

ROUGHLY EDITED COPY  
MANHATTAN BEACH CITY COUNCIL  
REGULAR MEETING  
REMOTE BROADCAST CAPTIONING  
TUESDAY, MAY 4, 2021

Services provided by:  
QuickCaption, Inc.  
4927 Arlington Avenue  
Riverside, CA 92504  
Telephone - 951-779-0787  
Fax Number - 951-779-0980  
[quickcaption@gmail.com](mailto:quickcaption@gmail.com)  
[www.quickcaption.com](http://www.quickcaption.com)

\* \* \* \* \*

This text is being provided in a rough draft format.  
Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in  
order to facilitate communication accessibility and **may not be  
totally verbatim record of the proceedings.**

\* \* \* \* \*

>> YOU ON?  
CAN YOU HEAR ME?  
>> YES, I CAN.  
THANK YOU.  
I LIKE TO MAKE SURE.  
YES THANK YOU.  
>> WE HAVE JAN ON THE LINE.  
>> SHE'S READY AN ALL SET.  
>> OKAY.  
>> I'M UNMUTED.  
I'VE BEEN ON HERE.  
I COULDN'T UNMUTE.  
>> I DON'T THINK WE DO.  
HOW ABOUT RICHARD.  
>> I'M HERE.  
>> WE'RE READY.  
>> I STILL DON'T SEE MAYOR STERN ON THE CALL.  
HERE IN THE WEIGHT ROOM.  
HERE WE HAVE EVERYBODY.  
YOU CAN HAVE I.T. START THE LIVE FEED.  
>> WELCOME.  
WE'RE CONVENING BACK IN OPEN SESSION FROM OUR 4:30 P.M. ADJOURNED  
REGULAR MEETING CLOSED SESSION.  
I WILL TURN TO OUR CITY ATTORNEY QUINN BARROW FOR A CLOSED SESSION  
ANNOUNCEMENT IN OPEN SESSION.  
>> THANK YOU, MAYOR.  
PURSUANT TO THE BROWN ACT, THE CITY COUNCIL WENT INTO CLOSED SESSION  
TO DISCUSS TWO ITEMS.  
THEY WERE BOTH IDENTIFIED ON THE AGENDA.  
THE CITY COUNCIL GAVE DIRECTION ON BOTH ITEMS.  
>> I WILL ADJOURN THE CLOSED SESSION FROM 12:30 TODAY AND WELCOME  
YOU TO THE CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING.  
I WILL CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER AND WE'LL BEGIN ONCE AGAIN WITH  
THE PLEDGE TO THE FLAG.  
I WILL MUTE MY CAMERA.  
[PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE].  
>> THANK YOU SO MUCH.  
I WILL TURN TO OUR CITY CLERK FOR THE ROLL CALL, PLEASE.  
LISA, CAN YOU HEAR US?  
JUST ME.  
WE MAY HAVE LOST HER.  
>> NAPOLITANO, MONTGOMERY, FRANKLIN.  
MAYOR STERN, MAYOR HADLEY.  
>> I'M HERE.  
THANK YOU LISA.  
WE'LL MOVE ON TO LETTER "D" WHICH IS THE FUN PART OF THE EVENING,  
WHICH IS OUR CEREMONIAL CALENDAR.

IT IS MY PRIVILEGE TO PRESENT PLAQUE TO FORMER MAYOR IN HONOR OF HER 60 YEARS AS A MANHATTAN BEACH HOME BUSINESS OWNER WHICH WE THINK IS THE LONGEST HOME-BASED BUSINESS AND THE LONGEST EVER IN THE MANHATTAN BEACH.

AND LUCAS HELPED HER GET ONLINE.

I DON'T SEE OUR MAYOR THERE.

I WILL START WITH THIS INCREDIBLE BIO OF JAN.

I'M SURE SHE'LL HOP IN.

THANK YOU, LUKE.

GREAT JOB.

I HAVE SOME BRIEF COMMENTS HERE AND WE'LL LET JAN SPEAK.

JAN WAS EDUCATED IN RICHFIELD, CONNECTICUT AND GRADUATED FROM HIGH SCHOOL AND TOOK ART CAREER COOL IN NEW YORK CITY AND LED TO ADVERTISING FOR JC PENNY.

SHE SEGUED IN THE PUBLISHING HOUSE WHERE SHE WORKED WITH TUTORIAL BOOKS AND CURRENT EVENTS MAGAZINE.

IN 1957 JAN AND HER HUSBAND STAN CREATED JAN STAN STUDIOS WHICH IS NOW 60 YEARS BUSINESS.

IT IS A GRAPHIC ARTS BUSINESS THAT JAN CONTINUES TO OPERATE.

THEY MOVED TO MANHATTAN BEACH IN THE 50S WHILE RAISING HER TWO CHILDREN, SCOTT AND STACY AND BECAME ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE COMMUNITY, AND VOLUNTEERING FOR NEARLY EVERY NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION. AND INCLUDING MY BELOVED COORDINATING COUNCIL.

AFTER SERVING ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION, JAN WAS ELECTED IN 1984 TO THE MANHATTAN BEACH CITY COUNCIL WHERE SHE SERVED AS THE SECOND FEMALE MAYOR IN THE CITY'S HISTORY.

SHE'S RECOGNIZED WITH NUMEROUS AWARDS.

TOO MANY TO READ.

OFF WHOLE PAIN.

I'M GOING TO SKIP FORWARD TO THE NINE BOOKS THAT JAN HAS WRITTEN, INCLUDING A WALK BESIDE THE SEA, SHADOWS ON THE DUNES.

HER LATEST BOOK WITH HER GROUND SON IS A HISTORY OF THE MANHATTAN BEACH FIRE DEPARTMENT.

I WORK AT THE LIBRARY AND THERE'S A WHOLE SHELF.

SHE'S OUR HISTORIAN.

JAN IS PROUD TO HAVE DONATED HER ENTIRE RESEARCH MATERIAL COLLECTION TO DOMINGUEZ LIBRARY AT THE SCHOOL'S REQUEST.

THEY CONTACTED JAN AND HAVE A GOLD MINE THERE, WE LIKE-TO-IT FOR OUR LABORATORY.

THE HISTORY OF MANHATTAN BEACH REPRESENTS HER DEDICATION AND COMMITMENT IN THE CITY SHE LOVES SO MUCH.

SHE SAYS SHE'S BEEN MOTIVATED HERE.

SHE SAYS, I WONDER WHY SOMEONE DIDN'T DO SOMETHING AND THEN I REALIZED I WAS THAT SOMEONE.

GREAT WAY TO LIVE LIFE.

JAN, WE LOVE YOU.

KUDOS BEFORE I GIVE YOU THE MICROPHONE.

COLLEAGUES, JAN HAS GRACIOUSLY DONATED TO US AND CITY COUNCIL FIVE COPIES OF THE THREAD IN THE TAPESTRY.

I'LL FLIP THEM IN YOUR MAILBOXES.

ALL OF HER BOOKS AT THE LIBRARY, IF YOU CARE TO CHECK THEM OUT.

THIS WAS CLOSE WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

SHE'S AN INSPIRATION TO ME AS FORMER MAYOR AND BUSINESSWOMAN AND TRANSPLANT HERE IN CALIFORNIA.

I WOULD LOVE TO GIVE JAN THE FLOOR TO SPEAK ABOUT ANYTHING SHE LIKE TO.

TAKE IT AWAY, JAN.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SUSANNE.

I REALLY WOULD LIKE TO THANK NOT ONLY THE COUNCIL MEMBERS BUT ALSO I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE COMMUNITY, THE RESIDENTS THAT HAVE BEEN SO SUPPORTIVE OF MY ACTIVITIES.

PLEASE FORGIVE THE SCRATCHY VOICE.

I HAVE HORRENDOUS ALLERGIES THAT CAUSE ALL KINDS OF PROBLEMS.

I JUST WANTED TO SAY JUST IN PASSING MY INTENT OF A BUSINESS LICENSE FOR 60 YEARS IN MANHATTAN HAS SHOWN ME MY UPS AND DOWNS TO END THE DEVELOPMENT OF MANHATTAN BEACH WHICH IS JUST A PHENOMENAL LIFESTYLE AND LIFE.

I LOVE MANHATTAN BEACH.

I HAD DISAGREEMENTS WITH MANHATTAN BEACH AND MANY OF IT RESIDENTS. BUT BELIEVE ME, MY SINCERITY AND MY TRUE PASSION IS TO SEE THE DEVELOPMENT GETS DONE IN A MANNER THAT WILL MAKE US ALL PROUD OF OUR COMMUNITY.

I THINK THAT THE SOUL OF A COMMUNITY AND ITS HISTORY IS ITS INTENT, WHAT THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY FEELS, NOT JUST ONE OR TWO PEOPLE BUT WE HAVE A COMMUNITY HERE THAT HAS BEEN -- AND WORKS FOR THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY.

ENTIRE RESIDENTIAL POPULATION.

I'M GOING TO -- EXCUSE ME, I'M GOING TO LEAVE YOU WITH THIS QUESTION. ONE COMMENT. I FELT ALL OF MY -- SINCE LEAVING HIGH SCHOOL AND IT WAS MY DETERMINATION TO BE PRODUCTIVE AND HELPFUL AND TO HELP OTHER PEOPLE AS I BELIEVE IN YOURSELF, BE HONEST WITH YOURSELF AND MOST OF ALL THINK FOR YOURSELF.

AGAIN, I THANK YOU ALL.

I THANK YOU FOR THE AWARD AND SUSANNE I JUST THANK YOU EVER SO MUCH FOR YOUR SUPPORT AND YOUR WAIVER.

I HAVE A FEELING YOU FEEL MANY OF THE SAME THINGS, FEELINGS AND YOU KNOW, THAT I DO BECAUSE I CONSIDER US BUDDIES.

I'M PROUD OF YOU SUSANNE AND GOD BLESS ALL.

THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>> THANK YOU, JAN.

I LOVE YOU TOO.

IT IS AN HONOR.

I LOVE THE PRIVATE SECTOR.

ANY BUSINESS IS WORTHY OF RESPECT.

TO HONOR YOU IS A PLEASURE.  
>> JAN KNOWS HOW I FEEL ABOUT HER.  
SHE GOT ME GOING FROM THE FIRST DAY.  
I'LL SEE YOU SOON JAN, CONGRATULATIONS.  
>> THANK YOU SO MUCH.  
>> THAT'S GREAT.  
HILDY.  
>> WELL, JAN, IT IS SO NICE TO SEE YOU.  
I KNOW YOU HAD CHALLENGES TRYING TO GET ON THE ZOOM.  
WE HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO SEE YOU IN OUR MEETINGS VERY RECENTLY IN  
THE LAST YEAR.  
BUT I DO WANT IT THANK YOU.  
IT IS AN HONOR TO RECEIVE A GIFT FROM YOU, SOMEONE WHO HAS GIVEN SUCH  
A GIFT TO THE COMMUNITY.  
YOU BROUGHT THIS ALL OF MANHATTAN BEACH TO ALL OF US IN DOCUMENTING  
THE HISTORY AND REMINDING US OF WHAT A WONDERFUL PLACE MANHATTAN BEACH  
HAS ALWAYS BEEN.  
I LOVED YOUR WORD OF WISDOM.  
I APPRECIATE THEM.  
I THINK THEY RESONATE WITH ALL OF THIS.  
I HOPE THEY ALSO RESONATE WITH OTHERS IN THE COMMUNITY WHO SHOULD  
ALWAYS BELIEVE IN THEMSELVES AND LEARN FROM YOU IN HOW YOU STAND UP  
FOR MANHATTAN BEACH AND YOURSELF.  
THANK YOU FOR YOUR 60 YEARS OF BUSINESS AND YOUR LIFE-TIME AND YOU  
HEART TOWARDS MANHATTAN BEACH.  
>> THANK YOU.  
I APPRECIATE IT.  
>> THAT'S LOVELY.  
OKAY, JAN, WE LOVE YOU.  
RICHARD?  
>> I WILL FOLLOW JAN.  
JAN WAS NEIGHBORS FOR A WHILE.  
THE BEST THING ABOUT JAN, I WAS ABLE TO TAKE HER BOOKS SIGNED TO CHINA  
WITH ME.  
THE BOOKS INTERNATIONAL.  
AND THE INFORMATION TO HAVE IN YOUR DESK.  
YOUR DESK AT HOME.  
YOUR TABLE AND ENCYCLOPEDIA.  
THANK YOU SO MUCH.  
>> JAN HAS GONE VIRAL, GLOBAL.  
>> JOE.  
>> I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN HEAR ME OR NOT.  
I HAVE 13 COUNTRIES IN THE WORLD WHERE MY BOOKS ARE LOCATED.  
>> WOW.  
>> IT HAS BEEN A WONDERFUL, WONDERFUL SUCCESS.  
I DON'T THINK WE'RE GOING TO SEE ANY MORE OF OUR BOOKS.  
ALTHOUGH SUSANNE KNOWS THAT I DID SPREAD A LITTLE RUMOR.

WE'LL SEE.

>> YEAH, ONE MORE PROJECT COOKING.

I VOWED TO KEEP MY MOUTH CLOSED.

ONE MORE PROJECT COOKING.

JOE, DID YOU WANT TO HOP IN.

>> BRIEFLY, JAN, WHAT AN EXAMPLE OF A TERRIFIC WORK ETHIC AND WRITING AND RESEARCH AND YOU'RE CONTINUING TO STILL SERVE MANHATTAN BEACH AND YOUR RESEARCH WITH THE TASK FORCE AND HELPING US FIND OUR PATHS AND UNDERSTAND IT AND DOCUMENT IT.

YOUR BOOKS ARE AMAZING.

THANK YOU SO MUCH, I'VE BEEN HONORED TO SEE YOUR LIBRARY THERE AND IT IS JUST AMAZING HOW YOU GET IT ALL DONE.

THANK YOU FOR BEING SUCH AN INSPIRATION AND HELP.

>> IT IS AMAZING.

>> JAN, SHARE WITH US HOW MANY BOXES OF DOCUMENTS AND MATERIALS THAT YOU SHARED CAN DOMINGUEZ HILLS.

>> EVENING WE HAD 145 VARIOUS ELEMENTS.

MANY PEOPLE WOULD BE AMAZED TO SEE THESE THINGS AT THE LIBRARY.

ANYTHING FOR THE REST OF THE LIFE OF THE HISTORY AND I'M SURE NAPOLITANO WOULD BE ONE NAME THAT COULD READ IN MANY, MANY VOLUMES. WE HAVE HUNDREDS AND HUNDREDS OF BOXES OF RESEARCH.

>> THANK YOU, JAN, FOR DOCUMENTING IT AND COLLECTING IT AND PRESERVING IT.

I LOVE YOUR LEGACY OF PHILANTHROPY OF DONATE TO GET LIBRARY AND THE UNIVERSITY WHEN THEY CAME TO ASK YOU.

THAT'S AMAZING.

I APPRECIATE YOUR ROLE MODELING THAT FOR THE REST OF US.

THANK YOU JAN, THANK YOU SO MUCH.

I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING MORE ABOUT YOUR CURRENT PROJECT.

THANK YOU LUCAS FOR HELPING YOUR GRANDMA GET ONLINE AND JAN YOURS IS A LIFE WELL LIVED.

>> I HOPE PEOPLE WILL BE AROUND NEXT YEAR.

NEXT YEAR, WE WILL HAVE [INDISCERNIBLE].

THIS IS AN ADVERTISEMENT.

>> I WASN'T GOING TO SAY YOU HAVE A BIG BIRTHDAY COMING UP. YOU DID.

>> I HOPE WE HAVE OUR DANCING SHOES ON TONIGHT.

>> THANK YOU FOR JOINING US.

>> THAT WAS FUN.

OKAY.

SHE WAS A PIONEER AND I ADMIRE HER AND I HOPE I'M ALIVE AND KICKING AT HER AGE AND WORKING ON A BOOK.

CAN'T IMAGINE THAT.

WE'LL MOVE ON TO AGENDA ITEM NUMBER TWO WHERE WE GET TO PRESENT PROCLAMATIONS FOR THE MONTH OF MAY 2021.

