

Martha Alvarez, MMC

From: Neidy Portillo-Tseng <neidy.portillo.tseng@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 10:40 AM
To: List - City Council; City Clerk
Subject: [EXTERNAL] public comment

CAUTION: This Email is from an EXTERNAL source. Ensure you trust this sender before clicking on any links or attachments.

I want to applaud the work of Diana Skaar, the school board, and of all of those who are working towards more initiatives promoting diversity and inclusion.

Neidy Portillo-Tseng
Manhattan Beach resident and parent of 2 Pennekamp students

Martha Alvarez, MMC

From: CityOfManhattanBeach@manhattanbeach.gov on behalf of City of Manhattan Beach
<CityOfManhattanBeach@manhattanbeach.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 10:38 AM
To: List - City Council
Subject: historical landmark designation

Message submitted from the <City of Manhattan Beach> website.

Site Visitor Name: Maggie Jones
Site Visitor Email: majoneslaw@aol.com

Hi. I was contacted recently by a real estate firm with alarming news that the City of MB could designate my house in Manhattan Beach as an historical landmark without my authorization. Is this true? I hope not. This does not comply with what my understanding of the law is. Thanks



CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH

CITY ENOTIFICATION

(310) 802-5000

CityofManhattanBeach@manhattanbeach.gov

CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH 1400 Highland Avenue Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

Office Hours: M-Th 8:00 AM-5:00 PM | Fridays 8:00 AM-4:00 PM | Not Applicable to Public Safety

Reach Manhattan Beach Here for you 24/7, use our click and fix it app

Download the mobile app now



Martha Alvarez, MMC

From: Jim Burton <jburton@ecokai.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 7:49 AM
To: List - City Council
Cc: Bruce Moe; Erick Lee
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Consent Calendar Item 11 - Consideration of LAZ Parking Contract

CAUTION: This Email is from an EXTERNAL source. Ensure you trust this sender before clicking on any links or attachments.

Honorable Mayor and members of Council –

Following up on my comments yesterday RE LAZ use of crayon-based chalk on asphalt to enforce parking in residential portions of DT I am hoping that someone can address this issue now that Council has approved the negotiated contract extension process. Also, after talking with PW staff yesterday, I can assure you that the blue chalk used was more of a crayon and not the typical yellow chalk used by City staff.

Respectfully,

Jim Burton

From: Jim Burton <jburton@ecokai.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:07 PM
To: 'City Council - MB' <CityCouncil@citymb.info>
Cc: City Manager (Bruce Moe) <bmoe@citymb.info>
Subject: Consent Calendar Item 11 - Consideration of LAZ Parking Contract

Honorable Mayor and Members of Council –

I would like to request that Council take a closer look at the LAZ parking contract and make sure the cost is in line with previous Council discussions and budget direction on outsourcing and LAZ meets the high standards or service we expect. I have a few specific issues with this particular company and their performance during the current contract period -

- 1) The additional cost of up to \$350,000 does not seem to be in line with previous budget discussions and staffing allocations. Are we trying to make up lost parking revenue or trying to save money with this outsourcing? Both? Are we expecting the same quality level of service as current city staff?
- 2) Unlike City personnel who chalk a single tire for enforcement - LAZ, has aggressively marked car tires AND the **actual street** at the same time with chalk in their enforcement efforts in the residential portions of DT. The problem with this approach is that they appear to be using an oil-based chalk and the markings last on the pavement for days and even did not disappear after street sweeping – see attached photos (a few photos taken within minutes after street sweeping).
- 3) Again, the chalk used on the street appears to be waterproof (not removed after street sweeping directly over the markings) - Please request an MSDS (Material Safety Data Sheet) for the EXACT chalk that is being used on our street and ensure it is not toxic and/or contain petroleum prior to moving forward with any contract amendment. This chalking approach is completely unacceptable - marking up the streets simply because they want to give someone a ticket. Is this the company you (Council) want to keep on the MB payroll – please say

no. If we agree that outsourcing is the answer – let’s find a better company that implements a less environmental impactful approach.

4) Finally, In terms of environmental sustainability - the quality of service the city is getting with LAZ appears to be substantially less that what has been provided by City staff in my almost 30 years of living in DT.

These pictures were taken a couple weeks ago and I had intended to provide more of a comprehensive discussion with staff and Council but saw the LAZ extension on the agenda for tonight and wanted forward my thoughts. Chalk marks can be hard to see but if you imagine a full empty street of markings – its unsightly and the Company does not care – their goal is to ticket – period!

Respectfully,

Jim Burton
328 11th Street
(310) 376-8939