPOSED HAVE NOT WORKED.

WHY GO HERE.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> Councilmember Franklin: YES.

THANK YOU.

SO I'M PREPARED FOR THIS DISCUSSION BY JUST LOOKING UP, YOU KNOW,
IN RESOURCES ABOUT -- BECAUSE I DID MENTION EVEN THOUGH I VOTED
FOR THE MANDATE, I DID MENTION THAT WE HAVE TO KEY AN EYE ON
NATURAL IMMUNITY.

AND IT'S THERE AND IT WORKS AND IT'S REAL AND IT'S SCIENCE.

YOU KNOW, "WALL STREET JOURNAL" AND THIS IS DR. MARTY McCARI WITH
JOHNS HOPKINS SAID THAT, YOU KNOW, FOR MOST OF LAST YEAR MANY OF
US CALLED FOR THE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL TO RELEASE DATA ON
REINFECTION RATES BUT THE AGENCY REFUSED.

FINALLY LAST WEEK CDC -- THIS IS DATED JANUARY 26.

SO IT WAS JUST LAST WEEK -- RELEASED DATA FROM NEW YORK AND
CALIFORNIA WHICH DEMONSTRATED NATURAL IMMUNITY WAS 2.8 TIMES AS
EFFECTIVE AT PREVENTING HOSPITALIZATIONS AND 3.3 TO 4.7 TIMES AS
EFFECTIVE AS PREVENTING COVID INFECTION COMPARED WITH
VACCINATIONS.

THE "THE NEW YORK TIMES," RECOGNIZING THIS.

AND THEY'RE WRITING, WE KNOW THAT THE MOST RECENT STUDIES SHOW
THAT THERE'S HYBRID IMMUNITY DUE TO IMMUNE PLAYERS KNOWN AS
MEMORY B CELLS, SAID AN ADJUNCT PROFESSOR AT JOHNS HOPKINS.

WHEN SOME OF IF SHORT-LIVED CELLS GO AWAY, THE MEMORY B CELLS ARE
GOING TO LAST A WHILE.

PEOPLE ARE GETTING COVID.
IT'S INCREDIBLE, IT IS SOMEWHAT SCARY.
I PROBABLY WEAR A MASK MORE THAN I USED TO BECAUSE CERTAINLY
OMICRON IS MORE INFECTIOUS, IT'S BEING SPREAD BY PEOPLE WHO ARE
VACCINATED.
BUT THE NATURAL IMMUNITY IS COMING INTO PLAY HERE.
SO I WON'T VOTE FOR THIS BECAUSE FOR MANY OF THOSE SAME REASONS
AS MAYOR PRO TEM NAPOLITANO STATED AND ALSO COUNCILMEMBER HADLEY
AND THIS EVIDENCE.
>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.
COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> Councilmember Montgomery: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
I CAN COUNT TO THREE.
THERE'S SO MUCH MISINFORMATION OUT THERE.
I HEARD SOMEONE TELL ME THAT PERSONAL CHOICE.
HOW ABOUT PERSONAL CHOICE FOR TAKING CARE OF THE CITY?
PERSONAL CHOICE NOT TO WORK HERE.
YOU DON'T WANT TO SHOW UP AND WORK IN THE CITY, THAT'S CALLED
PERSONAL CHOICE.
OUR GOAL IS TO LOOK AFTER THE ENTIRE CITY.
IF SOMEONE DOESN'T WANT TO WORK HERE, THEY CAN WORK ANYWHERE ELSE
THEY WANT TO.
FREEDOM OF CHOICE.
NO ONE IS MAKING YOU WORK HERE.
THE NUMBERS WE HAVE, THOSE WHO PAY ATTENTION TO SCIENCE, LOOK AT
THE [INDISCERNIBLE] HOW MANY CASES WE GET, 511?
NOW IT DROPPED.
OKAY.
BUT THE BIGGEST POINT I HAVE IS TO STEVE'S POINT, IF WE CAN
ENFORCE EVERY SINGLE RULE WE PUT OUT THERE, THAT WOULD BE ONE
THING.
IF YOU WOULD PROVE TO ME THAT IT MAKES A DIFFERENCE -- I HAVE A
BOOSTER, VAXED, I HAVEN'T GOTTEN COVID.
I DON'T BELIEVE THAT YOU WON'T GET IT.
IT MAKES IT LESS SEVERE.
WE MADE A HUGE DIFFERENCE IN 2020.
WE'VE HAD A HUGE DIFFERENCE IN 2021.
KEEP OUR EMPLOYEES AND PUBLIC AS SAFE AS WE POSSIBLY COULD.
ARE WE THERE YET?
NO.
ARE WE SEEING NUMBERS DROP IN HALF?
YES.
I WOULD RATHER THAN NOT EXTEND THE TAIL HERE IF WE HAD THREE
VOTES.
THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS.
THANK YOU.
>> Mayor Stern: AND I WILL COMMENT AND THEN WRAP THIS UP.

I THINK THE THING WE HAVEN'T TALKED ABOUT IS OUR MANDATE DOES NOT GO INTO EFFECT UNTIL JUNE 1st.
SO WHETHER IT IS EFFECTIVE OR NOT IS NOT CLEAR.
BUT WHAT WE KNOW IS THAT WAS OUR PRIORITY.
AND THOSE WERE OUR VALUES, TO PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND TO PROTECT OUR EMPLOYEES AND RECOGNIZING AND SEEING THAT WE HAVE AN INCREDIBLY HIGH VACCINATION RATE.
THIS ISN'T DRACONIAN, THIS ISN'T OVERREACH, THIS IS WELL-SUPPORTED IN THIS COMMUNITY.
AND THESE VOLUNTEERS AND THESE CONTRACTORS -- THE DIFFERENCE IS THEY'RE NOT PAID BY THE CITY.
OR THEY'RE NOT EMPLOYEES OF THE CITY BUT THEY'RE PERFORMING FUNCTIONS VERY SIMILAR TO WHAT OUR CITY EMPLOYEES ARE PERFORMING. I DON'T SEE THE REASON WHY THEY ARE CARVED OUT ANY DIFFERENTLY THAN A CITY EMPLOYEE.
WE HAVE A VACCINATION, YOU KNOW, PROGRAM.
IT IS STILL ROBUST.
IT IS STILL PROMOTED.
THERE IS STILL -- THERE'S -- WE STILL WOULD LIKE TO SEE EVERYBODY GET VACCINATED.
THAT'S WHERE WE KNOW -- THAT'S HOW WE KNOW WE GOT TO THE PLACE WHERE WE HAVE A GREATER LEVEL OF COMFORT WHERE WE ARE AND WE KNOW -- WE DON'T KNOW WHAT'S UP AHEAD.
WE DIDN'T ANTICIPATE OMICRON AND WE DON'T KNOW WHAT'S NEXT. BUT WE DO KNOW THAT THE VACCINATIONS ARE EFFECTIVE IN REDUCING THE SYMPTOMS OF COVID.
AND I CAN'T IMAGINE WHY WE WOULD HAVE A VACCINATION MANDATE FOR EMPLOYEES BUT WE WOULD NOT HAVE A MANDATE FOR THOSE PERFORMING THE SIMILAR ACTIONS IN MANHATTAN BEACH.
WE HEARD THAT A HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE CERT VOLUNTEERS ARE VACCINATED.
SO WHERE'S THE OVERREACH?
IT'S CONSISTENT WITH HOW WE -- THE VALUES THAT WE HAVE COMMUNICATED ABOUT OUR EMPLOYEES AND THE VALUES THAT WE'VE COMMUNICATED TO OUR COMMUNITY.
AND I ABSOLUTELY DO NOT SEE ANY REASON WHY WE WOULD NOT CONTINUE THIS VACCINATION MANDATE TO THOSE -- TO THE ADDITIONAL PEOPLE WHO ARE ALSO VOLUNTEERING AND WORKING IN MANHATTAN BEACH.
SO I CAN SEE THE NUMBERS.
I, YOU KNOW, I CAN SEE THAT I WOULDN'T HAVE THE SUPPORT IF I MADE THE MOTION.
I THINK THIS IS AN INCONSISTENCY THAT I THINK IS -- IT'S NOT DEFENSIBLE.
I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY WE WOULD NOT CARRY ON THE CONSISTENCY AND EXPECT EVERYBODY TO SUPPORT THE VALUES AND THE SAFETY AND THE SECURITY OF OUR COMMUNITY IN THE SAME WAY THAT WE HAVE UP AND TO THIS POINT.

SO I CAN COUNT.

I'M NOT GOING TO MAKE THE MOTION UNLESS I CAN CONVINCE SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES THAT THERE IS GREAT VALUE TO CONTINUING VACCINATION MANDATE.

OKAY.

WELL, THANK YOU, DIRECTOR JENKINS, FOR THIS WORK, FOR ALL OF THE RESEARCH AND THE SUGGESTIONS AND FOR YOUR REPORT AT THE SECOND OPPORTUNITY TO BRING THIS BEFORE COUNCIL.

SO WITH THAT I THINK THAT WE HAVE COMPLETED OUR COVID-19 SECTION. WE ARE MOVING ON TO THE CONSENT CALENDAR AND WE'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT CALENDAR WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE ITEMS 13 AND 15.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: I'LL MAKE THE MOTION TO [INDISCERNIBLE] CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM WITH THE REMOVAL OF 13 AND 15.

>> Mayor Stern: JUST FOR CLARIFICATION, ITEM 13 HAS BEEN TAKEN OFF OF THE AGENDA.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: CORRECT.
13 IS OFF OF THE AGENDA.

ONE LEFT TO GO IS 1-5, 15.

>> Mayor Stern: DO I HAVE A SECOND FOR THAT MOTION?

>> Councilmember Franklin: I'LL SECOND THAT, YOUR HONOR.

>> Mayor Stern: A SECOND BY COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN.

CAN WE HAVE A ROLL CALL VOTE, PLEASE.

>> MAYOR STERN.

>> Mayor Stern: YES.

>> MAYOR PRO TEM NAPOLITANO.

>> Mayor Pro Tem Napolitano: YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HADLEY.

>> Councilmember Hadley: YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> Councilmember Franklin: YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: YES.

>> MOTION PASSES 5-0.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.

WE WILL NOW MOVE TO ITEM J, ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR.

ITEM 15 IS THE ITEM THAT HAS BEEN REMOVED.

WAS THAT MAYOR PRO TEM NAPOLITANO?

>> Mayor Pro Tem Napolitano: NO, COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> Mayor Stern: COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

I PULLED THE ITEM SO WE COULD HAVE A DISCUSSION ON THE PROCESS REGARDING THE RAILING BY THE PIER.

WITH DIRECTOR LEE TALKING ABOUT OPTIONS.

I THINK ALL COUNCIL [INDISCERNIBLE]

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY.
MAYOR STERN, IF IT PLEASE THE COUNCIL, WE ACTUALLY DO HAVE A
BRIEF PRESENTATION THAT WE COULD GIVE AN OVERVIEW OF THE ITEM FOR
THE COUNCIL.

I APOLOGIZE, WE TRIED TO BE EFFICIENT AND HAVING A ROBUST
DISCUSSION IN THE CONSENT ITEM BUT WE MISSED THE MARK ON THAT.
WE HAVE A BRIEF PRESENTATION AND HOPEFULLY WE CAN WALK THE
COUNCIL THROUGH THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS IF IT PLEASES THE
MAYOR.

>> Mayor Stern: YES, THANK YOU.

>> I'M GOING TO INTRODUCE OUR SENIOR CIVIL ENGINEER, GILL.
HE'S THE SENIOR PROJECT MANAGER.

GILL, TAKE IT AWAY.

>> GOOD EVENING, MAYOR STERN, MEMBERS TO HAVE CITY COUNCIL.
I'M THE PROJECT MANAGER FOR THE PIER RAILING PROJECT.
THE WATERFRONT DESIGN ENGINEER, JERRY HOLCOMB IS READY FOR
QUESTIONS AS WELL.

TO BEGIN WITH, THE ACTION ATTEMPTS TO REITERATE, APPROVAL OF THE
AMENDMENT TO DESIGN FOR THE PIER RAILING AND THEN WE HAVE
PREFERRED ALUMINUM RAILING AND THE COLOR SEAFOAM GREEN.

LAST YEAR THE COUNCIL APPROVED THE PRESERVE DESIGNED ALTERNATIVE
AND THEN THE COUNCIL ALSO -- WE ALSO COMPLETED COUNCIL'S REQUEST
FOR OBTAINING SOME, PROCURING SOME RAILING COLOR SAMPLES TO BRING
BACK OR TO BRING BACK AND SHOW TO COUNCIL.

WE ALSO COMPLETED ALL OF THE REGULATORY PERMIT EXEMPTION
DETERMINATIONS AND THAT CULMINATED WITH THE COASTAL COMMISSION
DETERMINATION WAIVER.

THAT WAS A GREAT MILESTONE FOR THE PROJECT DEFINITELY TO MOVE ON.
WHERE WE'RE AT NOW IS WE'RE COMPLETING THE FINAL DESIGN AND GOING
THROUGH CITY LAND CHECK WE VIEW.

JUST TO REITERATE, REVISIT THE APPROVED ALTERNATIVE IS WE'RE IN
ESSENCE GOING TO MATCH THE STYLE OF THE EXISTING RAILING WITH
MINOR MODIFICATIONS TO MEET THE EXISTING BUILDING CODES AND
ACCOMMODATE MAINTENANCE CONSIDERATION.

WE'RE GOING TO MAIN TIN THE ROUND-SHAPED HORIZON POSTS AND THE
SEAFOAM GREEN.

THE MATERIAL AND FINISH THAT'S PREFERRED IS AN ALUMINUM COATED
RAILING.

ONE THING THAT MIGHT BE DIFFERENT, IN ADDITION TO A FIFTH
HORIZONTAL RAIL.

WE'LL HAVE 25, 26 -- OR 24, 25-FOOT SEGMENTS.

THIS WILL AID IN THE FUTURE MAINTENANCE OF REMOVAL AND
REPLACEMENT.

>> I'M NOT SEEING THE PRESENTATION.

I DON'T KNOW IF THE COUNCIL IS SEEING IT.

>> I'M SORRY.

PERHAPS THE CITY CLERK CAN HELP ME WITH SHARING.

LET ME SEE.

I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: DOING AN EYE TEST.

THERE WE GO.

>> THERE WE GO.

PERFECT.

SORRY ABOUT THAT.

FINISHING UP WHERE I WAS AT, ON THE APPROVED ALTERNATIVE, AGAIN, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE THE ROUND-SHAPED HORIZONTAL RAILINGS WE'RE KEEPING.

PROPOSING ALUMINUM PVDF COLOR COATED ALUMINUM RAILING.

THERE WILL BE AN ADDITION OF THE FIFTH HORIZONTAL RAIL.

THE HEIGHT OF THE EXISTING RAILING WILL FOR THE MOST PART OBVIOUSLY REMAIN THE SAME.

AND WE ALSO CONTINUE TO CARRY THE BOLTED CONNECTIONS TO THE EXISTING CONCRETE CURB.

AMENDMENT 2 WILL PROVIDE FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF THE TWO EXISTING TIMBER STAIRCASES AT THE BASE OF THE PIER.

THIS REPLACEMENT IS GOING TO SATISFY THE BUILDING DIVISION PLAN CHECK REVIEW AND THE MAINTENANCE DIVISION REQUEST TO REPLACE THOSE.

THE TIMBER STAIRCASES WERE PROPOSED IN WOOD AND ALSO IN ORDER TO PRESERVE THE HISTORIC LOOK.

WITH THAT WE'RE GOING TO SPECIFY THE APPROPRIATE MARINE GRADE CORROSION PROTECTION TIMBER TREATMENT FOR THOSE.

AND THEN AS I SAID BEFORE, THE COUNCIL TASKED STAFF TO COME BACK WITH SOME COLOR SAMPLES.

SO WE REACHED OUT TO A FEW DIFFERENT COATING VENDORS.

WE ACTUALLY REACHED OUT TO A TOTAL OF FOUR.

WE GOT THREE RESPONSES.

THE ABOVE VENDORS ARE SHOWN IN ORDER OF WHAT CLOSELY MATCHES THE EXISTING SEAFOAM GREEN COLOR THAT'S OUT ON THE PIER.

THAT INCLUDE NUMBER ONE CRANEVEYOR, NUMBER TWO IS LINETEC AND NUMBER THREE IS ACE WHO HAS THE CAPABILITY OF MATCHING THE COLOR BUT THEY DID NOT GO AS FAR AS BEING RESPONSIVE TO PRODUCE A CUSTOM SEAFOAM GREEN COLOR.

SO CRANEVEYOR AND LINETEC ON THE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS.

AND IN THE FLEXION SLIDE WE SEE THE EXISTING COLOR MATCH.

THIS SHOWS BETWEEN CRANEVEYOR AND LINETEC, ONE IN A SUNNY AND ONE IN AN OVERCAST CONDITION.

AS YOU CAN SEE, BOTH ARE PRETTY CLOSE MATCHES TO WHAT IS OUT THERE.

THESE SAMPLES WERE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE CITY COUNCIL IN THEIR CITY COUNCIL OFFICE AT CITY HALL PRIOR TO THE MEETING.

I HAVE WE'VE HAD THEM ON DISPLAY SINCE JANUARY 18th SO THE COUNCIL WAS ABLE TO TAKE THEM AND WALK DOWN TO THE PIER AND HAVE

A SHOW AND TELL AND COMPARE THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES TO EXISTING RAILING.

AS FAR AS NEXT STEPS, WE'LL FINALIZE THE BUILDING DIVISION PLAN CHECK AND THEN FINAL OP THE BID DOCUMENTS, FOLLOWING WITH BIDDING AND CONSTRUCTION AWARD.

WE'LL BEGIN CONSTRUCTION THIS YEAR AND HOPEFULLY COMPLETE THE CONSTRUCTION IN 2023.

SO WITH THAT THE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE TO APPROVE AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO THE AGREEMENT WITH MOFFATT AND NICHOL COLOR MATCH FOR ADDITIONAL DESIGN SERVICES, APPROVE THE PREFERRED ALUMINUM RAILING PVDF COATING AND SEAFOAM GREEN COLOR SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE PROJECT.

AND WE ARE HERE AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU FOR THAT REPORT.
DO I HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FROM MY COLLEAGUES?
DOESN'T LOOK LIKE I SEE ANY -- OH, COUNCILMEMBER HADLEY.

>> Councilmember Hadley: YES.
I WANT TO THANK ENGINEER GAMBOA AND PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE FOR WORKING ON THIS.
I THINK IT'S SUPER EXCITING.
EVERY TIME I'M DOWN THERE I SEE THE CORROSION AND RUST SPOTS.
IT IS JUST GOING TO LOOK FANTASTIC WHEN IT'S DONE.
I'M REALLY EXCITED ABOUT IT.
THANKS FOR ALL OF THE HARD WORK AND THANKS FOR HELPING US DO IT JUST RIGHT WITH THE ROUND BARS AND THE END CAPS AND REMOVABLE SECTIONS.
I THINK PUBLIC WORKS HAS HANDLED THIS REAL WELL FROM THE BEGINNING.
THANK YOU.
I CAN'T WAIT TO SEE IT.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.
COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> Councilmember Franklin: YES, THANK YOU, MAYOR STERN.
AND THANK YOU ERIC AND SENIOR ENGINEER GAMBOA AND PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR ERIC LEE.
KUDOS FOR THIS.
PEOPLE ARE VERY EXCITED ABOUT IT.
I APOLOGIZE TO MY COLLEAGUES THAT I TOOK THE SAMPLES DOWN TO THE PIER TODAY FOR A COUPLE OF HOURS IN THE MORNING TO SEE IT IN THE NATURAL LIGHT.
INVITED PASSERS BY TO COME AND GIVE THEIR OPINION OF THE COLORS AND THINGS LIKE THAT.
ALL OF THEM WERE VERY, VERY EXCITED AS WELL FOR IT.
THE QUESTION THAT CAME UP WAS ARE WE GOING TO BE REPLACING THE FOUNDATION, THAT CEMENT BERM, WHATEVER YOU MIGHT CALL IT, THAT HOLDS THE RAILINGS IN PLACE?
WILL THAT BE REPLACED AS WELL?

>> THAT'S A GREAT QUESTION THERE.
THE CURB, EXISTING CONCRETE CURB IS PART OF THE STRUCTURAL COMPONENT OF THE PIER SO THAT WILL NOT BE REPLACED.
WE'LL BE DOING MINOR REPAIRS TO ANY SPALDING OR CHIPS BUT WE'LL BE MOUNTING TO THE EXISTING CURB.
>> Councilmember Franklin: OKAY.
ALL RIGHT.
AND JUST SO I HAVE IT RIGHT, THE ACE SAMPLE, WE ARE NOT GOING TO BE CONSIDERING THAT, IS THAT CORRECT?
>> SO THE ACE WON'T BE LISTED IN THE BID DOCUMENTS AS A PREFERRED VENDOR.
BUT PURR THE PUBLIC VENDOR CODE, IF THE LOW BIDDER ENDS UP CONTRACTING WITH ACE, THEY WILL NEED TO SHOW, PROVIDE A SAMPLE THAT SHOWS THAT THEY CAN PRODUCE THE SEAFOAM GREEN COLOR.
>> Councilmember Franklin: OKAY.
ALL RIGHT.
ALSO, TOO, THERE WAS -- AND I DON'T MEAN TO GET INTO THE WEEDS HERE BUT IT CAME UP.
THERE WAS ACTUALLY CHIPPING OF THE PAINT THAT'S ON THERE NOW. BENEATH IT WAS PAINT OF A DIFFERENT COLOR.
A DIFFERENT SHADE OF BLUE.
SO THAT WAS -- BECAUSE ONE MATCHED ONE SAMPLE AND THE OTHER ONE MATCHED -- YOU KNOW, THE PAINT THAT WAS BELOW THE CHIP, YOU KNOW, MATCHED ANOTHER ONE.
I ASSUME WE'RE GOING TO BE TRYING TO MATCH THE ONE THAT'S ON THERE RIGHT NOW, WHICH SEEMS TO BE A LITTLE BIT LIGHTER.
>> CORRECT.
WE'RE GOING TO MATCH THE SEAFOAM GREEN COLOR.
AS FAR AS CLARIFYING THE OTHER COLOR -- THE SEAFOAM GREEN HAS BE ON THERE FOR YEARS AND THERE WAS A SHADE THAT WAS MORE OF AN AQUA BLUE.
THE OFFICIAL COLOR WE'RE APPROVING TONIGHT IS THE SEAFOAM GREEN.
>> Councilmember Franklin: THAT'S BEEN UP FOR HOW MANY YEARS NOW? THIRTY YEARS?
>> APPROXIMATELY 30 YEARS.
>> Councilmember Franklin: OKAY.
GREAT.
WELL, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
IT'S VERY EXCITING.
EVERYBODY THAT I SPOKE WITH TODAY WAS VERY EXCITED ABOUT IT AS WELL.
SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
OH, I'M SORRY.
I DIDN'T QUITE WRITE DOWN THE CONSTRUCTION TIMELINE AGAIN.
>> SO IF WE'RE ABLE TO FINALIZE THE DESIGN AND GET THIS OUT IN THE NEXT FEW MONTHS, WE CAN GET INTO A PROCUREMENT OVER THE SUMMER MONTHS.

IT WILL TAKE TIME TO GET THE RAW MATERIAL, THE CONTRACTOR TO ORDER THE RAW MATERIAL.

AND WITH OUR EXPECTATION HOPEFULLY TO BE IN THE FALL IN AN INSTALLATION MODE.

>> Councilmember Franklin: AND THEN YOU SAID IT WOULD GO INTO 2023?

>> CORRECT.

>> Councilmember Franklin: OKAY.

AND WILL THE PIER -- ARE YOU GOING TO DO ONE SIDE AND THEN THE OTHER?

WILL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS STILL HAVE ACCESS TO GO THE FULL LENGTH?

HOW DO YOU SEE THAT WORKING?

>> SO THE CONSTRUCTION PHASING, PART OF THE CONDITIONS OF THE COASTAL COMMISSION IS WE OBVIOUSLY HAVE TO KEEP ACCESS TO THE PIER.

WE WILL KEEP ACCESS THERE.

WE'LL BUILD IN SPECIAL PROVISIONS IN THE BID DOCUMENTS TO ALLOW THE CONTRACTOR TO WORK LIKE IN SAY 50-FOOT SEGMENTS AT A TIME AND WORK THEIR WAY AROUND THE PIER.

OBVIOUSLY, THEY CAN'T DEMO THE EXISTING RAIL UNTIL WE KNOW FOR SURE THAT THEY HAVE THE MATERIAL READY TO INSTALL AND THEY'RE WORK THEIR WAY AROUND THE PIER.

THERE'S A TOTAL OF ABOUT APPROXIMATELY 3,000 LINEAR FEET OF RAILING.

THEY'LL PROCURE PROBABLY A THIRD OF IT AT A TIME AND THEN OVERLAP AND WORK THEIR WAY AROUND.

>> Councilmember Franklin: OKAY.

GREAT.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: DO WE HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE WE OPEN THIS TO PUBLIC COMMENT?

DO WE HAVE ANYBODY WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM?

>> THERE'S NO REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT REGARDING THIS ITEM.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.

NOW OPEN THIS UP TO DISCUSSION BY COUNCIL.

BUT I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE UNDERSTAND THIS PROCESS AT THIS POINT.

YOU'RE NOT ASKING US TO CHOOSE ONE OF THOSE COLORS.

I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE UNDERSTAND THAT, THAT ITEM NUMBER TWO IS NOT OUR SELECTION OF WHICH ONE OF THOSE EXAMPLES IS OUR PREFERRED EXAMPLE.

>> THAT IS CORRECT.

WE ARE -- WE PROCURED THE SAMPLES TO SHOW THAT IT IS POSSIBLE TO OBTAIN THAT SEAFOAM GREEN COLOR IN THIS ALUMINUM COATING METHOD.

IF THE COUNCIL DOES HAVE A PREFERRED VENDOR OF THE TWO, WE COULD LIST THEM AS THE NUMBER ONE.

THERE IS THAT LATITUDE AVAILABLE.

STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION RIGHT NOW IS THE CRANEVEYOR.

IT SEEMS TO BE THE MOST CLOSEST COLOR MATCH.

>> Mayor Stern: OKAY.

THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

I PULLED THE ITEM.

I MOVE TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 22-0012 AND DIRECT STAFF TO PREPARE A FINAL BID.

AND [INDISCERNIBLE] I LIKE TO PREFER CALIFORNIA COMPANIES OVER OUT-OF-STATE COMPANIES I WILL STICK WITH CRANEVEYOR.

>> Councilmember Hadley: I'LL SECOND THAT.

GREAT MOTION.

>> Mayor Stern: CAN WE HAVE A ROLL CALL VOTE, PLEASE.

>> YES.

MAYOR STERN.

>> Mayor Stern: YES.

>> MAYOR PRO TEM NAPOLITANO.

>> Mayor Pro Tem Napolitano: YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HADLEY.

>> Councilmember Hadley: YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> Councilmember Franklin: YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: YES.

>> MOTION PASSES 5-0.

>> Mayor Stern: GREAT.

WELL, THANK YOU, ENGINEER GAMBOA AND DIRECTOR LEE FOR BRINGING THIS GUARD.

I KNOW IT WILL BE VERY EXCITING WHEN WE SEE IT INSTALLED.

AND ALSO FOR REPAIR AND REPLACING THE STAIRS, WHICH I KNOW ARE A VERY REQUESTED REPLACEMENT.

I THINK OUR COMMUNITY WILL REALLY BE LOOKING FORWARD TO ALL OF THOSE THINGS AND THE IMPROVEMENTS AND WHAT THIS BRINGS FOR OUR COMMUNITY.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR REPORT.

>> Councilmember Franklin: WE SHOULD SELL SEGMENTS OF THE OLD PEER RAILINGS FOR CHARITY.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: GREAT IDEA.

>> Councilmember Hadley: NOT A BAD IDEA.

>> Councilmember Montgomery: AUTOGRAPH IT.

>> Councilmember Hadley: WE'D HAVE TO SMOOTH OFF THE ROUGH EDGES, I SUSPECT.