THE FIRST OF SIX PROCLAMATIONS FOR THE MONTH OF MAY, NATIONAL OLDER AMERICANS' MONTH.

THIS MONTH OF MAY IS OLDER AMERICANS' MONTH.  
YOU SEE COMMUNITIES OF STRENGTH.  
THEY RECOGNIZE OLDER ADULTS AND PEOPLE THAT SUPPORT THEM AS THEY ARE  
CENTRAL TO THE COMMUNITY.  
THEY UNDERSTAND OUR PARKS AND REC DIRECTOR WILL BE ACCEPTING THE  
PROCLAMATION.  
>> THANK YOU.  
TO THE ENTIRE TEAM, THANK YOU.  
>> THANK YOU, MARK.  
OUR SECOND ONE IS NATIONAL BUILDING SAFETY MONTH.  
WE SHOULD THINK ABOUT THIS WHEN A BUILDING COLLAPSES.  
WE SHOULD BE GRATEFUL FOR THESE RULES.  
BUILDING CODES SAVE LIVES.  
IF THAT IS NOT THE MOST EXCITING MOTTO I EVER HEARD.  
IT IS -- THE CITY'S GROWTH AND STRENGTH DEPEND ON THE ECONOMIC VALUE  
AND THE HOMES AND BUILDINGS AND INFRASTRUCTURE.  
AND ONE OF THE BUILDING DIVISIONS DIRECTORS IS DIRECTOR TAI.  
THANK YOU.  
>> THANK YOU, ABSOLUTELY, OUR STAFF, OUR BUILDING PLAN CHECKERS AND  
OUR BUILDING OFFICIAL ARE ALL VERY HONORED AND PROUD TO HAVE THIS.  
WE LOOK FOR GENERATIONS TO COME.  
>> THANK YOU.  
THIRD IS INTERNATIONAL FIREFIGHTERS' DAY, MAY 4TH, NOT JUST A "STAR  
WARS" HOLIDAY.  
IT IS INTERNATIONAL FIREFIGHTERS' DAY AND REMEMBERING FIREFIGHTERS  
THAT LOST THEIR LIVES WHILE SERVING THE COMMUNITY AND EXPRESS  
GRATITUDE TO THOSE THAT SERVE AND PRESENTLY SERVE.  
RECOGNIZE THE FIREFIGHTERS FOR OUTSTANDING AND COURAGEOUS TO OUR  
RESIDENTS.  
AND OUR FIRE CHIEF WHO HAD A CAREER IN FIRE SERVICE AND SADLY NOT  
WITH US.  
WE HAVE HIM FOR ONE MORE MONTH.  
HE'S A GREAT ROLE MODEL FOR THE FIRE TEAM.  
THANK YOU CHIEF FOR COMING IN TODAY TO ACCEPT THE PROCLAMATION.  
ON BEHALF OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND ALL OF THE FIRE SERVICES, THANK  
YOU FOR THIS PROCLAMATION AND WE'RE PROUD TO BE HERE AND SERVE OUR  
COMMUNITY.  
THANK YOU.  
>> THANK YOU.  
I HOPE OUR FIREFIGHTERS ARE HAPPY THAT WE APPROVED FIRE STATION TWO  
SO THERE WILL BE -- WE WILL REBUILD A NEW FIRE STATION THAT WILL HAVE  
BUILDING CODES THAT CARRIE WILL PRODUCE.  
MOVING ON OUR FOURTH PROCLAMATION IS NATIONAL MUNICIPAL CLERKS WEEK.  
IT IS A TIME-HONORED PART OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT THAT EXIST THROUGHOUT  
THE WORLD.  
WE EXTEND OUR HUGE APPRECIATION TO OUR VERY OWN CITY CLERK AND TO  
ALL MUNICIPAL CLERKS FOR THEIR SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITIES THEY

REPRESENT.  
WE HAVE OUR VERY OWN LISA HEER.  
>> ARE YOU WITH US?  
>> I AM.  
26 YEARS BUT SEEMS LIKE I STARTED YESTERDAY.  
I WANT TO THANK YOU.  
IT IS AN HONOR TO WORK FOR ALL OF YOU AND FOR THE CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH.  
>> THANK YOU.  
YOU KEEP THE WHEELS ON THE WAGON.  
YOU'VE WORKED WITH LISA THROUGH THE SELECTION SEASON AND BEEN APPLYING FOR THE BORDER COMMISSION. YOU WORK WITH LISA. SO MANY MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY HAVE RELIED ON THIS EXPERTISE AND GOOD HUMOR. AMAZING FAMILY AND WE LOVE HER.  
26 MORE YEARS.  
>> THANK YOU.  
>> LETTER E OUR FIFTH, I THINK THAT IS, NATIONAL POLICE WEEK, MAY 9TH THROUGH 15TH IS NATIONAL POLICE WEEK AND MAY 15TH IS THE OFFICE MEMORIAL DAY.  
IT IS IMPORTANT FOR ALL CITIZENS TO KNOW AND UNDERSTAND DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES AND HAZARDS OF THEIR LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY. CITY RECOGNIZES NATIONAL POLICE WEEK TO HONOR OFFICERS PAST AND PRESENT AND THANK THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THEIR LOYAL SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITY AND AS EVERYONE KNOWS WE LOST OUR OWN SERVICE MEMBER MARTIN GANN NOT TOO LONG AGO.  
CHIEF ABEL, THANK YOU FOR ALL YOU DO.  
YOU RUN FOR THE DANGER.  
THANK YOU.  
>> I'M HUMBLLED BY THIS.  
WE'LL CONTINUE TO WORK HARD EVERY DAY TO INSURE WE PROVIDE FOR THEIR SAFETY AND MAKE THEM PROUD.  
THANK YOU FOR THIS EFFORT TODAY.  
>> THANK YOU, SIR.  
REALLY RESPECT THE PROFESSIONALISM OF YOUR DEPARTMENT.  
THANK YOU.  
LASTLY WE HAVE NATIONAL PUBLIC WORKS WEEK, MAY 16 THROUGH 22ND IS NATIONAL PUBLIC WORKS WEEK.  
THE CITY SEEKS ATTRIBUTE TO THE PROFESSIONALS AND MANAGERS AND EMPLOYEES AND TO RECOGNIZE THEIR SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTIONS THEY MAKE TO PROTECT THE COMFORT AND QUALITY OF LIFE.  
WE LOVE OUR ENGINEERS AND MANAGERS.  
THEY MAKE THE NUTS AND BOLTS OF CITY GOVERNMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE WORK.  
WE'RE GRATEFUL FOR ALL OF THESE.  
>> ON BEHALF OF THE 65 PEOPLE IN THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.  
WE THANK YOU.  
ALSO FOR THE FUTURE AND YOU SEE THE CONSTRUCTION DOWNTOWN WE'RE HAPPY



TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT.  
IF YOU SEE THEM AROUND TOWN.  
ORANGE.  
GO ORANGE.  
REMEMBER TO BE SAFE AND SAY HELLO TO THEM.  
THANK YOU VERY MUCH.  
LIKE THE FOLKS IN THE FIELD THROUGHOUT THE PANDEMIC.  
I'M SURE YOU SAW THEM AND THAT'S FAITHFULNESS TO THE MISSION.  
THANK YOU ALL.  
THAT WAS A TERRIFIC CEREMONIAL CHUNK OF ITEMS.  
WE'LL MOVE ON TO LETTER E.  
WE HAVE A LIVELY AGENDA.  
KEEP IT MOVING ALONG.  
I WOULD LIKE TO ASK FOR APPROVAL OF AGENDA AND WAIVER OF ORDINANCES.  
>> MOVED.  
>> COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN AND MOVING.  
A SECOND?  
>> I'M A SECOND.  
MAYOR PRO TEM STERN IS SECOND.  
MAY WE HAVE ROLL CALL VOTE.  
[ROLL CALLED].  
>> MOTION PASSES 5-0.  
THANK YOU SO MUCH.  
WE'RE AT LETTER F, PUBLIC COMMENTS AND SPEAKERS MAY SPEAK ON ANY  
MATTER THAT IS WITHIN THE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION OF THE CITY  
COUNCIL INCLUDING ITEMS ON THE AGENDA.  
YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES AT THIS TIME TO SPEAK.  
I WOULD LIKE TO DIFFERENTIATE SPEAKING NOW OR LATER IN THE JEN THAT.  
WE HAVE A PUBLIC HEARING LATER IN THE AGENDA WHICH IS ITEM SEVEN.  
PUBLIC HEARING FOR MASTER USE PERMIT FOR A NEW HOTEL.  
YOU LIKE YOUR COMMENTS TO BE PART OF A PUBLIC RECORD FOR THAT AGENDA  
ITEM I WOULD URGE YOU TO NOT SPEAK NOW AND CHOOSE TO SPEAK LATER ON  
AGENDA ITEM SEVEN.  
IF YOU'RE SPEAKING ON ANY OTHER MATTER, THAT'S FINE TOO.  
YOU CAN SPEAK NOW FOR THREE MINUTES.  
AND LATER YOU CAN SPEAK FOR TWO MINUTES.  
IF YOU LIKE YOUR COMMENTS ON THAT AGENDA ITEM IN THE PUBLIC RECORD  
IS HIGHLY RECOMMENDED TO KEEP UP THE HOTEL AND YOU WAIT UNTIL LATER.  
I GO TO THE DEPUTY CITY CLERK MARTHA ALVAREZ AND WE CAN GRIN YOU  
BELIEVE ABOUT COMMENT.  
>> MADAM MAYOR FOR THE RECORD I WANTED TO READ THE COMMENTS WE RECEIVED  
FOR TONIGHT'S MEETING.  
REGARDS NUMBER TWO, PRESENTATION OF PROCLAMATIONS WE HAD ONE COMMENT  
REGARDING NUMBER SEVEN CONTINUE THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE NEW HOTEL.  
38 E-MAILS AND ONE VOICEMAIL REGARDING NUMBER NINE.  
TWO E-MAILS AND ITEMS NOT ON AGENDA AND ONE E COMMENT.  
UP WITH THAT WE HAVE JOSH MURRAY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> GOOD EVENING.

PERFECT.

THANK YOU.

GOOD EVENING.

I'M JOSH AND I'M CALLING IN ON BEHALF OF THE LIBRARY.

MANHATTAN BEACH IS PROUD TO BE ONE OF THE TEN COUNTIES SELECTED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PUBLIC ART EXHIBIT.

LED DISPLAY HAS WORD OF ENCOURAGEMENT AND INCLUDING MESSAGES FROM MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY.

THEY HAVE THE LITERARY TALENTS OF OTHER RESIDENTS TO CARRY MESSAGES OF HOPE AND RESILIENCE AND MOVE THROUGH THE COLLECTIVE STRUGGLES OF THE LAST YEAR.

ANYONE INTERESTED IN LEARNING MORE OR SUBMITTING A 15 WORD OR LESS LOVE LETTER IS ENCOURAGED TO VISIT WWW.LOVE LETTERS.LA.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME

>> NO FURTHER COMMENTS.

I'VE FOR TO MY COLLEAGUE, COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARD MONTGOMERY.

I DIDN'T KNOW IT WAS YOUR BIRTHDAY UNTIL SOMEBODY MESSAGED ME. YOU WERE WITH THE PROCLAMATION.

>> A LITTLE BIRD TOLD ME.

I'M SURE YOU'RE 39 AND NOT A DAY OLDER.

COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY.

THOSE ARE THAT ARE CALLED TO COUNCIL ABOUT THE INCREASE IN AIRPORT NOISE.

NOT YOUR IMAGINATION.

URNS OUT LAX CLOSED THE RUNWAY FOR MAINTENANCE.

WE SEE FLIGHTS INBOUND AND OUTBOUND ON OUR SOUTH RUNWAYS.

THEY SHOULD FINISH BY MAY 26.

THEN THE SHIFT WOULD GO BACK TO NORTH AND SOUTH RUNWAYS.

ANY QUESTIONS YOU HAVE E-MAIL ME.

GO TO THE WEBSITE FOR INFORMATION.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

YOU DO AMAZING WORK ON THOSE.

I'M NOT IN THE MEETINGS AND THANK YOU FOR SERVING FOR ANOTHER YEAR OR MORE.

WE CLOSE PUBLIC COMMENT AND GO TO G, COVID-19.

OUR CITY MANAGER REPORT ON EOC.

UPDATE ON COVID-19.

>> YES.

FEW THINGS TO REPORT TODAY.

YOU HEARD THAT L.A. COUNTY IS QUALIFIED FOR THE YELLOW TIER, ACCORDING TO STATE GUIDELINES.

THE COUNTY WILL ISSUE A NEW HEALTH OFFICER ORDER AND WILL LOOK AT WHAT THAT INFORMATION INCLUDES AND PASSING THAT ALONG TO THE COMMUNITY AS SOON AS WE SEE THE DETAILS.

THIS IS CONSISTENT WITH WHAT WE'VE SEEN.

I AM PLANNING ON ISSUING AN EMERGENCY ORDER TOMORROW THAT WILL RESCIND THE CITY'S STRONGER FACE COVERING REQUIREMENTS THAT WE HAD IN PLACE EARLIER THIS LAST SUMMER TO MAKE IT CONSISTENT WITH THE COUNTY. WE'LL PUT THIS OUT IN MORE DETAIL IN THE PRESS RELEASE.

THE COUNTY RESTRICTIONS AND THE CDC SUGGEST VACCINATED PEOPLE SHOULD WEAR MASKS.

THEY WEAR THEM AT SPORTING EVENTS AND CROWDED PLACES WHERE YOU STAY SIX FEET APART.

AND PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SETTINGS WHERE A MASK IS RECOVERED.

FULLY VACCINATED PEOPLE DON'T HAVE TO WEAR A MASK.

WHEN YOU'RE WITH PEOPLE YOU KNOW ARE VACCINATED OR SMALL GROUP OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE NOT BEEN VACCINATED AND NOT AT HIGH RISK FROM COVID-19.

THOSE ARE THE PROTOCOLS FOR THE FACE COVERING WE AAT THAT POINT OUR EMERGENCY ORDERS FOR AS WELL.

BY WAY OF THE CITY'S NUMBERS, WE'RE AVERAGING ONE NEW CASE A DAY, OVER THE LAST SEVEN DAYS.

THAT'S GOOD NEWS.

WE REMAIN AT 18 DEATHS FOR THE ENTIRE PANDEMIC.

ONE OF THE MOST NOTABLE THINGS IS THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT HAVE BEEN VACCINATED.

73% OF OUR POPULATION HAVE RECEIVED AT LEAST ONE VACCINATION AND REMARKABLY THOSE 65 YEARS OF AGE AND OLDER HAD ONE DOSE OF THE VACCINE. THAT'S 97% OF OUR SENIOR POPULATION.

THAT'S GREAT AND HELPFUL IN TERMS OF GETTING US TO THE NUMBER THAT WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT HERE TODAY AND HELPING US GET TO THAT POINT WHERE WE CAN GET LIFE BACK TO NORMAL.

GREAT JOB EVERYBODY IN FOLLOWING THE PROTOCOLS.

IT IS PAYING OFF FOR US.

>> MAY I ASK A QUICK QUESTION ABOUT THE FACE MASK.

WHEN YOU TALKED ABOUT ALIGNING WITH THE COUNTY, ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT OUTSIDE FULLY VACCINATED ADULTS OR OUTSIDE AND INSIDE?

RESTRICTIONS ARE BROKEN DOWN TO OUTDOORS AND INDOORS.

PEOPLE SHOULD STILL WEAR MASKS OUTDOORS AT CROWDED EVENTS SUCH AS PARADES AND SPORTING EVENTS.

IF YOU'RE OUTSIDE WHERE YOU CAN'T STAY SIX FEET APART AND YOU DON'T KNOW IF THEY'RE VACCINATED AND PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SETTINGS WHERE WEARING A MASK IS REQUIRED BY PUBLIC HEALTH.

THEN INDOORS FOR FULLY VACCINATED PEOPLE.

YOU SHOULD STILL WEAR THEM IN BUSINESSES EXCEPT IN INSTANCES WHERE NOT WEARING A MASK IS OKAY WITH PUBLIC HEALTH.

