City Council Regular Meeting - July 19, 2022

Meeting Time: 07-19-22 18:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
City Council Regular Meeting - July 19, 2022	07-19-22 18:00	38	6	2	0	1

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment




City Council Regular Meeting - July 19, 2022 07-19-22 18:00

Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
G. PUBLIC COMMENTS (3 MINUTES PER PERSON)	3	0	0	0
10. 22-0287 Consideration of a Historical Designation: a) Designation as a Local Historic Landmark; b) Approval of a Mills Act Contract Between the Property Owner and the City; and c) Adoption of the Associated Environmental Determination in Accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for 1505 Crest Drive, A Residential Property in the Medium-Density Residential (RM) Zoning District (Anderson & Dantzler) (Community Development Director Tai). (Estimated Time: 45 Min.) A) CONDUCT PUBLIC HEARING B) ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 22-0111, APPROVING THE DESIGNATION OF 1505 CREST DRIVE AS A HISTORIC LANDMARK, APPROVING A MILLS ACT CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE PROPERTY OWNERS, AND ADOPTING A DETERMINATION OF EXEMPTION UNDER CEQA	1	1	0	0
11. 22-0322 Consideration of Presenting to the Voters Ballot Measures Concerning Commercial Cannabis (City Manager Moe). (Estimated Time: 1 Hr.) ADOPT RESOLUTION NOS. 22-0098 AND 22-0109	1	0	0	1
13. 22-0315 Consider Request by Councilmember Franklin and Councilmember Hadley to Discuss Revisiting the Request to the District Attorney's Office to Prosecute State Misdemeanor Crimes (City Manager Moe). (Estimated Time: 45 Min.) DISCUSS AND PROVIDE DIRECTION	1	1	0	0

Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for G. PUBLIC COMMENTS (3 MINUTES PER PERSON)

Overall Sentiment



AudreyA RittermaEstes

Location:

Submitted At: 4:52pm 07-19-22

City Council , please convince the residents of Manhattan beach why you believe cutting 2 MB Firefighters/Paramedics positions with civilians is good for our town? How much money will Manhattan Beach be saving annual? Why would you feel that our town's public safety is for sale? To my knowledge, if 2 units are out tending to emergencies what happens if a third call comes in with someone having a heart attack? Will your civilians know what to do? Is your endgame to erode our public safety services? Maybe it's time for me to invest in a defibrillator and take a CPR class .

As our city representatives, please think about MB residents' safety first... not the bottom line.....

Kim Muller

Location:

Submitted At: 1:27pm 07-19-22

The lack of transparency around the continued stalemate between MBFD, City Council, and City Mgr. Moe is a disturbing example of the current council's hesitation to engage in honest public conversations about the future of our City. It is even more disturbing when the current issue bears on our public safety. Residents deserve to know all the FACTS, unfiltered, from both sides in a public forum, so we are able to form our own opinions and and ask questions.

MBFD deserves the same respect we give MBPD. They deserve stable leadership, and a new contract.

Alissa Marquis

Location:

Submitted At: 12:56pm 07-19-22

I ask our City Council to authorize mediation with our MB Firefighters/EMTs, and agendize a discussion of the ongoing issues around our MBFD so that we citizens can be informed about what's happening. MBFD is a pillar of our community and a big part of the 90%+ satisfaction MB residents have expressed about Public Safety in our town. The inability to negotiate a contract with the MBFA is disrespectful to our MBFD who have served us faithfully and professionally, without fail. If City leaders want to contract our Fire services to LA County then it would be appropriate to inform residents about the reasons why, in a public setting with full transparency and above all, respect for our Firefighters/EMTs. MBFD has been a source of pride in our town for most of our history - they deserve our blessing, not the disrespect shown by our elected City Council.

Agenda Item: eComments for 10. 22-0287


Consideration of a Historical Designation:

- a) Designation as a Local Historic Landmark;
- b) Approval of a Mills Act Contract Between the Property Owner and the City; and
- c) Adoption of the Associated Environmental Determination in Accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for 1505 Crest Drive, A Residential Property in the Medium-Density Residential (RM) Zoning District (Anderson & Dantzler) (Community Development Director Tai).

(Estimated Time: 45 Min.)

- A) CONDUCT PUBLIC HEARING
- B) ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 22-0111, APPROVING THE DESIGNATION OF 1505 CREST DRIVE AS A HISTORIC LANDMARK, APPROVING A MILLS ACT CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE PROPERTY OWNERS, AND ADOPTING A DETERMINATION OF EXEMPTION UNDER CEQA

Overall Sentiment



Annette Mejia

Location:

Submitted At: 1:43pm 07-19-22

As the Owner of the 1st Historic Landmark home I support the nomination of 1505 Crest Drive to be the 2nd Historic Landmark Home for the City. As the Owner of a Historic Landmark Home I take great pride in owning this home and maintaining the integrity of the home. I know the owners of 1505 Crest Drive also take great pride in their home. The City should encourage more to apply as it has been proven that the Owners of these homes take great pride in owning and maintaining their home. As time passes, more and more homes are demolished to built new modern homes. To make this home at 1505 Crest as a Historic Landmarks keep a little of the history of what Manhattan Beach homes were like. It not often a homeowner applies for this designation, Let's save these homes, 1 home at a time. This will only be the 2nd home to be designated a Historic Landmark home with your help! Thank you for your consideration! Annette & Chris Mejia Pickens


Agenda Item: eComments for 11. 22-0322

Consideration of Presenting to the Voters Ballot Measures Concerning Commercial Cannabis (City Manager Moe).

(Estimated Time: 1 Hr.)

ADOPT RESOLUTION NOS. 22-0098 AND 22-0109

Overall Sentiment



Heather K

Location:

Submitted At: 5:23pm 07-19-22 NO POT SHOPS IN MB.


Agenda Item: eComments for 13. 22-0315

Consider Request by Councilmember Franklin and Councilmember Hadley to Discuss Revisiting the Request to the District Attorney's Office to Prosecute State Misdemeanor Crimes (City Manager Moe).

(Estimated Time: 45 Min.)

DISCUSS AND PROVIDE DIRECTION

Overall Sentiment



Heather K

Location:

Submitted At: 5:23pm 07-19-22

Obviously, this is a good thing to go around the crazy DA Gascon and prosecute crimes ourselves since he won't.