MAKE IT SORT OF SAFE TO TOUCH.
>> Councilmember Montgomery: COME ON.
>> Councilmember Hadley: THERE'S A LOT OF CORROSION AND SHARP EDGES.
>> Mayor Stern: SURE.
GOOD SUGGESTION.
THE MANAGER MOE, YOU WRITING THAT ONE DONE?
>> I JUST SET THE PRICE AT \$500 A PIECE.
>> Mayor Stern: WE'LL TAKE BIDDING AT THE NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT SECTION.
NOT THAT WE WANT THAT TO EXTEND OUR MEETING LENGTH.
SO NEXT WE'RE MOVING ON TO THE PUBLIC HEARINGS.
AND ITEM 16 IS SLATED TO BE A LONG ITEM.
SO WOULD MY COUNCILMEMBERS LIKE TO TAKE A FIVE-MINUTE BREAK AT THIS TIME BEFORE ITEM NUMBER 16?
SO LET'S JUST DO A FIVE-MINUTE BREAK AT COME BACK AT 8:20.
SEE YOU ALL BACK.
THANK YOU.
[FIVE-MINUTE BREAK]
>> Mayor Stern: OKAY.
HOW ARE WE COMING?
WE SEE EVERYONE HERE?
>> Councilmember Montgomery: YES, ALL HERE.
>> Mayor Stern: OKAY.
DO WE HAVE -- WE'VE GOT BRUCE.
AND DO WE HAVE QUINN?
I DON'T SEE HIM ON MY... ARE WE READY TO GO?
>> CITY ATTORNEY IS ON THE ZOOM CALL.
THEY'RE JUST NOT TURNING ON THE CAMERA YET.
DID YOU WANT THE START THE LIVE FEED AGAIN?
>> Mayor Stern: YES, I THINK THAT'S FINE.
>> GIVE ME ONE SECOND.
I'LL HAVE I.T. START IT.
WE ARE LIVE, MADAM MAYOR.
>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU.
WELCOME BACK, EVERYONE.
JUST A REMINDER, WE'RE IN OUR GENERAL BUSINESS SECTION OF THE AGENDA AND ITEM NUMBER 18 WHICH IS A REVIEW OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT WORK PLAN AND PRIORITY FOR THE LONG-TERM OUTDOOR DINING AND BUSINESS USE WORK PLAN PROGRAM.
I WILL TURN --
>> MADAM MAYOR, WE'RE ON PUBLIC HEARING 16 HOUSING ELEMENT.
>> Mayor Stern: I'M SORRY.
MAN, I'M SORRY.
>> Councilmember Montgomery: I APPRECIATE THE SPEED OF THAT, YOUR HONOR.
>> Mayor Stern: GOSH.

>> Mayor Pro Tem Napolitano: DO I NEED TO INVOKE THE 18th AMENDMENT?

>> Mayor Stern: I'M SITTING WITH THIS WHICH YOU'RE ALL SITTING AT AND I'M LOOKING AT AND NOT HEARING THE WORDS I'M READING. NOW I'M GOING TO LISTEN TO MYSELF.

PUBLIC HEARINGS, ITEM 16, CONSIDERATION OF THE 6th CYCLE HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE AND ASSOCIATED INITIAL STUDY. AND AGAIN I WILL TURN THIS OVER TO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR TAI.

>> IF I COULD INTERJECT ONE QUICK THING, THIS IS SUCH A HERCULEAN TASK.

WHILE IT'S A REQUIREMENT OF THE STATE, THERE'S A LOT OF SOMETIME AND EFFORT THAT GOES INTO IT AND THAT WILL BE EVIDENT AS WE GO THROUGH THE PRESENTATION.

BUT I'D WANT TO CONGRATULATE THE TWO OF THEM FOR WHAT YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU TONIGHT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, CITY MANAGER MOE.

AND GOOD EVENING, MAYOR STERN.

BRUCE STOLE SOME OF MY THUNDER.

I WAS GOING TO GIVE A QUICK ACKNOWLEDGMENT.

I WANTED TO MENTION THIS ITEM MARKS A MAJOR MILESTONE FOR EVERY CITY IN THE CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS REGION WHICH IS REQUIRED TO HAVE THEIR HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATED BASICALLY NOW.

IN FACT REDONDO BEACH IS CONSIDERING THEIRS TONIGHT AS WELL.

I WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO ACKNOWLEDGE AND THANK THE PLANNING MANAGER.

IF IT WASN'T FOR HER LASER FOCUS AND TIRELESS DEDICATION, WE WOULDN'T BE HERE AT THIS MOMENT.

SHE'S LED THE PLANNING DIVISION STAFF AND OUR CONSULTING STAFF OVER THE PAST SEVEN MONTHS TO MAKE SURE WE DEVELOPED THIS DOCUMENT UNDER THE MOST COMPLEX HOUSING ELEMENT REGULATIONS EVER SET FORTH IN KIND OF AN EFFORT.

IT'S ONLY BECAUSE OF THEIR EXTRAORDINARY WORK THAT WE'VE ARRIVED HERE.

THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO DO THAT AND I'LL TURN THIS OVER FOR THE STAFF PRESENTATION.

>> GOOD EVENING HONORABLE MAYOR, COUNCILMEMBERS AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.

I HOPE EVERYONE CAN SEE MY SCREEN OKAY.

GREAT.

THANK YOU FOR NOW SUPPORT IN GETTING THIS ACROSS THE FINISH LINE AND EN ROUTE TO STATE CERTIFICATION.

TODAY'S PRESENTATION WILL START WITH THE PROJECT OVERVIEW AND REQUESTED ACTIONS FOLLOWED BY THE HOUSING ELEMENT, REVIEW OF GOALS, POLICIES AND PROGRAMS, CONCLUDING WITH REQUIRED STEPS AFTER THE ADOPTION OF THE HOUSING ELEMENT.

WE'RE CONSIDERING AN AMENDMENT TO THE CITY'S PLAN WHICH IS A DOCUMENT THAT CONVEYS THE OVERALL VISION FOR HOW THE CITY SHOULD GROW AND DEVELOP OVERTIME.

THIS UPDATES THE HOUSING ELEMENT OF THE GENERAL PLAN AS REQUIRED BY THE STATE FROM A PERIOD OF 2021 TO 2029.

THE COUNCIL IS CONSIDERING THE ASSOCIATED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT WHICH SAN INITIAL STUDY NEGATIVE DECLARATION PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL EQUALITY ACT. THAT LEADS US TO THE SPECIFIC ACTIONS REQUESTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE HEARING.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION TO THE CITY COUNCIL, STAFF IS RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPT TWO RESOLUTIONS.

THE FIRST ADOPT THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND THE SECOND OF WHICH WOULD ADOPT THE HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE.

I WILL JUMP RIGHT INTO THE OVERVIEW.

THE HOUSING ELEMENT IS AN ELEMENT OF THE GENERAL PLAN REQUIRED BY THE STATE TO BE UPDATED EVERY EIGHT YEARS AS I MENTIONED EARLIER. WE'RE ENTERING THE SIXTH REQUIRED ITERATION OF THE HOUSING ELEMENT WHICH WE REFER TO AS THE SIXTH CYCLE.

THE HOUSING ELEMENT CONTAINS A SET OF GOALS, POLICIES AND ACTIONS TO ADDRESS THE COMPREHENSIVE HOUSING NEEDS OF ALL CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED RESIDENTS AT ALL INCOME LEVELS.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE STATE'S HOUSING ELEMENT COULD RESULT IN COSTLY AND UNDESIRED CONSEQUENCES FOR THE CITY.

THE HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE IS AN EXTENSIVE PLANNING PROCESS THAT CONSISTS OF SEVERAL STAGES AND COMPONENTS.

STAKEHOLDER AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT GOES ON THROUGHOUT THE UPDATE PROCESS.

FIRST WE EVALUATE THE EFFICACY OF THE FIFTH CYCLE HOUSING ELEMENT, THE PROGRESS AND PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND THE APPROPRIATENESS OF THE GOALS, POLICIES AND PROGRAMS OUTLINED IN THE FIFTH CYCLE.

THEN WE COMPLETE A NEEDS ASSESSMENT ASSESSING THE HOUSING NEED THROUGH DETAILED INFORMATION ON MANHATTAN BEACH'S DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS AND TRENDS THAT INFLUENCE SUPPLY AND DEMAND OF VARIOUS HOUSING TYPES.

NEXT WE PREPARE A CONSTRAINT AND ZONING ANALYSIS DETAILING GOVERNMENTAL AND NONGOVERNMENTAL CONSTRAINTS TO THE MAINTENANCE, DEVELOPMENT OR IMPROVEMENT OF HOUSING FOR ALL INCOME LEVELS.

WE CONDUCTED A FAIR HOUSING ANALYSIS WHICH IDENTIFY DISPROPORTIONATE HOUSING NEEDS INCLUDING SEGREGATED LIVING PATTERNS, DISPARITIES AND ACCESS TO OPPORTUNITY AND DISPLACEMENT RISKS.

IN ADDITION WE CONDUCTED AN INVENTORY OF THE CITY'S LAND THROUGH THE SITES ANALYSIS TO DEMONSTRATE THE SITES AVAILABLE TO

ACCOMMODATE HOUSING THE ENSURE THAT CAPACITY EXISTS FOR DEVELOPMENT TO MEET THE CITY'S NEED.

THE FINAL HOUSING ELEMENT CONSISTS OF AN ACTION PLAN THAT CONSISTS OF GOALS, POLICIES AND PROGRAMS WHICH ARE RECOMMENDED ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN OVER THE NEXT EIGHT YEARS TO ASSIST IN MEETING THE CITY'S HOUSING NEED.

HERE'S A QUICK REVIEW OF THE WHAT THE GOALS, POLICIES ARE. GOALS ARE BROAD STATEMENTS OF WHAT THE CITY AND RESIDENTS HOPE TO ACHIEVE OVER TIME.

POLICIES IMPLEMENT THE GOALS.

OBJECTIVES ARE SPECIFIC ON MEASURABLE STATEMENTS THAT MARK PROGRESS TOWARD THAT GOAL.

PROGRAMS ARE SPECIFIC IMPLEMENTABLE ACTIONS THAT CARRY OUT THE POLICIES.

THESE ARE THE ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN OVER THE NEXT EIGHT YEARS. WE'LL JUMP TO AN OVERVIEW OF THE REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ALLOCATION, ALSO KNOWN AS RHNA WHICH THE COUNCIL IS FAMILIAR WITH AND WE'LL DIVE INTO THE INVENTORY PORTION OF THE HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE.

THIS INCLUDES THE TOTAL OF 774 UNITS WITH A REQUIREMENT TO PLAN FOR 322 UNITS FOR VERY LOW-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS, 165 UNITS FOR LOW-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS, 155 FOR MODERATE-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS AND 132 FOR ABOVE MODERATE-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS.

WE'RE ALSO REQUIRED TO HAVE A BUFFER.

THE BUFFER IS NEEDED TO ENSURE THERE'S ENOUGH CAPACITY THROUGHOUT THE CYCLE.

THEREFORE THE CITY IS REQUIRED TO PLAN FOR THE DID I BELIEVE LOWER.

INCOME UNITS WHICH IN OUR CASE IS APPROXIMATELY 73 UNITS. THAT'S IF SOMETHING HAPPENS IN IF UNIT IN OUR INVENTORY AND IT DOESN'T GET USED FOR WHAT IT WAS SLATED FOR.

ONE OF THE KEY COMPONENTS OF THE HOUSING ELEMENT INCLUDES THE SITE ANALYSIS AND INVENTORY.

THE CITY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR BUILDING HOUSING, THE CITY MUST MAKE SITES AVAILABLE FOR HOUSE IN A MATTER THAT MAKES THE RHNA SITES AVAILABLE.

THERE'S A FEW WAYS WE CAN IDENTIFY CANDIDATE SITES UNDER EXISTING ZONING TO ACCOMMODATE HOUSING.

FIRST WE LOOK AT THE PROJECTS IN THE PIPELINE AND EXPECTED TO RECEIVE A CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY DURING THAT PLANNING PERIOD. THEN WE COUNT THE NUMBER OF ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS.

SO FINALLY IF WE HAVE STILL NOT IDENTIFIED ENOUGH TO CAPTURE THE RHNA, WE LOOK AT SITES THAT ARE CAPTURED BUT IDEAL FOR REDEVELOPMENT.

THIS IS QUITE COMPLEX AS THERE ARE SPECIFIC CRITERIA THAT SITES MUST MEET TO QUALIFY AS A SITE TO ACCOMMODATE LOWER-INCOME RHNA.

SITES MUST BE HALF AN ACRE TO TEN ACRES AND THE ZONE MUST ALLOW FOR 30 UNITS PER DWELLING ACRE.

WHICH THIS IN AND OF ITSELF GIVES YOU -- SHEDS A LITTLE BIT OF LIGHT ON HOW DIFFICULT AND COMPLEX THIS EXERCISE WAS FOR OUR CITY SPECIFICALLY.

SINCE THE CITY DOES NOT HAVE VACANT LAND, WE DEVELOPED A METHODOLOGY TO IDENTIFY SITES THAT ARE GOOD CANDIDATES FOR REDEVELOPMENT.

WE FIRST FILTERED SITES BY BUILDING AGE AND INCLUDED THOSE SITES WITH BUILDINGS MORE THAN 30 YEARS OLD.

BUILDING AGE IS ALSO A MAJOR FACTOR INFLUENCING PROPERTY VALUATION AND LAND VALUE.

THE AGE OF HOUSING IS OFTEN AN INDICATION OF HOUSING CONDITIONS. WE LOOKED AT SITES THAT ARE UNDERVALUED BY INCLUDING SITES WITH AN ASSESSED LAND-TO-IMPROVEMENT RATIO LESS THAN ONE.

IMPROVEMENT VALUES LESS THAN ONE IS AN INDICATE THAT IT HAS VALUE.

WE FACTORED IN SITES THAT ARE CONSIDERED UNDERBUILT BY ANALYZING COMMERCIALY-ZONED SITES WHERE THE CURRENT FLOOR AREA RATIO COMPARED TO THE MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE FLOOR RATIO IS LESS THAN 100%. THIS HELP OPPORTUNITIES SITES FROM A REDEVELOPMENT PERSPECTIVE AS THERE IS LAND CORD TO BE UNDERBUILT.

WE FACTORED IN RESOURCE ACCESS.

WE LOOKED AT SITES WITH OPPORTUNITY AREAS IDENTIFIED AND DEFINED BY THE STATE HCD AND THE TAX ALLOCATION COMMITTEE AS AREA WHO CHARACTERISTICS HAVE BEEN SHOWN BY RESEARCH TO SUPPORTED BOSS EDUCATION AND HEALTH OUTCOMES FOR LOWER-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS.

THESE INITIAL FACTORS WERE USED TO NARROW THE SELECTION OF SITES WITHIN THE CITY TO ALLOW FOR A MORE INFORMED APPROACH OF SELECTING SITES.

FOLLOWING THE SELECTION OF SITES THROUGH THIS DATA-DRIVEN APPROACH, SITES FOR FURTHER NARROWED THROUGH AN ON-GROUND RESEARCH THAT LOOKED AT THE POTENTIAL TO CONSOLIDATE SITES TO REACH HCD SITE CRITERIA.

ESPECIALLY FOR THE LOWER-INCOME SITES, THE FEASIBILITY OF THE REDEVELOPMENT OF THE EXISTING USE.

THIS INCLUDES ACCOUNTING FOR NET NEW UNITS ON SITES WITH EXISTING RESIDENTIAL UNITS, KNOWLEDGE OF EXISTING LONG-TERM LEASES AND EXISTING KNOWN VACANCIES, ALSO KNOWLEDGE OF ANY KNOWN DEVELOPER INTEREST THAT HAS BEEN REVEALED THROUGH DEVELOPER DISCUSSIONS WITH CITY STAFF.

SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A LOT OF CAPACITY BUT LET'S GET INTO WHAT THOSE NUMBERS LOOK LIKE.

WHEN WE FACTOR IN THE PIPELINE PROJECTS, A PROTECTION OF 80 UNITS TO BE DEVELOPED AND UNDERUTILIZE CAPACITY, WE END UP WITH 33 UNITS, 163 UNITS TOWARD THE MODERATE-INCOME LIN OF 155 AND 81 FOR THE LOWER INCOME RHNA OF 487.

AS SHOWN HERE THE CITY DOES NOT HAVE CAPACITY TO ACCOMMODATE THE LOWER INCOME RHNA.

THE CITY HAS NO VACANT LAND AND NEARLY ALL OF THE PARCELS ZONED RESIDENTIAL ARE ALREADY SOCIAL DISTANCED.

THE UNITS WOULD BE REPLACED AND NOT CREDITED TOWARD MEETING THE CITY'S RHNA.

THE SIXTH CYCLE HOUSING UNIT REQUIRES NEW REQUIREMENTS FOR IDENTIFYING LOWER INCOME UNITS SUCH AS THE SIZE REQUIREMENT OF A HALF AN ACRE MINIMUM WHICH IS A CHALLENGE IN THE CITY WHICH IS AVERAGE MEDIAN PARCEL SIZE IN RESIDENTIAL ZONES ARE 0.96 ACRES 37 HOWEVER TO ACCOMMODATE THE SHORTFALL OF 406 LOWER INCOME UNITS AND ADDITIONAL CAPACITY TO ACCOMMODATE A BUFFER OF 73 UNITS IN ADDITION TO THAT, THE CITY WAS ABLE TO IDENTIFY QUALIFYING UNDERUTILIZED SITES THAT WILL BE REZONED OR SUBJECTED TO AN OVERLAY ZONE ALLOWING FOR RESIDENTIAL USES WITH DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS NECESSARY AND REQUIRED BY THE STATE TO FACILITY AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

THIS WILL BE IMPLEMENT AS A PROGRAM OF TWO ADEQUATE SITES OF THE HOUSING ELEMENT.

NOW HERE'S A MAP OF ALL OF THE EXISTING CAPACITY SITES IDENTIFIED IN OUR SITES INVENTORY FOR ALL INCOME LEVELS AND IT INCLUDES PIPELINE DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.

SO MARKED AS AREA ONE WE HAVE SITES LOCATED IN THE NORTH END MANHATTAN BEACH.

MARKED AS AREA TWO WE HAVE SITES IN DOWNTOWN MANHATTAN BEACH AREA.

IN AREA THREE WE HAVE SITES ON MANHATTAN BEACH BOULEVARD AND WHEN AREA FOUR WE HAVE SITES IN MANHATTAN BEACH AND IN AREA FIVE WE HAVE SITES ALONG THE BOULEVARD.

THIS IS THE EXISTING SITE CAPACITY WE FACTORED IN BEFORE WE SET OUT TO FIND NEW CAPACITY.

NOW HERE'S A MAP OF THE NORTHWEST AREA OF THE CITY AND IT SHOWS SITES IDENTIFIED AS HAVING EXISTING CAPACITY FOR LOWER, MODERATE AND ABOVE-MODERATE INCOME SITES AS WELL AS PIPELINE DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS WHICH HAVE BEEN CREDITED TO THE LOWER AND ABOVE MODERATE INCOME RHNA.

THIS IS A LITTLE MORE ZOOMED IN.

AGAIN ZOOMED-IN DEPICTION OF THE WESTERN AREA OF THE SITE WHERE WE HAVE IDENTIFIED SITES FOR EXISTING CAPACITY.

AND A MAP OF THE CENTRAL AND SOUTHEAST AREA OF THE CITY SHOWS THE SITES IDENTIFIED AS HAVING EXISTING CAPACITY FOR LOWER, MODERATE AND ABOVE MODERATE SITES AS WELL AS PIPELINE PROJECTS ALONG ARTESIA BOULEVARD.

THESE ARE CREDITED TO THE LOWER AND ABOVE MODERATE INCOME.

TO ACCOMMODATE THE LOWER INCOME BUFFER SITES THE CITY WILL ARE TO ESTABLISH AN OVERLAY ZONE TO PERMIT RESIDENTIAL USES.

AS YOU ALREADY KNOW THESE SITES WILL BE SELECTED OVER THE NEXT FEW YEARS FROM THE IDENTIFIED UNDERUTILIZED SITES IN THE COMMERCIAL GENERAL AND PLANNED DEVELOPMENT ZONING DISTRICTS WHICH ARE SHOWN ON THIS MAP HERE IN THE OLIVE GREEN COLOR AND TRAVERSE THE BOULEVARD AND ROSECRANS.

THE CITY HAS FACTORS FOR PRIORITIZING UNDERUTILIZED SITES. CAPACITY FOR A THOUSAND UNITS HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED WHICH WILL BE NARROWED DOWN TO ACCOMMODATE THE SHORTFALL AND BUFFER AS THE CITY BEGINS TO ESTABLISH THE OVERLAY OVER THE COURSE OF THE NEXT FEW YEARS.

AN ESSENTIAL COMPONENT TO ANY POLICY UPDATE PROCESS IS OUTREACH. EXTENSIVE OUTREACH WAS CARRIED OUT THROUGH THE PLANNING PROCESS FOR THIS PARTICULAR EFFORT.

DUE TO THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC, A HYBRID VIRTUAL OUTREACH WAS PURSUED TO ENSURE THAT THIS WAS NOT A BURDEN ON COMMUNITY MEMBERS' ABILITY TO PARTICIPATE.

FURTHER THE OUTREACH EFFORTS ENGAGE TO HAVE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION. THE RESULTS WERE CAREFULLY CONSIDERED IN THE GOALS POLICIES AND PROGRAMS AND COPIES OF THE INTERVIEWS, PRESENTATIONS AND SURVEY RESULTS WERE ALL INCLUDED IN THE FULL APPENDIX TO THE HOUSING ELEMENT.

EACH OUTREACH RELATED EVENT WAS ON THE BEACH REPORTER, ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE, ON THE CITY'S VARIOUS SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS, SOCIAL MEDIA CONTENT FOR EACH MEETING WAS ON AVERAGE DISPLAYED OVER 21,000 INSTANCES REACHING OVER 11,200 INDIVIDUALS.

ADDITIONALLY ALL MEETINGS WERE RECORDED AND POSTED ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE AND STAFF HAD ALSO COMPILED A LIST OF STAKEHOLDERS AND INTERESTED PARTIES AND DIRECTLY REACHED OUT TO THESE INDIVIDUALS WITH NOTICES FOR EACH MEETING.

OUTREACH EFFORTS INCLUDED A VIRTUAL COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDER WORKSHOP ON AUGUST 31st.

ATTENDEES PARTICIPATED IN DISCUSSION, A QUESTION-AND-ANSWER SECTION AND AN INTERACTIVE POLL.

ON SATURDAY, OCTOBER 2nd, AT THE CITY'S HOMETOWN FAIR, PLANNING STAFF DISSEMINATED FLYERS AND ADVERTISING FOR THE UPCOMING DRAFT SIXTH CYCLE HOUSING ELEMENT.

AND STAFF ENGAGED WITH THE PUBLIC AND ANSWERED QUESTIONS RELATED TO HOUSING ELEMENT AT THIS EVENT.

THE DRAFT SIXTH CYCLE HOUSING ELEMENT WAS MADE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC REVIEW ON OCTOBER 20th THROUGH NOVEMBER 30th PURSUANT TO [INDISCERNIBLE] THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS CIRCULATED FOR REVIEW ON NOVEMBER 24 THROUGH NOVEMBER 27.

STAFF RECEIVED FOUR PUBLIC COMMENTS.

ALL COMMENTS WERE ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL HOUSING ELEMENT AND HAVE BEEN INCLUDED IN THE APPENDIX TO THE ELEMENT.

STAFF OF COURSE PRESENTED TO YOU, THE CITY COUNCIL AND THE PUBLIC ON THREE OCCASIONS, INCLUDING OCTOBER 24th, SEPTEMBER 21 AND NOVEMBER 2nd.

WE PRESENTED TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND PUBLIC ON THREE OCCASIONS.

THE FIRST OCCASION WAS SEPTEMBER 15th DURING A PLANNING STUDY THAT THE COMMISSION CONDUCTED.

STAFF PRESENTED THE DRAFT ELEMENT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION ON DECEMBER 8th.

FINALLY REPRESENTED TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AT A DUALY NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING ON JANUARY 12th.

AT THE JANUARY 12th PLANNING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING THE COMMISSION CONSIDERED THEIR FORMAL RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADOPTION OF THE SIXTH CYCLE HOUSING ELEMENT AND THE ASSOCIATED INITIAL STUDY AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION.

A PRESENTATION WAS DELIVERED TO ASSIST BOTH COMMISSIONERS AND THE PUBLIC IN NAVIGATING THROUGH THE FINAL DRAFT ELEMENT WHICH INCORPORATES COMMENTS FROM THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AS WELL AS THE PUBLIC.

FOLLOWED BY QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS FROM THE COMMISSIONERS REGARDED ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORTS AND WHERE WHETHER THEY WERE A NEW REQUIREMENT.

THE DETAILS AND PROCESS BEHIND DRAFTING A HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE. QUESTIONS RELATED TO THE COMMISSIONS LIMITATION REGARDING FLEXIBILITY AND DISCRETION WITH REGARD TO THE DOCUMENTS AND WHETHER THE INCENTIVES STATEWIDE OR EVEN LOCAL ARE AIMED AT DEVELOPERS TO CREATING ADUs OR IF THEY'RE AIMED AT PROPERTY OWNERS.

CONCLUDING THE COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS FROM THE COMMISSION STAFF CONFIRMED THAT THERE WERE NO MEMBERS FROM THE PUBLIC WHO WISHED TO SPEAK AND NO NEW PUBLIC INPUT HAD BEEN RECEIVED AND REMINDED THE COMMISSION OF THE VARIOUS MEDIA TO RECEIVE COMMENT.

AND THE COMMENTS HAD BEEN RESPONDED TO AND INCORPORATED IN THE DRAFT DOCUMENT.

THE PLANNING COMMISSION VOTED WITH A UNANIMOUS 5-0 VOTE TO RECOMMEND THAT THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPT THE SIXTH CYCLE HOUSING ELEMENT AND ASSOCIATED STUDY NEGATIVE DECLARATION BY ADOPTS RESOLUTIONS PC2201 AND 2202.

WE'LL GO TO A REVIEW OF THE PROGRAMS.

THE PROGRAMS ARE THE STEPS TAKEN OVER THE NEXT EIGHT YEARS TO IMPLEMENT THE HOUSING ELEMENT.

THE HOUSING ELEMENTS PROGRAMS AIMED TO FACILITATE THE DEVELOPMENT OF HOUSING BY FOCUSING ON FOUR MAIN GOALS WHICH FOCUS ON PROVIDING ENHANCED HOUSING STOCK IN HIGH-QUALITY NEIGHBORHOODS WITH ALIGNS WITH CURRENT AND FUTURE MANHATTAN BEACH HOUSEHOLDS.

AN ADEQUATE SUPPLY OF SITES AND RESOURCES APPROPRIATE FOR ACCOMMODATING A DIVERSE RANGE OF HOUSING TYPES FOR ALL INCOME LEVELS.

PROVIDING A SAFE AND HEALTHY LIVING ENVIRONMENT FOR RESIDENCE AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR ALL RESIDENTS TO RESIDE IN THE HOUSING OF THEIR CHOICE.

PROGRAMS TO FACILITATE AN ADEQUATE SUPPLY OF HOUSING INCLUDE UPDATES TO THE DENSITY BONUS PROGRAM AND RESPONSE TO CHANGES IN STATE LAW, THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE OVERLAY DISTRICT AND THE GENERAL COMMERCIAL AND PLANNED DEVELOPMENT ZONES TO ACCOMMODATE THE REMAINING LOWER INCOME RHNA OF 406 UNITS.

SITES IDENTIFIED IN THE SITES INVENTORY NEEDED TO FACILITATE THE DEVELOPMENT OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

I WILL ADD THAT THERE ARE SOME PROGRAMS THAT VARY FROM THE DRAFT ELEMENT THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE STATE BECAUSE AS A RESULT OF THEIR REVIEW THEY SUBMITTED COMMENTS BACK TO US WHICH REQUIRED US TO AMEND SOME OF THE PROGRAMS WE HAD ORIGINALLY INCLUDED IN THE DRAFT AND EVEN ADD TO THOSE.

AND MOVING ON, WE HAVE SOME PROGRAMS -- BECAUSE THE STATE HAS BEEN VERY BUSY AS IT RELATES TO HOUSING, WE HAD SOME PROGRAMS RELATED TO RECENT LEGISLATIVE CHANGES TO HELP MEET THE VARYING NEEDS OF THE POPULATION AND MITIGATE CON TRAITS TO DEVELOPMENT. MOST OF THEM STEMMING DIRECTLY FROM THE STATE, NEW STATE LAWS. ONE EXAMPLE OF THIS WOULD BE THE ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT PROGRAM, PROGRAM 1 AND PROGRAM 19 INCLUDING SUBMISSION OF THE AB ORDINANCE TO HCD, AN INCENTIVE FOR DEVELOPMENT OF ADUs THEY MA BE AVAILABLE TOO LOWER INCOME HOUSEHOLDS.

ANOTHER EXAMPLE WOULD BE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING MEETING SIGNIFICANT REQUIREMENTS MUST BE PERMITTED IN RESIDENTIAL AND MIXED-USE ZONES BY RIGHT AND NO MINIMUM PARKING MAY BE REQUIRED FOR UNITS OCCUPIED BY SUPPORTIVE HOUSING.

SO WE HAVE TO MAKE THESE THINGS AVAILABLE THROUGH CODE AMENDMENTS.