SOME OF THE DETAILS THAT THE COUNTY ORDER WILL CERTAINLY CLARIFY FOR US THAT ARE CONSISTENT WITH CDC AND OUR GOAL IS TO BE CONSISTENT WITH WHAT THE COUNTY IS DOING.

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH.

RICHARD?

WE DID HEAR BACK FROM BACKLY?

THEY DID RECEIVE ADDITIONAL 5,000 VACCINATIONS FROM THE COUNTY, WHERE THEY GAVE AWAY 8,000 SO FAR.  
THAT PUTS THEM AT 13,000.  
WE'RE ALMOST TO 14,000.  
CITY MANAGER SAID, WE'RE AT 72% OF VACCINATIONS, THAT'S GREAT.  
THIS IS ALL OVER DODGER STADIUM.  
THEY GAVE SHOTS TO 16 AND 64.  
AND THEY HAVE IT ON TUESDAYS AND THURSDAYS.  
BETTER FOR US.  
I APPRECIATE ALL OF YOU SUPPORTING THE PUSH TO GET THE L.A. COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH TO ALLOW THEM TO BE THE HOST IN THE BACKYARD.  
ALMOST THIS.  
A LITTLE FURTHER TO GO.  
THANK YOU FOR MAKING IT HAPPEN.  
THANK YOU TOM.  
>> GREAT ANNOUNCEMENT.  
RUTH, WAS THAT THE END OF THE REPORT.  
>> I GOT A COUPLE OF OTHER THINGS.  
>> I DON'T SEE QUESTIONS, GO FOR IT.  
I LIKE TO ASK MARK LEHMAN TO GIVE US UPDATE ON REOPENING ACTIVITIES AND WHAT THE LATEST IS WITH YOUTH SPORTS, ET CETERA.  
MARK.  
I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUICK SLIDES.  
LET'S SEE IF THIS GOES.  
COUPLE OF QUICK UPDATES.  
BEGINNING WITH GREAT NEWS ABOUT OUR SUMMER PROGRAMS.  
OUR RESIDENT REGISTRATION BEGAN YESTERDAY.  
AND ON THE FIRST DAY OF REGISTRATION, WE HAD APPROXIMATELY 1600 REGISTRATIONS, 450,000 IN REVENUE AND THAT'S A 90,000 DOLLAR INCREASE FROM 2019.  
YOU KNOW, IT REALLY SHOWS PEOPLE ARE EXCITED TO GET OUT AND JUMP OUT IN RECREATION PROGRAMS.  
>> FANTASTIC.  
AGAIN UPDATE ON THE SPECIAL EVENTS.  
I GAVE UPDATE BACK.  
AND WE'LL CONTINUE TO MONITOR.  
WE'RE PLANNING ON MOVING FORWARD WITH THE TENNIS TOURNAMENT AND THE VOLLEYBALL TOURNAMENT.  
THE SURF FEST AND CONCERTS AS WELL.  
MORE TO COME ON THOSE PROGRAMS.  
THEN FOR FACILITY REOPENING, WE TALKED ABOUT THAT.  
SO FIELDS REOPENING BEGINNING WITH THE PROGRAMS AT THE JOCELYN CENTER AND WE TALKED ABOUT SENIOR PROGRAMMING.  
WE'LL KICK THAT OFF THE WEEK OF MAY 17TH.  
WE'RE FOCUSING ON CORE PROGRAMS AND WE'LL HAVE OUR DISCUSSION GROUPS, YOGA.

AND SOCIAL AND BEACH CITIES AND CARE MANAGER AND BLANKETS OF LOVE.  
I KNOW WE TALKED ABOUT THAT A LOT.  
MANHATTAN BEACH ARTS CENTER.  
WONDERFUL CERAMICS EXPEDITION.  
WE HAVE TEEN CENTER, CERAMIC STUDIO AND OPEN LAB IN JUNE.  
OUR OUTDOOR AMENITIES.  
THEY BEGAN AND WE ARE TAKING APPLICATIONS.  
WE'RE ADDING RESERVATIONS BEGINNING MAY 17TH OR JUNE 1ST  
RESERVATIONS.  
OF COURSE ALL OF THESE PROGRAMS WILL HAVE THE SAFETY PROTOCOLS IN  
PLACE.  
I THINK THAT'S ABOUT IT.  
I JUST WANT TO THANK THE ENTIRE PARKS AND REC TEAM.  
IT HAS BEEN A WHIRLWIND OF OPENING AND CLOSING.  
WE PUT ALL OF THESE PROGRAMS IN PLACE.  
WE'RE REALLY OFF TO A GREAT START.  
THANKS TO THE ENTIRE TEAM AND THANKS FOR THE OPPORTUNITY.  
>> FANTASTIC.  
THANK YOU SO MUCH.  
COLLEAGUES?  
>> MARK, LET ME KNOW, THE QUESTION WE'RE GOING TO RECEIVE ON TOP OF  
EVERYTHING ELSE IS WHEN IT OPENED FOR PLANNED OUT FOR SENIORS?  
>> AGAIN THIS IS SOMETHING WE MONITOR.  
WE JUST HAD A PARKS AND REC DIRECTORS MEETING AND ALL OF US ARE REALLY  
LOOKING AND SEEKING GUIDANCE FOR PROGRAMS SPECIFICALLY, ESPECIALLY  
SOMETHING SUCH AS WHERE YOU'RE IN CLOSE QUARTERS.  
I DON'T HAVE A TIMELINE AS OF NOW.  
HOPEFULLY, WE HAVE THAT SHORTLY AND I'LL KEEP YOU POSTED.  
>> THANK YOU.  
>> DIRECTOR LAYMAN, I WOULD LOVE TO GET A COPY AND MY COLLEAGUES AS  
WELL OF THE POWERPOINT PRESENTATION.  
I DO GET QUESTIONS.  
I DON'T HAVE EVERYTHING.  
CAN YOU SEND ME THE POWERPOINT?  
AND WE'RE DOING THE BEST WE CAN.  
THIS GIVES ME A WINDOW OR A WEEK.  
ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?  
>> BRUCE, I TO HAVE ONE QUESTION ABOUT OUR PARK.  
THE INCREASE IN TRASH.  
COULD WE DEPUTIZE OUR PARK ATTENDANTS?  
DO THEY HAVE WALKIE-TALKIES OR ANY CONNECTION TO THE CITY STAFF WHEN  
THEY'RE ON DUTY?  
COULD THEY CALL IN A GARBAGE CAN OR A STRAY PIECE OF JUNK THAT SHOULD  
BE REMOVED.  
CAN THEY DO THAT DURING THE SHIFT?  
>> ABSOLUTELY.  
OVER THE LAST FEW WEEKS WE TALKED ABOUT THAT.

IT HAS BEEN LEAN ON STAFF AS WE RAMP BACK UP OPERATIONS.  
ESPECIALLY WITH OUR FRONT-LINE TEAM, WE HAD THOSE CONVERSATIONS.  
YOU'RE THE EYES AND EARS.

WHEN YOU SEE SOMETHING SAY SOMETHING AND LET US KNOW.

>> USE SOME PAYMENT FOR THE -- WE'RE GOING TO REVISIT IN 30 DAYS.  
THAT SEEMS TO BE PUSHED FORWARD NOW IN TERMS OF WHAT THEY'RE GOING  
TO DO THERE AND AGAIN THE QUESTION OF REIMBURSABILITY FOR THE  
ENFORCEMENT, IF WE'RE NOT ENFORCING OR WHAT WILL WE BE ENFORCE GOING  
FORWARD GIVEN THE RELAXED OR MORE APPROPRIATE MASK GUIDELINE?

>> YOU ASKING BRUCE?

>> YES.

WE HAD THIS CONVERSATION TODAY DURING OUR MEETING AS TO WHAT THE  
PEOPLE ARE DOING.

THEY WERE OUT THIS LAST WEEKEND AND THEY HANDED OUT FACE COVERING.  
THE REQUIREMENTS ARE BEING CHANGED.

WE'RE LOOKING AT THAT.

LIKELY I WOULD SUGGEST AND REPORT BACK TO THE COUNCIL THAT WE WOULD  
REDUCE IF NOT ELIMINATE THAT PORTION AND LEAVING THE AMBASSADOR  
PROGRAM FOR THE DISCUSSION ON JUNE 1ST.

I BELIEVE THE MASK PORTION OF THIS COULD BE SUSPENDED.

WE'LL LOOK AT IT THIS WEEK.

>> FOR FOLKS THAT ABOUT THE NANNY STATE BUT WE'LL TAKE THE MASK FROM  
A CFC OFFICER WHEN OFFERED.

I THINK IT IS TIME TO ROLL THAT BACK AS WELL.

>> NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, I HAVE OPENING INFORMATION.

I'M GOING TO ASK CARRIE ABOUT REOPENING THE SCHEDULE AND CITY HALL  
WILL LOOK LIKE AND GO BACK TO NORMAL OPERATIONS IN THE NEAR FUTURE.

>> RIGHT.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU CITY MANAGER MOE AND GOOD EVENING.

THE REOPENING OF CITY HALL, WE DID REOPEN OFFICES TO APPOINTMENT ONLY  
SERVICES BEGINNING FOUR WEEKS AGO AND AT THAT UPDATE WE COMMITTED  
THAT OVER THE FOUR WEEKS WE WOULD ASSESS HOW THINGS WERE GOING AND  
LOOK AT THE COVID SITUATION AND ADVANCE TO THE NEXT PHASE.

THE ONE THING I WANTED TO SAY IS WHILE WE DID TO THE APPOINTMENT ONLY  
WE DID CONTINUE TO ENCOURAGE THE COMMUNITY FOR CONTACT LIST SERVICES.  
ONLINE OR DROP BOXES OUTSIDE.

ANYONE WISHING TO VISIT CITY HALL HAS BEEN ABLE TO DO SO.

IT IS GOING WELP ABOUT WE ALSO UPDATED OUR WEBSITE AND ON THE MAIN  
BANNER, IT TELLS PEOPLE WHERE TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT.

SOME ARE ON THE WEBSITE.

THANK YOU TO THE CITY MANAGER FOR HELPING WITH THAT.

ONE DISTINCT FURTHER REOPENING IS TO TRY TO PROVIDE A RETENTIONS OF  
THE BROADER SERVICES THAT WE CREATED DURING THE PANDEMIC.

THOSE ARE THE REMOTE ONLINE PROGRAMS THAT PEOPLE ARE ABLE TO USE.  
THEY COULD USE THEM AFTER HOURS AND CALL US DURING THE DAY.

OUR STAFF WILL HAVE ZOOM MEETINGS AND THE LIKE.

SOME EXAMPLES.

THE CITY'S PERMITTING SYSTEM.

THEY PLAN LATE IN THE NIGHT AND DON'T DO IT DURING BUSINESS HOURS.  
OUR RESERVATION SYSTEM, SAME THING.

EMPLOYEES.

WE WANTED TO COMPLAINT TO OFFER CONVENIENCE AND BRING BACK THE  
IN-PERSON NATURE OF THE CITY HALL PRESENCE AND SO WE DECIDED TO COME  
UP WITH A HYBRID APPROACH, STARTING MAY 10 WE'RE REENTERING PHASE  
THREE.

AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO ALLOW APPOINTMENTS AND ALSO ALLOW IF WALK-INS.  
THE CITY HALL WALK IN HOURS ARE MONDAY THROUGH THURSDAY FROM 1 P.M.  
TO 5 P.M. AND FRIDAY 1 P.M. TO 4 P.M. THAT LEAVES THE MORNING HOURS  
FOR THOSE SUBMITTED BEFORE AND SERVICE CUSTOMERS WHO WANT TO CONTINUE  
THEIR REMOTE TRANSACTIONS.

MAYBE THEY DON'T FEEL SAFE GOING TO THOSE.

THIS IS AVAILABLE.

THE CITY HALL DOORS WILL BE OPEN.

WE IMPLEMENTED.

THOSE THAT HAVEN'T BEEN IN A WHILE.

THERE'S NOT A LOT OF ROOM FOR QUEUEING AND IN ORDER TO PREVENT  
GATHERING, WE IMPLEMENTED A QUEUEING SYSTEM.

WHEN SOMEONE COMES TO CITY HALL AND THEY SIGN IN ON THE IPHONE OR  
AN IPAD FOR PEOPLE THAT DON'T HAVE A SMARTPHONE.

THEIR NAME GOES ON THE BOARD.

IF THEY HAVE A SMARTPHONE, THERE'S A TEXT MESSAGE SYSTEM THAT WILL  
LET THEM KNOW WHETHER IT IS THEIR TURN.

WE THINK THAT WILL FACILITATE IF THEY WANT TO VISIT THE LIBRARY OR  
SIT IN THEIR CAR.

THEY COULD TO THAT WHILE WAITING IN LINE.

ONCE INSIDE THE LOBBY AREA THERE'S PLACES TO STAND AND WHERE THE  
COUNTER SPACES ARE.

CUSTOMERS WILL BE IN THE LOBBY.

MASKS WILL BE AVAILABLE.

ANYONE UNWILLING TO WEAR FACE COVERING WILL BE HELPED REMOTELY.

IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE REOPENING, WE'RE GOING TO SET THE CITY HALL,  
WE'RE PROPOSING TO SET THE CITY HALL BUSINESS HOURS TO 8 A.M. TO 5  
P.M. MONDAY THROUGH THURSDAY AND 8 A.M. TO 4 P.M. ON FRIDAY.

ONE REASON, THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO GO THROUGH A CLEAN.  
WHETHER IT IS BEFORE THE DAY AND AFTER THE DAY.

EARLY THEY'RE FOCUSED ON THE TRANSACTIONS.

AT 5:00 WHEN CITY HALL CLOSES TO THE PUBLIC THERE'S TIME TO WRAP-UP  
WORK BEFORE 5:30 AND TIME TO CLEAN THE FACILITY BEFORE THE NEXT DAY.  
THAT ALLOWS A BUFFER FOR US TO IMPLEMENT THESE PROTOCOLS DURING THE  
TRANSITION.

THIS ALSO RECTIFIES AN ISSUE AFTER CLOSING HOURS.

THAT IT LEFT FEW EMPLOYEES.

SOMETIMES ONE EMPLOYEE WITH CUSTOMERS.

FOR SAFETY PURPOSES IT IS BETTER TO HAVE THE PUBLIC BE OUT AT A CERTAIN TIME.

IT IS MORE PREDICTABLE FOR MANAGEMENT.

ONE OTHER THING THAT THIS DOES.

IT WOULD ALIGN THE CITY HALL'S BUSINESS HOURS TO 80 HOURS EVERY TWO WEEKS.

THAT ALIGNS WITH THE EMPLOYEE WORK SCHEDULES AS WELL.

80 HOURS EVERY TWO WEEKS.

GETTING BACK TO THE REOPENING PHASES, LIKE THE LAST PHASE WE WILL IMPLEMENT FOR MINIMUM OF FOUR WEEKS AND WE WILL ASSESS DEMAND AND TROUBLESHOOTING AND IMPROVEMENTS AND WHETHER WE NEED TO FURTHER HOURS AND THAT WOULD GIVE TIME FOR RECONFIGURATION AND STAFF SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENTS AS WELL.

WE LEARNED -- WE HAD TO MODIFY OUR BUSINESS OPERATIONS CERTAIN IMPROVEMENTS ARE NEEDED.

FOR EXAMPLE WE NEEDED TO PUT IN AN INTERCOM BEFORE THEY IMPLEMENTED THE LAST PHASE.

WE HAD TO UPGRADE THE SYSTEM.

THIS TAKES PLACE DURING THE TIME BETWEEN EACH PHASE.

WE HAVE ALSO CREATED ADDITIONAL WORKSPACES BY INSTALLING DIVIDERS. AND SAFETY SIGNAGE AND REMINDING PEOPLE HOW TO BEHAVE IN THE OFFICE. ONE LAST ELEMENT, WE WILL UPDATE THE WEBSITE TO MAKE THE PUBLIC AWARE OF ALL OF THE CHANGES FOR THE NEXT FOUR WEEKS.

WE STANDARDIZED SOME OF THE -- VOICEMAILS AND WORKING ON E-MAIL SIGNATURES.