AND ANOTHER EXAMPLE WOULD BE AB1851, HOUSING ON RELIGIOUS SITES PROVIDES A PROCESS BY WHICH RELIGIOUS INSTITUTIONS CAN RECEIVE PARKING REDUCTIONS IN EXCHANGE FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

WE HAVE OTHER PROGRAMS RELATED TO UPDATING EXISTING PROCESSES AND ONGOING MONITORING THROUGH THE PLANNING PERIOD.

AN EXAMPLE OF THIS WOULD BE CONTINUED TRACKING OF THE CAPACITY FOR HOUSING THROUGHOUT THE PLANNING PERIOD TO ENSURE THERE'S NO NET LOSS OF CAPACITY.

ANOTHER EXAMPLE WOULD BE REPLACEMENT REQUIREMENTS IN THE EVENT THAT A PROPERTY IS REDEVELOPED.

YET A THIRD EXAMPLE WOULD BE MONITORING NEW DESIGN STANDARDS TO ENSURE THEY'RE OBJECTIVE AS THE STATE REQUIRES THAT THE CITY DOES NOT IMPOSE ANY NEW SUBJECTIVE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.

WE ALSO HAVE PROGRAMS RELATED TO HOUSING ASSISTANCE OR HOUSING SUPPORT.

THESE INCLUDE CONTINUED PARTICIPATION IN THE HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM, CONTINUED PARTICIPATION IN COUNTY-WIDE AFFORDABLE RENTING HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AND HOME OWNERSHIP PROGRAMS AS WELL AS CONTINUES COORDINATION WITH SOUTH BAY CITIES SEEKING ADDITIONAL FUNDING AND CONTINUED COMMUNITY EDUCATION AND RESOURCE GUIDE FOR THOSE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS. AND FINALLY WE INCLUDED PROGRAMS TO INCLUDE COORDINATION AND COMMUNE OCCASION INCLUDING FURTHER BUILDING UPON EXISTING PARTNERSHIPS, CONTINUING TO SHARE RESOURCES ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE AND EDUCATIONAL MATERIALS RELATED TO FAIR HOUSING AND ACCESSIBILITY.

COORDINATION AND COMMUNICATION WAS SOMETHING THAT THE STATE WAS SPECIFICALLY LOOKING FOR AND THEY WANT TO SEE THAT THE DEPARTMENT IS BEING TRANSPARENT WITH AVAILABLE RESOURCES MAKING SURE EVERYONE KNOWS WHAT THEIR HOUSING RIGHTS ARE, WHERE THEY CAN GAIN ASSISTANCE WITH HOUSING RIGHTS.

A BIG PART OF WHAT THEY'RE LOOKING FOR IS A COMMITMENT TO MAKING SURE YOU'RE EDUCATING THE PUBLIC.

AS IT RELATES TO CEQA, THE UPDATE FOR THE SIXTH CYCLE HOUSING ELEMENT IS SUBJECT TO CEQA.

AN INITIAL STUDY WAS PREPARED FOR THE PROJECT.

AS DESCRIBED IN THE PRECEDING SECTIONS OF THIS PRESENTATION, THIS IS A POLICY DOCUMENT THAT CONCEPTUALIZES HOW THE CITY WILL PROVIDE 774 HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS FOR THE PERIOD 2021 AND 2029. AGAIN UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS, THE CITY HAS THE CAPACITY TO ACCOMMODATE 377 DWELLING UNITS.

THE CITY WAS REQUIRED TO IDENTIFIED HOW TO PROVIDE THE CAPACITY FOR AN ADDITIONAL 479 UNITS WHICH IS THE 406 PLUS THE 73 UNIT BUFFER.

THE ANALYSIS AND THE I.S. ADDRESSES THE FISCAL IMPACTS ASSOCIATED WITH IMPLEMENTATION OF THE HEU, WHICH INCLUDES PROGRAMS THAT CONCEPTUALIZE HOW THE CITY WILL PROVIDE CAPACITY FOR THE ADDITIONAL 479 UNITS.

NO DEVELOPMENT IS CURRENTLY PROPOSED AND FUTURE REZONING EFFORT WILL HAVE TO UNDERGO ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW INDEPENDENT OF THIS ANALYSIS AND THIS WAS FOCUSED ON THE ADOPTION OF THE POLICY DOCUMENT.

BASED ON THE INITIAL ANALYSIS AND THE INITIAL STUDY, A DETERMINATION WAS MADE THAT THE PROPOSED PROJECT, THE ADOPTION OF THE POLICY DOCUMENT COULD NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.

THEREFORE A NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT GUIDELINES AND CIRCULATED FOR PUBLIC REVIEW.

ONE PUBLIC COMMENT WAS RECEIVED AND THAT WAS FROM CALTRANS AND THAT COMMENT -- THE COMMENT AND STAFF'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMENT WERE INCLUDED IN THE FINAL NEGATIVE DECLARATION DOCUMENT. AND I'LL NOW CONCLUDE THE PRESENTATION WITH A BRIEF DISCUSSION OF NEXT STEPS.

LET'S TAKE A QUICK LOOK AT WHERE WE ARE IN THE PROCESS. THE DRAFT HOUSING ELEMENT WENT OUT FOR STATE HCD AND PUBLIC REVIEW IN OCTOBER.

WE INCORPORATED PUBLIC COMMENTS AND COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM HCD AND HAVE FINALIZED THE HOUSING ELEMENT DOCUMENT.

AS WE JUST REVIEWED, WE PRESENTED THE HOUSING ELEMENT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION FOR THEIR RECOMMENDATION ON JANUARY 12th. AND TONIGHT WE PRESENT THE ITEM FOR CITY COUNCIL'S CONSIDERATION. THE DOCUMENT MUST BE ADOPTED BY COUNCIL BY NO LATER THAN FEBRUARY 12th TO STAY ON TRACK WITH THE STATE'S REQUIREMENT. ONCE THE HOUSING ELEMENT IS ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL, IT WILL HAVE TO GO BACK TO THE STATE FOR FINAL REVIEW AND CERTIFICATION WHICH CAN TAKE UP TO 90 DAYS.

FINALLY ONE OF THE MAJOR COMPONENTS OF THE HOUSING ELEMENT WHICH WE BRIEFLY INTRODUCED EARLIER IS IMPLEMENTATION.

DURING THE SIXTH CYCLE PLANNING PERIOD THE CITY IS REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAMS AND POLICIES OUTLINED IN THE HOUSING ELEMENT.

THIS INCLUDES PROGRAM 2, FOR EXAMPLE, WHICH IS THE OVERLAY AND REZONING OF THE SITES FOR THE LOWER-INCOME CAPACITY, AS WELL AS ZONING CODE AMENDMENTS OUTLINED IN THE PROGRAMS TO UPKEEP WITH THE STATE'S CHANGING LEGISLATION.

THE CITY WILL ALSO IMPLEMENT INCENTIVE PROGRAMS FOR ADUs DEVELOPMENT AS WELL AS THE LOT CONSOLIDATION INCENTIVE.

THE CITY WILL IMPLEMENT PROGRAMS STREAMLINING PROCESSES IN RELATION TO STATE LEGISLATION, FOR EXAMPLE, SB35 AND WILL HAVE TO DEVELOP INTERNAL PROCEDURES FOR THAT.

THE CITY THROUGH ANNUAL PROCESS REPORTS AND MID CYCLE ADU TRACKING WILL CONTINUE TO REPORT AND TRACK HOUSING PRODUCTION TO THE STATE.

AND ALSO IT'S A COMMITMENT TO REGIONAL COORDINATION TO MAINTAIN CODE ENFORCEMENT AND SUB STANDARD HOUSING RESOURCES UP TO DATE, ENSURE ACCESSIBILITY TO ALL RESIDENTS INCLUDING EXTREMELY LOW AND MODERATE-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS AND SUPPORT AND ENGAGE IN THE REGIONAL ANALYSIS OF IMPEDIMENTS TO FAIR HOUSING.

IN CONCLUSION AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION, STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPT THE INITIAL STUDY NEGATIVE DECLARATION PURSUANT TO CEQ A AND THEN ADOPT THE GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT HOUSING ELEMENT FOR THE SIXTH CYCLE.

THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION AND I AM AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS.

>> Mayor Stern: WOW, IT IS VERY OBVIOUS WHAT A HUGE PROJECT THIS IS.

THANK YOU FOR SYNTHESIZING IT FOR IT.

I WILL TURN IT OVER TO MY COLLEAGUES IF ANYBODY HAS ANY QUESTIONS.

AND I'M NOT SEEING ANYBODY THAT HAS ANY QUESTIONS.

SO I WILL ASK IF THERE IS ANYONE THAT HAS REQUESTED TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT AT THIS TIME.

>> YES, MADAM MAYOR, WE FIRST HAVE DIANE WALLACE.

>> HI.

WELL, I'M GLAD YOU PICKED UP ON THE FACT THAT THIS IS A VERY COMPLICATED PROCESS.

I WANT TO SECOND WHAT CITY MANAGER MOE SAID ABOUT THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT STAFF, AND SPECIFICALLY THE WORK BY THE PLANNING MANAGER.

I VOLUNTEERED AS A MEMBER OF THE COMMUNITY AND A RESIDENT OF NEARLY 20 YEARS AND I HAVE SEVERAL GRADUATE DEGREES, COLLEGE, AND I FOUND THIS TO BE EXTREMELY CHALLENGING.

AND I FOUND THE CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT TO BE EXTREMELY COMPETENT AND EXTREMELY THOROUGH.

AND I WASN'T ABLE TO ATTEND ALL OF THE MEETINGS, BUT I ATTENDED SEVERAL.

AND I READ EVERYTHING THAT THEY DISTRIBUTED.

AND THE FACT THAT THEY WERE JUST ABLE TO SUMMARIZE THIS INTO A VERY NICE PRESENTATION TONIGHT, THEY DESERVE OUR GRATITUDE FOR THE INCREDIBLE WORK THEY PUT INTO THIS.

THANK YOU.

>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, DIANE, FOR THOSE COMMENTS.

NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS DON McPHERSON.

>> GOOD EVENING, COUNCIL.

DON McPHERSON.

STAFF CURRENTLY EVALUATES THE HIGH-RISK PROJECT.

THIS PERMITS WAIVERS FROM THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF 50-FOOT HEIGHT, 47 INCREASE IN BUILDING AREA AND A 50 PARKING SPACE REDUCTION.

TO ME IT'S AFFORDABLE UNIT QUOTA.

THE CITY NEEDS 406 MORE UNITS.

THIS CORRESPONDS TO 68 50-FOOT HIGH PROJECTS LIKE HIGH ROSE.

FOR MAXIMUM PROFIT, THE DEVELOPERS WILL TAKE ADVANTAGE OF OCEAN VIEWS FROM THE 50-FOOT HEIGHT.

68 TOWERS INCREASE THE CITY POPULATION BY 31% TO ROUGHLY 35,000 RESIDENTS TO 46,000.

I REQUEST INSTEAD THAT THE CITY REQUIRE 100% AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

BY THIS MEANS THE CITY WILL PURCHASE PROPERTIES IN LESS-SENSITIVE AREAS AND LEASE THEM TO DEVELOPERS FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION.

THIS KEEPS THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR BUT AS LANDLORD THE CITY CONTROLS EVERYTHING.
NO FINANCIAL GIVEAWAY WAIVERS TO DEVELOPERS.
FIVE, 100% BUILDINGS WILL PROVIDE THE 406 AFFORDABLE UNITS AND DO IT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE MUNICIPAL CODE.
THIS SOLUTION WILL COST TAXPAYERS ABOUT \$500 A YEAR FOR 30 YEARS. THAT'S WELL WORTH BANNING 50-FOOT BUILDINGS NEXT DOOR TO HOUSES. I WILL NOT ADDRESS THE VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT BY THE PROPOSED HOUSING ELEMENT UPGRADE, THE HEU. ONE HUNDRED PERCENT AFFORDABLE HOUSING, HOWEVER, WILL ELIMINATE THE CEQA VIOLATIONS.
THE PROPOSED HEU DOES INCLUDE AFFORDABLE HOUSING AT 20 UNITS PER ACRE.
HIGH ROSE IS ACTUALLY ONE ACRE.
IF THE CITY REQUIRES HIGH ROSE TO HAVE 20 AFFORDABLE UNITS RATHER THAN SIX, THE DEVELOPER WILL GET TWO MORE WAIVERS.
ONE A HEIGHT INCREASE TO 62 FEET AND TWO, A FLOOR INCREASE FROM 47% TO 59%.
FOR THE 68 PROJECTS, THAT WILL INCREASE THE CITY POPULATION BY 48%.
NO QUESTION BUT THE HUNDRED PERCENT AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS A NO-BRAINER.
SO I WOULD LIKE, IF YOU CAN SOMEHOW FACTOR THIS IN TO THE HEU SO IT IS AN OPTION FOR THE CITY TO PURSUE.
THANK YOU.
>> Mayor Stern: THANK YOU, DON, FOR YOUR COMMENTS.
NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.
>> NEXT IS RAY JOSEPH.
>> HI.
I'M VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THROUGHOUT MANHATTAN BEACH WHERE THESE LOCATIONS ARE GOING TO BE AUTHORIZED.
IF YOU'RE GOING TO ALLOW 50, 60-ANOTHER TALL BUILDINGS IN DOWNTOWN MANHATTAN BEACH, THIS WILL DESTROY ALL PROPERTY VALUES IN AND AROUND DOWNTOWN MANHATTAN BEACH.
AND ALSO IN OTHER AREAS THROUGH TOWN.
WE NEED TO BE VERY CAREFUL ABOUT WHAT GOES IN AND WHERE THESE ZONING OVERLAYS GO INTO PLACE.
I TALKED TO A PLANNER THIS LAST WEEK AND THEY COULD NOT TELL ME WHETHER OR NOT SOMEBODY IN A SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSE COULD TEAR DOWN THEIR HOUSE, PUT IN LOW INCOME AND THEN GO UP 40 ON 50 FEET. THEY COULD NOT TELL ME WHERE THESE PROPERTIES WOULD GO ANYWHERE IN TOWN.
THEY HAD NO CLUE OF WHAT WAS HAPPENING IN THIS.
AND IT'S VERY, VERY CONCERNING BECAUSE AS A REALTOR, I CAN NO LONGER TELL THEM, THEY CAN'T BUILD UP AND BLOCK YOUR VIEW. THAT'S NOT SOMETHING I'M GOING TO BE ABLE TO SAY TO PEOPLE. WHO KNOWS.

MAYBE THEY CAN GO 60 FEET TALL IN FRONT OF YOU.
THAT WILL DESTROY SPIRE NEIGHBORHOODS.
WE DO NOT DO A RESIDENTIAL OVERLAY IN DOWNTOWN.
PICK VERY, VERY CAREFULLY WHERE YOU PUT THESE OVERLAYS AND WHERE
THESE BUILDINGS GO.
THIS IS VERY SCARY AND COULD TRULY DESTROY OUR COMMUNITY.
THANK YOU.
>> I HAVE BEEN FOLLOWING THIS PROCESS FROM THE VERY BEGINNING
AND I THINK I MUST HAVE LEFT A COMMENT AT E EVERY MEETING EXCEPT
THE LAST ONE.
I JUST WANT TO THANK THE PLANNING STAFF AND THE CONSULTANTS FOR
PUTTING THIS TOGETHER.
IT WAS A LOT OF WORK AND A LOT OF COMPLICATES REGULATIONS THAT
HAD TO BE NAVIGATED AROUND.
SO GIVEN THE COMPLICATED NATURE OF THIS. IT'S NOT A WHOLE LOT I
CAN SAY IN THREE MINUTES, BUT I PROBABLY, I MIGHT HAVE A
DIFFERENT SORT OF OPINION THAN A LOT OF COMMENTERS HERE IN THAT
I THINK THAT MORE HOUSING IN MANHATTAN BEACH IS A VERY GOOD
THING THAT DOING THIS, KIND OF, THING CREATING THE CAPACITY FROM
OUR HOUSING IT SHOULD BE DONE NOT JUST BECAUSE THE STATE IS
REQUIRING IT BECAUSE IT'S THE RIGHT THING TO DO ETHICALLY AND
MORALLY.
WE CAN'T HAVE THE CITY THAT'S JUST FOR THE SUPER-RICH.
THAT'S NOT SUSTAINABLE AND THAT'S NOT ETHICAL.
I THINK THAT'S -- THAT MIDDLE CLASS AND WORKING-CLASS FAMILIES
ALL DESERVE TO HAVE A HOME OWNERSHIP HERE AND, YOU KNOW,
MANHATTAN BEACH IS CURRENTLY INCLUSIVE IF YOU CAN AFFORD A \$4
MILLION HOUSE AND CURRENTLY NOT SUCH.
AND WE CURRENTLY DON'T HAVE A BALANCED HOUSING STACK.
70% OF THE RESIDENTS UNTIL LAST MONTH YOU CAN'T BUILD A X ON IT.
AND SO I'VE SEEN FRIENDS AND MAYBES MOVE AWAY DUE TO THE HIGH
HOUSING COST AND THAT REALLY EFFECTED MY QUALITY OF LIVE A LOT
MORE THAN AN APARTMENT BUILDING BEING BUILT WOULD BE NEGATIVE
EFFECT IT.
I THINK WE NEED MORE HOUSING FOR ALL INCOMES AND NOT JUST THOSE
WHO QUALIFY FOR SUBSIDIES.
ALSO I WANT TO SAY THAT IN OCTOBER OF TWO THOUSAND TWENTY I
ASKED THE COUNSEL TO LEGALIZE DUPLEXES AND IF THAT HAVE BEEN
DONE THEN THAT COULD HAVE BEEN USED TO SATISFY SOME OF THE
HOUSING ELEMENT.
BUT NOW DUPLEXES HAVE BEEN LEGALIZED BUT THE CITY HAS DECLINED
TO TAKE INITIATIVE ON THAT OR DO IT FIRST FOR THE STATE MANDATES
IT.
SO YOU, KIND OF, FOR GO TO USE THAT IN THE HOUSING ELEMENT.
SO I HOPE IN THE FUTURE THE CITY WILL CONSIDER TAKING MORE
INITIATIVE AND TAKING ACTION ON GETTING MORE HOUSING BEFORE.
I HOPE WE SEE A LOT MORE OF THAT IN THE COMING YEARS.

THANK YOU.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU ZACH FOR YOUR COMMENT NEXT COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS SUSAN LERNER.

>> GOOD EVENING, MAYOR STERN AND COUNCIL AND STAFF.

THANK YOU TO CITY STAFF.

I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU DID THAT.

BUT LISTENING TO DON MIC FEAR SON AND WE CANNOT AFFORD TO HAVE THIS DENSITY PROBLEM.

I THINK THAT'S THE PROBLEM.

BESIDE THE 50-FOOT HEIGHT IT'S SO DENSE.

IT'S ONE OF THE REASONS THAT I'M SPEAKING ABOUT THIS OUTDOOR DINING HOW AGAINST DOWNTOWN HAS BECOME AND IF WE DO IT THIS WAY AND APPROVE THAT PROJECT, WE CAN'T HAVE OUR POPULATION GROW BY ANOTHER 50%.

HOW ARE WE GOING TO DO THAT?

SO I JUST RECOMMEND TO THE CITY COUNCIL TO REALLY READ THAT BECAUSE I KNOW THAT HE DID A LOT OF RESEARCH ON THAT.

LET THE CITY BECOME THE LANDLORDS.

IT'S NOT THE END OF THE WORLD AND WE CAN CREATE LOW-COST HOUSING AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR PEOPLE.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, SUSAN, FOR YOUR COMMENT.

NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS PATRICIA AM BLOW.

>> HI, CAN YOU HEAR ME.

>> YES, WE CAN HEAR YOU.

>> HI.

IT'S PATRICIA I'VE LIVED HERE FOR 35 YEARS, AND IT IS COMPLICATING.

BUT I HAVE TO THINK THAT, YOU KNOW, I'VE LIVED HERE FOR 35 YEARS AND, YOU KNOW, I CAME IN AS A RENTER AND WORKED HARD AND IT TOOK A LONG TIME TO WAY A HOUSE AND MOVE FORWARD IN MY LIFE AND WHILE I THINK WE NEED TO HAVE DIFFERENT INCOME LEVELS I THINK ALL OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO WORKED HARD SHOULDN'T JUST HAVE THE DENSITY CRAMMED DOWN THEIR THROAT AND I THINK ABOUT ALL THOSE EL PORTO PEOPLE.

THIS PROJECT OF HIGH ROSE IS A BAD PROJECT FOR THE LOCATION AND IF WEREN'T FOR SACRAMENTO GIVEN MICK GOVERN ALL OF THESE CONCESSIONS.

WE HAVEN'T APPROVED IT.

THE TRAFFIC IS JUST GOING TO CHOKER THAT WHOLE PART OF SOUR CITY. SO WITH THAT SAID I FEEL LIKE WE DO NEED TO TAKE, OR THE CITY NEEDS TO TAKE CONTROL OF THIS ISSUE AND WE NEED TO BE PROACTIVE AND WHILE THIS THING WAS VACANT AND GOING THROUGH ROUNDS AND ROUNDS OF APPROVAL IN OUR CITY WHAT COULD WE HAVE DONE WITH THIS.

I KNOW IT WAS ADMINISTRABLE STATUS BUT AT THE SAME TIME THIS IS

A HUGE PROJECT THAT'S GOING TO CHANGE THINGS.
SO I WANT US TO BE PROACTIVE AND I ENCOURAGE OUR CITY COUNCIL TO
WRITE TO SACRAMENTO AND GET THEM TO COME DOWN AND SEE WHAT THE
TRUE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THIS PROPOSED BUILDING IS GOING TO
BE.

AND ANOTHER THING THAT MAKES ME UPSET ABOUT IT IS IF THERE IS
ONLY SIX UNIT THAT'S LOW INCOME, WHAT BENEFIT IS THAT.
OUR MAYBE FRIEND MICK GOVERN WHO IS OUR MANHATTAN BEACH
RESIDENTS IS SELLING US OUT TO MICK A FORT JUNE BECAUSE THOSE
OTHER UNIT ARE GOING TO BE HIGH RENT.

THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY, AND I HOPE WE CAN ALL COME TOGETHER
AND GET A GOOD SOLUTION.

THANK YOU, PATRICIA, FOR YOUR COMMENTS NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS CAROL PERRIN.

>> GOOD EVENING PAYOR STERN AND CITY COUNCIL.

I ALSO WASN'T GOING TO COMMENT ON THIS, BUT AFTER LISTENING TO
THE PROPOSAL PUT FORWARD AND I APPRECIATE ALL OF THE HARD WORK
THAT SENT IN IT AND LISTENING TO WHAT WAS SAID, IT SEEMS TO ME
THAT WE NEED TO BE PROACTIVE IN COMING UP WITH A MANHATTAN BEACH
SOLUTION THAT KEEPS OUR CITY SMALL AND WORKABLE AND IF WE ARE
REQUIRED TO GO ALONG WITH WHAT THE STATE IS MANDATING AND THAT
SPECIFICALLY LEADS ME TO COMMENT ON THE HIGH ROSE PROJECT
BECAUSE THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT IS IF THIS IS PART OF WHAT WE'RE
LOOKING TO GO DO TO REACH THE GOALS.

I MEAN IT'S A DOUBLE NEGATIVE.

I MEAN HAVING SIX UNITS DOESN'T DO ANYTHING TOWARD SOLVING THE
REQUIREMENTS THAT THIS CITY BEING PRESENTED TO ACCOMMODATE AND
ON THE SAME TIME IT'S GOING TO CREATE HUGE ISSUES FOR THE CITY
WITH DENSITY, WITH CHOKING OF OUR ROADWAYS.

AND JUST ACTUALLY JUST DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE, QUITE FRANKLY.
I ACTUALLY DIDN'T UNDERSTAND DONE MIC FEAR SON'S PROPOSAL UNTIL
I HEARD HIM SAY IT TONIGHT AND I DON'T KNOW THAT'S THE ANSWER
BUT IT IS A GOOD ANSWER TO COME UP WITH PLACES WHERE WE MIGHT
HAVE 100 PERCENT HOUSING WHERE WE MIGHT ACTUALLY MEET THESE
GOALS IN AREAS WHERE IT WON'T CAUSE DENSITY AND CHOKE UP OUR
STREETS AND OUR ROAD WAYS AND I JUST THINK THAT THE PLAN WILL
ALTHOUGH IT TOOK A LOT OF TIME AND EFFORT USING HIGH ROSE
PROJECT AS AN EXAMPLE, IT IS JUST UNWORKABLE.

SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO STAY.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU CAROL FOR YOUR COMMENT.

NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> THERE IS NO FURTHER REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS REGARDING
THIS ITEM.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY. THANK YOU SO NOW I WILL OPEN THIS UP
TO COUNCIL DISCUSSION AND I SEE THAT MAYOR PRO TEM NAPOLITANO
HAS HIS HAND UP.

>> THANK YOU, MAYOR.

I HAD A QUESTION EARLIER, BUT IT WAS, KIND OF, ANSWERED.

SO I'LL JUST GO ON TO COMMENTS IF THAT'S GOOD FOR YOU.

OKAY.

JUST WANT TO SAY THANKS AGAIN TO STAFF I THINK THE TERM USED WAS HERCULEAN EFFORT AND THAT'S FOR SURE AND ALSO TO LEES AND THE CITY'S CLERK'S OFFICE FOR -- THAT KILLED A FEW TREES WITH THAT, AND WE'LL ADDRESS THAT LATER.

BUT THANKS TO STAFF AND ALL OF THOSE INVOLVED ALTHOUGH NOT MANY WERE INVOLVED.

AND WHILE A LOT OF EFFORT WAS MADE WITH THAT SAID, WE'VE BEEN PUT REALLY, I FEEL AND A TENABLE POSITION.

IT'S FEBRUARY 1ST AND WE HAVE A DEADLINE OF FEBRUARY 12TH.

I'M SORRY I'VE NEVER BEEN A RUBBER STAMP AND NOT ON THIS.

THE PRESERVATION OF OUR SMALL TOWN AND LOW-PROFILE DEVELOPMENT IS THE DREAM AM OF OUR CONSTITUTION.

THIS PROPOSAL WHILE ATTEMPTING TO MEET CURRENT STATE LAW RENDERS THAT PREAMBLE USELESS AND I CAN'T SUPPORT OF THAT DESPITE EVERYTHING THAT EVERYONE HAS WRITTEN TO US ABOUT THE HIGH ROSE PROJECT WE HAVE -- A HIGH AMOUNT OF INPUT.

FOR ALL THE ANONYMOUS EMAILS GOING AROUND TALKING ABOUT BOOKS AND BRUCE'S BEACH AND EVERYTHING ELSE.

THIS IS A DECISION THAT'S GOING TO IMPACT OUR CITY FOR A LONG-TERM MORE THAN ANYTHING ELSE PROBABLY THAT WE'RE GOING TO DECIDE -- MAKE A DECISION ABOUT.

AND NOW IT'S UP TO US.

THIS ISN'T ABOUT OPPOSITION TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

IT'S ABOUT DENSITY AND LOCAL CONTROL AND NOTHING LESS THAN THE CHARACTER OF OUR CITY IS AT STAKE.

WITH THAT AT THE RISK OF HAVING THE HOUSING POLICE COMING AFTER US I RISK THAT I'M MORE THAN WILLING TO TAKE AND I WOULD -- TO DISCUSS POTENTIAL LITIGATION AND DISCUSS ALL OPTIONS OF THIS THEFT OF LOCAL CONTROL.

THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY: I CAN TELL YOU THIS.

THIS IS MY SECOND BITE ON THE APPLE HERE AND THOSE OF YOU WHO HAVE NOT HEARD IT.

THERE WAS NEVER A HINT OF THE PAST.

THIS IS SOMETHING THAT THE STATE DECIDED THAT EVERY EIGHT YEARS THEY GOT TO CITIES APRIL SAY HEY WHETHER I GIVE YOU MONEY OR NOT THERE IS SOMETHING I WANT YOU TO DO.

GO OUT THERE AND CREATE A MYTHICAL NUMBER.

MYTHICAL AND NOT BASED IN REALITY AND JUST PLAN FOR UNITS THAT WILL NEVER EVER BE BUILT.

ALL RIGHT.

EXERCISE MAKES CONSULTANTS HAPPY.
I THINK THE -- IT'S 774.
774.
PICK A NUMBER.
MAKE IT 17,074.
ALL I'M SAYING IS THIS IS NOT BASED IN REALITY.
THE STATE DOES NOT BUILD HOUSING.
THE CITY SHOULDN'T LET -- ASK PEOPLE TO PAY ATTENTION TO EMINENT
DOMAIN.
SO SOMEONE WANTS US TO GO OUT AND BUY PROPERTY FOR THEM TO
CREATE HOUSING FOR IT.
IT WAS A DISASTER IN LA WHEN THEY TRIED.
SO THIS IS WHAT HAPPENS THE STATE PUTS IT OUT THERE AND SAYS THE
WORD PLAN FOR IT.
NOT BUILD IT.
CITIES DO NOT BUILD HOUSING.
LOW-INCOME MODERATE HIGH INCOME.
THAT'S NOT OUR JOB.
SOMEONE WANTS TO BUY A PIECE OF LAND.
SOMEONE I TOLD EARLIER WE DON'T STOP YOU FROM BUYING A I PIECE
OF LAND IF YOU WANT TO BUILD APARTMENTS ON IT.
GO FOR IT.
THAT'S UP TO YOU.
WE DON'T STOP YOU FROM DOING IT AS LONG AS IT'S DISOWNED FOR
MULTI-FAMILY.
THIS CAME FROM THE STATE.
THAT'S THE MAINTAINING TO UNDERSTAND.
IT CAME FROM THE STATE.
I THINK STEVE AND I HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THIS ALONG WITH
STAFF FOR FOUR YEARS NOW.
UNTIL WE'RE BLUE IN THE FACE.
CONTACT YOUR STATE SENATOR. CONTACT YOUR STATE ASSEMBLYMEMBER.
AND NOTHING HAPPENS.
ALL OF A SUDDEN PEOPLE WAKE UP AND SAY HEY OUR TOWN IS GOING TO
BE CUT.
[INAUDIBLE] IT'S AFTER THE FACT.
THERE ARE CITIES OUT THERE AND [INAUDIBLE] TO TAKE BACK LOCAL
CONTROL.
THE STATE HAS STRIPPED INDUSTRIES LOCAL CONTROL. THAT'S WHAT IT
IS.
YOU HAVE TO WAKE UP AND REALIZE WHAT'S GOING ON HERE.
THIS IS NOT THE CITY DOING THIS.
NOT EVEN THE COUNTY DOING THIS.
THIS IS THE STATE DOING THIS TO US.
YOU WANT US TO FIGHT BACK.
THIS IS ONE STEP.
INITIATIVE THEIR TRYING TO PUB PUSH OUT THERE IS ONE HUGE STEP.

IN THE MEANTIME I THINK THIS IS ONE OF THE WORSE DISASTERS THAT WE'VE SEEN COME OUT OF SACK AMOUNT OH.
I THINK RAY JOSEPH SAID IT RIGHT.
THIS IS THE TIP OF WHAT WE'RE GOING TO SEE.
YOU HAVE TO MAKE A STAND AND THEN MOVE FORWARD.
WE HAVE TO TALK ABOUT WHAT OUR OPTIONS ARE.
THAT'S A GREAT STARTING POINT.
WE'LL DECIDE FROM THIS BUT COMING TO YOU AND CARRYING YOUR STAFF.
I LOVE WHAT YOU DID.
THIS IS MY SECOND ONE TO READ.
THANK YOU FOR THE HARD WORK ON IT.
AFTER THAT I STILL NEED TO TAKE A PAUSE BUTTON ON IT AND SEE WHAT WE NEED TO DO THE COUNCIL NEED TO DO ABOUT TAKING THIS FORWARD.
I'LL STOP THERE YOUR HONOR.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU COUNCIL MEMBER HADLEY.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER HADLEY: THANK YOU SO MUCH.
I'M CERTAINLY OPEN TO NOT FILING THE DOOR STOP WITH THE STATE IF WE CAN GET AWAY WITH IT.
I'M WILLING TO CHALLENGE THAT.
YOU KNOW I'M JUST TELLING PEOPLE WHO WRITE TO ME THAT THIS IS IN COMPUTER PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE, THIS IS NOT A BUG OF STATE LAW. THIS IS LITERALLY THE FEATURE.
I DON'T VOTE FOR ANY OF THE SACRAMENTO POLITICIANS WHO HAVE PASSED THESE LAWS AND I HAVE NOT VOTED FOR GOVERNOR BROWN OR GOVERNOR NEWSOM AND IF YOU VOTED FOR GOVERNOR NEWSOM IN THE RECALL WHICH IS ONE IN MANHATTAN BEACH ABOUT NINE IN 30.
HE SIGNED SB -- RIGHT AFTER HE BEAT THE RECALL.
IF HE HAD LOST THE RECALL, HE COULD NOT HAVE SIGNED THEM, AND I DOUBT HIS OPPONENT.
SO ELECTIONS COULD HAVE CONSEQUENCES.
IT BREAKS MY HEART WHEN I SAY THIS HAS BEEN HIDING IN PLAIN SIGHT.
MY OWN FAMILY AND HUSBAND INVESTED SIX YEARS OF HIS LIFE TRYING TO CHANGE THAT IN SACRAMENTO AND I CALL HIM CASSANDRA FROM GREEK MYTHOLOGY BECAUSE EVERYTHING HE PREDICTED ABOUT THE LOCAL STRIPPING AWAY OF CONTROL IN SACRAMENTO AND HE LOST THE SECOND TIME AND THAT'S GREAT AND THAT'S DEMOCRACY AND I BET YOU A LOT OF PEOPLE DID NOT VOTE FOR MY HUSBAND A SECOND TIME AND THAT'S YOUR RIGHT.
BUT THIS IS THE PLAN IN SACRAMENTO.
WE HAVE ONE PARTY.
THEY WILL BUILD MORE HOUSING.
THEY WILL JAM IT INTO COMMUNITIES THAT DON'T WANT IT.
THEY WILL STRIP AWAY LOCAL CONTROL AND I ALSO TELL RESIDENTS WHO WRITE TO ME I SAY SACRAMENTO WOULD LIKE NOTHING MORE THAN TO RUN

THE WHOLE STATE OUT OF SACRAMENTO.
THEY DO NOT THINK COMMUNITIES LIKE MAN HAT ON BEACH ARE NOT
SMART ENOUGH OR MORAL ENOUGH TO RUN OUR OWN CITY.
THEY DON'T LIKE US, AND THEY LIKE WHAT WE CAN DO AND DO IT
EFFICIENTLY AND FINANCIALLY AND THEY'RE JUST GOING TO TAKE IT
AWAY. AND THEY HAVE SUPER MAJORITIES IN THE HOUSE AND THE
ASSEMBLY, AND THEY HAVE SUPER MAJORITIES IN THE SENATE, AND THEY
HAVE A GOVERNOR WHO WILL SIGN WHATEVER THEY SEND HIM.
SO RICHARD IS RIGHT.
THIS HAS BEEN A LONG TIME COMING TEN YEARS OR MORE.
SOME OF US HAVE SEEN IT AND NOW THE COMMUNITY IS WAKING UP.
AND I WELCOME THE CONVERSATION.
I'M GLAD THAT THERE IS OUTRAGE.
SO I FEEL LIKE WE'VE BEEN A VOICE CRYING OUT IN THE WILDERNESS.
SO COME ONBOARD.
THE PROBLEM IS ONCE IT'S STATE LAW, YOU KNOW.
THESE BUILDING WILL BE APPROVED, AND THEY MAY BE BUILT.
WE MAY PREVAIL SOMEDAY, BUT THE DAMAGE MAY ALREADY BE DONE
BECAUSE THIS IS STATE LAW AND AT SOME POINT, THE STAY NEEDS TO
FOLLOW STATE LAW OR WE'RE GOING TO SPEND A LOT OF TIME AND MONEY
ON LITIGATION AS STEVE MENTIONS.
SO I'M WILLING TO MIX IT UP.
I LOVE TO FIGHT, AND WE NEED A LOT MORE PEOPLE TO FIGHT AND WE
NEED A LOT MORE PEOPLE TO THINK ABOUT CHANGING THEIR VOTE IN
2022.
THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN: I'VE BEEN THINKING ABOUT THIS A
LOT.
AND THERE IS PLENTY OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING.
IT'S JUST NOT IN CALIFORNIA.
IT'S JUST NOT AT THE BEACH.
YOU'RE THIS IS A FANTASY TRYING TO PUSH AGAINST MARKET FORCES.
WE ALL MOVED HERE.
I LIVED IN SO MANY NONMANHATTAN BEACH LIKE PLACES BEFORE I GOT
HERE.
GAINING EXPERIENCE.
SAVING MY MONEY.
INVESTING MY MONEY.
HOPING THAT I COULD FIND A PLACE LIKE THIS AND WHEN I DID, YOU
KNOW, BARELY BEING ABLE TO GET IN, AND TO SCRAPE BY.
THAT STORY IS TOLD OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN IN MANHATTAN
BEACH AND NOW YOUR REWARD IS THEY'RE GOING TO BUILD 20-FOOT --
20 STORY HIGH AFFORDABLE HOUSING BUILDINGS BECAUSE SACRAMENTO
WANTS YOU TO.
THEY LOOK AT YOU AND THEY GO, WELL THAT'S NOT FAIR.
WELL, LOT OF THINGS IN LIFE ARE NOT FAIR.

BUT YOU CAN GO TO WHERE THE HOUSING IS AFFORDABLE.
YOU CAN GO TO WHERE YOU CAN MAKE A LIVING WAGE AND AFFORD THE HOME.
YOU'RE JUST NOT GOING TO DO IT IN A PLACE LIKE MANHATTAN BEACH CALIFORNIA.
THIS READ LIKE A SCIENCE FICTION NOVEL.
I WAS WONDERING WHERE I WAS.
SO I'M NOT BLAMING STAFF.
THEY HAVE TO DO -- THIS IS CRIMINAL FOR SACRAMENTO TO MAKE US DO THIS AND TO GO THROUGH THIS.
THIS CHARADE.
IT'S ONE OF THE THINGS ONE OF THE REASONS WHY I RAN FOR COUNCIL.
WE HAVE TO PUT A STOP TO THIS, KIND OF, STUFF.
SO I'M ALL FOR FIGHTING IT.
I'M ALL FOR JOINING FORCE WAS OTHER CITIES AND PUSHING BACK AND ABSOLUTELY TAKE CARE OF WHO YOU'RE VOTING FOR IF YOU WANT TO RUIN MANHATTAN BEACH.
KEEP GOING THE WAY YOU'RE GOING.
SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.
THANK YOU.
OKAY.
SO WE HAVE A HOUSING ELEMENT AND WE'VE GOT A JOB TO DO BECAUSE WE HAVE NUMBERS THAT WE'VE BEEN GIVEN.
YOU KNOW SKAGGS HAD TO COME UP WITH THESE NUMBERS I DON'T REMEMBER WHEN WE'VE GOT THE NUMBER, BUT IT'S BEEN MORE THAN A YEAR.
THERE WERE LOTS OF OPPORTUNITIES AT THAT TIME AS WELL TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DEAMINATION OF THESE NUMBERS AND THE ALLOCATION.
OF THESE NUMBERS BACK THEN AS WELL.
AND I DON'T WANT TO GET INTO THIS POLITICAL DISCUSSION ABOUT WHO WE'RE GOING TO VOTE FOR.
WE HAVE SOMETHING WE HAVE TO SOLVE NOW.
WE HAVE A HOUSING CRISIS IN CALIFORNIA.
AND WE, YOU KNOW, LET'S BE CLEAR ABOUT THAT.
WE HAVE A HOUSING CRISIS IN CALIFORNIA.
EVERYONE THAT I'M SURROUNDING MYSELF WITH HERE. WE ALL HERE CONSTANTLY THE CONCERNS ABOUT THE UNSHELTERED WHO HAVE HAD THE UTMOST IN HOUSING CRISIS IN CALIFORNIA.
SO LET'S NOT FORGET THAT WHEN WE ARE SAYING THERE IS, YOU KNOW, WE HAVE NO RESPONSIBILITY HERE.
THIS IS SOMEONE ELSE'S RESPONSIBILITY.
WE DO HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY.
WE ARE A CITY WITH HOUSING, AND WE HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO ASSIST WITH HOUSING ISSUES AND ADDRESS THE HOUSING CRISIS AS WELL.

I WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND IF SOMEBODY IS GOING TO BE PROPOSING, I THINK THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF DISCUSSION ABOUT FIGHTING THIS IN SOME WAY AND I'M NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND HOW THAT TIMING WOULD WORK.

STEVE, YOU HAD SAID THAT YOU WOULD.

>> I MADE A MOTION TO CALL FOR POTENT.

>> SECOND, YOUR HONOR.

>> IF IT'S CLOSED SESSION I'M NOT GOING TO GET INTO DETAILS ABOUT.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: I UNDERSTAND THAT.

BUT WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND HOW THE TIMING OF THIS IS GOING TO WORK GIVEN THAT THE TIMING IS THE 12TH.

>> IT MAKES NO DIFFERENCE TO ME.

>> IF THIS ITEM PASSES AND I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT WE SCHEDULE A CLOSED SESSION PERHAPS THIS FRIDAY.

WE'LL HAVE TO LOOK AT OUR CALENDARS.

BUT CONTINUING THIS ITEM AND HAVING A CLOSED SESSION.

>> I WANT TO MAKE IF CLEAR I'M UNCONCERNED ABOUT THE FEBRUARY 12TH DEALIGNMENT IF OTHERS HAVE A CONCERN, THEN THEY HAVE A CONCERN I'M NOT CONCERNED ABOUT IT. YES, THERE ARE CONSEQUENCES I'M SURE THEY ARE.

BUT IT'S NOT GOING TO HAPPEN ON FEBRUARY 13TH.

I DON'T THINK SO.

>> THERE ARE NO DEADLINES THAT WE HAVE TO COMPLY WITH.

>> WHAT HAPPENS IF WE DON'T.

>> THAT'S SOMETHING WE'LL DISCUSS IN CLOSED SESSION.

>> OKAY.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN, DID YOU HAVE YOUR HAND UP AGAIN.

>> I'M SORRY COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> I DID YOUR HONOR, BUT CITY ATTORNEY ANSWERED MY QUESTION.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER NAPOLITANO WANTS TO MAKE A MOTION TO CONTINUE THE ITEM.

>> I'LL AMEND THE MOTION TO CONTINUE.

>> I'LL SECOND.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: SO WE HAVE A MOTION, AND A SECOND CAN WE HAVE A ROLL CALL VOTE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER HADLEY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER NAPOLITANO.

>> YES.

>> MAYOR STERN.

>> YES, SINCE THE PUBLIC HEARING WAS CLOSED, WE JUST NEED TO

CONTINUE THE ITEM PURE SUE ANT TO THAT MOTION.
SO I'LL -- WE'LL POLL THE CITY COUNCIL MEN AND SEE WHETHER WE
CAN HAVE A CLOSED SESSION OR CONTINUE TO CONSIDER THIS MATTER.
OKAY.

IS THAT CLEAR.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THAT'S CLEAR.

THANK YOU.

OKAY.

WE ARE MOVING ON AND I'M GOING TO LOOK FOR CLOSELY AT WHAT I'M
READING.

IN THE PUBLIC HEARING'S SECTION WE ARE NOW ON ITEM NUMBER 17 A
PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER INTERIM ORDINANCE 21-0009-YOU AND
THAT IS DIRECTLY TODAY.

>> THIS ITEM IS TO DISCUSS THE EXTENSION OF AND INTERIM
ORDINANCE WHICH WAS ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL ON DECEMBER 21ST
IN RESPONSE TO THE RECENTLY ADOPTED STATE LEGISLATION KNOWN AS
SENATE BILL NINE.

LET'S SEE CAN I -- THERE WE ARE.

JUST A BRIEF REFRESH.

I KNOW THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF NEWS ON IT. IT'S APPLICABLE TO THE
SINGLE-FAMILY HOME AND AS SUCH IT'S ONLY APPLICABLE IN THE RS
ZONE.

THIS IS FULLY OUTSIDE OF THE COASTAL ZONE AND IN A NUTSHELL IS
ALLOWS FOR A SECOND SINGLE FAMILY HOME TO BE BUILT ON EVERY
SINGLE-FAMILY PARCEL AND THE SENATE BILL NINE WAS VERY DETAILED
IN LIMITED YOU KNOW WHAT CITIES COULD REGULATE BUT THE TOPIC
TOUCHED ONSET BACKS AND WERE LIMITED TO SETBACKS.
WE HAVE TO ALLOW A CERTAIN SIZE WHICH IS 800 SQUARE FOOT PER
SEWN UNIT.

THERE ARE CERTAIN -- FOR EXISTING STRUCTURES AND LOCATIONS THAT
ARE EITHER REBUILT OR RECONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTED.

IT DOES ALLOW US TO REQUIRE PARKING BUT NOT IN THE SITE IS NEAR
TRANSIT FOR EXAMPLE AND SO ON AND TO FORTH.

THE GOOD THING IS THERE WERE ALLOWANCES TO PROHIBIT SHORT TERM
RENTALS AND NONRESIDENT USES.

SO THE STATE ADOPTED SB9 WITH ALL THOSE REGULATIONS AND SB9 IS
MANDATORY FOR CITIES TO IMPLEMENT.

IT IS NOT VOLUNTARY.

HOWEVER, IT DOES ALLOW FOR CITIES TO ADOPT LOCAL ORDINANCES THAT
HAVE OBJECTIVE DEVELOPMENTS STANDARDS.

YOU KNOW, IN ORDER TO MAKE FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS FIT IN A LITTLE
MORE WITH EXISTING NEIGHBORHOODS THAT ALL SB9S ARE ADMINISTERIAL
AND THROUGH A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT COMPLICATIONS YOU CAN GET FOUR
UNITS ON TO AND ORIGINAL SINGLE-FAMILY LOTS.

IN A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT ITERATIONS.

SO NO PUBLIC HEARING AND NO DISCRETIONARY REVIEW.

SO JUST A QUICK BACKGROUND ON HOW WE GOT TO WHERE WE ARE TODAY,

AND THEY ADOPTED THE ORDINANCE AND I'LL TALK ABOUT THAT IN THE NEXT COUPLE OF SLIDES.

THAT ORDINANCE WAS VALID FOR 45 DAYS AND THAT MEAN THAT'S IT EXPIRES FEBRUARY 4TH AND WE'RE HERE TO ASK FOR AN EXTENSION OF 10 MONTHS AND 15 DAYS.

PRIOR TO THAT THE CITY HAD TO DO WHAT'S CALLED AN ALLEVIATION MEASURES REPORT AND THE CITY COUNCIL DID THAT ON JANUARY 18 AS REQUIRED 10 DAYS PRIOR TO THE REQUESTED EXTENSION AND THAT REPORT DETAILED WHAT THE CITY HAS DONE IN THE MEANTIME TO ADDRESS THE SB9 REGULATIONS.

AND SO EXTENSIONS FOR -- DO REQUIRE A HEARING WHICH IS WHY IT'S BEING CONDUCTED AS A HEARING TONIGHT.

I JUST HAVE -- NUMBER ONE WE CREATED AN APPLICATION PROCESS AND FEE FOR SB9 PROJECTS WE COULD REQUIRE THAT APPLICANTS PROVIDE ALL THE NECESSARY INFORMATION THAT PROVE THAT THEIR PROPERTY IS ELIGIBLE AND THEN WE ALSO REQUIRE A COVENANT MAKING SURE THAT ALL REGULATIONS ARE PRIED WITH FOR THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT AND THEN LASTLY THE OBJECTIVE DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS THAT I'LL TALK ABOUT ON THE NEST SLIDE.

SORRY I FORGOT ABOUT THE CHECK MARKS.

OKAY.

JUST QUICKLY I DON'T EXPECT YOU TO READ THE WHOLE SLIDE, BUT WE WERE ABLE TO REQUIRE ONE PARKING SPACE PER UNIT.

WE DID DO AND EXERCISE AND PROVED THAT ALL THE SINGLE FAMILY MAYBE NEIGHBORHOODS IN THE CITY WHILE THEIR NEAR BUS STOPS DO NOT MEET THE CRITERIA OF THE TRANSIT OR THE TRANSIT CORRIDOR. SO WE ARE ABLE TO REQUIRE PARK.

WE ARE REQUIRING CERTAIN ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES MAKING SURE THAT ROOF FLASHING VENTS AND TYPES AND THINGS LIKE THAT MATCH.

THE COLOR OF THE HOUSE WE'RE REQUIRING TRASH AREAS.

WE REQUIRE PEDESTRIAN WALKWAYS.

DRIVEWAY WIDTHS FOR MARE NARROW LOTS AND URBAN LOT SPLITS AND THAT'S THE ABLE TO SPLIT A SINGLE-FAMILY LOT INTO TWO. WE'RE NOT ALLOWING FAG LOTS IF IT'S NEXT TO A CORNER OR ALLEY OR THROUGH LOT -- A MINIMUM LOT WIDTH AND ALSO ACCESS TO THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY.

SO NO CREATING LAND LOCKS PARCELS.

NOW IN THE COVENANT REQUIREMENT -- THOSE INCLUDE THAT YOU CANNOT OPERATE NONRESIDENTIAL USES.

SO KNOW BUSINESSES AND THAT, KIND OF, THING.

NO SHORT-TERM RENTALS.

NO RESPLITTING THE LOT.

OWNER OCCUPANCY FOR THREE YEARS MINIMUM AND YOU MUST MAINTAIN YOUR REQUIRED PARKING.

SO YOU CAN'T REMOVE THE PARKING SPACE THAT WAS PART OF THE SB9 PROJECT.

SO THESE ARE SOME OF THE OCTAVE STANDARDS THAT'S WE WERE ABLE TO

ADOPT THAT WE CAN FORCE IN CONJUNCTION WITH OUR MANDATORY IMPLEMENTATION OF SB9.

MOVING TO CEQA.

SO IN ADOPTING SB9 THE STATE INCLUDED WHAT'S CALLED A STATUTORY EXCEPTION FROM CEQA.

SO SB9 LOCAL ORDINANCES ARE NOT SUBJECT TO CEQA AND ALSO FOR THIS HEARING WE DID PUBLISH IN THE BEAM REPORTER.

SO WITH THAT THE STAFF IS RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING AND ALSO ADOPT THE ORDINANCE TO EXTEND THE INTERIM ORDINANCE THAT WAS ADOPTED ON THE FIRST AND WITH THAT I CAN TAKE ANY QUESTIONS.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU DIRECTOR TIA.

I DON'T SEE ANY QUESTIONS -- OH, COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> THANK YOU.

DIRECTOR TIA.

AND THIS RELATES ONLY TO NINE AND NOT SB10 AND WHY DON'T WE NEED AN ORDINANCE FOR SB10.

>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR QUESTION, COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

SB10 OPTIONAL.

SO IF A JURISDICTION WISHES TO IMPLEMENT SB10 SO IT WOULD ALLOW PEOPLE TO BUILD UP TO TEN MINUTES. AND A LOCAL JURISDICTION TO ADOPT AN ORDINANCE TO ALLOW FOR THAT.

HOWEVER, THE CITY DOES NOT HAVE A PLAN FOR THAT, AND WE WERE NOT DIRECTED TO ADOPT AN ORDINANCE FOR SB10, AND WE DID NOT DO THAT.

>> AND SB NOTCH DID -- IS THAT CORRECT.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

IT'S MANDATORY IMPLEMENTATION.

YOU ARE ABLE TO ADOPT THESE LOCAL STANDARDS TO ENSURE THAT THE SB9 DEVELOPMENT HAS CRITERIA SO THAT IT FITS INTO EXISTING NEIGHBORHOODS, AND THIS IS STATE LAW AND NOT COUNTY LAW CORRECT.

>> SB9 IS STATE LAW.

>> GREAT THANK YOU.

>> SURE.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS.

OKAY.

I DON'T SEE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS WE'LL OPEN IT UP FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

DO WE HAVE ANYONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> WE DO HAVE ZACH DEAN.

>> HELLO.

THANK YOU, MAYOR STERN AND COUNCIL.

FIRST, I WANT TO SAY THAT I REALLY RESPECT AND APPRECIATE ALL FIVE OF YOU AND YOUR DEDICATION AND WORK IN OUR CITY.

BUT LORD, YOUR VIEWS ON HOUSING ARE JUST AWFUL.

I MEAN WE'RE NOT GOING TO EXILE PEOPLE FROM THE STATE JUST BECAUSE YOU DON'T WANT TO BUILD AN APARTMENT BUILDING, I'M SORRY THAT'S JUST AN AWFUL THING TO SAY.

THERE IS PLENTY OF ROOM HERE FOR FAMILIES THAT NEED HOUSING. EVERY SINGLE UNIT THAT WE ADD TO THE CITY WE SHOULD BE CELEBRATING AND NOT SCORNING AND NOT UNDER MINING STATE HOUSING LAWS.

WE SHOULD BE WELCOMING AND INCLUSIVE TO PEOPLE AND CELEBRATE PEOPLE WANTING TO JOIN OUR COMMUNITY NOT TRYING TO SABOTAGE PEOPLE TRYING TO BUILD HOUSING FOR THEM.

I MEAN IT'S GREAT THAT PEOPLE WANT TO JOIN OUR COMMUNITY. FAMILIES NEED HOUSING.

SO I REALLY RESPECT ALL OF YOU AND I THINK THAT YOUR TIME COULD BE MUCH BETTER SPENT THAN TRYING TO UNDER MIND STATE HOUSING LAW.

BUT I REALIZE THAT WE HAVE A DIFFERENCE OF OPINION ON THAT AND, YOU KNOW, I REALLY RESPECT THE DIFFERENCE IN OUR OPINION AND SO THANK YOU.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU ZACH FOR YOUR COMMENT.

NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS RAY JOSEPH.

>> YES, HI.

ULTIMATELY WHAT -- REAL ESTATE PRICES ARE JOBS.

WE'RE LUCKY IN CALIFORNIA TO HAVE A VERY STRONG JOB MARKET.

THE CHALLENGE THAT WE HAVE IS WE HAVE TONS OF OPEN LAND ALL OVER CALIFORNIA BUT NOT AT THE COAST.

IF WE WERE TO FIGURE OUT WAYS TO DRIVE LOWER INCOME BUSINESSES OR BUSINESSES THAT DON'T HAVE THE HIGHER END EMPLOY EASE OR INLAND, THEN WE COULD MOVE SOME OF THE POPULATION.

THE STATE IS DOING LOTS OF THINGS TO DRIVE UP THE COST OF HOUSING.

RENT CONTROL.

YOU KNOW.

TITLE 24.

ALL THESE DIFFERENT THINGS ARE DRIVING UP THE COST OF HOUSING.

I WORKED REALLY HARD TO BUY HOUSING OVER THE YEARS FOR MYSELF AND IT'S BEEN A CHALLENGE.

YOU KNOW THE DOWN MARKET I LITERALLY WORK MY BUTT OFF TO SAVE MY HOUSE.

SO I WAS WONDERING IF WE WERE GOING DO ANY, KIND OF, CREATIVE APPROACHES.

FOR EXAMPLE BECAUSE OF ALL THE STUFF THEY'RE DOING IN SACRAMENTO DOES OUR ZONING MAP EVEN MATTER ANYMORE.

WHAT IF IT WERE TO REZONE FAMILIES IN THE RESIDENTIAL AREAS.

DOES THAT HELP US OR PROTECT US FROM WHAT THESE GUYS WANT TO DO?

SO I'M JUST TRYING TO LOOK FOR CREATIVE APPROACHES TO BE ABLE TO

PRESERVE OUR COMMUNITIES BECAUSE PEOPLE WHO HAVE WORKED HARD TO

BUY PROPERTIES HERE, THEY WANT TO HAVE BACKYARDS FOR THEIR KIDS.

THEY WANT THAT NATURAL SUN LIGHT COMING INTO THEIR PROPERTY.

THIS IS ONE THING WHETHER BUILDING TALL PROPERTIES IS TO LOOK

FOR THE SHADOW PATTERNS AROUND THE NEIGHBORHOOD.
THESE ARE BIG DEALS THAT THEY LOOK AT WHEN THEY BUILD TALL, TALL
BUILDING.

AND SO WE NEED TO HAVE THE BIGGER BUILDINGS IN THE MORE LOGICAL
BUILDING ALONG THE PERIPHERAL.

SO EVERYTHING WE CAN DO TO HELP, YOU KNOW, THE OVER STRETCH OF
SACRAMENTO WOULD BE HUGE BECAUSE IT'S VERY, VERY DIFFICULT IN
OUR UNIQUE COMMUNITY.

SO I APPLAUD ALL OF OUR EFFORTS IN TRYING TO PRESERVE OUR
COMMUNITY.

THANK YOU SO MUCH.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

NEXT IS CAROL KAREN.

>> STUDENT: I JUST HAVE A SHORT COMMENT.

I JUST WANT TO THANK ALL THE COUNCIL MEMBERS FOR ALL THEIR
COMMENTS.

I'M LISTENING AND I DON'T THINK ANYONE IS TRYING TO KEEP PEOPLE
OUT OR AT LEAST I HOPE NOT. BUT WE HAVE A SMALL COMMUNITY
PHYSICALLY WE HAVE A SMALL COMMUNITY.

WE ONLY HAVE SO MANY TREATS AND SO MANY SIDEWALKS AND IT'S A
SMALL TOWN AND IT'S ALREADY BUILT.

SO TO TRY TO INCREASE THE DENSITY IS VERY, VERY DIFFICULT.