IT IS CLEAR WHEN HOURS ARE AND THEY FIND US CLOSED.

LASTLY, I WANTED EVERYONE TO SAY THEY'RE GETTING BACK TO SENSE OF NORMALCY.

WE APPRECIATE THE SUPPORT THAT THE CITY IN GIVEN EVERYBODY AS THEY GRADUALLY TRANSITION.

WE'RE EXCITED WE'RE ABLE TO KEEP THE BROADER SERVICES THAT WE PROVIDED, STARTED TO PROVIDE DURING THE PANDEMIC.

I CAN ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> THANK YOU HADLEY.

THANK YOU CARRIE APPRECIATE IT.

ANY PLANS TO CHANGE THE SIGN MESSAGING ON THE FLASHING ORANGE TRAILER SIGNS.

I THINK I SAW IT.

>> GO AHEAD.

IT WILL HAPPEN TOMORROW AND ONE OF THE THINGS WE DISCUSSED AT THE EOC AND IT WILL SAVE FACE COVERINGS RECOMMENDED OR SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES.

>> PLEASE WEAR.

>> YEAH.

THAT KIND OF THING.

THE LANGUAGE ABOUT THE FINES WILL BE REMOVED BY TOMORROW.



>> HOW ABOUT THE TOWN IS COVERED WITH COVID SIGNS.  
I NOTICED THE ONES ON PIER AND THEY'RE COMING UP.  
ARE WE GOING TO REMOVE THOSE?  
>> I THINK IT IS PREMATURE TO TAKE THOSE THINGS DOWN.  
AND FACE COVERINGS ARE STILL RECOMMEND UNTIL WE GET OUT OF THE  
PANDEMIC.  
>> YOU SAY THEY DON'T ROOK GOOD.  
OR RIPPED OFF?  
>> COMBINATION.  
SOME ARE ON A FRAME THINGS AND PEOPLE ARE RIPPING THEM OFF OF THE  
A FRAMES.  
THE PIER, THE ROUND DISKS.  
I NOTICED SEVERAL ARE RIPPED IN HALF AND SOME GONE COMPLETELY.  
>> PUBLIC WORKS GO DOWN THERE.  
AND ANY ONES THAT ARE OLD OR DAMAGED, WE'LL REFRESH THEM OR IF IT  
IS STILL RELEVANT OR IF NOT AT THAT POINT THEY GET REMOVED.  
SOME GROUND MOUNTED STICKER ONES ONLY HAVE A WEEK.  
ONCE THOSE WEAR OUT.  
IT DEPENDS ON THE REGULATIONS.  
WE'LL DETERMINE WHETHER TO REPLACE OR REMOVE.  
FOR ANYTHING THAT IS STILL SOCIAL DISTANCING, WE'LL REPLACE THOSE  
FOR THE IMMEDIATE FUTURE.  
>> DIRECTOR TAI, I HAVE QUICK THINGS.  
WHAT ARE WE DOING WITH THE FRONT DOORS OF CITY HALL?  
I WENT TODAY AND IT WAS ABOUT THE DOORS WERE LOCKED.  
>> THERE'S A -- THAT'S WHY WE INSTALLED INTERCOM.  
THERE'S AN INTERCOM SYSTEM.  
AND THEY'RE STAFFED DURING ALL CITY BUSINESS HOURS.  
YOU WOULD USE THE INTERCOM.  
IT SOUND LIKE WE NEED A MORE VISIBLE SIGN THAT SAYS CALL THE INTERCOM.  
IT IS JUST TO THE RIGHT OF THE DOOR.  
THE RECEPTIONIST WOULD INQUIRE WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THE VISIT AND  
A BUZZER THAT LETS PEOPLE IN.  
>> ON MAY 10TH, THE DOORS WILL BE UNLOCKED DURING THOSE AFTERNOON  
HOURS WHEN WALK-INS ARE ALLOWED.  
>> HAVING THEM UNLOCKED WOULD BE FRIENDLIER.  
I LOVE THE APPOINTMENT TIMES.  
HAVE YOU CONSIDERED CHANGING ONE OF THOSE DAYS SO ONCE A WEEK, YOU  
KNOW A CONTRACTOR OR SOMEBODY THAT WANTS A MORNING APPOINTMENT TO  
DO THAT?  
>> IT IS SOMETHING THAT WE CAN CERTAINLY LOOK AT.  
WHEN WE IMPLEMENT THIS.  
THERE'S FACTORS THAT GO INTO PLAY.  
THERE'S DEMAND WE WOULD CHANGE THINGS AND ACCOMMODATE THAT.  
SOMETIMES IT WOULD BE APPOINTMENT ONLY.  
WE COULD DO THAT IF THERE'S DEMAND.  
>> MONDAY MORNING, MONDAY YARN LEAVE FOR THE WALK-INS BECAUSE YOU

MIGHT HAVE A LOFT WEEKEND SUBMISSIONS ELECTRONICALLY.  
BY TUESDAY, WEDNESDAY, IN THE MORNING.  
THEY WANT TO GET IT DONE.  
THAT'S ONE SUGGESTION.  
THAT SOUNDS GREAT.  
THANK YOU FOR THE UPDATE.  
THAT'S SUPER HELPFUL AND VERY EXCITING.  
I DON'T SEE QUESTIONS FOR MY COLLEAGUES.  
THANK YOU.  
DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR REPORT?  
>> YES.  
>> TERRIFIC.  
WE'LL MOVE FROM THREE AND GO TO THE CONSENT CALENDAR.  
ITEMS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR ARE ROUTINE AND CUSTOMER ITEMS.  
WE NEED TO APPROVE.  
DO I HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE?  
>> MAYOR MOVING TO APPROVE.  
SECOND?  
>> SECOND BY COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.  
MORE ROLL CALL VOTE.  
NOW THERE'S -- I NEED TO READ THE TITLE OF TWO ORDINANCES.  
>> OKAY.  
URGENCY ORDINANCE 21004U AND THEN 14.36.070 OF CHAPTER 14.36 OF TITLE  
14 OF THE MANHATTAN BEACH MUNICIPAL CODE AND DECLARING URGENCY THERE  
OF AND MAKING EXEMPTION UNDER SECOND WHAT.  
THAT'S THE FIRST ONE.  
TITLE 14 OF THE MANHATTAN BEACH MUNICIPAL CODE TO MAKE EXEMPTION UNDER  
CEQA.  
>> YOU CAN TAKE THE VOTE.  
>> THANK YOU.  
WE'RE READY.  
[ROLL CALLED].  
>> THANK YOU.  
THE CONSENT CALENDAR PASSED AND WE'LL MOVE ON TO LETTER J PUBLIC  
HEARING.  
AT THE DISCRETION OF THE MAYOR, EACH SPEAKER MAY SPEAK THREE MINUTES,  
I APOLOGIZE I THOUGHT IT WAS TWO MINUTES EARLIER.  
I MISSPOKE.  
CITY ATTORNEY BARROW, I DON'T HAVE THE PUBLIC INFORMATION DIRECTLY  
IN FRONT OF ME.  
CAN YOU REMIND ME?  
>> AT THIS POINT IT WOULD BE TO RECEIVE A PRESENTATION BY STAFF.  
IT IS REOPENING CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING.  
>> WE'LL REOPENING THE CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING DE NOVO TO CONSIDER  
MASTER USE PERMIT FOR THE HOTEL AND ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION OF  
THE EXEMPTION FOR CEQA.  
WE CONDUCT DE NOVO AND DIRECT STAFF TO DRAFT RESOLUTION.

FIRST UP IS DIRECTOR TAI.

>> GOOD EVENING.

FIRST UP TONIGHT IS THE PRESENTATION OF A STAFF REPORT.

SO THE STAFF TEAM IS ON THIS IS TED WHO WILL GIVE THE PRESENTATION AND ALSO PLANNING MANAGER COLLEEN WILL BE AVAILABLE AS WELL.

WITH THAT I'M GOING TO TURN IT OVER TO MR. FORTURO.

GO AHEAD.

>> CAN EVERYONE SEE AND HEAR MEER?

>> WE CAN HEAR YOU.

>> EXCELLENT.

GOOD EVENING.

MY NAME IS TED.

I'M ASSOCIATE HERE IN THE PLANNING DIVISION.

I'M HERE TO PRESENT A MASTER USE PERMIT FOR A HOTEL AND OFFICE RETAIL BUILDING AT 600 SOUTH BOULEVARD.

SO SOME BACKGROUND ON THE SITE.

THE SITE IS ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE BOULEVARD WHICH IS A STATE HIGHWAY AND IT IS UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF CALTRANS.

IT IS A FORMER RESTAURANT THAT IS OCCUPIED BY SKETCHERS.

THE PLANNING COMMISSION CONSIDERED THIS PROJECT ON OCTOBER 14TH AT THEIR HEARING.

THAT WAS THE FIRST TIME A HEARING WAS POSTED TO THE PROJECT.

THEY REQUESTED MOD FICKS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

PROJECT RETURNED TO ( ) ON NOVEMBER 18TH, 2020.

AND THE PROJECT WAS APPROVED ON A 2-1 VOTE WITH MODIFICATIONS.

THERE WERE TWO INDEPENDENT SKILLS ON THE DECISION.

ONE WAS MADE BY A GROUP, AND ANOTHER BY A LABOR UNION.

TWO MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL REQUESTED REVIEW OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION.

THIS WAS DE NOVO HEARING.

THEY TOOK A FRESH LOOK AT THE EVIDENCE TO MAKE INDEPENDENT CALL BASED ON THAT EVIDENCE.

THE CITY COUNCIL FIRST HEARD THIS ON JANUARY 19TH AND CONTINUED IT TO THE FEBRUARY 2ND MEETING.

BEFORE THE FEBRUARY 2ND MEETING TOOK PLACE THE APPLICANT REQUESTED THAT THE PROJECT BE CONTINUED TO A LATER DATE AS HE WAS EXPLORING CHANGES.

PUBLIC COMMENT WAS RECEIVED AT THE FEBRUARY 2ND MEETING AND THE PROJECT WAS OR THE HEARING WAS CONTINUED UNTIL APRIL 6TH.

APRIL 6TH IT WAS CONTINUED UNTIL TODAY MAY 4TH, AS BOTH STAFF AND THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC NEEDED MORE TIME TO REVIEW THE REVISED PLANS IN ORDER TO REVIEW THE REVISED PLAN.

I WANT TO REFRESH EVERYONE ON THE BASIC ZONING OF THE SITE.

THE GENERAL PLAN WHICH IS THE POLICY DOCUMENT HAS THIS LABELED AS A GENERAL COMMERCIAL PROPERTY.

AND THE BASE ZONING FOR THE PROPERTY IS GENERAL COMMERCIAL AS WELL.

GENERAL COMMERCIAL, THOSE ARE USE THAT IS ARE MORE INTENSE OF USES

AND NOT APPROPRIATE FOR DOWNTOWN.  
IN ADDITION TO THE ZONE, THE PROPERTY IS ALSO IN THE D8.  
THAT IS FOR LOOSER STANDARDS FOR HOTELS.  
INCLUDES A 40-FOOT HEIGHT LIMIT.  
OTHERS ARE 32.  
HERE IS THE OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT.  
THIS IS THE REVISED PROJECT.  
AND IT LOOKS SIMILAR TO THE PREVIOUS BUILDING.  
OR THE PREVIOUS VERSION OF THE PROJECT.  
YOU HAVE AN L SHAPED HOTEL BUILDING HERE ON THE EAST AND THEN THE  
KNOT.  
OVER THE EAST IS HERE AND THE NORTH IS OVER HERE.  
YOU HAVE DETACHED BUILDING HERE.  
SERVICE LEVEL PARKING AND THAT GOES FROM A PARKING LOT TO TRAINING  
OF THE GARAGE.  
ACCESS IS FROM SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD AS WELL AS TENNYSON STREET.  
TO GET IN THE MEAT OF THE REQUEST, THE APPLICANT IS REQUESTING A NEW  
HOTEL WHICH WILL BE FOUR STORIES AND 40 FEET TALL.  
THE HOTEL BUILDING IS 81,771 SQUARE FEET AND 151 HOTEL ROOMS.  
IT IS L SHAPED AND LOCATED ON THE NORTH AND EASTERN PART OF THE  
PROPERTY.  
THERE'S A FOURTH FLOOR OUTDOOR TERRACE THAT IS FACING THE BOULEVARD.  
THE BUILDING NEEDS HEIGHT SETBACKS AND STANDARDS FOR THE CD ZONE.  
AS FAR AS HOTELS OPERATIONS ARE CONCERNED, IT IS DESCRIBED AS A SELECT  
SERVICE HOTEL.  
IT DOES HAVE A FITNESS CENTER AND SMALL BUSINESS CENTER AND MEETING  
ROOM.  
IT WILL BE LIMITED DINING AND FULL ALCOHOL SERVICE FOR PATRONS AND  
THEIR GUESTS ONLY.  
THAT'S PROPOSED TO TAKE PLACE BETWEEN 7 A.M. AND 1 P.M. SEVEN DAYS  
A WEEK.  
THAT WOULD BE 30 CONSECUTIVE DAYS.  
THE SEPARATE RETAIL AND OFFICE BUILDING IS THREE STORIES AND 30 FEET  
TALL.  
THE GROUND FLOOR IS 65 SQUARE FEET.  
THE SECOND FLOOR OFFICE IS 8,416 SQUARE FEET.  
THIS DETACHED BUILDING IS LOCATED ON THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF THE SITE.  
THE APPLICANT HASN'T IDENTIFIED ANY TENANTS FOR THIS BUILDING.  
IN ADDITION TO THAT, THE SURFACE LEVEL PARKING IS PRIMARILY INTENDED  
FOR THE USE OF THE RETAIL AND OFFICE TENANTS.  
THOSE TENANTS WILL HAVE ACCESS TO THE PARKING GARAGE AS WELL.  
THIS BUILDING IN PARTICULAR RELINES WITH THE DESIGN GUIDELINES.  
IT IS CLOSE TO THE STREET.  
THERE'S A GREAT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE PEDESTRIAN AND THE BUILDING.  
THAT CREATES A BETTER CONNECTION BETWEEN THE PEDESTRIAN AND THE  
BUILDING.  
THE APPLICANT IS ALSO REQUESTING A REDUCTION IN PARKING.

UNDER THE CODE, 236 PARKING SPACES ARE REQUIRED FOR THIS ENTIRE PROJECT.

APPLICANT IS PROPOSING 152 PARKING SPACES.

NOW MD OR SECTION 10.6405, ZERO B OF THE CODE DOES ALLOW FOR REDUCTION IN THE PARKING WITH THE USE REQUEST.

THAT IS RIGHT OF THAT USE PERMIT REQUEST, MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY A PARKING STUDY THAT PROVES THAT THE ONSITE PARKING CAN SUPPORT THE PROJECTED PARKING DEMAND OF THE USES.

APPLICANTS SUBMITTED AN APPLICATION.

AND THE CITY TRAFFIC ENGINEER HAS REVIEWED THAT PARKING EVALUATION. THAT EVALUATION, WHICH WAS REVISED IN JANUARY, IT HAS 150 PARKING SPACE.

THAT'S HOW MANY THE APPLICANT IS PROPOSING.

THE PROJECT HAS SHRUNK.

ONE LESS HOTEL ROOM.

IN MEANS THAT THE PRESENT PARKING DEMAND IS LESS THAN 152 SPACES. WE DIDN'T ASK THE APPLICANT TO REFLECT THE LATEST VERSION.

ONE CAN ASSUME BECAUSE OF THAT, THIS STUDY, THIS PARKING EVALUATION IS CONSERVATIVE.

MAKING ASSUMPTIONS FOR A BIGGER PROJECT.

IN ADDITION TO THAT, THE PARKING STUDY DOESN'T FACTOR INTO THAT ANY EFFECTS OF UBER OR LYFT.

AND CLOSE TO A VERY BUSY AIRPORT, ONE CAN ASSUME A LOT OF PEOPLE WILL USE THE SERVICES.

THAT WAS NOT TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT WHEN DOING THE PARKING EVALUATION.