IT'S MORE DIFFICULT THAN EVEN LET'S SAY HERMOSA THAT HAS LOTS OF
WIDER STREET AND WIDER AREAS AND OTHER COMMUNITIES IN THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA.

SO I JUST REALLY WANT TO, AGAIN, THANK THE CITY COUNCIL FOR
LOOKING AT OUR CITY AS THE JEWEL IT IS AND I KNOW THAT WHEN THEY
GO ON TO CLOSED SESSION OR WHATEVER THEY COULD, THEY WILL LOOK
AT WHAT WE CAN DO TO BE FAIR AND JUST BUT ALSO TO PRESERVE THE
JEWEL OF OUR COMMUNITY.

SO JUST REALLY WANTED TO SHOUT OUT TO SAY THANK YOU.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU CAROL FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

ARE THERE ANY OTHER REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT?

>> THERE IS NO ADDITION AT REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS
REGARDING THIS ITEM.

>>

>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.

SO COUNCIL MEMBERS, DISCUSSION.

COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY: THANK YOU YOUR HONOR.

I LISTEN TO ALL THIS.

NO ONE IS TRYING TO KEEP ANYONE OUT.

PLEASE.

YOU WANT TO BUILD A HOME HERE.

THAT'S GREAT.

WE LIKE DEVELOPMENT HERE TOO.

AND PEOPLE'S HOMES LIKE TO BUILD A NEW HOME HERE.

THEIR ALL RIGHT IN THE RIGHT ZONE LOCATION.
SO THAT'S A FALSE STANDARD THAT WE'RE TRYING TO KEEP ANYONE OUT.
I DON'T WANT TO HEAR THAT AGAIN.
THE KEY TO MANHATTAN BEACH IS WE LOVE EVERYONE IN LOW DENSITY
AND ZONING IN THE RIGHT LOCATION.
THAT'S THE KEY.
YOU WANT TO KNOW WHERE WE'RE SO AGGRESSIVE AND DEFENSIVE IF YOU
LIVE HERE MORE THAN SIX MONTHS YOU WILL UNDERSTAND.
SO I'LL MAKE THIS EASY.
I'LL MOVE TO ADOPT ORDINANCE NUMBER 22-0009-2 AND EXTEND THE
ORDINANCE FOR 10 MONTHS AND 15 DAYS.
>> I'LL SECOND THAT YOUR HONOR.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU I HAVE A MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER
MONTGOMERY AND I SECOND BY COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> DO YOU HAVE A COMMENT TO MAKE BEFORE WE GO.
>> YES, YOUR HONOR.
JUST A COUPLE OF THINGS REAL FAST.
I JUST WANT TO ADDRESS ZACH DEAN.
HOW REFRESHING IT IS TO SAY THAT THEY CAN RESPECT DIFFERENCES
AND STILL BE ABLE TO CONTINUE IN DIALOG.
THAT'S HOW IT SHOULD BE.
SO I APPRECIATE THAT.
I ALSO WANT TO SAY TO COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY'S POINT.
NO ONE IS KEEPING ANYONE OUT.
BUT WE'RE FORCING MORE DENSITY.
OR BEING FORCED TO TRY AND ADD ON MORE DENSITY WITHOUT ANY
ADDITIONAL MONEY OR SPACE TO ADD INFRASTRUCTURE.
ACCENT OH IS INCREASING THESE LAWS TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF
PEOPLE IN OUR TOWN WITHOUT GIVING US ANY MONEY TO ADDRESS THE
INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS THE PIPES THE ROADS THE SIDEWALKS AND ALL
THESE THINGS THAT WE NEED TO ABSORB ADDITIONAL FOLKS.
WE'RE NOT GETTING THAT.
WE DON'T HAVE ROOM TO PUT IN ADEQUATE BIKE LANES WHICH IS
DISAPPOINTING.
BUT WE DO HAVE MORE THAN ENOUGH CAPACITY TO ABSORB THESE UNITS
IN OUR CURRENT ZONING.
WE DO.
NOW IT MIGHT BE BUILT A 4 PLEX MIGHT NOT BE BUILT WHERE A FAMILY
IS NOW, AND IT MIGHT NOT BE BIT FOR A LONG TIME BECAUSE OF THAT
BUT IF THESE MINUTES ARE NOT NECESSARILY BUILT, WE JUST HAVE TO
PLAN FOR IT.
WE'VE ALREADY PLANNED FOR IT.
WE CAN ALREADY ABSORB THESE IF SOMEONE TAKES AWAY THAT SINGLE
FAMILY HOME AND BUILDS A FOUR FLEX AND THEY'RE CHOOSING NOT TO.
AND THAT'S WHAT THE -- IN FACT, YOUR HONOR I'M GOING IT SUPPORT
THE MOTION.
THANK YOU.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU AND IF WE HAVE NO MORE COMMENTS, I WILL ASK FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE.

>> MAYOR THERE IS -- AND INTERIM ORDINANCE IN THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH ASKED AND ANSWERING METH ORDINANCE 21-0009--YOU ADOPTING REGULATIONS RELATED TO URBAN LOT SPLITS AND HOUSING UNITS BUILT IN ACCORDANCE WITH SB 9 AND DECLARING THE URGENCY THERE OF.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU ROLL CALL VOTE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> YES.

>> MAYOR PRO TEM NAPOLITANO.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> TO PRESERVE AND PROTECT, YES.

>> THAT ORDINANCE IS ADOPTED TONIGHT AND EFFECTIVE NEED NEEDLY AND IT WILL BE GONE TORE TEN MONTHS AND 15 DAYS.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU.

OKAY.

WE WILL MOVE ON TO ITEM L GENERAL BUSINESS AND NUMBER 18 IS REVIEW OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT APARTMENT AND WORK PLAN AND PRIORITY FOR THE LONG-TERM OUTDOOR DINING AND AT THIS TIME THIS IS WHERE WE ARE.

SO ONCE AGAIN, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR TAI.

THIS IS YOUR DAY.

>> THANK YOU, MAYOR STERN AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL.

ALL RIGHT.

THAT'S THE WRONG ONE.

SORRY ABOUT THAT.

HANG ON A MINUTE.

WHY DO I HAVE SO MANY WINDOWS ON BY SCREEN?

BEAR WITH ME.

>> THAT SAN DO YOU KNOW PARK.

>> LOVE THE TIGER.

>> OH, YEAH, IT'S THE GRAPH.

THAT THE RIGHT ONE.

THAT'S THE RIGHT ONE OKAY.

NOW BY SCREEN IS FROZEN. SORRY.

OKAY.

GREAT.

ALL RIGHT.

SO JUST A LITTLE BY WAY OF SOME BACKGROUND ON AUGUST 24TH THE CITY COUNCIL DIRECTED STAFF TO ADD A WORK PLAN ITEM TO STUDY THE LODGE TERM OUTDOOR BUSINESS AND DINING USED IN THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY AND PRIVATE PROPERTY AND THIS IT GOES WITHOUT SAYING WAS BORN OUT OF COVID-19 PROGRAM WHICH STARTED LAST JUNE WHICH THE

COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT RESTRICTED MANY BUSINESSES AND ALL RESTAURANTS FROM OPERATING INSIDE AT ALL AND THEREFORE HEAD TO IMMEDIATELY MOVE OUTSIDE AND THIS WAS BOTH ON PUBLIC AND PRIVATE PARTIES AND SINCE THAT TIME -- MOST RECENTLY ON DECEMBER 21ST AND, YOU KNOW, THERE'S BEEN AN OVERWHELMINGLY POSITIVE RESPONSE IN BOTH WAYS I GUESS BOTH POSITIVE AND CONCERNS ABOUT IMPACTS BUT THE COUNCIL DID DIRECT STAFF TO TAKE A LONG-TERM VIEW OF THIS IN AUGUST.

SO ON OCTOBER 5TH A STAFF PRESENTED A CONCEPTUAL DISCUSSION OF THE WORK PLAN STRUCTURE AND THAT INCLUDED THE STEPS FOR CONDUCTING THE STUDIES IN FORMULATING A PLAN INCLUDING WIDESPREAD COMMUNITY OUTREACH PERMITTING AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW AND ONE OF THE QUESTIONS OF COURSE IS WHAT IS THE BEST USE OF THE RIGHT OF WAY SINCE THAT HASN'T BEEN ANSWERED YES AND SO THAT WOULD BE THE GOAL OF THAT PLANNING PROCESS. STAFF AND STAFF DID INDICATE IN THE PROPERTY AND IN THAT MEETING DISCUSSION THAT THE DEPARTMENT DID NOT HAVE ADEQUATE STAFF RESOURCES TO INITIATE THE PLAN IN THE NEAR TERM AND SO THE -- AT THE DECEMBER 21ST MEETING THE COUNCIL INQUIRED ABOUT THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT'S WORK PLAN AND HOW AND WHETHER IT COULD BE REPRIORITIZED.

SO HERE WE ARE.

AND SO I DO -- I'LL WALK THE COUNCIL BRIEFLY THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT'S WORK PLAN ITEM, BUT I FIRST WANT TO POINT OUT THAT MOST OF THE DEPARTMENT'S WORK PLAN ITEMS ARE A DIRECT RESPONSE TO STATE REGULATION.

AS YOU'VE HEARD IN 2 PREVIOUS ITEMS ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA. AND ALSO TO RECTIFY OTHER DEFICIENCIES IN OTHER WORDS IF WE FIND AN ERROR IN SOMETHING WE'RE ASSIGNED TO FIX IT AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE.

AND WHETHER THESE ITEMS ARE ON THE WORK PLAN OR NOT CITY AND STAFF ARE STILL OBLIGATED TO COMPLETE THEM IN A TIMELY MANNER AND SOMETIMES WITH NONNEGOTIABLE DEAD DEADLINES.

SO CURRENTLY OUR WORK PLAN THAT'S THE FOLLOWING ITEMS. THERE ARE THREE ITEMS.

A IS ASSIGNED AND THIS IS THE PRIORITY LEVELS ARE THROUGHOUT EVERY DEPARTMENT'S WORK PLANS SO THEY'RE NOT JUST LIMITED TO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, BUT A REPRESENTS A CRITICAL PRIORITY.

B REPRESENTS AN IMPORTANT PRIORITY.

AND C REPRESENTS A NORMAL PRIORITY.

AND SO YOU SEE THEM ON THE SCREEN AND IN TERMS OF A HOUSING POLICY AND REGULATION UPDATES WERE GIVEN BY STATE MANDATED DEADLINES IN WHICH WE DON'T HAVE FLEXIBILITY TO REPRIORITIZE. THE SECOND ITEM IS CLIMATE RESILIENCY WHICH WE ARE UPDATING THE CITY'S CLIMATE RESILIENCY UNDER A GRANT THAT HAS DEADLINES ASSOCIATED WITH IT.

SO WE CANNOT REPRIORITIZE THAT.

AND THEN THIRD COMING UP FOR THIS YEAR THE TRI-ANNUAL BUILDING UPDATE AND IT'S THE CITIES OPPORTUNITY EVERY THREE YEARS TO CUSTOMIZE AND INCLUDE LOCAL AMENDMENT TO THE BUILDING CODES. SO THOSE ARE PRIORITY A.

WE DON'T HAVE A SAY AS TO WHEN THEY HAPPEN.

THEY'RE NUMBER ONE.

NUMBER TWO WE'RE UNDER A PRIORITY B WE'RE CONTINUING OUR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CODE ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM.

WE'RE FULLY STAFFED.

WE'RE CONTINUING TO PROGRESS THE ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY WORK PLAN ITEM.

LONG-TERM OUTDOOR DINING AND BUSINESS USE ITEM WAS PLACED IN PRIORITY B AND LASTLY THE COUNCIL MAY RECALL FROM A COUPLE OF MEETINGS, BUT WE DID FIND A PRETTY SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY IN THE ORDINANCE AND STAFF WILL BE WORKING TO CLEAN THAT UP SINCE WE HAVE AN INTERIM ORDINANCE RIGHT NOW AND THAT HAS A LIMITED DURATION.

AND WE HAVE MODERNIZED THE PARKING STANDARDS WHICH THE STAFF STARTED IN 2017 OR 2018 AND IT'S I WANT TO SAY IT'S LIKE 80 PERCENT COMPLETE BUT WE ARE HARD SUPPRESSED TO FIND TIME TO WORK ON IT AND THEN ALSO THE WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATION PROGRAM WHICH WAS SUPPOSED TO BE AN OUTREACH PROGRAM ON THE LMB STATIONS ON THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATION COMMISSION ON REGULATIONS ON DIFFERENT CITIES TO REVIEW DIFFERENT TYPES OF WIRELESS REGULATION AND THAT WE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO PROGRESS DUE TO LACK OF RESOURCES.

IN ADDITION TO THE WORK PLAN ITEMS THE DEPARTMENT IS ALSO INVOLVED IN SECONDARY SUPPORTING ROLE FOR OTHER DEPARTMENT WORK PLAN ITEMS AND THERE IS A LOT OF COST COLLABORATION ON WORK PLAN ITEMS.

SO WHEN IT'S ONE DEPARTMENT IT'S RARELY THE OWN PEOPLE WORKING ON IT.

BUT WE ALSO HAVE HAD MULTIPLE NONWORK PLAN REQUESTS THAT WE HAVE TAKEN ON AND THESE INCLUDE THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY REQUEST.

LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT.

WE HAD ONES ON BEEF, CHICKENS, PIGS THESE YEARS.

WE DEALT WITH LAX EXPANSION, AND WE ARE WORKING ON SUPPORTING LOCATE APRIL CONTROL MEASURES AND THEN OTHER ONE THAT'S IN PROGRESS WE'RE MANAGING THE EXISTING OUTDOOR DINING PROGRAM AS WELL AS DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THE LONG-TERM OUTDOOR DINING PROGRAM. WE'RE LOOK AT ENERGY EFFICIENCIES REQUIREMENTS AND MUNICIPAL FACILITIES AND WE'RE LOOKING AT THE FUTURE AND ROLE OF THE SUSTAINABLE TASK FORCE.

SO WE TAKE ON A LOT OF REQUESTS ALSO THAT DURING THE BEGINNING OF THE FISCAL YEAR THAT WE DON'T ANTICIPATE AND THAT ALSO ADDS ON TO THE WORKLOAD AND YOU KNOW, IN TERMS OF FRAMING ALL OF THIS, I'M GOING TO MOVE A LITTLE BIT TO WHAT RESOURCES WOULD BE NEEDED TO TAKE ON A PLANNING EFFORT THAT INVOLVES THE PUBLIC

RIGHT AWAY AND PRATE PROPERTY AND OUTDOOR USES.
THERE IS A LOT OF CONVERSATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH OUTDOOR USES.
SOME FOLKS MAY RECALL, AND I'VE ONLY HEARD ABOUT IT THE
DISCUSSION ABOUT OUTDOOR DINING DURING THE DOWNTOWN SPECIFIC
PLAN CONVERSATION FOR EXAMPLE.
BUT THESE ACTIVITIES ARE USUALLY LED BY A SENIOR LEVEL PLANNER
OR A PLANNING MANAGER.
AND THAT PROJECT MANAGER IS SUPPORTED BY AND INTERDISCIPLINARY
INTERNAL CITY TEAM AND SO THE ACTIVITIES INCLUDE COORDINATING
OUTREACH AND INPUT, AND IT MAY BE MANNING A TACK FORCE OR A
SUBCOMMITTEE ON ISSUE THAT'S HAVE BROAD AND DIFFERENT
PERSPECTIVES THAT NECESSITATE A SUBCOMMITTEE THAT IS COMPOSED OF
PEOPLE FROM MANY DIFFERENT BACKGROUNDS.
FACILITY -- DISCUSSIONS AND FORMING CONSENSUS AND THEN TAKING
ALL OF THAT AND PACKAGING IT INTO A PROGRAM AND --
SO IT'S A BIG PACKAGE AND IT'S A LOT OF WORK.
IT'S REGULATED BY A DOCK OF DOCUMENTS AND PARAMETERS.
FOR PROJECTS LIKE THIS A LOT OF TIMES CITIES DO USE CONSULTANTS
AND I KNOW THAT WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THAT BEFORE. THERE ARE
DIFFERENT COMBINATIONS OF WHETHER YOU USE INHOUSE STAFF OR
CONSULTANTS OR A COMBINATION OF BUT MANY OF THEM DO USE
CONSULTANTS BECAUSE MANY DO REPRESENT A FAIRLY -- WHICH TENDS TO
BE WHEN YOU WOULD WANT TO USE CONSULTANTS.
HOWEVER, PART OF THIS DISCUSSION IS I WANT TO BALANCE THE 2 AND
NOT LEAD THE COUNCIL DOWN ANY PARTICULAR PATH, BUT THE USE OF IN
HOUSE STAFF OF COURSE ESPECIALLY WHEN WE HAVE EXISTING PROJECTS
THAT WE DON'T HAVE RESOURCES TO MOVE FORWARD THE USE OF IN HOUSE
STAFF CAN BE MORE EFFICIENT BECAUSE DIFFERENT PROJECTS EBB AND
FLOW AND WHEN SOMETHING ISN'T AS BUSY THEY'RE ABLE TO WORK ON
SOMETHING ELSE THAT ALSO THE CITY THAT HAS NEEDED TO WORK ON.
SO I WANT TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE PLANNING DIVISION.
THE PLANNING DIVISION CURRENTLY IS CHALLENGED TO MEET DAILY
OPERATIONAL NEEDS.
MUCH LESS TAKE ON A NEW WORK PLAN ITEM.
SO THIS DIVISION IS ALREADY INVOLVED IN EIGHT OUT OF THE 12
DEPARTMENT WORK PLAN ITEMS.
AND I -- I GIVE IT A COUPLE -- JUST A COUPLE OF QUICK STATISTICS
ABOUT ANNUALLY, YOU KNOW, WHAT THE PLANNING DIVISION DOES.
EVEN THOUGH IT'S THE PLANNING DIVISION THEY REVIEW EVERY SINGLE
DEVELOPMENT THAT COMES IN THROUGH THE BUILDING PERMIT COUNSELOR
BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO RETHINKS LIKE SETBACKS AND HEIGHT.
AND THEY FIELD ANNUALLY ABOUT 10,000 PHONE CALLS FROM PEOPLE WHO
WANT TO KNOW IF THEY MEET ZONING AND IF THEY CAN ADD ON A SECOND
STORY OR COVER THEIR BALCONY.
SO WE HAVE BASICALLY A PHONE LINE THAT REAL ESTATE PROFESSIONALS
CAN HAVE REALTIME FEEDBACK FOR SOME OF THE TRANSACTIONAL NEEDS
WHICH DO HAVE A QUICK TURNAROUND TIME.

THE PLANNING DIVISION SUPPORTS COUNTER SERVICE AND ALSO, WE SUPPORT PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS. AND SO RIGHT NOW OUR DAILY WORKLOAD EXCEEDS THE ABILITY TO MEET TURNAROUND TIMES FOR A LOT OF APPLICATIONS AND PLAN CHECKS. I MEAN EVERYONE DOES THE BEST WE CAN, BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY IF INTERRUPTIONS HAPPEN, THAT SLOWS OTHER PROCESSES DOWN. AND YOU KNOW, IN A NUTSHELL THE DEPARTMENT RIGHT NOW DOES NOT HAVE THE RESOURCES NEEDED TO SUPPORT THE LONG-TERM OUTDOOR DINING AND BUSINESS USE WORK PLAN ITEM. AND SO THAT'S NUMBER ONE. SECOND IS THE CONVERSATION ABOUT REPRIORITIZING IT TO MOVING IT UP TO A LEVEL OF CRITICAL, PRIORITY A MAKING IT EQUAL WITH DEADLINES THAT WE DON'T HAVE ANY CONTROL OVER MEANS THAT WE ARE NEED ADDITIONAL RESOURCES. SO THAT LEADS ME TO A SUMMARY OF A COUPLE OF QUICK ALTERNATIVES. THE COUNCIL OF COURSE COULD MAKE NO CHANGES TO THE WORK PLAN PRIORITIES. THE COUNCIL COULD CHOOSE TO ACCELERATE THIS PARTICULAR WORK PLAN ITEM, OR THE COUNCIL COULD CHOOSE TO ACCELERATE BY ADDING RESOURCES. THE USE OF STAFF IS NOT A ONE-TO-ONE EQUIVALENT, BUT IT SAVES YOU ABOUT 60 PERCENT TO 70 PERCENT TIME, BUT YOU STILL HAVE TO MANAGE AND QUALITY CONTROL AND CHECK ALL THE WORK TO MAKE SURE THAT IT IS TO THE CITY STANDARDS AND THAT IT IS A COMPLETE AND INDEPENDENT REFLECTION OF WHAT CITY STAFF WOULD PRODUCE. SO YOU DON'T GET 100% SAVINGS AND I WANTED TO POINT THAT OUT. I ALSO WANT TO POINT OUT THAT IF WE WERE MANAGING THE PROJECT IN HOUSE THERE ARE CERTAIN TASKS THAT WE CUSTOMARILY WOULD GO OUT ON CONTRACT FOR BECAUSE IT'S AGAIN ONE DISCRETE WORK EFFORT THAT TAKES A SPECIALIZED KNOWLEDGE AND SO THAT'S -- IT'S A CONTAINABLE ITEM THAT WE CAN CONTRACT OUT. SO THERE ARE ALREADY SOME VARIATIONS BUT, IN A NUTSHELL, THOSE ARE THE ALTERNATIVES AND WITH THAT I KNOW THAT WAS A LOT. I TRY TO MAKE IT BRIEF. I WILL CONCLUDE THE PRESENTATION AND ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT THE COUNCIL HAS. THANK YOU. >> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU DIRECTOR TAI. DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR DIRECTOR TAI? COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY. >> THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. DIRECTOR TAI. THE CLIMATE RESILIENCY PROGRAM YOU SAID WAS GRANT FUNDS THAT 100 PERCENT GRANT FUNDED. >> THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION. IT'S ABOUT I WANT TO SAY ABOUT 70% GRANT FUNDS IT'S FUNDED BY THE CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION AND THE BIG ACTION ON THAT ONE

IS THE UPDATE TO THE CITY'S LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM WHICH IS A PART OF THE GENERAL PLAN BUT IT'S ABOUT 70 PERCENT FUNDED AND THEN THERE IS ADDITIONAL WORK FUNDED THAT HAD NATURAL SYNERGY AND IF YOU'RE UPDATING ONE DOCUMENT YOU MIGHT AS WELL CLEAN UP OTHER STUFF WHILE YOU ARE AT IT AND THAT'S WHAT THE BALANCE OF THAT WORK ITEM IS.

>> AND HOW DOES THE CLIMATE RESILIENCY PLAN INTERACT WITH THE PLANNING DIVISION THAT YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT BEFORE IN TERMS OF PLAN CHECKS BUILDING PERMITS PHONE CALLS THAT, KIND OF, THING.

>> ABSOLUTELY.

SO THE PLANNING DIVISION IS TASKED WITH MANAGING AND -- THE BLUEPRINT FOR THE CITY IS THE CITY'S GENERAL PLAN AND SO THE LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM IS PART OF THAT.

THE SAFETY ELEMENT IS ALSO PART OF THAT, AND THE SAFETY ELEMENT ALSO ENCOMPASSES THE LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN THAT IS ALSO BEING REVIEWED AND UPDATED.

SO THAT DOCUMENT IS PREPARED BY STAFF AND THEN SENT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

THE PLANNING COMMISSION THEY'RE ROLE IN THE GENERAL PLAN IS TO RENDER FORMAL RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE CITY COUNCIL.

SO THAT'S HOW THAT WHOLE THING TIES IN THE PLANNING DIVISION BECAUSE IT'S UPDATED A PLANNING DOCUMENT.

AND WE'RE STILL JUST TALKING ABOUT THE CLIMATE RESILIENCE I PROGRAM.

CORRECT.

>> THAT'S CORRECT, YES.

>> SO I GUESS I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT IS IT THE SAME EMPLOYEES INVOLVED WITH -- SO IT'S MORE THE MANAGER MURRAY IS WHAT YOU'RE SAYING.

THERE ARE ALSO PEOPLE TOKING PLAN CHECKS AND WORK ON CLIMATE RESILIENCY.

IS THAT CORRECT.

>> SOMEWHAT YEAH AND SOME OF OUR PLANNERS ARE INVOLVED IN EVALUATING PROPOSED POLICIES THAT WOULD BE GOING INTO THE LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM.

THE REASON FOR THAT IS ANY POLICY GOING INTO A DOCUMENT LATER ON NEEDS TO BE IMPLEMENTED.

SOW NEED GROUND TRUTH ANY POLICY THAT'S BEING WRITTEN IN THE DOCUMENT SO LATER ON WHEN THE RUBBER HITS THE ROAD IT'S UNDERSTANDABLE AND CLEAR WHAT THE ACTUAL REGULATION IS.

>> OKAY AND HOW FAR ARE WE THROUGH THE CLIMATE RESILIENCY. I BELIEF IT'S COMING UP IN APRIL.

>> ARE WE HALFWAY THROUGH THIS 30% THROUGH.

>> WE'RE PROBABLY 75% THROUGH.

>> THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> YES, HI.
THANK YOU. THANK YOU, DIRECTOR TAI.
THERE IS MORE DETAIL IN THE STAFF RECORD.
SO IT'S A STAFF REPORT PAGE 2.
YOU GOT WORK PLAN PRIORITY A YOU GOT THE HOUSING POLICY AND
REGULATION UPDATES.
HAVEN'T WE AT LEAST COMPLETED OR NEAR COMPLETION ON MANY OF
THESE THINGS?
WE JUST WENT THROUGH THE HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE AND
IMPLEMENTATION?
>> THERE ARE MANY DIFFERENT HOUSING INITIATIVES GOING ON.
SO I UNDERSTAND THAT THE HOUSE WILLING ELEMENT HAS BEEN
CONTINUED IN SOME WAY.
SO THERE IS ADDITIONAL WORK EFFORT THERE AND I DON'T KNOW
ACTUALLY WHAT THAT LOOKS LIKE AT THE MOMENT.
WE HAVE TO UPDATE THE SB9 REGULATIONS WE'RE CURRENTLY OPERATING
UNDER THE INTERIM ORDINANCE FOR EXAMPLE.
AND THEN THERE ARE MANY DIFFERENT HOUSING LEGISLATION HOUSING
BILLS THAT CAME OUT AT THE END OF THE YEAR THAT WE ARE NEEDING
TO ENSURE THAT OUR MUNICIPAL CODE TRACTS WITH ALL OF THAT.
THERE IS SO MUCH IN THE WORLD OF HOUSING RIGHT NOW, I COULD
REALLY USE A WHOLE NEW DIVISION HONESTLY.
BUT YEAH, THE ISSUE IS WHICH OUR RULES DON'T MATCH WHAT STATE
LAW SAYS AND WE NEED TO REVIEW AND UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS ALSO
ONGOING HOUSING ELEMENTS, WORK.
EVERY YEAR THERE IS A PRETTY EXTENSIVE PROCESS TO PREPARE THESE
PROGRESS REPORTS.
YOU MAY -- I DENT KNOW IF YOU SEE ONE YET COUNCIL MEMBER
FRANKLIN, BUT THEY COME EVERY MARCH AND APRIL AND WE'VE -- IT'S
A LOT OF WORK AND THEN IF THE HOUSING ELEMENT IS CERTIFIED
ACTUALLY WHETHER OR NOT IT'S CERTIFIED THERE IS A WHOLE
MULTIYEAR PROCESS OF IMPLEMENTING THAT.
SO ALL OF THE PROGRAMS AND POLICIES FOR EXAMPLE THAT ARE IN THE
HOUSING ELEMENT AND IN THE GENERAL PLAN WE HAVE TO IMPLEMENT
THOSE CONTINUOUSLY.
AND SO IT'S NOT A ONE-SHOT THING AND NO, IT'S NOT ALMOST
COMPLETE.
IT IS ABSOLUTELY ONGOING AND VERY TIME-CONSUMING.
>> OKAY.
SO IT SOUNDS LIKE THERE IS DEADLINES THAT ARE IN THE FUTURE.
WE'RE TALKING ABOUT PUSHING TRYING TO MAKE ROOM HERE FOR THREE,
FOUR, FIVE, MONTHS.
OKAY.
FOR THE OUTDOOR DINING WORK.
IS THAT GOING TO HURT US ON THOSE?
I MEAN EVERYTHING IS GOING TO HURT, I UNDERSTAND.
WHAT DEGREE OF PAIN IS IT GOING TO BE?

ARE WE GOING TO BE UP AGAINST A DEADLINE AGAIN AND THINGS LIKE THAT?

>> SO THERE IS MULTI-- THERE IS MANY DIFFERENT DEADLINES FOR EXAMPLE.

THERE ARE THE STATE HOUSING POLICY DEADLINES WHICH HAS PRIORITY A BUT I'M GOING TO BE VERY HONEST, AND BRUCE HAS HEARD ME SAY THIS.

BUT TO ME PRIORITY DOUBLE A ARE THE PLAN NEED TO BE REVIEWS IN A PRIORITY MANNER PRIORITY TRIPLE A THAT'S ANYONE COMING UNTIL THE COUNTER AND CALLING US AND EMAILING US.

DOUBLE A AND TRIPLE A ARE NOT ON THE SCREEN AT THE MOMENT, BUT WE ARE CURRENTLY CHALLENGED TO DELIVER THAT TO MEET COMMUNITY EXPECTATIONS.

SO WE CAN TALK ABOUT THE STATE HOUSING DEADLINE, OF COURSE. LETTING THAT SLIDE BUT I'VE GOT DAILY OPERATIONAL NEEDS THAT WE ARE CHALLENGES WITH RIGHT NOW.

>> SURE.

AND THERE ARE AVAILABLE BECAUSE WE'RE ALREADY DOING IT. AREN'T THEIR CONTRACT EMPLOYEES THAT WE HAVE ALREADY ARE TO HELP WITH THAT WORK.

>> I DO NOT HAVE ANY -- I'M SORRY.

>> NO, GO AHEAD.

>> I DO NOT HAVE ANY CONTRACT PLANNING DIVISION EMPLOYEES, MONTH.

>> OKAY.

BUT I ASSUME THEY'RE AVAILABLE, RIGHT.

I MEAN THESE ARE PROFESSIONALS THAT UNDERSTAND CODE AND PLANNING.

>> SO, YES.

SO BROADLY PLANNING THEORY, YES, BUT A CITY ZONING ORDINANCE UNLIKE THE STATE BUILDING CODE CITY ORDINANCES ARE UNIQUE TO THE CITY.

EVERY CITY HAS THEIR OWN RULES THAT THEY DEVELOP TO CREATE THE DEVELOPMENT CHARACTER OF THEIR CITY.

CONTRACT PLANNER I WILL SAY RIGHT NOW ARE EXTREMELY HARD TO COME BY.

EARLIER LAST YEAR WE NEEDED TO SECURE A CONTRACT PLANNER TO HELP US LAUNCH PART OF THE HOUSING ELEMENT EFFORT AND IT TOOK US -- IT TOOK US PROBABLY THREE MONTHS TO FIND SOMEBODY AND THEY WERE ONLY AVAILABLE LIMITED HOURS FOR EXAMPLE.

SO IT'S NOT -- AND I CAN TELL YOU THERE HAVE BEEN TIMES WHERE IT'S BEEN MUCH EASIER TO FIND THEM, RIGHT NOW IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO FIND INDIVIDUAL CONTRACT PLANNERS.

>> I UNDERSTAND.

WE'VE BEEN IN CRISIS COVID MODE BUT THAT SEEMS TO BE EASING. OKAY.

THE -- IF OUR GOAL IS TO ADDRESS, YOU KNOW, OUTSIDE DINING AND

TO COME UP WITH SOME PLAN.
THERE IS A LOT OF DIFFERENT PIECES AND MOVING PART AND THERE IS
A LOT THAT THESE INDIVIDUAL BUSINESSES HAVE TO DETERMINE.
IT'S SAFE TO SAY HAD THE ULTIMATE GOAL IS GOING TO PROVIDE
REVENUE FOR THE CITY MORE TAX REVENUE FOR THE CITY.
SO I GUESS WHAT I'M SAYING IS THAT IT SEEMS LIKE IT'S A ZERO
SUM.
IN OTHER WORDS IT'S -- WE'VE GOT TO PAY FOR THE CONSULTANTS, BUT
YOU GENERATE WORK THAT'S GOING TO GO AHEAD AND GENERATE INCOME -
- SALES TAX REVENUE FOR THE CITY.
>> IS THAT A QUESTION COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.
>> YEAH, THAT'S A QUESTION.
DO YOU SEE THAT I'M ASKED FOR HER EXPERTISE IN THE SENSE OF MORE
WORK THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE AND IT'S JUST CONSIDERED OVERHEAD,
AND OTHER WORK CAN BE DONE BUT IT'S GOING TO GO AHEAD AND
GENERATE REVENUE FOR THE CITY?
>> YOUR HONOR JUST TO CLARIFY THE QUESTION.
WILL SPEEDING THIS UP YOUR HONOR THE CITY MORE SALES TAX REVENUE
IS THAT THE QUESTION.
>> THAT'S A MORE ELEGANT WAY OF STATING MY QUESTION.
>> NO, I JUST SIMPLIFIED IT.
>> YES, COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.
I CAN TRY TO ANSWER THAT.
IN GENERAL THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT STAFFING WE HAVE COST
RECOVERY.
AND SO IF WE'RE HERE TO SUPPORT A CERTAIN PROGRAM AND THAT
PROGRAM HAS FEES ASSOCIATED WITH IT YEAH, I MEAN THERE IS THE
EXPECTATION IS TO RECOVER ON COST.
GENERALLY I COULDN'T ESTIMATE SALES TAX BUT IF YOU WERE IT
SIMPLIFIES IT AND SAY IF YOU FLIP THE SWITCH TO ALLOW SALES TAX
TO BE GENERATED EARLIER WOULD YOU GENERATE MORE, I THINK THE
ANSWER IS YES.
SO I HOPEFULLY THAT HELPS.
>> ALL RIGHT.
THANK YOU.
NO MORE QUESTIONS.
>> DOES ANYONE ELSE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS TORE DIRECTOR TAI BEFORE
WE OPEN IT UP TO PUBLIC COMMENT.
COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> YES, THANK YOU.
WHAT IS THE COST FOR ALL THESE REVISIONS?
WHO PAYS US BACK TO DO THAT?
>> YEAH, COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY THANK YOU FOR YOUR QUESTION.
SO THE IMPLEMENTATION AND SUPPORT OF REGULATION IT'S CAPTURED IN
CITY OVERHEAD AND THEN TRUE THE FEE STUDY AND COST ALLOCATION
CITY OVERHEAD ARE FACTORS INTO THE FULLY BURDEN HOURLY RATES OF
THE STAFF PERFORMING THE WORK AND THAT HOURLY RATES TRANSLATES

INTO THE TIME IT TAKES TO PERFORM A CERTAIN SERVICE AND SO IT'S RECAPTURED THROUGH THAT WHOLE FORMULA.

>> YEAH, BUT THE SHORT ANSWER IS THE STATE DOESN'T PAY US SO I THINK TO COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN'S POINT GENERAL RATING ITEMS IN A TIME CRUNCH MIGHT NEED TO TAKE PRIORITY BECAUSE THEN IT WILL HELP US RECOUP THE SOFT OF THE SHORT-TERM LABOR AND THEN AT OUR LEISURE WE CAN -- THESE NON-COST RECOVERY TYPE OF REPORTS THAT WOULD BE BY PREFERENCE.

THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS.

>> YEAH, YOUR HONOR.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: YES.

>> IN TERMS OF COST RECOVERY FOR SOMETHING LIKE THIS THE BENEFIT IT TO THE RESTAURANT.

SO IS IT LIKE AND EIR WHERE THE BUSINESS PAYS FOR IT NORMALLY?

>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR QUESTION I THINK WHAT YOU'RE ASKING IS AT WHAT POINT DOES THE BUSINESS PAY INTO SOME, KIND OF, APPLICATION FEE.

SO THE CITY WOULD DEVELOP THE PROGRAM AND IF A BUSINESS APPLIED FOR AMENDMENT OF A USE PERMIT FOR EXAMPLE THAT IS SUBJECT TO CEQA.

I WOULD HOPE THAT WOULDN'T ESCALATE TO THE LEVEL OF AND EIR - [CROSS-TALK]

THEY WOULD PAY THE APPLICATION FEE FOR THEIR USE PERMIT.

THEY WOULD PAY WHATEVER FEE WOULD BE ASSOCIATED WITH USING THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY WHICH WOULD BE DETERMINED THROUGH THIS PROCESS.

I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT IS, THEY WOULD PAY FOR ANY ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW AND THEN ANY PERMIT AND PLAN CHECK FEES.

SO THOSE ARE THE FEES THAT THEY PAY.

>> HOW MANY FOOD SERVING RESTAURANTS BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT ALL RESTAURANTS SIT DOWN RESTAURANTS HOW MANY FOOD SERVING ESTABLISHMENTS HAVE THE OUTDOOR DINING RIGHT NOW.

BECAUSE I SAW THAT THE CREAMERY ELIMINATED THEIRS.

>> RIGHT NOW IN DOWNTOWN IT'S 22 TO 24 OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

>> IN THE NORTH END AS WELL.

>> I THINK IT'S 28 TOTAL.

>> 28 TOTAL STILL.

>> THAT WAS AS OF THE REPORT THAT I SAW YESTERDAY.

>> OKAY.

AND HOW MANY FOODS SERVICING ESTABLISHES DO WE HAVE IN MAN HAT APRIL BEACH.

>> 130.

>> TO 28 OUT OF 130.

>> OKAY.

THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS.

SO I JUST WANT TO UNDERSTAND THIS DISCUSSION ABOUT COST RECOVERY.

SO IF WE HAD TO HIRE SOMEBODY WHO HAD TO DO A COMMUNITY SURVEY AND THEN THEY HAD TO COME UP WITH A PLAN, THAT ISN'T -- THAT'S NOT SUBJECT TO COST RECOVERY ON THE PART OF ANY PROGRAM THAT YOU'RE AWARE -- IS THERE ANY PROGRAM THAT YOU'RE AWARE OF THAT WOULD BE SUBJECT TO THOSE TYPES OF ACTIVITIES AND COST RECOVERY FOR THAT.

FOR ALL THE STEP THAT'S IT TAKES BEFORE IMPLEMENTATION OF AN OUTDOOR DINING PROGRAM.

>> TYPICALLY -- YEAH THANK YOU FOR THAT QUESTION MAYOR.

SO THE DEVELOPMENT OF A PROGRAM IS OVERHEAD.

SO THE MAINTENANCE OF A PROGRAM AND UPDATING REGULATIONS IS ALL OF THAT IS OVERHEAD.

IT'S NOT UNTIL YOU -- IT'S NOT UNTIL SOMEBODY COMES AND ASKS FOR A SERVICE OR A PERMIT OR SOMETHING THAT YOU COLLECT MONEY AND SO IT'S NOT DIRECT, BUT ALL OF THE OVERHEAD IS FACTORED INTO THE AMOUNT A STAFF MEMBER COSTS.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.

THANK YOU.

DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE I TURN THIS OVER TO PUBLIC COMMENT?

DO WE HAVE ANYBODY WHO IS REQUESTING PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM.

>> YES, WE HAVE DON MCPHERSON.

>> UPDATE GRADED TO PRIORITY AN ITEM IN THE COUNCIL WORK PLAN. CITY NOW HAS THREE PRIORITY A WORK ITEMS IN JANUARY THEY HAD ONLY ONE NAMELY REVISING [INAUDIBLE] GENERAL PLAN TO CONFORM WITH STATE REGULATION ON AFFORDABLE HOUSING. MANHATTAN BEACH HAS NOT COMPLIED WITH THIS AFFORDING HOUSING QUOTA IN DECADES AND NEITHER HAS THE CITY OF ENCINITAS.

AND AS A RESULT AFFORDING HOUSING BEING INSTALLED THE STATE THAT'S APPROVED DRACONIAN MEASURES AND THE CITY NEEDS TO FOCUS ITS RESOURCES ON THIS CRITICAL PROBLEM TO FORCE STALL SACRAMENTO FROM TAKE OVER OUR ZONING CODE.

TONIGHT THE COUNSEL YOU WILL PUT THE HEU ON HOLD WHEN IT HAS A FEBRUARY 12TH DEADLINE TO ATE THE DBA CAMPAIGN FOR EAT AND DRINK ENCROACH.

S WITH AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS BOTH LEWD CHRIS AND CHILDISH THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, DON, FOR YOUR COMMENT.

NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS SUSAN LERNER.

>> HI, EVERYBODY.

I REALLY UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS A LOT OF PRESSURE AS SPECIAL INTERESTED ARE PUSHING THIS AGENDA AND I THINK WE ALL HAVE TO REALIZE THIS ISN'T THE COVID SITUATION THIS IS AFTER THIS IS

OVER.

IT'S A PERMANENT CHANGE TO OUR CITY.

YOU KNOW ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I WAS THINKING BEING TOO IS THE LANDLORD OF THE BILLING THE OWNER OF THE BUILDING WHICH IS WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN WHEN THE ENCROACHMENTS ARE TAKEN OVER.

WE SPENT MILLIONS ON DOWNTOWN.

I THINK RICHARD WAS A PART OF THIS AND IT WAS MILLIONS AND WE GOT TURNED DOWN AND THAT WAS OUR BUDGET AND OUR TAXPAYER MONEY THAT WAS BEING USED FOR THAT AND I COURSE I CAN TELL FROM DIRECTOR TAI THAT THERE IS A LOT OF MOVING PIECES AND THERE IS A LOT OF THINGS TO WORK ON AND YOU NEED TO GET INPUT FROM EVERYBODY.

THIS IS WHERE YOU GOT TO MAIL OUT THINGS TO EVERYBODY AND GET THEIR INPUT.

SO IT'S A FULL-TIME JOB.

WE'RE ALL GOING TO BE SIGNIFICANTLY IMPACTED AND THINK ABOUT THE MONEY THAT WE'RE GOING TO MAKE FROM SALES BUT ARE WE GOING TO CONTINUE TO CHARGE MORE METERS.

HOW MUCH MORE POLICING ARE WE GOING TO NEED HOW MUCH MORE TRASH ARE WE GOING TO HAVE TO PICK UP.

AND IF IT'S GOING TO HAPPEN THEN IT'S GOING TO HAPPEN AND I -- BECAUSE THEY WANT IT BECAUSE THESE THINGS ARE GETTING BUILT.

SO I JUST WANT TO SAY DON'T FEEL PRESSURES TO MAKE DECISIONS TAKE YOUR TIME.

THIS IS IMPACTING OUR CITY FOREVER.

AND WE'RE NOT HERMOSA BEACH WITH THE WIDE SIDEWALKS AND WE CAN'T EVEN PUT IN BIKE LINE LANES.

THERE ARE ACCIDENTS ALL OTHER THE PLACE PLAY MAY THANK YOU SUSAN FOUR YOUR COMMENT.

NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> MAYOR STERN AND COUNCIL MEMBERS THIS IS MICHAEL ZISLIZ DOWNTOWN BUSINESS OWNER AND RESIDENT OF MANHATTAN BEACH.

UNLIKE THE STATE WHO GAVE YOU THESE HURDLES AND HOOPS TO JUMP THROUGH AND NO FOUNING AND DEADLINE THAT'S UNDOABLE THE DOWNTOWN BUSINESS ASSOCIATION HAS WORKED IN COOPERATION WITH THE CITY TO COME UP WITH A I PLAN FOR LONG-TERM OUTDOOR DINING. WE COME UP WITH A PLAN TO SUBMIT TO YOU THAT GOT US TO THIS POINT AND I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SAY I AGREE WITH CARRY TAI THERE IS JUST TOO MUCH STUFF ON THE LIST FOR HER DEPARTMENT TO DO AND I THINK THERE IS WHERE WE CAN GET INTO A PUBLIC AND PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP WHERE WE CAN WORK SIDE BY SIDE AND WORK WITH A CONSULTANT AND USE OUTSIDE ARCHITECT AND SURVEY FIRMS ANYTHING WE CAN DO TO HELP THE CITY BECAUSE WE ALL KNOW THAT SINCE COVID WE'RE ALL OVER STRETCHED ON OUR WORKLOAD AND WE'D BY THE GUYS TO DO THAT AND I THINK ABOUT THE PRIORITIES.

I DON'T KNOW HOW THE STATE HOUSING MANDATE GOT TO PRIORITIZE OVER LOCAL ISSUES IN MANHATTAN BEACH I'M GLAD TO SEE THE COUNCIL

TODAY VERY FIRM ON PUSHING BACK A BIT.
SO I REALLY APPRECIATE THAT, AND I ALSO HEARD TONIGHT
RESTAURANTS IN THE DOWNTOWN AND I THINK THE QUESTIONS HOW MANY
RESTAURANTS ARE IN THE DOWNTOWN AND AS YOU KNOW WE'VE DOWNSIZED
THOSE PATIOS AND WE ARE PAYING MARKET RATE NOW OF \$3 A SQUARE
FOOT EVEN THOUGH WE HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO INCREASE CAPACITY. SO
IT'S JUST ADDED COSTS TO THE RESTAURANTS. SO I HOPE YOU DO
CONSIDER THAT OTHER THING AND PUT THAT ON THE AGENDA TWO WEEKS
FROM NOW, BUT I WANT TO THANK ALL OF YOU AGAIN FOR ALL THAT YOU
DO FOR THE COMMUNITY AND THE BUSINESSES.

>> THANK YOU, MICHAEL FOR YU COMMENTS.

>> NEXT IS MIKE SIMMS.

>> HELLO MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBER AND STAFF. I WOULD LIKE TO
ALSO ECHO THE COMMENTS ABOUT ENABLING THE WORKLOAD IS VERY
UNDERSTANDABLE AND WE TRULY DON'T GET A GLIMPSE IN THE WORKLOAD
OF THE STAFF UNTIL MOMENTS LIKE THIS AND WHILE DIRECTOR TAI AND
THE STAFF HAS DONE A GREAT JOB I ADMIT IT'S NOT VERY ENVIABLE
AND IT'S A LOT OF WORK AND I DO IT AND I WOULD ALSO AGREE WITH
THE FRUSTRATION THAT NOW THE STATE IS PRIORITIZING OUR AGENDA
FOR OUR LOCAL COMMUNITY. I'M MIKE SIMMS RESTAURANT OWNER IN EL
PORTO AND PRESIDENT OF THE DOWNTOWN BUSINESS ASSOCIATION AND I
WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONTINUES SUPPORT AND -- THERE ARE A
NUMBER OF RESTAURANTS I CAN -- I'M TRYING TO THINK JUST FROM
HEARING 128 IN MANHATTAN BEACH I MEAN MANY OF THEM HAVE PATIOS
BUT THE ONE IN DOWNTOWN REALLY DON'T WANT TO BELABOR THE PAST
BECAUSE I DID HAVE ONE OF OUR GMS DOWN IN OUR NEW RESTAURANT IN
HERMOSA SEND A QUOTE OUT THAT THE BUT ON FIGHTING THE OLD BUT
BUILDING THE NEW AND WE HIRED MANY MONTHS AGO AS A DOWNTOWN
ASSOCIATION A WORD CLASS ARCHITECT RESPONSIBILITY FOR RICK
CARUSO'S PLAN.

AND IF WE NEED YEARS TO DO A PROPER CITY PLAN AND RESOURCES, YOU
KNOW, WHY NOT WORK ON THIS APPROVING THIS PLAN.

THAT WE'VE PRESENTED AND IF YOU -- IF THAT'S NOT AN OPTION AS
MIKE SAID WE CAN USE WE CAN BE A CODE DEVELOPER AND GET THE CITY
INVOLVED AS WELL.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU MIKE FOR YOUR COMMENT.

NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS CAROL PERRIN.

>> WHAT CARRY TAI TOLD US ABOUT HOW CRAZILY OVERWORK OUR CITY
STAFF IS RIGHT NOW.

I MEAN WHAT WE HAVE HERE WE HAVE HAD RESTAURANTS HERE IN
MANHATTAN BEACH HERE UNTIL FOREVER AND JUST PHYSICAL A COUPLE OF
YEARS AGO WE DID GET HAVE OUTDOOR DINING IN OUR PUBLIC STREETS.
I LIKE OUTDOOR DINING JUST LIKE EVERYBODY ELSE BUT THERE IS A
PRICE TO PAY, AND WE HAVE TO TAKE OUR TIME AND UNDERSTAND IT.
I MEAN COUNCILMAN FRANKLIN WAS TALKING ABOUT THE COST AND HOW
THIS IS A MONEY MAKER.

I WOULD PROPOSE THIS THIS IS A MONEY LOSER FOR THE CITY.
IN FACT THEY WILL NEED THE MONEY FOR THE OUTDOOR DINING.
THE ABSOLUTELY COST OF THE LOST PARKING REVENUE AND THE
INCREASED COST OF TRASH PICKUPS THE INCREASED COST OF STREET
CLEANINGS.

THE INCREASES COST OF CROWD CONTROLS THE INCREASES AN ADDITIONAL
POLICING TO DEAL WITH CRIME THAT COMES WITH ADDITIONAL
DENSITIES. I COULD BE WRONG, OR I COULD BE RIGHT.

BUT THE POINT IS WE NEED A VERY THOROUGH ANALYSIS AND THERE IS
NO -- FOR THEIR PERSONAL PRIVATE FINANCIAL SPECIAL INTEREST WHO
WANT TO PROMOTE THEIR BUSINESS THIS TYPE OF URGENCY AND I WOULD
SUGGEST THAT WE GO FORWARD AND ANALYZE THIS APPROPRIATELY THANK
YOU.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU CAROL FOR YOUR COMMENTS NEXT PUBLIC
COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS JILL LAMKIN.

>> GOOD EVENING COUNCIL THIS IS JILL LAMKIN.

AFTER LISTENING TO THAT PRESENTATION OF EVERYTHING THAT YOU HAVE
ON YOUR PLATE.

I REALLY FEEL FOR YOU.

THAT IS A TON.

I HAVE, KIND OF, A PREPARED STATEMENT BUT INSTEAD I WANT TO
REFRAME MAKE THIS CONVERSATION A LITTLE BIT. WE ARE HAD OUR
LAST LONG-TERM BUSINESS COMMITTEE MEETING, AND WHICH INCLUDES
RESIDENTS AND BUSINESSES AND CITY STAFF.

AND I THINK THAT THE RESIDENTS AND THE BUSINESSES ARE MUCH MORE
INSYNC IN WANTING TO EXPLORE ALL OF THIS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AND
HAVE THESE CONVERSATIONS AND HAVE THESE DIALOGS.

THE IDEA OF RUSHING IT MAYBE IT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE
PUBLIC SECTOR AND THE PRIVATE SECTOR BUT WE'VE ALREADY BEEN
SITTING ON THIS FOR FIVE MONTHS NOW AND IT DOESN'T HAVE I AM
PLAN.

SO THE ONE THING THAT I WILL SAY IF THE LIVING AND WORKING AND
VOLUNTEERING IN MANHATTAN BEACH IS THIS COMMUNITY IS FILLED WITH
RESIDENTS WHO ARE ALWAYS WILLING TO STAND UP FOR TIME FOR
COMPLEX PROJECTS THAT ENHANCE THE COMMUNITY.

WE HAD VOLUNTEERS FOR THE NEWLY FUNDS SCOUT HOUSE AND BOND SITE
AND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEES.

THOSE ARE JUST A FEW THINGS.

I THINK WE COULD HAVE SOME VERY POSITIVE RESPONSE FROM RESIDENTS
WHO WOBBLING TO JOIN A COMMISSION OR WORK GROUP OR A TACK FORCE
OR WHATEVER NEEDS TO BE TO PROVIDE SOME RESOURCES THAT WOULD NOT
BE AS EXPENSIVE AS A CONSULTANT AND WITH PEOPLE WHO RESIDE IN
THIS TOWN AND HAVE A VESTED INTEREST FROM ALL DIFFERENT
PERSPECTIVES.

SO I HOPE WE CANNOT DELAY THIS ANYMORE AND WE CAN ACTUALLY MOVE
FORWARD AND LOOK FOR WAYS TO ENGAGE THE COMMUNITY AND MAKING

THIS HAPPEN TOGETHER.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU JILL FOR YOUR COMMENT.

NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> NEXT IS JIM BURTON.

>> GOOD EVENING, MAYOR STERN AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

I GUESS IN LISTENING TO EVERYTHING, BY THOUGHTS ARE I REALLY HOPE WE DON'T HIRE AN OUTSIDE CONSULTANT TO DO THIS. I CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND DIRECTOR TAI AND HOW BUSY SHE IS AND HOUSE ELEMENT.

THERE ARE SOME VERY SERIOUS ISSUES AT THE CITY, BUT I WOULD AGREE WITH JILL.

I THINK THERE ARE RESIDENTS WHO LOOK FORWARD TO RESOLVING THIS AND TO GET INTO IT.

BUT THERE ARE A LOT OF ISSUE NEED TO BE ADDRESSES AND I LIKE CAROL ENJOY OUTDOOR DINING. PART OF THE PROBLEM THAT I HAD WITH THIS WHOLE PROCESS IS THE CITY WON'T OUT OF ITS WAY TO HELP RESTAURANTS AT A TIME WHEN THEY COULDN'T DINE IN DOORS AND BUT THAT WASN'T THE END OF IT.

THEY ASKED MORE, AND THEY ASKED FOR MORE AND I'M HAVE GONE A HARD TIME JUST WRAPPING BY HEAD AROUND WHERE THE END GAME IS. WHERE ARE WE GOING, AND I FEEL LIKE THIS PRIORITY AND CHANGING THE PRIORITY AND RUSHING THIS FORWARD THE COMMUNITY REALLY HASN'T HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO DIALOG AND TO HEAR THINGS. I KNOW, YOU KNOW, CHRISTOPHER DELICO BROUGHT UP A POINT ABOUT LISTEN TO A LOT OF NEGATIVE FROM THE RESIDENTS ARES AND THERE IS POSITIVE NEED TO BE DISCUSSED BUT IT CAN'T BE DISCUSSED IN THESE 2-MINUTE SESSIONS THAT WE HAVE AND FOR A -- I WOULD REALLY HOPE THAT WE TAKE TIME TO DO THIS.

THIS IS THE FUTURE OF OUR DOWNTOWN.

IF WE WANT MORE OUTDOOR DINING AND ALL THAT, KIND OF, STUFF PUT IT AT THE HIGH ROSE YOU'RE GOING TO GO HAVE LOTS OF VIEWS BUT DOWNTOWN THERE IS A SLOTTED OF REALLY, REAL ISSUES THAT ARE IMPACTING US WE'VE GOT COASTAL ISSUES WE'VE GOT -- MAY WAY I'M RUNNING OUT OF TIME I JUST HOPE THAT YOU REALLY CONSIDER THE IMPACT OF THIS AND NOT JUST PUSHING IT FORWARD.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU JIM FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENTS.

SO COUNCIL BEFORE WE CONTINUE WITH PUBLIC COMMENTS, WE DO NEED - - I NEED TO ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO EXTEND OUR MEETING PAST 11:00 O'CLOCK.

DO I HAVE A MOTION FOR THAT?

WE'VE GOT THIS AND ONE, TWO, THREE, THREE MORE ITEMS AND TWO MORE COUNCIL REQUESTS. NO MOTION.

>> I'LL MAKE A MOTION TO GO TO 11:00 O'CLOCK.

HARD STOP.

THAT'S IT.