THIS SUMMARIZES THE CHANGES AND THE REVISED PLANS THAT THE APPLICANT SUBMIT COMPARED TO WHAT THE CITY COUNCIL SAW.

TO GO OVER THE CHANGES, THEY HAVE ONE LESS HOTEL ROOM.

THE HOTEL BUILDING IS SMALLER BY FOUR SQUARE FEET.

AND THERE'S 808 LESS SQUARE FEET OF RETAIL.

THERE'S 962 SQUARE FEET.

THERE'S LESS OFFICE SPACE.

THEY GAINED A SPA.

THE BIGGEST CHANGE IS MOVING TO THE WEST.

THE CLOSEST POINT BETWEEN THE EXISTING PROPERTY LINE AND THE HOTEL BUILDING, THAT PORTION OF THE BUILDING IS FOUR FEET FIVE INCHES FURTHER AWAY COMPARED TO THE PREVIOUS PROJECT.

THE PORTION OF THE HOTEL BUILDING.

THE FURTHEST AWAY FROM THE EASTERN PORTION OF THE PROPERTY LINE IS 12 1/2 FEET FURTHER AWAY THAN THE PREVIOUS DESIGN.

THERE'S BEEN A BIG MOVE OF THAT EASTERN PORTION OF THE BUILDING TO THE WEST.

THE APPLICANT HAS DONE THIS TO ADDRESS NEIGHBORS CONCERNS ABOUT PRIVACY.

ANOTHER THING, THIS HAS A LOT TO DO, THE APPLICANT INCREASED THE PLANT FROM THREE FEET TO FIVE FEET.

HERE'S THE SITE PLAN.

AGAIN YOU HAVE THE HOTEL BUILDING HERE AND THE RAMP OFFICE AND RETAIL BUILDING HERE.

THEN YOU HAVE PERIMETER LANDSCAPING HERE AND WITH THIS HERE AND THEN THE PARKING LOT OPEN BELOW RIGHT THERE AND THERE.

THIS GRAPHIC WHICH THEY SUBMITTED WITH THEIR WRITTEN DOCUMENTS DOES A GREAT JOB OF SHOWING THE SHIFT FROM THE PREVIOUS DESIGN WHICH IS A LIGHT BLUE COLOR.

YOU'VE SEEN A SUBSTANTIAL SHIFT OF THE BUILDING TO THE WEST.

AWAY FROM THESE NEIGHBORS ON THE DRIVE HERE.

AND ALSO THE APPLICANT IS PROPOSING NOT JUST THE FOURTH FLOOR BUT ALSO THE THIRD FLOOR WHICH WILL PREVENT PEOPLE IN THE HOTEL ROOMS, WITH HELP OBSCURE THE VIEW OF THE PEOPLE IN THE HOTEL ROOMS TO THE EAST.

AND ANOTHER THING AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, THIS BANTER HAS BECOME WIDER.

THE APPLICANT STATED THAT BECAUSE THE PREVIOUS VERSION OF THE PLAN HAD BAMBOO IN THE PLANTER.

ONE REASON BAMBOO WAS PROPOSED IT HELPED OBSCURE THE VIEW OF THESE PATRONS.

CONSIDERING THE BUILDING A MOVED TO THE WEST AND IT IS LARGER AND CAN ACCOMMODATE TREES AND NEIGHBORS REQUESTED.

THE APPLICANT IS OPEN TO COUNCIL WEIGHING IN.

CONSIDERING THE PRIVACY ISSUES ARE ADDRESSED BY MOVING THIS HOTEL BUILDING FURTHER WEST.

HERE'S THE REVIEW HOTEL BUILDING.

THIS IS FURTHER WEST.

ANOTHER THING, THOUGH, IT IS FOR THE JUST THAT THE BUILDING IS LESS. YOU HAVE BROKEN UP THE FACADE.

IT PRESENTS IT FOR BEING A LARGE BULKY STRUCTURE.

THERE WERE SOME APPELLANT COMMENTS AND GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENTS THAT WERE NEW.

AND ATTACHED TO THE STAFF REPORT TODAY.

THE APPLICANTS TRAFFIC CONSULTANT HAS MADE A REBUTTAL AND THE CITY'S TRAFFIC ENGINEER HAS BEEN REVIEWED THAT REBUTTAL AND CONFIRMED, AFFIRMED THE CONCLUSIONS.

THERE'S COMMENTS MADE ABOUT NOISE.

THE CITY'S ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT ON THE PROJECT, MICHAEL BAKER INTERNATIONAL HAS PROVIDED REBUTTAL COMMENTS. THERE'S A PUBLIC COMMENT MADE ABOUT THE CITY'S WATER AND SEWER INFRASTRUCTURE AND ITS ABILITY TO ACCOMMODATE A PROJECT LIKE THIS.

THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT HAS PROVIDED TWO LETTERS STATING THAT WITH UPSIZING AND THE INFRASTRUCTURE, THE CITY'S INFRASTRUCTURE WILL SUPPORT THIS PROJECT.

IN THE LAST 48 HOURS AFTER YOU RECEIVED THE AGENDA PACTS AND IT WAS POSTED ON THE WEBSITE, THERE'S A FLURRY OF LATE COMMENTS.

THESE HAVE BEEN ENTERED INTO THE PUBLIC RECORD.

REGARDING THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW, IT IS CEQA AND QUALIFIES FOR THE

EXEMPTION, THE CLASS 32 EXEMPTION IS ELIGIBLE FOR THE EXEMPTION.  
ALL OF THOSE BENCHMARKS ARE STILL BEING MADE.  
SPECIFICALLY, PERHAPS ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT ONES IS THERE HAS  
TO BE STUDIES APPROVED THAT IT DOESN'T CAUSE TRAFFIC.  
THEY HAVE REVIEWED IT AND A CONFIRMED THAT THE TECHNICAL STUDIES STAND  
AND THAT THESE AFFIRM THAT IT DOESN'T HAVE SIGNIFICANT IMPACT WITH  
NOISE, AIR QUALITY AND WATER QUALITY.  
REGARDING NOTICES OF PUBLIC COMMENTS FOR THE PROJECT, THE REVISED  
PLANS WERE POST TO DO THE WEBSITE ON APRIL 16.  
THE CITY WAS NOT REQUIRED TO POST THEM.  
WE DID TO GIVE EVERYONE MORE TIME TO REVIEW THE PLANS.  
E-MAIL SENT OUT TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES.  
ANYONE THAT MADE A COMMENT, WE KEEP A LIST OF EVERYONE.  
THEY WERE NOTIFIED ABOUT THE PLANS AND CLOSE TO THE WEBSITE.  
A NOTICE MAILED ON APRIL 19TH AND AN AD WAS PUBLISHED IN THE REPORTER  
ON APRIL 22ND, 2021.  
AN E-MAIL WAS SENT OUT TO THOSE PARTIES ON APRIL 29TH WITH THE LINK  
TO THE STAFF REPORT AND INFORMATION ON HOW TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT  
AND PARTICIPATE.  
STAFF RECEIVED INFORMATION IN SUPPORT AND OPPOSITION.  
WE ALSO RECEIVED COMMENTS IN THE LAST 48 HOURS AS WELL.  
WITH THAT, STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE CITY COUNCIL CONDUCT THE PUBLIC  
HEARING, MAKE AN ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION AND DIRECT STAFF TO  
DRAFT A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE MASTER USE PERMIT WITH CONDITIONS.  
I'M AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS.  
I DO WANT TO SAY A FEW THINGS.  
ONE WE TO HAVE THE CITY'S TRAFFIC ENGINEER ON THE LINE.  
THEY HAVE THE CITY'S ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT.  
AND AS WELL AS THE APPLICANTS AND BOTH APPELLANTS WOULD BE SPEAKING  
AFTER ME AS WELL.  
I'M AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS IF THERE ARE ANY.  
>> THANK YOU.  
I SEE NAPOLITANO'S HAND UP.  
PLEASE TAKE IT AWAY.  
>> THANK YOU.  
OF THOSE PARKING SPACES, HOW MANY ARE COMPACT SPACES?  
>> I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT THE PLANS.  
I BELIEVE IT IS UNDER 20.  
IT IS WAY LESS THAN THE CODE ALLOWS.  
THE ORIGINAL VERSION HAD MORE COMPACT SPACE AND PARKING SPACES IN  
THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND ASKED THEM TO LOSE PARKING SPACE TO GET  
RID OF COMPACT SPACES.  
I COULD TALLY THEM AND GET BACK TO YOU.  
>> I DIDN'T FIND MYSELF.  
I KNEW THE LAST ONE I THINK IT WAS 23 OR 18, ONE OF THOSE IN BETWEEN.  
THOSE ARE THE SCOURGE.  
I DON'T THINK THEY SHOULD BE ALLOWED AT ALL.

THEY'RE RARELY USED.

THEY'RE NOT USEFUL, I FEEL.

IS AMPLIFIED MUSIC ALLOWED ON THE FOURTH STORY DECK LOUNGE?  
LIVE ENTERTAINMENT IS PROHIBITED UNLESS CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS ARE MET.

THEY MUST GET A GROUP ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT THAT SAYS YOU MUST DO THIS AND THIS IN ORDER TO GRANT YOU THIS PERMIT.

IF THEY DON'T GET THAT PERMIT, THERE'S NOTHING ALLOWED.

THE HOURS OF ALCOHOL SERVICE WAS THAT SOMETHING NEW?

IS THAT CONSISTENT WITH THE CORRIDOR.

WHAT IS THE BENCHMARK.

I COMPARE THAT TO THE RESIDENTS WHERE SAY THE DOWNTOWN WITH A LOT OF THE PLACES AND THEY ONLY HAVE EXTENDED HOURS TO MIDNIGHT AND 1 A.M. ON FRIDAY AND SATURDAY AND NOT SEVEN DAYS A WEEK.

WHY DISCREPANCY?

>> IT SERVES FULL ALCOHOL.

I BELIEVE -- THEIR CLOSING TIME WAS MIDNIGHT SEVEN DAYS A WEEK. BUT THE COUNCIL CAN CHANGE THIS IF THEY SEE FIT.

>> I BROUGHT UP THIS ISSUE LAST TIME.

THE DIFFERENCE WE'RE TREATING THE UPSTAIRS DINING A DRINKING AREA COMPARED TO THE RULES WE PUT IN PLACE DOWNTOWN.

SOME OF THE AREAS THAT WE HAD THE MATH.

WE COULD PUT IN A SECOND STORY DINING DOWNTOWN.

WE'RE JUST TALKING SECOND STORY THERE.

NOT FOURTH STORY.

THE SECOND STORY, I BELIEVE IT HAD TO BE ENCLOSED ON THREE SIDES AND YOU HAVE A ROOF OVER IT.

WE MADE IT SO ONEROUS NO ONE HAS DONE IT AND NO ONE WILL LIKELY DO IT.

WHY THE OPEN AIR FOURTH STORY TECH HERE AS OPPOSED TO ACQUIRING THE SAME ENCLOSURE DOWNTOWN WOULD BE REQUIRED?

>> THE DOWNTOWN RESTRICTION YOU'RE REFERRING TO DID COME OUT OF THE DOWNTOWN PLAN.

AND THAT WHOLE PROCESS.

I BELIEVE THE DISCUSSION ABOUT HOW TO REGULATE RESTAURANTS WAS NOT PART OF THE INITIATIVE CORRIDOR STUDY WHICH WAS MULTI-YEAR EFFORT AND IT SOUNDS TO MY RECOLLECTION THAT WASN'T A BIG ISSUE AS PART OF THE MISSION FOR THE CORRIDOR.

>> UPPER DECK OUTSIDE DINING.

I'M NOT AWARE OF UPPER DECK DINING.

>> I DON'T BELIEVE THERE IS ANY.

THIS WOULD BE A FIRST.

>> OKAY.

I'LL LEAVE IT THERE FOR NOW.

>> MAYOR PRO TEM STERN.

THANK YOU.

I HAD A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS ABOUT MOSTLY ABOUT THE CHANGES FROM THE



LAST PROPOSAL THAT WE'VE SEEN AND TO THE CURRENT PROPOSAL.  
YOU NOTED THE REDUCTION IN SIZE OF THE RETAIL AND THE OFFICE BUILDING.  
IS THAT REDUCTION THE FOOTPRINT, IS THERE NOW MORE SPACE OUTSIDE  
BECAUSE OF THE REDUCTION IN THE OFFICE BUILDING?  
THE FOOTPRINT DID SHRINK.  
WHEN THE APPLICANT MOVES THE EASTERN PART OF THE HOTEL OVER, THE  
PARKING, THERE WAS MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR PARKING APPEARANCES WORK  
AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS.  
THAT'S A REASON WE HAD TO SHRINK THE BUILDING.  
>> IT DIDN'T INCREASE THE NUMBER OF SPACES.  
>> NO, THERE'S NO NET GAIN IN PARKING SPACES IN THIS PLAN VERSUS THE  
OTHER PLAN.  
>> DID SHRINKING THE RETAIL OFFICE BUILDING, DID YOU THAT REDUCE THE  
SIZE OF INDIVIDUAL UNITS IN THE BUILDING OR NUMBER OF UNITS?  
>> THE APPLICANT HASN'T PROVIDED OR IS REQUIRED TO SHOW INTERIOR FLOOR  
PLANS OF THE OFFICE BUILDING.  
HE COULD WORK WITH A RETAILER AND BREAK IT UP IN DIFFERENT RETAILERS.  
THERE'S NO INFORMATION ON THAT ON HOW IT WILL BE DIVIDED OR NOT  
DIVIDED.  
>> SO THAT IS -- HOW IT IS DIVIDED DOESN'T IMPACT THE NUMBER OF PARKING  
SPACES.  
>> IT IS THE GROSS SQUARE FOOTAGE OF OFFICE USE AND RETAIL USE.  
THAT'S WHAT HELPS DETERMINE PARKING.  
>> INTERESTING.  
I WANTED TO ASK YOU ABOUT THE SCREENING ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE HOTEL.  
HAS THERE BEEN AN EXCHANGE.  
THERE'S AN EXCHANGE FOR NUMBER OF FEET ALLOWED FROM THREE FEET TO  
FIVE FEET.  
HAS THERE BEEN A CHANGE TO THE SCREENING ON THE FOURTH FLOOR OR THIRD  
FLOOR, THIRD FLOOR WITH RESPECT TO THAT VISUAL SCREENING?  
TO THIS.  
>> AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION, I BELIEVE THE APPLICANT HAD SCREENING  
ON THE FOURTH FLOOR.  
BUT SINCE THEN, HE PUT IT BOTH ON THE THIRD AND THE FOURTH.  
IN TERMS OF THE SCREENING DESIGN, IT IS THIS THIS DESIGN IS SIMILAR  
TO THE DESIGN SHOWN AT THE JANUARY CITY COUNCIL MEETING WHICH WAS  
ENHANCED DESIGN COMPARED TO THE DESIGN SHOWN AT THE PLANNING  
COMMISSION.  
IT EVOLVED OVER TIME.  
>> THANK YOU.  
>> YES, COUNCILMEMBER FRANKLIN.  
>> YES.  
THANK YOU.  
THANK YOU TED, FOR THE PRESENTATION.  
JUST CLARIFICATION ON THE SERVING OF FOOD.  
THIS IS NOT LIKE WAIT STAFF AND FULL MEALS, OR IS IT JUST SNACKS?  
APPLICANT INDICATED IT IS LIMITED FOOD SERVICE.

THERE'S NO SIT DOWN WITH WHITE TABLECLOTHS.  
NOTHING LIKE THAT.

APPLICANT INDICATED THERE'S A BREAKFAST AVAILABLE FOR THE PATRONS.  
FOR HOTEL AND GUESTS THERE'S ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND LIGHT APPETIZERS  
AND THINGS LIKE THAT.

I'M SURE THE APPLICANT COULD PROVIDE MORE INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT  
WOULD BE SERVED AT THE HOTEL, BUT IT IS NOT A FULL-SERVICE RESTAURANT.  
NOR WILL -- I ALSO BELIEVE IN WILL BE NO ROOM SERVICE EITHER.  
MAYOR PRO TEM STERN, MENTIONED THE SCREENING.