>> SO I HAVE A MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> WE DON'T NEED A MOTION FOR THAT THAT'S THE HARD STOP ANY WAY.
>> THAT'S WHICH THE MEETING WILL STOP IF THERE IS NO MOTION.
>> I'LL MOVE TO 1130.
>> OKAY.
MOTION TO MOVE TO 1130.
DO I HAVE A SECOND?
>> MAYOR H. STERN: I'LL SECOND THE 1130.
CAN WE HAVE A ROLL CALL VOTE, PLEASE.
>> MAYOR STERN.
>> YES.
>> MAYOR PRO TEM.
>> YES, COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
DOWNS ILL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> SHE'S THINKING.
SHE'S THINKING. OKAY.
YES.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER FRANK LYNN.
WELL IF YOU DIDN'T DO IT, I WAS GOING TO DO IT.
YES.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> NO.
>> SORRY RICHARD.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.
LET'S KEEP GOING. DO WE HAVE ANYONE ELSE THAT'S REQUESTING TO
MAKE PUBLIC COMMENT.
>> THERE IS NO ADDITIONAL REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT REGARDING
THIS ITEM.
>> OKAY.
COUNCIL DISCUSSION.
DOES ANYONE WANT TO OPEN OR START US OFF.
COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.
>> YES, THANK YOU I'LL JUST MAKE A FEW COMMENTS.
FROM WHAT I'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN BY 37 YEARS IN MANHATTAN BEACH
AND BEING ON THESE COMMITTEES AND THE LIKE AND STUDY GROUPS.
I JUST WANT TO ADDRESS PEOPLE'S CONCERN DOLLARS AND IT'S NOT
LIKE WE'RE GOING IN THIS THERE SUH.
AND TO UNCOVER THE ISSUES AND FIND SOLUTIONS AND FIND IMPACTS
AND WHERE THINGS CAN WORK AND WHERE THINGS DON'T WORK. WE'VE
COME A LONG WAY.
I MEAN THE DECKS WERE PUT UP THERE IN AND EMERGENCY.
THEY WERE THROWN UP THERE.
IT'S A NOT GOING TO BE THE FOOTPRINT OF WHAT THIS POTENTIALLY
COULD LOOK LIKE.
SO IT'S GOING TO BE A LOT DIFFERENT.
IT DOES NEED SOME TIME, BUT WE'VE GOT TO START, AND I THOUGHT WE
OWED, YOU KNOW, THE DOWNTOWN BUSINESSES THAT MUCH EARLIER IN
THIS PROCESS THAT'S WHY I THINK WE NEED TO GO AHEAD AND PRIORITY

THIS SO WE CAN GET THOSE ANSWERS.
WE REMEMBER WHAT IT WAS LIKE WHEN DOWNTOWN WAS CLOSED.
WE REMEMBER WHETHER WE COULDN'T EAT AT THE RESTAURANTS.
IT WAS A WHOLE DIFFERENT TYPE OF CITY AND I THINK WE WANT THIS
TYPE OF ENHANCEMENT IF YOU WILL DO TO DOWNTOWN DINING.
IN PARTICULAR WE SAW THAT THERE WAS INCREASED TRAFFIC TO THE
STORES.
WE DISCOVERED IT.
WE DISCOVERED THIS DIFFERENT DINING EXPERIENCE AND QUITE FRANKLY
IT MAKES US COMPETITIVE WITH OTHER CITIES AROUND HERE.
SO IT'S WORTHWHILE LOOKING INTO.
IT'S WORTHWHILE DOING IT ON A FASTER TRACK BUT IT'S NOT JUST A
RUBBER STAMP OF WHAT'S GOING TO BE OF WHAT WE'RE GOING TO BE
GIVING PEOPLE.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.
COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> YEAH, I GUESS I WANT TO SAY TO SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES AND SOME
OF THE CALLS WHO CALLED IN WHO DON'T WANT THESE RUSHES IN THEIR
LANGUAGE AND I RESPECT THAT BUT THERE WERE A FEW CALLERS WHO'S
TALKED ABOUT THE SPECIAL INTERESTS DRIVING THIS AND I REALLY BEG
TO DIFFER WITH THAT.
I'M NOT EVER BEHOLDING SPECIAL INTERESTS.
I DON'T WORK WITH, YOU KNOW, WITH GROUPS.
I DON'T GET ENDORSEMENTS.
IT'S JUST NOT BY MO AND I DEAL WITH VOTERS AND STAKE HOLDS.
SO I REALLY WANT TO PUSH BACK ON THE SPECIAL INTEREST'S THING.
AND I GUESS I'M HERE TO HELP THE COMMUNITY HAVE A VOICE AND TO,
YOU KNOW, VOTE LARGELY IN A WAY THAT THEY WANT US TO VOTE, AND
THE RESIDENTS HAVE SPOKEN THAT THEY WANT OUTDOOR DINING.
THEY LIKE OUTDOOR DINING AND WE'VE HEARD FROM THOUSANDS AND
THOUSANDS OF RESIDENTS DURING THIS PANDEMIC THAT IT'S ONE OF THE
ONLY GOOD THINGS THAT'S COME OUT THE PANDEMIC.
SO I WANT TO DISPEL ANY NOSE THAT COUNCIL IS ACTING TO MAKE A
HANDFUL OF RESTAURANTS IN DOWNTOWN HAPPY.
AND IF WE MAINTAIN COMPETITION WITH THE TRENDS THAT ARE
HAPPENING THROUGHOUT OUR REGION AND THE TRENDS ARE TOWARDS
ADDING PERMANENT OUTDOOR DINING THEN THAT'S JUST KEEPING UP WITH
THE TIME.
SO I REALLY WANT TO PUSH BACK ON THAT. I GUESS, YOU KNOW,
ANOTHER SAD POINT IS I'VE BEEN ON COUNCIL NOW TO CLOSE TO THREE
YEARS AND CARRY MAKES A GREAT POINT.
SHE'S OUR THIRD COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR SINCE I'VE BEEN
ON COUNCIL AND THE DEPARTMENT IS A BEAST.
SO I GUESS, YOU KNOW, I'M SAD THAT WE STILL HAVEN'T FIGURED THIS
OUT.
I THINK JEFF GIBSON THE INTERIM COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR
WAS THE FIRST TIME I HAD BEEN ON A LITTLE BIT LONGER AND THEN

JEFF WAS EXPLAINING ABOUT THE DEPARTMENT AND SHAME ON US FOR NOT THINKING AHEAD AND NOT HIRING WHEN WE COULD GET STAFF.

I THINK WE SIGNIFICANTLY FELL BEHIND IN OUR HIRING DURING COVID I'LL JUST BE HONEST BEFORE THE LABOR SHORTAGE GOT REALLY TIGHT. SO I DO THINK WE'RE BEHIND THE EIGHT BALL AND I DO THINK WE AS A COUNCIL AND WE AS A STAFF WE NEED TO OWN THAT AS A CITY.

SO WE'VE ALWAYS THIS HOSE LOW INTEREST RATE AND OUR BUILDING HAS BEEN BOOMING AND OUR DOWNTOWN HAS BEEN GROWING AND REVITALIZING AND CHANGING.

SO THERE SHOULDN'T BE ANY HUGE SURPRISES AND I THINK SOME UNFORTUNATE ERRORS HAVE BEEN TAKING ON SOME NONURGENT PROJECTS AND THOSE WERE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT CLIMATE RESILIENCY.

AND OBVIOUSLY A BIG APRIL TIGHT AND WE WANT TO DO THOSE THINGS BUT THAT TO BY MIND IS THE PRIORITY AND THAT HE, KIND OF, ITEM AND I KNOW THAT'S FUNDED AND THAT'S GREAT. BUT IF IT'S TAKING PLANNING STAFF OFF OF REVENUE GENERATING OR HOME BUILDING OR HOUSING RELATES ISSUES THEN I DO THINK TO COME OUT OF THIS PANDEMIC WE HAVE TO FOCUS JUST LIKE 2,008 WITH THE HOUSING CRISIS THIS IS OUR TIME TO FOCUS ON BUILDING OUR PRIVATE SECTOR AND GETTING PEOPLE MOVES INTO HOMES AND TENANT IMPROVEMENTS.

SO I'M INTERESTED IN WHAT BY COLLEAGUES THINK BUT I DO THINK THAT THIS COUNCIL AND STAFF NEED TO SHARE THE BLAME.

AND THAT HAS BEEN THE SAME SITUATION FOR THREE YEARS.

SO WE NEED OWE CHANGE THAT SOMEHOW.

I'LL STOP THERE AND LISTEN TO BY COLLEAGUES, BUT THERE ARE NO SPECIAL INTERESTS.

THE COMMUNITY WANTS THIS, AND I WANT TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO DO THIS.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU.

ANY OTHER COMMENTS.

COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

I WANT TO GO BACK TO SOMETHING I THINK SUSAN LERNER SAID THAT.

THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT THE DOWNTOWN. NO, SUSAN.

THAT WAS 20 -- THAT GOT TOSSED DOWN THE DRAIN.

SO IT TOOK [INAUDIBLE] TOLD THEM KNOW.

[INAUDIBLE] IT GOT THE COMMUNITY OUT TALKING ABOUT DOWNTOWN BUT THAT'S ALL IT DID. I DON'T KNOW WHICH MIKE TALKS ABOUT IT EARLIER IF IT TAKES YEARS FOR THIS PLAN.

WHAT'S THE RUSH?

THE [INAUDIBLE] ARE STILL UP AND THERE WERE A LOT OF STRATEGIC PLANS.

SO IT'S DIFFERENT.

SUSAN MADE A COMMENT ABOUT DURING COVID SHE WAS RIGHT.

WE DIDN'T HIRE ENOUGH PEOPLE DURING COVID.

NO ONE DID.

WE WEREN'T SURE WHAT PEOPLE WERE DOG RIGHT AND LEFT. COVID SHUT

EVERYTHING DOWN.
NO ONE WAS HIRING STAFF BACK IN THOSE DIES.
WE DIDN'T KNOW HOW LONG IT WAS GOING TO LAST. IF WE HAD A
CRYSTAL BALL SURE.
BUT HERE WE ARE.
DIRECTOR TAI HOW HARD IT IS TO FIND GOOD QUALITY STAFF.
IF IT WASN'T THAT EASY IT WOULDN'T TAKE HER SIX MONTHS TO FIND
ONE PERSON.
WITH THAT SAID FIN WHO USES THE PHRASE THAT MONEY DOESN'T MATTER
THE ENTIRE CONTRACT DOESN'T GET DONE FASTER.
I WOULD SAY REALLY [INAUDIBLE] SPEND, SPEND, SPEND, NO, I'M NOT
GOING TO BE IN FAVOR OF THAT.
I DON'T THINK IT'S AN A ITEM.
THIS IS NOT ONE OF THEM.
I'LL STOP THERE, YOUR HONOR.
>> MAYOR H. STERN:
THANK YOU.
>> YOUR HONOR I MISUNDERSTOOD, OR I CAN'T CATCH WHAT BY
COLLEAGUE WAS SAYING.
WHAT IS NOT AND AN ITEM ARE YOU WILLING TO REPEAT THAT.
>> MOVING THE DINING TO AN A.
>> THANK YOU.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: MAYOR PRO TEM DID YOU WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT.
>> SURE YOUR HONOR.
I UNDERSTAND RICHARD'S POSITION AND I DON'T DISAGREE WITH THIS
POINT.
THERE ARE LIMITS.
THERE ARE LIMITS TO EVERYTHING THAT WE DO, AND I THINK WE'VE
REACHED OUR LIMIT IN THIS ENDEAVOR IN TERMS OF THROWING MONEY AT
SOMETHING. I DO HAVE TO LOOK AT THE REST OF THE COMMUNITY AND
THE REST OF THE BUSINESSES AND THE RESTAURANTS AND THINK, YOU
KNOW, WE HAVE A LOT OF THINGS WE WANT TO DO IN TERMS OF
DOWNTOWN.
IT'S NOT JUST DINING.
IT'S THE WIDER SIDEWALKS AND THE MORE SIDEWALKS DINING.
THERE IS LOTS OF ISSUES IN PLAY HERE.
SO IT'S GOING TO TAKE SOME TIME TO DISCUSS THOSE THINGS.
DO I THINK WE AS A CONSULTANT DO THAT?
IF WE DO DOWN THAT ROAD THERE IS AN ESTIMATE HERE AND THAT
ESTIMATE YOU CAN PROBABLY DOUBLE BY THE END OF IT AND WE'RE NOT
GOING TO MAKE NO SALE TAX TO MAKE THAT BACK, THERE IS NO DATA
SUPPORTING THAT.
WE'RE NOT PAYING MARKET RATE.
\$3 IS A RATE THAT WAS ESTABLISHED IN 1990 I THINK WAS.
SO IT'S HARDLY THE CURRENT MARKET.
SO IT'S NOT GOING TO BE SELF-SUSTAINING AND IF THE RESTAURANTS
WANT TO PAY FOR A CONSULTANT THEMSELVES FINE.

I KNOW THE REST OF THE COMMUNITY IS LOOKING FOR AN INDEPENDENT VIEW OF THIS.

AND THEY'RE GOING TO BE SUSPECT OF ANY RESULT THAT'S CAME FROM THAT.

I LIKE JILLS SUGGEST OF BRINGING PEOPLE TOGETHER AND NOT SPENDING THE MONEY AS A CONSULTANT AND I THINK THE GROUP THAT'S BEEN ESTABLISHED BE BROADEN AND SHOULD ACTUALLY BE REIGNITED AND REUNITED FOR THIS ENDEAVOR AND I DON'T SEE THE RESOURCES FOR IT. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT AN ADDITIONAL \$300,000 POSSIBLY FOR CONTRACTING WITH REDONDO BEACH AND I DON'T KNOW WHERE ALL THIS IS GOING TO COME FROM AND WE HAVEN'T STARTED TALKING ABOUT THE BUDGET YET AND WE HAVE TO MIND THE MONEY AND I THINK WE NEED TO STICK WITH WHERE WE ARE AND THE MOTIVATION THE SPEED THAT THIS GOES IS GOING TO DEPEND ON THE MOTIVATION AND THE PEOPLE THAT PARTICIPATE IN AND THE CONSENSUS TO BUILD ON THAT.

AND I THINK THAT'S EFFORT ENOUGH.

WE MADE A LOT OF CALLS ON THE FLY THAT DIDN'T INCLUDE CONSULTANTS SOME WORK SOME DIDN'T AND THAT'S THE WAY IT'S GOING TO BE HERE, AND I THINK THAT'S FINE LET'S DO IT.

LET'S GO FORWARD.

WE NEED TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE LIMB STATIONS OF STAFF AND THE LIMB STATIONS OF BUDGET FOR LIMITATIONS LIKE THIS AND WITHIN A SAID I THINK IT CAN BE WORKED OUT.

THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU.

SO YOU KNOW, I WANT US TO JUST REMEMBER THAT WE'RE NOT SAYING THIS ISN'T GOING TO BE CONSIDERED.

RIGHT.

IT IS CURRENTLY AS A -- AS A CLASSIFIED AS A B PRIORITY ON THE WORK PLAN.

THAT STILL IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO CONTINUE TO LOOK AT THE LONG-TERM USE OF THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY FOR OUTDOOR DINING.

THE QUESTION IS DO WE WANT TO JUMP IT UP AND COMMIT RESOURCES TO JUMPING IT UP.

AND THE RESOURCES THAT WE WOULD BE COMMITTING ARE SPECIFIC TO THIS ITEM.

THEY'RE NOT ADDING STAFF OR OTHER ITEMS ADDING STAFF OR HIRING A CONSULTANT FOR THIS ONE ITEM.

I -- AS I UNDERSTAND WE HAVE OPPORTUNITIES STILL CURRENTLY FOR ADDRESSING THE USE OF OUR PUBLIC SPACES.

WE HAVE THE COMMITTEE THAT MET LAST WEEK THAT IS INCLUDES A ROUNDING OUT OF STAKEHOLDERS IS THAT IS DISCUSSING THESE -- THEIR DISCUSSING THE USE OF THESE PUBLIC SPACES FOR OUTDOOR DINING.

AND I DON'T WANT TO DISREGARD WHAT I THINK IS ACTUALLY A DOABLE SUGGESTION BY JILL WHICH IS WE HAVE A LOT OF EXPERTS IN OUR COMMUNITY, AND I DON'T KNOW THAT WE'VE TAPPED INTO THEM WHO

WOULD PERHAPS BE WHAT WOULD OTHERWISE LOOK AT AS HIRING OTHER CONSULTANTS.

DO WE HAVE OTHERS THAT WOBBLING TO HELP GUIDE THIS CONVERSATION WITHOUT HAVING TO AC SET RATE THIS ON A WORK PLAN AND HIRE ANOTHER CONSULTANT OR ANOTHER EYE TO DO THAT.

I THINK WE SHOULD LOOK INTO THAT AND INCLUDE OTHER EXPERTS THAT WE HAVE A HIGHLY EDUCATED AND A HIGHLY PROFESSIONAL AND HAYLIE EXPERT COMMUNITY THAT WE COULD BE REACHING OUT TO.

BECAUSE WE KNOW OUR COMMUNITIES DOES -- WE KNOW THAT THESE 24 RESTAURANTS ARE LOOKING FOR WAYS TO CONTINUE THEIR OUTDOOR DINING.

AND I CAN'T SUPPORT SPENDING MORE MONEY ON THIS FOR THESE 24 RESTAURANTS.

I REALLY CAN'T.

I CAN'T -- I DON'T THINK THAT'S THE APPROPRIATE USE OF OUR CITY FUNDS TO DO THAT.

I THINK THAT KEEPING IT ON THE WORK PLAN.

KEEPING IT AS A PRIORITY B.

LOOKING FOR OTHER WAYS TO CONTINUE TO HAVE THIS CONVERSATION AND TO CONTINUE TO HAVE PRODUCTIVE CONVERSATIONS IS THE RIGHT THING TO DO AT THIS TIME AND I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THESE CONVERSATIONS CONTINUE SO THAT WE REQUEST CONTINUE TO FIND WAYS TO SUPPORT OUR BUSINESS COMMUNITY.

SO IF ANY OF MY COLLEAGUES HAVE A SUGGESTION ON A WAY THAT WE CAN CONTINUE THIS BEYOND THE CURRENT BEYOND THE AD HOC COMMITTEE THAT IS MEETING AGAIN, I'M, YOU KNOW, I'M THINK THAT THAT MIGHT BE OR EXPANDING ON THAT COMMITTEE IN SOME WAY.

I THINK THAT THAT MIGHT BE AND OPTION WHERE WE DON'T HAVE TO COMMIT SO MANY RESOURCES THAT WE DON'T HAVE IN THE CITY RIGHT NOW.

>> YOUR HONOR I WOULD DEFER TO STAFF I'M SURE THAT DIRECTOR TAI HAS SOMEONE IN MIND.

SHE MADE THIS PROPOSAL.

>> YEAH, IF I CAN JUMP IN HERE.

I COULDN'T AGREE MORE THAT WE HAVE A LOT OF TALENTS PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY THAT CAN HELP US WITH THIS.

BUT THE CITY IS THE GLUE IN THIS PROJECT, AND WE WOULD NEED SOMEONE TO DO THAT AND IDEALLY IT WOULD BE A SENIOR PLANNER WHO CAN LEAD HAD THIS EFFORT.

MOST OF YOU WEREN'T AROUND BACK IN THE EARLY 2 THOUSAND WHEN WE DID THE MET BOX PROJECT, AND THE DAILY BRIEF ACTUALLY CONGRATULATED THE CITY IN A PUBLIC ARTICLE ABOUT THE MODEL PROCESS WE FOLLOWED IN PUTTING TOGETHER THAT PROJECT THAT WE ALL LOVE TODAY.

>> TEXTBOOK.

>> .

TEXTBOOK.

THAT'S THE WORD THAT WAS USED.
AND PAINSTAKINGLY GOING THROUGH A PUBLIC PROCESS.
IT'S SLOW AND TEDIOUS IT'S NECESSARY IT'S DELIBERATE AND THOSE
ARE THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT WE NEED FOR THE DOWNTOWN WHATEVER
WE DO HERE.
IT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT CAN BE RUSHED.
IT'S NOT GOING TO HELP.
IT'S GOT TO GO TAKE TIME AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND MORE PUBLIC
INVOLVEMENT AND MORE PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT.
SO TO DO THIS RIGHT WITH THE TASK FORCE THAT IS SUGGESTED HERE
WE NEED A SENIOR PLANNER ON STAFF WHO CAN RUN THIS PROJECT AND
BRING IT TO FRUITION AND BE THE -- IS HOW I WOULD CHARACTERIZE
IT SO THINK THAT WE CAN DO IT PART-TIME WITH TEN OR 15 RES RENTS
AND BUSINESS OWNERS IS JUST SILLY.
I'M JUST BEING BLUNT WITH YOU HERE.
IT'S NOT GOING TO HAPPEN.
YOU WANT IT TO BE A SUCCESS WE NEED THE RESOURCES.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.
DO WE HAVE ANY -- BY COLLEAGUES HAVE ANY WAY THEY WANT TO MOVE
THIS FORWARD OTHER THAN ALTERNATIVE ONE WHICH IS NO CHANGES TO
THE WORK PLAN.
COUNCIL MEMBER FRANK LYNN.
>> I'LL JUMP IN I PROPOSE THAT WE SWITCH THE CLIMATE RESILIENCY
PROGRAM FROM PRIORITY A TO PRIORITY B AND PUT LONG-TERM DINING
AND USING OFF TO PRIORITY A WITH OVERSIGHT FROM THE COMMUNITY
PLANNER IF TIME IS NOT AVAILABLE.
YOU KNOW, FROM CURRENT STAFF, THAT WE HIRE A CONSULTANT, A
PLANNER CONSULTANT AND THAT WE ALSO SIMULTANEOUSLY MAKE A TASK
FORCE WORK GROUP THAT CAN ASSIST WITH SUCH A PROJECT.
>> MAYOR IF I COULD.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: DIRECTOR TAI.
>> YES, IF I COULD COMMENT.
SWITCHING THOSE ITEMS AROUND DOESN'T FREE UP THE STAFF TO MANAGE
THE PROJECT.
THE PLANNING DIVISION STAFF THAT CURRENTLY DO PLAN CHECKS AND
THAT KIND OF THING WHO ARE TRYING TO ASSIST ON EVALUATING
POLICIES ARE VOLUNTARY INVOLVED IN THAT BUT NONE OF THOSE GROUP
OF PEOPLE I JUST MENTIONED WOULD BE THE APPROPRIATE MATCH FOR A
PROJECT MANAGER FOR VETTING THIS LONG-TERM OUTDOOR DINING
PROCESS.
SO I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT THAT IT DOESN'T GET US WHERE WE
MIGHT WANT TO GO.
THOSE PEOPLE ALSO -- THAT PARTICULAR GROUP OF PEOPLE ALSO CAN'T
MANAGE A CONSULTANT PROJECT MANAGER.
YOU NEED SOMEONE HIGHER UP THAN THAT.
SO THAT DOESN'T FREE UP THE RIGHT PEOPLE.
I JUST WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: SO DIRECTOR TAI.
IF THIS WAS MOVED TO PRIORITY A, THERE ISN'T -- IS THERE
ANYTHING AS JOE WAS TRYING TO SUGGEST IS THERE ANY WAY TO MOVE
STAFF OR THAT'S NOT A POSSIBILITY.
>> YEAH, THANK YOU MAYOR.
CURRENTLY THERE ARE NO PRIORITY A ITEMS THAT CAN BE MOVED OUT
THE PRIORITY A BOX.
SO IF THIS ITEM WANTS DOLLARS TO MOVE INTO A AND A IS NOW.
B IS NOT QUITE NOW.
THEN I NEED RESOURCES FOR NOW.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.
>> AND WHAT WOULD THOSE BE EXACTLY SORT OF EXACTLY SENIOR
PLANNER.
>> THAT WOULD BE THE APPROPRIATELY SKILLED AND EXPERIENCED
POSITION FOR A PROJECT MANAGER OF THIS NATURE.
THAT IS CORRECT.
>> OKAY.
AND ARE THEY AVAILABLE ON A CONTRACTOR BASIS MAY NAY THAT WOULD
BE AND ALTERNATIVE TOO.
>> AS A CONTRACTOR.
IT'S HARD TO SAY.
I'M GOING TO -- I KNOW IN THE PAST YEAR IT'S BEEN EXTREMELY
DITCH TO LOCATE ANY, KIND OF, CONTRACT STAFF AND AS I MENTIONED
EARLIER THE USE OF CONTRACT STAFF IS NOT A ONE TO ONE EQUIVALENT
OF INHOUSE STAFF BECAUSE YOU STILL NEED TO QUALITY CONTROL THEM
FOR CITY CONTINUITY AND IT'S BASICALLY A 60 TO 70% EQUIVALENT
BUT YOU'RE EXPENDING MUCH HIGHER DOLLARS AT LEAST FROM AND HOUR
TO HOUR BASIS.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
YOUR HONOR WE HAVE TO MOVE THIS THING.
NO CHANGE TO THE PRIORITY.
>> SEC.
>> OKAY.
CAN WE HAVE A ROLL CALL VOTE ON THIS PLEASE.
>> MAYOR STERN.
>> YES.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> YES.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.
>> NO.
>> MAYOR PRO TEM.
>> YES.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> NO.
>> MOTION PASSES THREE TO 2.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.
WE'LL MOVE ON TO ITEM 19 CONSIDERATION OF FEES PAID FOR LONG-

TERM USE OF CITY PROPERTY THAT IS FINANCE DIRECTOR CHARELIAN.
>> GOOD EVENING MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE SLAYS COUNCIL.
THE ITEM IS TO PAY FOR LONG-TERM USE OF COMMERCIAL CITY
PROPERTY.

THEY SUGGEST THAT STAFF PROVIDE OPTION TO CONSIDER WAIVING THE
MONTHLY ENCROACHMENT FEES FOR THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY AS
REQUIRED BY MUNICIPAL CODE 7.36.

THE CITY HAS FOUR -- THE FOUR BUSINESSES ARE OCEAN VIEW CAFE.
SUMMERS UNCLE BILLS APRIL FUSION SUSHI.

THE INITIAL MONTHLY FEES WERE SET IN 2,000 AND THE PRICE IS
BASED ON SQUARE FOOTAGE RANGING FROM 44 SQUARE FEET AND THE
MONTHLY FEES ARE PAID IN A SIMILAR MANNER AND -- MONTHLY RENTALS
AND OTHER RECURRING RECEIVABLES THROUGHOUT THE CITY NONE OF
WHICH HAVE RECEIVED FEE WAIVERS DURING COVID-19.

SHORT TERM USE IS DIFFERENT FROM LONG-TERM SINCE THEY'RE AND THE
FORM DINING DECKS WHEREAS THE LONG-TERM COMMERCIAL USES MENTION
ARE LOCATED IN NO STREET AREAS OF PUCK LIB RIGHT A OF WAY SUCH
AS WALK STREETS AND THEY'VE BEEN THERE OR FOREVER 20 YEARS.
THE CITY STARTED CHARGING FOR THE SHORT-TERM USAGE ON THE DINING
DECKS ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2021.

I HAVE SOME OPTIONS FOR YOU TO CONSIDER.
COMING ON THE SCREEN.

OPTION A WOULD BE ZERO WAIVER.

THESE FEES HAVE BEEN IN PLACE FOR OVER 20 YEARS.

OPTION B IS TO WAIVE A TOTAL OF \$9,348 AND THEE THESE FEES WOULD
BE WAVED FROM JULY 1ST AND OCTOBER 1ST, 2021.

AND THESE WERE A TOTAL OF THREE MONTHS DURATION, AND THEY WERE
BASE OPT SIZE OF THE SQUARE FOOTAGE OF THE BUSINESS.

OPTION C WOULD BE TO WAIVE A TOTAL OF 23,300 AND 70.

THAT WOULD BE FROM JANUARY 1ST, 2021, AND OCTOBER 1ST, 2021, AND
OPTION THREE IS 37392 VALUE AND THAT WOULD BE FROM THE BEGINNING
OF THE OUTDOOR PROGRAM FROM JULY 1ST, 2020, AND TO OCTOBER 1ST,
2022, AND REFUNDS FROM RANGE IF FROM 2,000 TO 12,000 AND THERE
ARE FOUR BUSINESSES SUGGEST TO THIS MONTHLY ENCROACHMENT FEE AND
REPRESENT THAT THE CITY COUNCIL DISCUSS AND PROVIDE FURTHER
DIRECTION ON ANY OF THE OPTIONS.

HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE.

THANK YOU.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOUR FINANCIAL DIRECTOR CHARELIAN.

>> REMIND EVERYONE HERE WHAT DO WE DO FOR THOSE FEES DURING
COVID AND LAST YEAR.

>> WE DID INCREASE THE PARKING FEES TO MITIGATE SOME OF THE COST
OF LOST REVENUE FOR THE USE OF THOSE PARKING METERS AND WE DID
THAT IN SEPTEMBER OF 2020.

THEN THAT WAS FROM A DOLLAR 50 TO \$2 ON THE STREET AND \$1.50 TO
\$2 IN THE LOT.

LET ME DREAM PHRASE THE QUESTION.

THAT'S ONE PART.

WE WAVED THE METER FEES FOR THE RESTAURANT AND THE DINING DECKS DIDN'T WE.

WE DID AND THOSE WERE WAVED UNTIL JUST RECENTLY OCTOBER 1ST, 2021, WHERE WE STARTED CHARGING A DOLLAR A SQUARE FOOT AND THEN IN JANUARY OF 2020, WE STARTED CHARGING \$3 A SQUARE FOOT.

>> THANK YOU, SIR.

NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

>> YOU'RE WELCOME.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS.

>> YES.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> YES.

THANK YOU DIRECTOR CHARELIAN.

SO WHEN WE INCREASED -- WHEN WE -- I GUESS I'M TRYING TO THINK ABOUT WHAT I'M GOING TO ASK HERE.

SO WHEN WE INCREASED IT FROM \$1 TO \$3 THESE BUSINESSES WERE ALREADY PAYING \$3 IS THAT CORRECT.

>> CORRECT THESE BUSINESSES WERE PAYING \$3 SINCE 20 -- SINCE 2,000.

>> OKAY.

SO ALL DURING THIS TIME THEY WERE STILL PAYING THEIR MONTHLY FEE AT \$3 A SQUARE FOOT IS THAT CORRECT.

>> THAT IS CORRECT.

>> OKAY.

GREAT.

THANK YOU.

>> YOU'RE WELCOME.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: IF THERE ARE NO MORE QUESTIONS, I WOULD LIKE TO OPEN THIS UP FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> DO WE HAVE ANYONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE PUBLIC COMMENT THERE IS NO REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT REGARDING THIS ITEM.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.

SO COUNCIL DISCUSSION. IS THERE ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO OPEN THIS UP FOR COUNCIL DISCUSSION.