ON THE OUTSIDE WALL, ARE THOSE FIXED AND SOMEONE CAN REACH OUTSIDE  
THE WINDOW AND MOVE THOSE?

>> THEY'RE FIXED.

THEY ARE FIXED AND THE CITY COUNCIL COULD ALWAYS REFINE THE CONDITION  
THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION PUT IN TO NOT JUST REQUIRE SCREENING  
ON THE FOURTH AND THIRD FLOOR BUT SPECIFY THE SCREENING BE FIXED AND  
UNMOVABLE OR SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT.

YOU'RE LOOKING OUT AND YOU CAN'T SEE DOWN INTO THE YARD NEXT DOOR?  
>> IT OBSCURES THE LINE OF SEAT.

>> I TOOK A TAPE MEASURE AND WAS MEASURING FROM THE WEST CURVE OF  
CHABELA, BASICALLY WHERE THE EAST WALL WAS GOING TO BE TO THE PROPERTY  
LINE OF THE RESIDENTS DIRECTLY TO THE EAST.

THAT WOULD BE THE RESIDENTS' ADDRESSES 1124 TENNYSON AND 1140  
SHELLEY.

IT WAS ABOUT 56 FEET FROM WHAT THE BUILDING WOULD BE TO THE ONES ON  
THE EAST SIDE.

I CALCULATE WITH THE SETBACKS, IT LOOKS LIKE 56.5 FEET.

IT LOOKS LIKE IT IS 66 FEET.

THAT'S A 9 1/2 FOOT DIFFERENCE.

I WANT TO KIND OF.

I DON'T EXPECT YOU TO HAVE THE NUMBERS AT THE FINGERTIPS.

CLOSE TO TEN FEET.

>> AS I SHOWED HERE.

LET ME GO BACK.

THERE'S THREE HERE ON THE EASTERN SIDE.

THIS PORTION OF THE BUILDING WILL BE CLOSER TO THE PROPERTY LINE THAN  
THIS PORTION.

IF I GO BACK TO THE CHART HERE.

AT CLOSEST POINT, YOU HAVE EXTRA FOUR FEET FIVE INCHES THAN THE  
PREVIOUS DESIGN.

AT THE FURTHEST POINT FROM THE BUILDING TO THE EXISTING PROPERTY LINE,  
YOU HAVE AN ADDITIONAL 12 IN FRONT OF FEET IN THE PREVIOUS DESIGN.  
DIFFERENT PORTIONS OF THE BUILDING ARE SET FURTHER AWAY FROM CHABELA  
THAN OTHER PORTIONS.

>> IS THAT CANTILEVER.

>> IF I GO TO THIS SLIDE, THIS IS CANTILEVER AND YOU HAVE IT OVER  
AN OPEN AREA.

THE CANTILEVERING IS GOING PRETTY FAR AWAY FROM THE PROPERTY LINE

OVER HERE.  
YOU HAD A PICTURE IN THE PRESENTATION.  
THE SHEET NUMBERS.  
JOAN WHAT DEGREE SLOPE THERE WAS.  
AND IT APPEARED WE COULD SEE THREE STORIES AND IT SLIPPED DOWN TO  
FOUR STORIES.  
IS THAT THE CASE.  
IT HAS A DECLINE FROM SOUTH TO NORTH.  
BY THE TIME YOU'RE ON THE NORTHERN PART OF THE PROPERTY, YOU CAN'T  
SEE THAT FIRST FLOOR OF HOTEL ROOMS.  
YOU'RE ONLY SEEING PART OF THE SECOND FLOOR AND THE THIRD ORR FOURTH  
FLOOR.  
THERE'S SOME BEING HIT.  
>> THEY WOULD SEE A LOWER MASS BECAUSE THEY'RE FURTHER UP.  
>> SOMEONE FURTHER NORTH WOULD SEE LESS THAN THE SPOUSE.  
THAT BEING SAID, KEEP IN MIND AS YOU FOR SOUTH, THE HOTEL BUILDINGS  
STEP FURTHER AWAY.  
>> TERRIFIC.  
THANK YOU.  
I THINK WE'RE DONE WITH YOU FOR THE TIME BEING.  
WE HAD STAFF PRESENTATION AND COUNCIL QUESTIONS.  
YOU CAN SIT TIGHT.  
I'M MOVING UP TO PUBLIC TESTIMONY AND COUNCIL QUESTIONS FOR THE  
SPEAKERS.  
I MOVE ON TO THE APPELLANTS AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.  
THE PARTNERS LLC REPRESENTATIVES WILL HAVE SIX MINUTES TO PRESENT  
THEIR PRESENTATION.  
TO COUNCIL AND STAFF.  
>> WELCOME, YOU HAVE SIX MINUTES TO MAKE A PRESENTATION.  
>> THANK YOU.  
GOOD EVENING, MAYOR HADLEY AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL.  
ON BEHALF OF MY DEVELOPMENT TEAM I LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR THE DISCUSSION  
ABOUT THIS DESIRABLE PROJECT.  
WE'RE HERE CONCERNING YOUR REVIEW AND HOPEFULLY YOU'RE APPROVAL OF  
THE PROPOSED HOTEL PROJECT.  
I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE THE TIME AND EFFORTS OF THE STAFF FOR  
THEIR EVENHANDED APPROACH AND OVERSIGHT TO MAKE SURE OUR PROJECT  
MEETS ALL PLANS AND ZONING REQUIREMENTS AND ALL STATE AND OTHER LAWS.  
I REQUESTED THE CONTINUANCE IN ORDER WE COULD DOCUMENT A POSITIVE  
AND SIMPLE MODIFICATION CREATING MORE FLEXIBILITY IN THE LANDSCAPING  
BARRIER AND FURTHER PRIVACY FOR THE RESIDENTS AND PATRONS OF THE  
HOTEL.  
I BROUGHT YOU CROSS SECTION SHOWING YOU THE BEFORE AND AFTER AND  
ILLUSTRATING THE DIFFERENCE WHERE WE HAVE WINDOWS FACING EAST AND  
SETBACKS UP TO 24 1/2 VERSUS 28 FEET.  
THERE'S NO REQUIREMENT TO HAVE ANY SETBACKS.  
THE DIFFERING ROOM CONFIGURATIONS WE USE ALLOW US TO COMPLETE BOAT

VERTICALLY AND HORIZONTALLY AND ADDING TO THE VISUAL APPEAL.  
OUR MODIFICATION WAS POSSIBLE BECAUSE IT DIDN'T HAVE A WHOLESALE  
CHANGE.

LASTLY WITH WINDOW SCREENING ON THE THIRD AND FOURTH FLOORS WE FEEL  
IT APPROPRIATE TO RECOMMEND A TREE TO THE BAMBOO IF COUNCIL CHOOSES.  
BAMBOO WITH FIRST PLANTED WILL BE ABOUT 12, 14 FEET.

OUR PROJECT CONTINUES IN THE COMMUNITY.

TO DATE WE HAVE 75 RESIDENTS THAT E-MAILED PERSONAL LETTERS OF  
SUPPORT.

WE CIRCULATED A LETTER OVER THE PAST TEN DAYS AND RECEIVED 55  
SIGNATURES FROM OTHER RESIDENTS AND HOMEOWNERS WITH THEIR NAMES AND  
ADDRESSES.

I SENT THAT YESTERDAY.

THIS WILL BE POSH AND NECESSARY ADDITION TO THE COMMUNITY WHEN THE  
CITY CAN TAKE GREAT PRIDE.

THE SKETCHER'S HEADQUARTERS WILL BRING IN OVER 700 NEW EMPLOYEES AND  
VISITORS DAILY.

THE HOTEL WILL BE A PERFECT COMPLEMENT CREATING UNIQUE ENERGY AND  
KEEPING VISITORS LOCAL.

THE SYNERGY ORGANICALLY WILL CREATE MORE PEDESTRIAN ACTIVITY,  
FURTHER ADDING TO THE CENTER OF THIS PART OF SEPULVEDA.

CONTINUING ON THE THEME FROM THE JANUARY HEARING AND MY PRESENTATION,  
I WANT TODD NEW CLARIFICATIONS OF WHAT WE'RE NOT.

WE'RE NOT ENTERTAINMENT VENUE POSING AS HOTEL.

WE TONIGHT HAVE A ROOFTOP NIGHTCLUB AND STUDIO 54.

OUR AMENITIES ARE NOT OPEN TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC AND THEY DO REQUIRE  
CARD KEY ACCESS AVAILABLE ONLY TO THE PATRONS OF THE HOTEL AND THEIR  
GUESTS.

LIVE ENTERTAINMENT IS NOT PLANNED EXCEPT BY SPECIAL PERMIT AND PER  
EVENT.

WE WILL FOLLOW DIRECTION TO REASONABLE CLOSING TIME IF SO DIRECTED.  
I'M AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS AS WE PROCEED.

I HAVE MEMBERS HERE.

I WOULD LIKE TO THANK COUNCIL FOR THIS AND LOOK FORWARD TO FORMING  
A LONG-TERM POSITIVE RELATIONSHIP.

I HAND THIS OVER TO KEITH TO SPEAK FOR OUR REMAINING TIME.

>> I'M TRYING TO LOCATE THE ATTORNEY ON THE CALL.

I'M NOT LOCATE THE ATTORNEY AT THIS TIME.

>> WHAT DO YOU RECOMMEND WE DO?

>> SINCE THERE'S REMAINING TIME, WE COULD DEFER THAT TIME UNTIL THE  
ATTORNEY CONNECTS LATER.

WOULD THIS BE TIME FOR COUNSEL QUESTIONS OF THE APPLICANT.

>> YES.

WE HAVE MR. HOLTZ ON THE LINE AS THE APPLICANT.

>> YES, HE IS.

HE CAN UNMUTE AND TURN ON HIS CAMERA.

COLLEAGUES, QUESTIONS FOR MR. HOLT.

I SEE KEITH MCCULLOUGH'S NAME UP HERE.  
HE'S ON THE LIST.  
SEVEN WITH HIS HANDS RAISED.  
LET'S SEE IF HE CAN CONNECT.  
GOT BOUNCING BOXES.  
>> CAN YOU HEAR ME?  
>> YES.  
I'M COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT.  
THEY WOULD SAY OPPOSITION IS SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE AND APPEAL BE  
UPHELD, NOT SO.  
AND THE FALSE PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS DON'T CONSTITUTE CREDIBLE  
INFORMATION.  
THE APPLICANT AND BY CITY STAFF, THIS IS SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE.  
THE APPELLANTS AT THIS LATE DATE NOW SUGGEST THERE SHOULD HAVE BEEN  
A CEQA INITIAL STUDY.  
NOT SO.  
ONCE A PROJECT IS IDENTIFIED A LEAD AGENCY SHALL DETERMINE WHETHER  
THE PROJECT IS EXEMPT FROM CEQA.  
THEY TOOK INTO ACCOUNT THE CHARACTERISTICS.  
THESE MEET THE INFIELD CATEGORY 32 EXEMPTION FROM FURTHER CEQA  
REVIEW.  
THERE'S STUDIES UNDERTAKEN TO MAKE CERTAIN THAT THE PROJECT WOULDN'T  
POSE SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT.  
TO SAY THE PROJECT WAS NOT STUDIED FOR ITS IMPACT IS FOR THE CORRECT.  
THE COUNCIL HAS BEFORE IT, SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE.  
THE SUPREME COURT DIRECTED THAT'S IN SUPPORT OF THE COUNCIL DECISION.  
>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.  
THANK YOU MR. HOLT AND I'LL TURN IT OVER TO MY COLLEAGUES.  
ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE APPELLANT?  
ONCE, TWICE.  
WE TURN TO THE REPRESENTATIVES.  
THEY HAVE SIX MINUTES ON THE CLOCK TO PRESENT THEIR PRESENTATION TO  
COUNCIL.  
THANK YOU.  
>> THANK YOU.  
WHY IS THE CITY AVOIDING IIR.  
IT COSTS MONEY AND IS LIKELY TO RESULT IN CHANGES.  
THE CITY WANTS THIS DEVELOPMENT AND THE TAX REVENUE AND I SUSPECT  
THE DEVELOPER HAS SPELLED OUT TO THE CITY, HIS CRITERIA FOR THE  
PROJECT.  
THE DEVELOPMENTS GO, AND HOTELS HAVE HUGE IMPACT.  
PEOPLE AND CARS AND NOISE.  
LOTS OF ALCOHOL AND LOTS OF SEWAGE AT ALL HOURS OF THE DAY AND NIGHT.  
THE CITY IS ON NOTICE AS THE PROBLEMATIC NATURE OF HOTELS IN THE  
COMMUNITIES WITH OVER 100 POLICE CALLED IN SIX MONTHS AND TO GET THE  
NOISE UNDER CONTROL.  
THE CITY IS TAKING A UNIQUE APPROACH IN THIS DEVELOPMENT BY NOT

REQUIRING AN NIR.  
THE SKETCHERS DEVELOPMENT AND THE RETIREMENT HOME IS FAR LESS  
PROBLEMATIC.  
THIS DEVELOPMENT IS REQUIRED TO HAVE AN AIR.  
AND THE COMMUNITY SHOULD EXPECT TO TRY THIS APPROACH WITH OTHER  
DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS.  
THE CITY IS TRYING TO GET THIS HOTEL BUILT.  
HOTELS CREATE WASTEWATER AND SEWAGE.  
THE RULE IS THE DEVELOPERS HAVE TO PAY FOR UPGRADES TO SERVE THEIR  
THE DEVELOPMENT.  
THIS WILL HAVE UPGRADE ALL THE WAY TO THE PUMPING STATION.  
THAT WOULD COST MILLIONS OF DOLLARS.  
IT APPEARS THE CITY MAY PROPOSE TO GIVE THE WORK TO THE DEVELOPER.  
AND NEED TO UPSIZE THAT IMPROVES ON THE THOUSAND PAGE STAFF REPORT  
WHERE IT STATED THE COMPLIANCE WITH THE GENERAL PLAN MEANS THERE WAS  
NO ISSUES. LEAVING THAT IN THE CONCLUSION.  
THE CITY STAFF FAILED TO REPORT THE SEWAGE.  
IT TOOK MY 19-YEAR-OLD SON RAISING THIS ISSUE FOR THE CITY TO  
ACKNOWLEDGE THE ISSUE.  
NOW TALK ABOUT SAVING THE DEVELOPER ON UNDERGROUND PARKING.  
DESPITE THE ESTABLISHMENT, IT REQUIRES A FULL-SERVICE RESTAURANT AND  
IT CONTINUES TO -- IT IS NOT A RESTAURANT WHICH MEANS IT DOESN'T NEED  
PARKING SPACES.  
CITY IGNORES THE PRODUCTION.  
ACCORDING TO IT OWN FALSE OF HOTELS IN THE AREA AND HOTEL REPORT IT  
COMMISSIONED FROM INDUSTRY EXPERTS.  
IT IGNORES THE OCCUPANCY RATE.  
IN THAT SAME REPORT WHICH IS IN THE 80 TO 90 PERCENT RANGE.  
IT ALSO SHOWS HOW THE HOTEL WILL BE AT ALL TIMES.  
LET'S MOVE TO TRAFFIC.  
THE DEVELOPERS REPORT ON THIS FAILED TO ANALYZE THIS DEVELOPMENT IN  
CONJUNCTION WITH LARGER DEVELOPMENTS NEARBY THAT WILL COME ONLINE.  
THEY LOOK AT TRAFFIC FLOWS IN THE STREETS.  
TO THE EXTENT THEY MEASURED ANY TRAFFIC, THREE DONE SO.  
THIS HOTEL SITS AT THE BOTTOM OF A BLIND END, AND TWO SEPARATE  
FATALITIES HAVE OCCURRED RIGHT BY THE SITE IN RECENT MEMORY.  
THE DEVELOPER'S SOUND REPORT IS A TRAVESTY OF PROFESSIONAL OPINION.  
THIS IS USUALLY BASED ON ONE MACHINE ON THE REACH.  
EVEN WITH THAT CALCULATION THEY MISSED MACHINES FROM THE LATEST  
REPORTS.  
THEY BASED SOUNDS COMING FROM A FULL BAR WITH ONE PERSON TALKING AND  
TAKING A WONDERLAND APPROACH.  
THE POSITION ON LIVE ENTERTAINMENT AMPLIFIES THE MUSIC.  
THEY TALK ABOUT CONSTRUCTION DEFLECTING SOUND FROM THE BAR GOING TO  
HOUSES ACROSS THE ROAD.  
THE CITY IS IGNORING ITS OWN CLEAR REGULATION TO SAY SOUND MUST NOT  
BE LOGICAL BEYOND THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPERTY.

THE CITY EVEN TOOK THE EXTRAORDINARY STEP OF REGISTERING A NOTE TO THE EXEMPTION FIRST THING THE MORNING AFTER THE PLANNING COMMIT DECISION AND BEFORE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION BY COUNCIL.

NORMAL PRACTICE BY THIS CITY AND OTHERS IS YOU ONLY FILE AN EXEMPTION ONCE THE APPEALS ARE EXHAUSTED AND THE COUNCIL ADOPTED THE RESOLUTION.

THE SIGNIFICANCE WAS TO START THE 60-DAY CLOCK RUNNING AND CHALLENGING THE CITY DECISION.

MB POETS RESERVED THE RIGHT TO CHALLENGE FUNDING OF THE EXEMPTION. THIS PROCESS IS EQUIVALENT OF TRYING TO PULL A JEDI MIND TRICK. THE LAW IS THE LAW AND FACTS AND THE JUDGE GOING TO REVIEW THIS SAGA. ORDER A PROPER EIR.

THANK YOU.

>> ANYBODY ELSE TO USE THE BALANCE OF YOUR TIME?

>> NO.

THANK YOU.

>> ANY REPRESENTATIVES WITH YOU?

>> THERE ARE.

WE DO HAVE EXPERTS PRESENT IF ANYONE HAS QUESTIONS.

I BELIEVE OUR ATTORNEY MAY BE PRESENT AS WELL SHOULD ANYONE HAVE QUESTIONS.

I BELIEVE THE EXPERT ARE GOING TO SPEAK.

>> DUG PUBLIC COMMENT THEN?

>> RIGHT.

YOUR PRESENTATION HAS WRAPPED UP.

THANK YOU SO MUCH.

PLEASE STAY ON THE LINE FOR QUESTIONS.

I WOULD LIKE TO STAKE IT BACK TO MY COLLEAGUES AND THE POETS AND REPRESENTATIVES.

YES.

COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN.

>> THANK YOU.

THANK YOU MR. FRANKLIN YOU MENTIONED AND I SEE IT IN YOUR DOCUMENTATION BUT I CAN'T PUT MY FINGER ON IT.

YOU SAID THERE WAS A HOTEL COMMISSIONED BY THE CITY.

I BELIEVE IT WAS CDE.

COULD YOU TELL ME WHEN THAT WAS?

YOU WERE LOOKING AT THE SITE AND THEY COMPILED THE REPORT.

IT IS ON THE CITY WEBSITE.

>> FOR THE LOWER VILLAGE FIELD.

>> CORRECT.

ANALYZED A NUMBER OF THINGS, PRICING OCCUPANCY.

A WHOLE BUNCH OF THINGS.

AMONG THOSE THINGS, THEY POINTED TO 85% RATES AND THEY ANALYZED PRICING WHICH LEADS TO CATEGORIZATION OF HOTELS.

I WOULD REFER TO JAN HOLT.

EXPECT THE HOTEL TO BE FULL ALL THE TIME.

>> WHAT YEAR WAS THAT STUDY DONE?  
>> HANG ON A SECOND.  
IT IS A MATTER OF FACT BUT PROBABLY -- I'M GOING TO GUESS FIVE YEARS  
OLD.  
SOMETHING OF THAT ORDER.  
>> CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?  
>> THE QUESTION IS, SO MR. FRANKLIN AND MR. DARYL FRANKLIN MENTIONED  
THAT THE CITY COMMISSIONED A HOTEL INDUSTRY REPORT FROM INDUSTRY  
SPECIALISTS.  
I BELIEVE IT WAS CRDE.  
>> IT MAY BE WHEN BURTON WAS ON THE COUNCIL.  
>> THEY DID IT.  
>> I'M [INDISCERNIBLE].  
MONTGOMERY, WERE YOU ON COUNCIL AT THAT TIME?  
>> NOT A CHANCE.  
I WOULDN'T GET ALONG WITH THAT ONE.  
IT WAS AROUND THE SAME TIME, I FOUND THE PARKVIEW THAT CAME AFTERWARD.  
>> MADAM MAYOR.  
>> 2015.  
IT IS ALL ON THE CITY WEBSITE.  
IT IS AROUND 2015.  
NOVEMBER OF 2015.  
GREAT.  
>> THANK YOU CITY MANAGER MOE.  
THANK YOU MR. FRANKLIN AND REPRESENTATIVES.  
IT APPEARS NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.  
I'LL TWO TO UNITE LOCAL 11.  
THEY HAVE SIX MINUTES TO PRESENT THEIR CASE TO CITY COUNCIL.  
AND WHO MIGHT WE BE SPEAKING WITH DEPUTY CITY CLERK ALVAREZ FOR UNITE  
LOCAL 11.  
WE HAVE THE ATTORNEY GIDEON.  
>> I COULDN'T SEE THE NAME.  
I THINK IT IS KRAKOFF.  
HE SHOULD BE ABLE TO MUTE AND UNMUTE NOW.  
>> GOOD EVENING.  
HOPE YOU CAN HEAR ME.  
I'M GIDEON.  
THE LAWYER FOR UNITE, LOCAL 11.  
WE URGE THE COUNCIL TO GRANT THE APPEAL.  
SEND BACK THIS ABBREVIATED C EQA EXEMPTION AND REQUIRE A MORTAR ROW  
EIR OR NEGATIVE DECLARATION.  
HERE ON BEHALF OF THE 200 MEMBERS OF THE UNION THAT WORK AT THE HOTEL,  
SEVERAL THAT LIVE IN THE CITY.  
YOU'LL HEAR FROM THEM LATER DURING PUBLIC COMMENT.  
THEY'VE BEEN HARD HIT BY COVID.  
THEY'RE STAKE HOLDERS IN HOTEL DEVELOPMENT IN YOUR CITY.  
THESE COMMENTS MADE MATTER OF PUBLIC CONCERN ARE IN THE CORE FUNCTION



OF THE UNION.  
IT WANTS TO MAKE SURE THE PROJECT IN THE CITY AND THE RULE.  
WE SUBMITTED LETTERS AND DOCUMENTS INCLUDING A TRAFFIC COMMENT LETTER  
RESPONDING TO THE STAFF REPORT.  
THE ARGUMENTS I'M GOING TO MAKE TONIGHT ARE SIMILAR TO THOSE BACK  
IF JANUARY.  
THEY STILL ARE DOMINANT.  
AND MOSTLY TONIGHT CONCERNING TRAFFIC AND THE PROJECT.  
THE FAILURE TO PROVIDE PROPER TRAFFIC ANALYSIS MASKS THE IMPACT OF  
THE PROJECT.  
AND PROJECT HAS SIGNIFICANT QUALITY AND GREENHOUSE GAS IMPACT.  
TRAFFIC THE FIRST THING IS THE PROJECT IS MODELED IN ALL OF THE TRAFFIC  
MODELS FROM THE EXPERT AS A SUITES HOTEL.  
IT IS NOT A SUITES HOTEL.  
NONE OF THE GUEST ROOMS HAVE SEPARATE SEATING AND THEN A DETACHED  
BEDROOM.  
THIS UNDERSTATES THE TRAFFIC IMPACT.  
SECOND, THE MODELS ARE GIVEN CREDIT FOR EL TORITO.  
BUT IT HAS BEEN CLOSED FOR YEARS.  
MOST ALL OF THE TRIPS FOR THE PROJECT ARE NEW.  
YOU DON'T START WITH A CLOSED EL TORITO AS YOUR TRAFFIC BASELINE.  
AS A RESULT OF THIS, THE PROJECT HAS POTENTIALLY 800 MORE NEW VEHICLE  
TRIPS THAN MODELS BY THE EXPERTS.  
1800 A DAY WHICH IS GOING TO LEAD TO SIGNIFICANT TRAFFIC IMPACT.  
THIS MEANS THE EXEMPTION IS NOT APPROPRIATE HERE.  
AS FOR AIR QUALITY, THE EXPERTS IDENTIFIED CHANGES TO THE DEFAULT  
VALUES AND THE INTENSITY FACTORS FOR METHANE AND SMOG OF THE MODEL  
USED BY EXEMPTIONS.  
EXPERTS PROVIDED UPDATED MODELS IF THE PROJECT TONIGHT AND WILL HAVE  
SIGNIFICANT IMPACT FOR THE NEIGHBORS PARTICULARLY DURING  
CONSTRUCTION AND ALSO EXCEED 2016 BASELINE AND THE 2045 TARGET FOR  
THE SKAGG REGION.  
IT CONFLICTS WITH SKAGG'S STRATEGY.  
IT CALLS INTO QUESTION, THE CLAIMS.  
THESE ARE EXPERT CONCLUSIONS SUPPORTED BY FACTS AND ANALYSIS.  
THIS IS SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE.  
IN LAYMAN'S TERMS THE PROJECT IS SALVAGEABLE FOR THE CEQA EXEMPTION  
THAT WOULD ONLY BE ALLOWED FOR PROJECTS WITH NO SIGNIFICANT TRAFFIC.  
THIS PROJECT DOES NOT QUALIFY.  
ALSO AGENCIES ARE NOT SUPPOSED TO USE EXEMPTIONS TO REQUIRE  
MITIGATION MEASURES.  
THE MEASURES ARE SUPPOSED TO COME IN AFTER YOU DO THE STUDIES IN  
EITHER.  
THEY DON'T NEED MITIGATION.  
HERE IN ALL HONESTY, THE ITERATIONS OF THE PROJECT AND THE CHANGING  
CONDITIONS SHOWS THE PROJECT IS TOO COMPLICATED AND HAS IMPACT TO  
REALLY FALL INTO THAT CEQA EXEMPTION AND MR. FRANKLIN I KNOW FROM

MB POET DID THE BEST TO EXPLAIN THAT.  
FOR THESE BASED ON THE ENTIRE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD AND THE  
SUBMISSION FROM POETS AND NOISE AND PARKING, WE RESPECTFULLY REQUEST  
GRANTING THE APPEAL A MORE THOROUGH DECLARATION INSTEAD OF THE  
ABBREVIATED EXEMPTION.  
MORE MITIGATION WILL BE REQUIRED.  
TRAFFIC IMPROVEMENTS AND MANAGEMENT AND EQUIPMENT AND CONSTRUCTION  
AND POTENTIALLY CHARGING STATION.  
WE FEEL ABSENT THE ANALYSIS, WE REQUIRE LAND USE ALSO CALLED INTO  
QUESTION.  
INCLUDING FOR THE MASTER USE PERMIT.  
IT REQUIRES SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE PARTICULARLY RELATED TO PUBLIC  
SAFETY AND WELFARE.  
THANK YOU FOR CONSIDERING THESE COMMENTS.  
SECOND TIME WE'VE BEEN HERE.  
WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THE DILIGENT APPROACH TO PUBLIC SERVICE THAT  
YOU AS A COUNCIL DEMONSTRATE HERE MEETING AFTER MEETING.  
THANKS AGAIN FOR ALLOWING US TO MAKE THESE COMMENTS.  
>> THANK YOU.  
ANYONE ELSE IN YOUR PARTY TO USE THE BALANCE OF YOUR TIME.  
>> JUST ME IN THE FIVE OR SIX MINUTES YOU'LL HEAR FROM A COUPLE OF  
MEMBERS DURING THE REGULAR PUBLIC COMMENT.  
>> WANT TO MAKE SURE.  
COLLEAGUES ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS FOR MR. CRACK COE AT THIS TIME  
FROM UNITE, YOUR LOCAL 11.  
SEEING NONE, I'M SURE YOU'LL STAY ON THE LINE AND CONTINUE WITH THE  
PUBLIC HEARING.  
SO COUNCIL COLLEAGUES WE WILL BE BEGINNING PUBLIC COMMENT HERE.  
NORMALLY WE TAKE A BREAK AT 8:00 BUT WE'RE JUST GOING TO POWER THROUGH.  
MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES ON THIS ITEM.  
IT WILL BE PART OF THE PUBLIC RECORD FOR THE HEARING AND CHOOSE TO  
MAKE COMMENTS NOW.  
IT IS YOUR OPPORTUNITY.  
PLEASE USE RAISED HAND EMOJI EVEN IF YOU FILLED OUT A FORM.  
IT IS HELPFUL FOR THE CITY CLERK TO FIGURE OUT WHO IS WHO AND WHO  
QUEUE YOU UP.  
WE'LL GET IT.  
WE'LL TAKE A BREAK AS NECESSARY.  
MY APOLOGIES, I DON'T KNOW WHY MY CAMERA WAS OFF.  
WE'LL GET RIGHT INTO PUBLIC COMMENT.  
>> FIRST AUDIO CALLER.  
STEVE.  
>> HELLO.  
CAN YOU HEAR ME?  
>> YES, WE CAN IF YOU STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD AND YOUR CITY  
OF RESIDENCY AND YOU MAY PROCEED WITH YOUR THREE MINUTES OF PUBLIC  
COMMENT.

>> MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL I'M STEVE, I LIVE IN THE POET SECTION.  
MY FAMILY LIVED IN MANHATTAN BEACH FOR NEARLY 90 YEARS.  
I'M THE BLOCK CAPTAIN FROM MY BLOCK.  
I SPEARHEADED THE MARA COSTA ISSUE FOR THE PARKING IN OUR SECTION.  
I ALSO WAS THE OWNER OF A BUSINESS CALLED PLAY IT AGAIN SPORTS.  
MY FAMILY OWNS THE PROPERTY DIRECTLY.  
WE HAVE A DEALERSHIP AND WHEN IT WAS SOUTH AMERICA IN THE 50S AND  
60S.  
I'M HOPING TO LEAVE MY CITY IN AS GOOD OF SHAPE AS IT HAS BEEN FOR  
ME AS MY KIDS GRADUATE SOON.  
I'M IN HOSPITALITY NOW FOR 25 YEARS.  
I HAVE INSIGHT INTO THE SITUATION.  
I HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THE PROJECT.  
FIRST OF ALL, IT IS JUST TOO BIG FOR OUR CITY.  
I HAVE ARRIVED HERE TO SEE THE MANHATTAN BALL HAS GOTTEN LARGER IN  
SIZE.  
THAT'S COMING ONLINE.  
WE HAVE THE GOAT HILL PROJECT.  
AND STRAW HAT PIZZA AND THEN THE WALK.  
US IN THE POET SECTION WE WALK UP TO THE GREAT RESTAURANT.  
WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A LARGE SENIOR FACILITY THERE I BELIEVE.  
THAT'S GOING TO IMPACT THE TRAFFIC.  
YOU ARE POSITIVE FURTHER, WE USED TO LOVE THE SEAFARER RESTAURANT.  
WE WALK UP THERE.  
PIANO BAR.  
NOW SKETCHERS BUILDING.  
I LOVE SKETCHERS BUT IT IS HURTING OUR SMALL-TOWN FEEL.  
LESS IS MORE FOR MANHATTAN BEACH.  
YOU TAKE THIS NEW PROJECT AND I REMEMBER WHEN MY SISTER HAD HER WEDDING  
RECEPTION THERE.  
IT WAS A MAGIC BUSINESS SA A NIGHTCLUB AND THEN CHEVIES AND EL TORITO.  
THAT'S GOING TO BE GONE.  
SLOWLY SYSTEMATICALLY OUR CITY IS BECOMING A BIG CITY LIKE SANTA  
MONICA.  
I'M SORRY I LIKE MANHATTAN BEACH AND I SEND PEOPLE ROUTINELY BECAUSE  
I'M IN THE HOSPITALITY INDUSTRY.  
THEY ALL SAY THEY LOVE MANHATTAN BEACH MORE.  
WE DON'T HAVE TO LOOK FURTHER THAN THE MARRIOTT.  
THEY LOST THEIR PERMIT TO OPERATE.  
107 CALLS IN SIX MONTH PERIOD.  
THEY HAD A THOUSAND DOLLAR SECURITY DEPOSIT.  
>> THANK YOU.  
THE HADLEY FAMILY WERE BIG CUSTOMERS OF PLAY IT AGAIN SPORTS.  
I REMEMBER THAT BUSINESS.  
THANK YOU.  
>> NEXT WE HAVE KELLY STROMAN.  
I'M PRESIDENT OF THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

THE RESIDENTS SURROUNDING BUSINESSES AS WELL AS HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE THAT PASS BY ALL DESERVE TO EXPERIENCE THE BEST OF MANHATTAN BEACH.