TO START US OFF.

COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> YOUR HONOR.

IT SEEMS FAIR TO ME THAT IF WE WAVED FEES FOR EVERYBODY ELSE, I KNOW THESE FOUR RESTAURANTS ARE IN A UNIQUE POSITION.

THEY WERE PAYING THE FEES AND THEN WE ROLLED OUT A FREE PROGRAM FOR EVERYONE ELSE, AND THESE FOUR RESTAURANTS CONTINUED TO PAY. THEY DID NOT AVAIL THEMSELVES OF THE FRO PROGRAM.

SO I AM SUPPORT BY COLLEAGUES WHO BROUGHT THIS FORWARD.

IT SEEMS FAIR TO REFUND THEIR MONEY AND IF WE WANT PARITY, WE SHOULD GO BACK TO THE 15 MONTHS WINDOW THAT DIRECTOR CHERELIAN AND JUST REFUND THE FULL AMOUNT AND NOW THAT WE'VE STARTED

CHARGING THREE A SQUARE FOOT FOR EVERYBODY.
NOW BY JUST INTOED TO GO BACK IN TIME AND ESTABLISH PARITY IN
THE PAST AND IT SEEMS FAIR TO ME AND I'M HAPPY TO MAKE A MOTION
TO REFUND THE FULL AMOUNT OF 37,392.
>> SECOND THAT.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: WE HAVE A MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY
AND A SECOND BY COUNSEL I MEMBER FRANKLIN.
DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS ABOUT THIS? YOUR HONOR MAY NAY
YES, PRO TEM.
>> YES, I'M NOT GOING TO VOTE IN FAVOR OF THIS.
THANK YOU.
>> I'M SORRY.
YOU WERE FOR ME YOU WERE BREAKING UP.
I DIDN'T HEAR WHAT YOU SAID AFTER YOU SAID THE STAFF REPORT.
>> IT WAS VERY PROFOUND.
>> I'M SURE IT WAS.
>> BUT I'M NOT GOING TO SUPPORT THIS I'M CONVINCED BY THE
STAFF'S REPORT THAT IT'S APPLES AND ORANGES.
SO WE'RE GOING TO STICK WITH WHAT WE'VE BEEN DOING.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU.
COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY DID YOU HAVE A COMMENT.
>> NO COMMENT I UNDERSTAND BOTH SIDES.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU I'LL CALL FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
IN THIS CASE, YES.
>> MAYOR PRO TEM.
>> NO.
>> MAYOR STERN.
>> I HAVEN'T HEARD THAT THERE'S BEEN A REQUEST FOR THIS.
I'M GOING TO SAY NO.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> YES, IN THE INTEREST OF FAIRNESS YES.
>> MOTION PASSES THREE TO 2.
>>
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY. MOVING ON ITEM NUMBER 20 IS
CONSIDERATION OF A RESOLUTION APPROVING AND EXCEPTION TO THE
180-DAY WAITING PERIOD TO HIRE LIEUTENANT [CROSS-TALK]
>> MOVE APPROVAL PEAK MOVE SECOND.
>> I THINK THERE IS A VERY BRIEF STAFF REPORT.
>> MOVE NOT TO HEAR THE STAFF REPORT IT'S IN THE FOLDER.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.
DO WE HAVE ANYONE THAT IS REQUESTED PUBLIC COMMENT.
>> I'M SORRY IF I COULD JUST INTERRUPT DID THIS REQUIRE A PUBLIC
STATEMENT FOR THE -- PURPOSES OR NO.
>> BY UNDERSTANDING IS IT DOES NOT BECAUSE IT'S NOT AND
EXECUTIVE LEVEL POSITION.
THIS IS MERELY A CALIPER'S REQUIREMENT THAT THIS EXCEPTION TO

THE 180-DAY REQUIREMENT CANNOT BE ON CONSENT.
SO I THINK WE'RE FINE TO MOVE FORWARD.
>> ALL RIGHT.
THANK YOU.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU.
>> THERE IS NO REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.
THANK YOU.
WE HAVE A MOTION BY WAS THAT COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY AND SAY
SECOND BY MAYOR PRO TEM.
CAN WE HAVE A ROLL CALL VOTE, PLEASE.
>> MAYOR STERN.
>> YES.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> YES.
>> MAYOR PRO TEM.
>> YES.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.
>> YES.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
MOTION PASSES 50.
OKAY.
WE'RE MOVING ON TO ITEM 21 CONSIDERATION OF CITY COUNCIL
MEETINGS.
CITY MANAGER MOW.
>> THERE WE GO.
WE HAVE A STAFF PRESENTATION BUT IF YOU DON'T NEED IT, WE CAN
JUST TAKE COUNCIL COMMENTS ON IT, AND YOU CAN GIVE US DIRECTION
EITHER WAY.
>> WE DON'T NEED IT.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.
DO WE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR STAFF ABOUT THE STAFF REPORT THAT
IS ATTACHED TO THE AGENDA? I DON'T SEE ANY QUESTIONS.
SO I'LL OPEN THIS UP TO PUBLIC COMMENT.
DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM?
>> THERE IS NO REQUEST FOR PUBLIC ITEM REGARDING THIS ITEM.
>> OKAY.
SO OPEN THIS UP TO DISCUSS AND COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR THIS IS LEFT, UP TO THE -- STEVE AND
BRUCE YOU REMEMBER THIS PLAN TOO.
I HAD THIRTEEN CEREMONIAL ITEMS WHEN THE FORMER CITY MANAGER LET
ME START THE MEETING EARLIER AND BRUCE YOU REMEMBER THIS SOME
EMPLOYEES WANTED TO GET A NEW PROFFER AND FIREMAN HAVE THEM COME
EARLIER AND THEY CAN TALK AND GOT HOME.
WE DID THAT AT 550 ANNE, AND IT DOESN'T REQUIRE ANYONE ELSE FROM
COUNCIL TO ATTEND.
EVERYONE IS WELCOME AND BY THE TIME 6:00-O CLOCK GOT HER THE

MEETING TOOK OFF.

[INAUDIBLE]

[SPEAKER'S MIC IS VERY STATIC] SO THIS GAVE US AN OPTION ON THOSE DAYS AND ON A FULL AGENDA THAT I HAVE MEETINGS START AT 5:15 AND 5:30 AND HAVE THEM DONE BY 6:00 O'CLOCK.

SO IT'S THE MAYOR'S DISCRETION WHETHER TO START IT BUT MAKE CLEAR THAT IT'S NOT A REQUIREMENT FOR THE WHOLE COUNCIL TO ATTEND. MET MEETINGS START AT 6-O'CLOCK READY TO GO FOR THE CEREMONY.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: ANY OTHER COMMENTS?

>> STUDENT: I'M SORRY THE LAST PART BROKE AWAY. WAS THERE A MOTION.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: I'M NOT EXACTLY CLEAR ON WHAT YOU WERE SUGGESTING COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> I WAS SAYING WE CAN LEAVE IT AT THE MAYOR'S DISCRETION IF THE MAYOR WANTS TO CALL A MEETING EARLIER TO GET THE CEREMONIALS OUT OF THE WAY AND IF THEY WANT TO BE THERE THAT'S THEIR PREROGATIVE.

AND AT 6:00 O'CLOCK WE WERE GOOD TO GO.

SO THAT WAS BY COMMENTS.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

>> YEAH, I THINK -- I LIKE RICHARDS YOU KNOW HE HAS MORE EXPERIENCE BEING MAYOR, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO CAP THE CEREMONIAL ITEMS TOO.

IF WE START AT SIX NO MORE THAN 2 ITEMS IF IT'S MORE THAN 2 CEREMONIAL ITEMS, THEN THE MAYOR DECIDES HOW EARLIER TO START THE MEETING SO THAT THE PUBLIC BUSINESS STARTS AT SIX.

I DON'T CONSIDER CEREMONIALS TO BE TRULY PUBLIC AND I THINK THESE MEETINGS ARE TOO LONG.

WE HAVE NO PUBLIC COMMENT BECAUSE PEOPLE ARE IN BED AND IF WE CAN PUSH IT THROUGH BEFORE THE PUBLIC ENTERS THE CHAMBER AT SIX, I THINK THAT'S A POSITIVE.

SO I WOULD SUPPORT IF THAT WAS A MOTION FROM RICHARD, I WOULD SECOND THAT.

>> I CAN MAKE THAT A MOTION.

ANY CEREMONIAL PASSES THE MAYOR CAN OR CAN REQUIRE TO HAVE TON EARLIER AT 6:00 O'CLOCK.

MAKE IT LIMITED TO CEREMONIAL [INAUDIBLE] 6-O'CLOCK ON.

>> SO I DON'T UNDERSTAND, YOU'RE JUST SAYING IT'S THE MAYOR'S CHOICE.

>> I WAS GIVEN FRAMEWORK AT 2.

BUT THAT'S WHAT I WAS TALKING ABOUT.

>> RIGHT BUT IF IT'S FRAMEWORK THAT MEANS THAT IT'S NOT A REQUIREMENT.

SO IT GOES BACK TO WHAT IT IS.

SO YOU'RE SAYING IT'S MAYOR'S CHOICE.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: I'M JUST WONDERING WHY WE'RE DOING THIS WE

ALL EXCEPT SHOW HAVE BEEN MAYOR AND WHEN I STARTED WITH THE AGENDA REVIEWS, I WAS TOLD THAT THE GENERAL POLICY THE GENERAL GUIDELINE IS THREE, YOU KNOW CEREMONIAL ITEMS AND I RESPECTED THAT.

TONIGHT HE WAS RECOGNIZING OUR ENGINEERS AND I WOULDN'T WANT TO SEE SOME OF THESE ITEMS NOT BE ON OUR AGENDAS AND OUR MEETINGS WHERE WE ALL HAVE ENJOYED THESE CEREMONIAL ITEMS.

WE HAVE A GENERAL POLICY THREE CEREMONIAL ITEMS AT THE DISCRETION OF THE MAYOR.

IT'S BEEN A PROCESS THAT WE'VE ALWAYS HAD.

I COMPLETELY RESPECT THE RECORD -- THE SUGGESTION OF COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY THAT IF A MAYOR WANTS TO START A MEETING EARLIER AND WE'RE NOT ASKING FOR A QUORUM OF THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

I THINK THAT'S LOVELY.

BECAUSE THERE ARE SUGGESTS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE AND I'M GETTING SUGGESTIONS FROM BY KINDNESS INITIATIVE, AND I KNOW THERE IS NO WAY OF COMING NEAR THOSE.

THAT'S A GREAT SUGGESTION.

I LOVE THAT IDEA, BUT WE HAVE A GUIDELINE AND I -- YEAH, I'M JUST -- I'M A LITTLE BIT PERPLEXED BUT I THINK MAYOR PRO TEM YOU HAD YOUR HAND UP.

>> THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

AGAIN, I THINK THIS IS A NONISSUE.

I THINK THAT WE HAD ONE LONG-TERM ON THE CALENDAR THAT YOU LEARNED FROM.

THAT WAS WHEN YOUR FIRST THREE OR FOUR MEETINGS AS MAYOR. AND WE ALL LEARNED THAT AND SOMETIMES WE'RE GOING TO HAVE AS COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

ONE TIME HE HAD 11 ITEMS.

YOU CAN'T NECESSARILY CONTROL THEM.

YOU CAN CONTROL THE TIME AND YOU SHOULD DO THAT, BUT IT SHOULD BE MINDFUL, YOU KNOW, BE MINDFUL WHEN THE MAYOR SETS AGENDA.

SO LET'S BE CLEAR ABOUT THAT.

SO BE MINDFUL IN THOSE AGENDA MEETINGS WITH BRUCE WHAT THE AGENDA LOOKS LIKE AND ADJUST FROM THERE [INAUDIBLE] IF WE'RE THINKING ABOUT CEREMONIAL ITEMS ARE TAKING TOO LONG THEN LET'S NOT HAVE ANY.

BUT. TRUTH IS PICKING AND CHOOSING WHAT THEY ARE WE DO WHAT'S APPROPRIATE AND WE GET THROUGH IT, AND WE MOVE OP FROM IT.

WE HAD SOME BIG ITEMS WE'LL GET THROUGH IT, BUT THIS JUST SEEMS PETTY AND UNPRODUCTIVE.

THERE IS NO REASON TO GO HERE.

YOU HEARD COMPLAINTS ABOUT HOW LONG THE MEETING WAS THAT TIME AND I THINK HE LEARNED FROM IT WE HAVEN'T REPEATED THAT.

SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE ISSUE IS HERE.

>> YEAH, YOU'RE RIGHT.

>> MAYOR H. STERN: AND I'M JUST GOING TO PIPE IN HERE WHEN THE MEETING WAS GOING LONG, I KNOW IT WAS GOING LONG. AND WHEN THIS CAME OUT HER FIRST COMMENT NOW IT DIDN'T HAPPEN THIS TIME BUT IN PRIOR TIMES.
SO I GET IT.
>> YOUR HONOR I HAVE THE DATA HERE.
HOW ABOUT WE GO TO THE DATA HERE.
>> IT WASN'T ONE MEETING.
SO LET'S NOT SAY IT WAS ONE MEETING.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: THANK YOU I'M GOING TO LET COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN GO AHEAD.
>> EVEN THOUGH I HAVEN'T SERVED THAT LONG I'VE BEEN IN THE AUDIENCE AND WATCHED A LOT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING.
WHAT I'M SENSITIVE TO KNEW IS THE STAFF.
I SEE THE STAFF WAITING AND I LOOK AT THE NAMES.
I THINK MOST OF THEM PUT IN A LONG DAY AND THEN THEY HAVE TO BE HERE FOR THE -- FOR THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING.
I SEE RESIDENTS THE SAME THING.
THEY TUNE IN EXPECTING TO, YOU KNOW, HEAR THE BUSINESS OF THE CITY BEING DISCUSSED.
WHEN I LOOK BACK AND I SEE WE'RE 40, 35, 40, 50 MINUTES INTO BEFORE WE EVEN GET TO THE FIRST ITEM WHICH IS THE PUBLIC COMMENTS THAT'S A LONG TIME FOR PEOPLE TO WAIT.
SO I LIKE THE IDEA OF LIMITED FROM SIM O CLOCK AND HAVE 2 CEREMONIAL ITEMS THEN GO AHEAD HAD AND SAY WE'RE GOING TO START OUR AGENDA AT 535 OR 530 IF YOU HAVE MORE AND LET'S NOT HOLDUP OUR STAFF AND OUR RESIDENTS. THESE ARE IMPORTANT PEOPLE I UNDERSTAND, AND I HOPE TO HAVE SOME TOO AND I WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO RING THEM IN EARLY.
AND TALK ABOUT THEIR GREAT ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND EVERYTHING LIKE THAT AND IT'S TERRIFIC.
I LIKE IT.
BUT WE'RE NOT TRYING TO SQUASH KINDNESS INITIATIVES.
WE'RE NOT TRYING TO SQUASH HAVING TO SEE PEOPLE.
WE'RE HERE TO TAKE CARE OF THE PEOPLE'S BUSINESS.
SO IT'S A BY BALANCE.
>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> JUST TO GO CLEAR I'M NOT ANTI- CEREMONIAL.
BY POINT WAS WE GET THIS DONE AND HAVE IT EARLIER AND GO RIGHT TO THE BUSINESS.
I THINK COUNCIL FRANKLIN PUT IT RIGHT ON THE NAIL.
WE'RE MAKING EVERYTHING STAY LONGER.
WEAPON DIDN'T THROUGH TONIGHT'S MEETING AND NOT BECAUSE OF CEREMONIAL.
BUT I'M SAY THAT WE HAVE HAD TIMES IN THE PAST MORE THAN ONCE AND I CAN TELL YOU THAT IT'S NOT BEEN THERE WAY FOREVER.
SO WE MOVE THINGS AROUND SO MAKE THINGS QUICKER AND --

[AUDIO TROUBLES] I DON'T SEE WHAT THE ISSUE IS.
IF THERE IS MORE THAN 2 DO IT EARLIER BUT DON'T REQUIRE COUNCIL.
>> I'LL SECOND THAT IF THAT'S A MOTION.
IF IT'S MORE THAN 2 THE MEETING STARTER EARLIER WHY.
>> OKAY.
IS SOUNDS LIKE WE HAVE A MOTION FROM COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> THE MEETING STARTS EARLIER FOR WHO.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: FOR STAFF.
>> AND THEN THEY GET OUT EARLIER.
WE DIDN'T EVEN HAVE A CEREMONIAL WITH CEDRIC TONIGHT AND IT'S
1125.
>> EXACTLY.
IT'S REALLY NOT ABOUT THE CEREMONIAL.
IS EXACTLY THE POINT YOU JUST MADE.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: SUSAN WE HAD 2 CEREMONIALS.
ONE NIGHT THAT WENT TOO LONG YOU CUT PUBLIC COMMENT TWO MINUTES.
THE PUBLIC HAS RIGHTS.
WE RECOGNIZED THAT WE ARE SENSITIVE TO THIS, AND WE TALK ABOUT
THIS ALL THE TIME THESE MEETINGS ARE LONG.
THERE IS A LOT ON THE AGENDA.
IT'S NOT ABOUT CEREMONIALS.
I CAN GIVE YOU THE DATA OF YOUR MEETINGS TOO AND AT LEAST EVERY
MONTH YOU HAD OVER A FIVE-HOUR MEETING AS WELL.
>> AND IT WASN'T BECAUSE OF CEREMONIALS.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: AND IT WASN'T BECAUSE OF CEREMONIALS LAST
TIME AND IT WASN'T BECAUSE OF THE CEREMONIALS THE LAST TIME.
>> I WOULD LOVE TO CALL FOR THE QUESTION IT'S 1125.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: IT'S 1121.
LET'S MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE SOLVE WHAT REALLY IS THE PROBLEM.
THE PROBLEM IS THESE MEETINGS GO LONG BECAUSE THERE IS LOTS OF
DISCUSSION.
OKAY.
WILL CALL FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> YES, COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.
>> YES.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
>> YES.
>> MAYOR PRO TEM.
>> NO.
>> MAYOR STERN.
>> NO.
>> MOTION PASSES THREE TO 2.
>> CLARIFICATION ON THAT IF THERE ARE MORE THAN 2 CEREMONIAL
ITEMS THE MEETING STARTS EARLIER [CROSS-TALK]
SO THERE IS 2 WAYS OF DEALING WITH THAT.
IS IT ACTUALLY A COUNCIL MEETING BEFORE 6:00 O'CLOCK?

OR IS IT.
>> NO QUORUM.
>> SO IT'S JUST THE.
>> THAT'S WHEN WE CAN IN THE PAST AND IT SEEMS TO WORK.
PEOPLE ENJOYED.
THEY GOT TO COME IN AND STAFF GOT OWE LEAVE EARLIER AND THAT'S
HOW IT WENT.
>> SO I WANT SO FURTHER CLARIFY IT AND IT SAYS AT THE MAYOR'S
DESEGREGATION IS WHAT I JUST HEARD SAID SO IT IF THE MAYOR WANTS
TO START THE MEETING AT 6:00 O'CLOCK AND HAVE 2 CEREMONIALS.
>> [CROSS-TALK]
>> OKAY.
SO IT SHOULD SAY THAT IT'S PRIOR TO 6:00 O'CLOCK.
SO THAT WOULD BE HIS OR HER --
>> PRIOR TO 6:00 O'CLOCK.
THAT'S RIGHT. ANOTHER WAY TO SAY IT.
>> AND JUST TO CLARIFY IT STILL NEEDS TO BE RECORDED AND OPEN TO
THE PUBLIC THIS SHIFTING OF TIME.
>> THAT WAS ONE OF THE REASONS FOR BY QUESTION.
>> IS THE FLAG SALUTE CEREMONIAL WHEN A STUDENT DOES IT.
THEY GET A CERTIFICATE.
THE TEACHER RECOGNIZES IT.
>> OF THAT YEAH, THE TEACHER AND THE PRINCIPLE.
THAT'S A LOT.
>> THAT'S ONE AND IT ASK START AT 6:00 O'CLOCK.
>> THE CITY [INAUDIBLE] DONE IT IN THE PAST.
I JUST HANDED THEM OUT.
I DON'T REMEMBER ANYONE ELSE BEING THERE IT WAS REAL QUICK
FAMILY PHOTOS CERTIFICATE BOOMING BOOM, BOOM AND SOME CITIES
STILL DO THAT, I THINK.
THAT'S NOT -- SO THAT'S NOT THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING IT STILL
STARTS AT SIX.
THIS IS A CEREMONIAL PETE MEETING.
ANY OF THE COUNCIL MEMBERS CAN ATTEND.
IT WILL BE PUBLICIZED BUT IT ISN'T CON.
A CITY COUNCIL MEETING.
>> YES, SIR.
>> AND THE PUCK LICK CAN ATTEND, RIGHT.
>> YES.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: DID I MISUNDERSTAND THE PLEDGE AND THE
STUDENT HAVING AN OPPORTUNITY TO LEAD THE PLEDGE TO THE FLAG IS
NOT CEREMONIAL.
>> NO.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.
WE'RE DONE WITH THAT AND MOVE TO CITY COUNCIL REPORT AND
REQUESTS.
TO WE HAVE ANY CITY COUNCIL AB1234 RECORDS.

>> NO, YOUR HONOR.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: I DON'T SEE ANY REQUESTS FOR MAKING REPORTS,
SO I'LL MOVE ON TO ITEM 23.
CONSIDERS FROM MAYOR PRO TEM AND COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY TO
DISCUSS AREAS IN THE CITY THAT MAY BE ELIGIBLE FOR DEVELOPMENT.
>> I'LL BY A THIRD ON THAT.
>> OKAY.
SO THAT WILL COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA. NUMBER 24
[CROSS-TALK]
>> I WAS JUST GOING TO BE A THIRD ON 24.
>> OKAY.
I'LL STAND ASIDE.
>> ASKING FOR THE STUDY SESSION.
>> OKAY.
SO THIS WILL COME BACK. MOVING ON TO N FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS DOES
ANYONE HAVE ANY FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS.
>> COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.
YES, THANK YOU I WOULD LIKE TO ADD TO A FUTURE AGENDA DISCUSSION
OF THE OCCUPANCY RATE AND WHETHER WE COULD CONSIDER EITHER
BOOSTING THE INDOOR.
AND I DON'T KNOW HOW THOSE ARE DETERMINED AND WHETHER THE COUNTY
HAS PUT ANY RESTRICTIONS ON THERE, BUT I WOULD BE AMENABLE TO
INCREASING OCCUPANCY TO GREATER THAN 100 PERCENT GIVEN THAT THE
INCREASE IS KEPT ON THE PATIOS NOW THAT THE TEMPORARY DECKS ARE
UP.
>> QUESTION ON THAT.
CAN YOU INCREASE THEM JUST FOR THAT OR DO YOU HAVE TO INCREASE
THE OCCUPANCY OF ALL RESTAURANTS ABOVE AVERAGE?
>> NO, WELL WE'LL ASK CARE CARRY OR BRUCE, BUT I WOULD ASSUME
THOSE WITH DECKS CAN PUT MORE PEOPLE ON THE DECKS AND KEEP UNDER
100 PERCENT INSIDE TOTAL CAN EXCEED 100 PERCENT.
>> AT THIS TIME, YES, SORRY TO INTERRUPT WHERE YOU DONE.
>> I'M DONE.
>> OKAY.
SO IF THERE IS -- THIS IS JUST TO SEE IF THERE IS A SECOND VOTE
AND PUT THIS ON THE NEXT AGENDA.
>> YES.
>> AND SO THEY CAN BE FURTHER DISCUSSING AT A FUTURE COUNCIL
MEETING.
>> OKAY.
SO THAT WAS A REQUEST BY COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY AND COUNCIL
MEMBER FRANKLIN.
ARE THERE ANY FUTURE AGENDA REQUESTS?
OKAY.
WE MOVE ON TO ITEM E CITY MANAGER REPORT.
>> NONE TONIGHT THANK YOU.
>> AND ITEM P CITY ATTORNEY REPORT.

>> NOTHING TONIGHT.
THEN ITEM Q WE HAVE 2 AGENDA FORECASTS AND THE COMMISSION
MINUTES.
ITEM R IS THE CLOSED SESSION.
I DO WANT TO ASK IF WE CAN PUT THE CLOSED SESSION FOR THE
DISCUSSION ABOUT THE HOUSING ELEMENT IF WE CAN SET THAT FOR
FRIDAY AT 5:00 P.M.
I GUESS I'M ASKING BY COLLEAGUES IF THEY ARE AVAILABLE FOR THAT
CLOSED SESSION?
>> IN ADDITION TO THE CLOSED SESSION IT WILL BE THE CONTINUED
DISCUSSION OF THE HOUSING ELEMENT AFTER THE CLOSED SESSION.
>> THIS FRIDAY YOUR HONOR THE FOURTH AT 3:00 P.M.
>> AT 5:00 P.M.
>> OH, AT 5:00 P.M.
>> OH, WOW YOU GUYS ARE A WEEKEND KILLER.
5:00 P.M. FRY THE FOURTH.
IS THAT THE DAY AND TIME WE'RE TALKING ABOUT?
>> MAYOR H. STERN: YES.
>> WOW, I GUESS I CAN DO IT.
>> YEAH, YEAH, I'M FINE.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: RICHARD.
I'M A MAYBE.
IF I HAVE TO CALL IN, I'LL CALL FROM.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: WE'LL ALL BE CALLING IT IN STEVE.
>> WHEN.
>> FROM FRIDAY THE FOUR FROM 5:00 P.M.
>> OKAY.
>> AND MADAM MAYOR I DID HAVE ANYTHING ON 25.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: NUMBER 25 THE AGENDA FORECAST.
>> YEAH, SO I TOOK A LOOK AT IT, AND I SEE THERE IS GOING ON AND
ITEM THERE WITH THE CLEAN POWER ALLIANCE AND A MEMORANDUM OF
UNDERSTANDING.
JUST TO INDICATE THAT I'M PROBABLY GOING TO PULL THAT.
RIGHT NOW IT'S INDICATED THAT IT'S ON THE CONSENT AND IT JUST
DOESN'T SEEM TO BE A NORMAL AND ROUTINE AND CUSTOMARY TYPE OF
THING.
SO I'M GOING TO BE ASKING TO PULL THAT FROM CONSENT.
THAT'S FINE WHEN THAT COMES UP ON THE AGENDA, YOU'LL HAVE THAT
OPPORTUNITY AT THE MEETING.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.
SO IF WE HAVE NO OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS, WE -- I'M GOING TO
ADJOURN THIS MEETING TO THE -- AM I ADJOURNING IT TO THE FRIDAY
FEBRUARY 4TH CLOSED SESSION.
>> YES, OKAY.
I'M ADJOURNING THE MEETING TO FRIDAY FEBRUARY THE FOURTH CLOSED
SESSION.
>> ONCE AGAIN, IT'S MORE THAN JUST A CLOSED SESSION IT'S ALSO A

CONSIDERATION OF THE HOUSING ELEMENT AFTER THE CLOSED SESSION.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.
>> THAT WILL BE A CLOSED SESSION AND OPEN SESSION.
>> O.
SO HOW LONG IS THIS MEETING SUPPOSED TO GO.
>> ARE THERE ANY CEREMONIAL ITEMS [CROSS-TALK]
>> PEAK SO WHAT ARE WE HANDICAPPING THIS MEETING AT THEN.
>> IT'S A GOOD QUESTION BUT IT'S REALLY JUST TWO ITEMS. IT'S
JUST THE CLOSED SESSION AND THEN THE CONSIDERATION OF THE
HOUSING ELEMENT WHICH IS NOT A PUBLIC -- THE PUBLIC HEARING WAS
CLOSED AND SO IT CAN ONLY BE JUST DELIBERATIONS BY THE COUNCIL.
>> TO THE PUBLIC WILL NOT BE TUNING INTO THE HOUSING ELEMENT SO
WE DON'T NEED TO GIVE THEM A SPECIFIC TIME. DO WE WANT TO START
AT FIVE WITH THE HOUSING AND THEN GO INTO CLOSED SECTION WHEN
WE'RE DONE?
>> IT'S BETTER TO HAVE THE CLOSED SESSION FIRST.
>> I THINK THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT AFTER THE CLOSED SECTION WE'LL
FINAL OUT AND APPROVE THE HOUSING.
>> YOU CAN DO SOMETHING WITH THE HOUSING ELEMENT.
YOU CAN TAKE SOME ACTION WITH THE HOUSING ELEMENT.
>> OKAY.
I CAN MAKE IT FRIDAY AT FIVE.
>> MAYOR H. STERN: OKAY.
WE'RE ADJOURNING TO AT 5:00 O'CLOCK ON THE CLOSED SESSION.
OKAY.
WE'RE DONE.
>> SOUNDS GOOD. THANK YOU ALL.
>> THANK YOU AND GOOD NIGHT.
>> GOOD NIGHT