WITH LIVING NEARBY AND PASSING THROUGH AND CONDUCTING BUSINESS OR STAYING IN MANHATTAN BEACH IT IS IMPORTANT TO THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE THAT YOUR DECISION PROMOTES THE BEST QUALITY OF LIFE FOR EACH CONSTITUENT.

IT DOESN'T MEAN YOU'LL PARTIES ARE SET ASIDE.

IT SHOULD MEAN IT WOULD CREATE A BRIGHTER FUTURE.

THE CHAMBER HAS A STRONG LOCAL ECONOMY.

WE ENCOURAGE HELP FACILITATE THE GROWTH WITH THE EXISTING INDUSTRIES AND BUSINESSES AND GIVE ASSISTANCE TO THOSE SEEKING TO BUILD A YOU FOR BUSINESS.

THE PLAN PROPOSAL BEFORE YOU TO BUILD 160 ROOM HOTEL WILL TRANSFORM A SITE THAT IS NO LONGER IN USE AS IT WAS ONCE INTENDED TO BE DECADES AGO.

IT WILL CONTRIBUTE TO THE PROGRESSIVE AESTHETIC THAT IS TAKING SHAPE ON THE BOULEVARD.

THIS IS NOT THE FIRST SITE TO BE REIMAGINED.

IT WILL NOT BE THE LAST.

THE HOTEL WILL ADD ESTIMATED 1 TO 1.3 MILLION IN TAX AND RESIDENTS TO MANHATTAN BEACH.

PLEASE NOTE THE MANHATTAN BEACH CHAMBER OF THE COMMERCE DOESN'T RECEIVE ANY PORTION OF THE TAX.

THEY WON'T BENEFIT FROM REVENUE OR TAX AND IT IS VIEWED AS ECONOMIC VITALITY AND GROWTH PROJECT THAT WILL TRACK OTHER BUSINESSES AND PROJECTS TO THE CITY.

WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS AND SO WE CAN RECEIVE A FINANCIAL BENEFIT FROM THIS NOW STAGNANT PROPERTY.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS TONIGHT.

>> DONALD MCPEARSON.

>> CAN YOU HEAR ME?

STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

>> DON MCPHERSON, STARTING AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING LAST NOVEMBER MB POETS TRANSPORTATION ENGINEER HAS CHALLENGED THE APPLICANTS TRAFFIC ANALYSIS.

LAST THURSDAY THE ENGINEER REBUTTED MR. NEUSTAEDTER'S OPINION. MR. NEUSTAEDTER WILL TESTIFY.

THE ANALYSIS WAS PROJECTED FORWARD TO 1920 AND STILL CURRENT.

THEIR REPORT HAD SEVERAL HIGH-END HOTELS NEAR THE BEACH.

THE SEVEN HOTELS HAVE A MEAN REVENUE OF \$240 PER ROOM PER NIGHT.

APPLICANT ANTICIPATES REVENUE OF \$160.

WAVE AND HIGH VIEW ON THE BOULEVARD DID NOT MAKE THE HIGH-END CUT BUT IT WILL.

THIS IS A HIGH-END PROJECT.

CONSEQUENTLY FOR TRAFFIC, THE APPLICANTS SHOULD HAVE THE APPLICANT

SHOULD USE A HIGHER MODEL AND HIGHER PARKING AND TRAFFIC RATE.  
IRONICALLY TO MINIMIZE IMPACT.  
THE CONTRACT OTHER USED THE BUSINESS HOTEL FOR THE FALSE AND HAD  
SUITES HOTEL FOR TRAFFIC.  
THEY'LL ADDRESS THE TYPE 47 ALCOHOL LICENSE REQUIREMENT THAT BARS  
MUST SERVE THE PUBLIC, ANOTHER REASON FOR THE STANDARD MODEL.  
BUSINESS HOTELS DON'T HAVE OUTDOOR FOUR THE FLOOR NIGHTCLUBS WITH  
LIVE ENTERTAINMENT FOR WEDDINGS AND OTHER EVENTS.  
THIS PROJECT WILL HAVE THE ITEMS.  
IT SHOULD HAVE THE STANDARD HOTEL RATE FOR TRAFFIC AND PARKING.  
SO.  
THAT'S -- ANYWAY, THAT'S BY TALK.  
THANK YOU.  
>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.  
>> NEXT IS MIKE PATEL.  
>> CAN YOU HEAR US?  
>> HELLO.  
CAN YOU HEAR ME?  
>> YOU STATE YOUR NAME AND YOU MAY PROCEED WITH YOUR THREE MINUTES  
FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.  
>> GOOD EVENING MAYOR AND COUNCIL, I'M MIKE PATEL FROM MANHATTAN  
BEACH.  
MY FAMILY BUILT A HOTEL AND OWNED AND OPERATED IT EVER SINCE.  
I'VE BEEN FOLLOWING IT PROJECT AND LISTENING TO THE HEARING.  
I'M IN SUPPORT OF THE DEVELOPMENT FOR VARIOUS REASONS.  
I'VE REACHED OUT TO THE DEVELOPER AND HAD OPEN AND HONEST DISCUSSIONS  
WITH HIM.  
OUR HOTELS, WE NEVER HAD A PROBLEM WITH PARK.  
IT DOESN'T ALIGN.  
IT TRENDS LOWER, WE EVEN ALLOW COMMERCIAL NEIGHBORS TO PARK AS THEY  
ARE AT THE PROPERTY.  
MORE IMPORTANTLY WHAT I HEARD OFTEN WAS HAVING A HOTEL AND RESIDENTIAL  
HOMES IS [INDISCERNIBLE] FOR THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR THOSE THAT LIVE  
IN THE HOMES.  
OUR PROPERTY SHARES A COMMON PROPERTY LINE AND IS CLOSER TO HOMES  
THAN THIS PROJECT IS.  
WE'RE ALSO IMMEDIATE NEIGHBORS TO ANIMAL HOSPITALS.  
OUR RELATIONSHIP HAS BEEN CORDIAL.  
I BRING THIS UP PARTICULARLY BECAUSE I'M AWARE OF WHAT HAS TAKEN PLACE  
AT THE RESIDENCE INN.  
NO WAY THAT OCCURRED AT OUR HOTEL.  
IMAGINE IT MAKES A BIG DIFFERENCE.  
THIS PROJECT SHOULD BE CLASSIFIED AS A FULL-SERVICE HOTEL WHICH IS  
NOT THE CASE SINCE THE HOTEL DOESN'T HAVE THE FACILITIES OR AMENITIES  
OR EVEN A POOL TO BE CLASSIFIED AS FULL-SERVICE HOTEL AND WE FEED  
THOSE FACILITIES AT VERY LEAST.  
THIS IS A SELECT SERVICE HOTEL.

IN CLOSING I SUPPORT THIS DEVELOPMENT BECAUSE IT IS UPSCALE SELECTION AND WE'RE GOING TO MISS THE OVERALL MARKET AND BRING IN MORE VISITORS. LEADERS SENT ANCILLARY DOLLARS.

MANHATTAN BEACH HAS GREAT OPPORTUNITIES AND VISION FOR THE HOTELS. THIS IS THE FIRST STEP.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU MR. PATEL FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

>> NEXT IS CRAIG.

>> CAN YOU HEAR US?

>> YES.

STATE YOUR NAME.

>> MY NAME IS CRAIG NEUSTAEDTER.

I'M CONSULTANT TO THE MB POETS AND RESIDENT OF IRVINE AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANT WORKING WITH THE MB POETS.

I LIKE TO SAY THE CITY CHARACTERIZED THE COMMENTS FROM THE KIMMIE. IT WAS AN EXPLANATION OF A SINGLE POINT PERTAINING TO WHAT WAS THE CLASSIFICATION OF WHAT THEY USED FOR THE PARKING FALSE.

THEY INCORRECTLY USED TWO CLASSIFICATIONS OF HOTEL AS MR. MCPHEARSON NOTED PRESIDENT CORRECT CLASSIFICATION IS ITE LAND USE CODE, 310 HOTEL.

THIS IS THE APPROPRIATE LAND USE CLASSIFICATION.

AND KIMMIE HORN ANALYSIS IS INCONSISTENT WITH INDUSTRY STANDARDS IF THE CORRECT STANDARDS HAD BEEN USED AS WE DID AND WE PERFORMED ANALYSIS FOR THE SHARED PARKING ANALYSIS, KIMMIE HORN CONTRIBUTED THAT 158 SPACES WOULD BE REQUIRED FOR THE OFFICE SITE.

OUR ANALYSIS BASED ON INDUSTRY STANDARDS AND THE CORRECT CLASSIFICATION CONCLUDED THAT 195 SPACES WOULD BE REQUIRED.

THIS FAILS TO ADDRESS STATED CONCERNS ABOUT THE TRAFFIC ANALYSIS. FAILING TO ANALYZE THE LOCAL TRAFFIC IMPACTS ON RESIDENTIAL STREETS. THIS WAS EXPLANATION OF A SINGLE POINT AND WAS VERY MUCH OF A SIDE POINT IN THE OVERALL TRAFFIC ANALYSIS.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> NEXT IS VICTORIA.

>> CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> YEP.

>> I'M VICTORIA.

I LIVE IN THE POET SECTION OF MANHATTAN BEACH.

GOOD EVENING.

AS INSPIRED BY JAN DENNIS, THAT DOES NOT COME FROM BIGGER OR BETTER OR POTENTIAL DOLLAR SIGNS TO APPLICANT THAT CONTINUES TO USE SHADY TERMS AND NOT THINK ABOUT THE COMMUNITY OR THE PEOPLE THAT THIS COUNCIL SERVES.

WE DRAWN OUT THE PROCESS TO EIGHT MONTHS WITH THE STRESS OF PANDEMIC. WE HAVE SEEN THE IMPACT OF THE MARRIOTT DOWN THE STREET AND STILL THAT DOES NOT SEEM TO BE A CONCERN, EVEN WITH 107 CALLS POSTED OVER SIX MONTHS.

WE'VE KNOWN ABOUT THE SEWAGE THAT THE PLUMBING INFRASTRUCTURE CAN'T HANDLE THE BUILDING AND WHAT FAR WOULD DO WITH THE PLUMBING.  
WHO PAYS FOR IT?  
NOT THE APPLICANT.  
THE APPLICANT TOOK REPORTS AND SURVEYS DURING COVID.  
WE KNOW THE ISSUES HERE AND WATCH OUT FOR EACH OTHER AS COUNCIL WILL HOPEFULLY DO FOR THE RESIDENTS AND TAXPAYERS.  
HE WILL IGNORE THE TWO GROUPS OF PEOPLE THAT THEY STRENGTH ALONG WITH MENTAL HEALTH ONLY TO PRODUCE MINOR CHANGES AS YOU SAW TONIGHT.  
THAT'S SMALLER TO ACCOMMODATE THIS HOTEL, THIS PROPERTY IS TOO MUCH TO ACCOMMODATE.  
THIS SOUNDS RIDICULOUS WITH YOU SAY IT FROM WHAT WAS RESTAURANT AND PARKING LOT.  
IF I TALKED TO THEM IN MY JOB DISCUSSED BUSINESS TRAVEL IT IS CLEARLY WE WON'T SEE BUSINESS TRAVEL AT WHAT IT ONCE WAS.  
IT WILL DIRECT THE REVENUE TO DESCRIBE PROPERTIES AS MARRIOTT EXPLAINS AND I QUOTE.  
WE WILL NOT BE IN THE SAME POSITION EVER AGAIN.  
WHERE DOES THAT LEAVE THAT COMMUNITY.  
PLEASE ASK FOR THE EIR, DO THE WORK AND PROTECT THIS ENVIRONMENT AND THIS CITY.  
PLEASE FINALLY PULL THE PLUG ON THE SITUATION.  
GIVE US OUR LIVES BACK AND YOUR TIME BACK.  
THIS IS NOT IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THIS COMMUNITY.  
I WOULD LIKE TO TALK ABOUT THE PLANNING COMMISSION ON 11/18.  
IT WAS 2-1, ONE PERSON HAD TO RECUSE HIMSELF BECAUSE HE INHERITED A PROPERTY IN THE POET SECTION AND HE COULD NOT SPEAK.  
THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.  
>> THANK YOU.  
>> NEXT IS RAHID.  
>> CAN YOU HEAR US?  
PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD AND THE CITY OF RESIDENCY.  
YOU PLAY PROCEED WITH THREE MINUTES OF PUBLIC COMMENTS.  
THERE'S TWO PEOPLE SPEAKING.  
TO WE WILL EACH GET THREE MINUTES.  
THANK YOU.  
I LIVE ON THE STREET, SOME FEW CONCERNS.  
IT SEEMS FROM ALL OF THE HEARINGS AND THE PEOPLE'S COMMENTS ARE LOTS OF OPEN ISSUES THAT GET BACK TO THE COUNCIL WITH MORE INFORMATION AND CONCERN IS OVER MAKING PREMATURE DECISIONS WITHOUT ALL OF THE FACTS.  
WE TALKED ABOUT PARKING.  
I RECALL INITIALLY FROM THE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THE PARKING THE DEVELOPER WANTED OPENINGS TO ALLOW FRESH AIR AND FOR THE TO HAVE THE MUSKY SMELL.  
THOSE WILL FACE EAST.  
WHAT ABOUT ALL OF THE PATRONS THAT ARE COMING LATE AS MY CAR ALARM

AND CAR DOORS BEING SLAMMED, ALL OF THIS STUFF GOING TO END UP LINKING THE DASHBOARD OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WITH NOISE.

ALSO REGARDING --

THE DEVELOPER STATED THE REASON FOR ONE BELOW LEVEL STORY IS BECAUSE IT COST MORE TO DO TWO LEVELS.

WELL FOR SUCH A MONSTROUS DEVELOPMENT, IF THAT IS WHAT IS GOING IF, THEN THIS MUST BE ENOUGH FUNDS TO DO A SECOND LOWER-LEVEL STORY FOR, PARKING UNDER THE GROUND COVER.

ALSO REGARDING THE EXEMPTION FOR PARKING, THIS IS REAL 84 PARK SPOTS BELOW WHAT IS REQUIRED FOR THE MANHATTAN BEACH CODE.

REGARDING THE BAR, HOW IS THAT GOING TO BE ENFORCED.

WE HEARD ONLY IF YOU HAVE A KEY AND ALL OF THIS STUFF.

ARE WE GOING TO INITIALLY, ONLY PATRONS WOULD BE ABLE TO USE THE BAR? NOW SUDDENLY THE GUESTS ARE LESS THAN ALLOWED.

ARE WE GOING TO TENNIE A BUSINESS PERSON FROM BRINGING THEIR COLLEAGUES FOR A -- YOU KNOW FOR AN AFTER -- FOR AFTER.

I LIKE TO READ AN EXCERPT.

IT SAYS AS YOU CAN SEE, WE VALUE THE HEALTHY BEACH LIFESTYLE.

THAT'S WHY WE'RE COMMITTED TO PRESERVING OUR ENVIRONMENT.

I BELIEVE YOU RECOGNIZED YOUR WORDS AND WE'RE COMMITTED TO PREVENTING OUR ENVIRONMENT.

I ASK YOU THAT YOU DON'T DROP THAT COMMITMENT.

PLEASE DON'T TROP IT AND TWO AHEAD WITH AN EIR.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I'M THE SECOND SPEAKER ON THE SAME CONNECTION.

MY NAME IS TONYA.

I LIVE ON SHILLING STREET, THREE HOUSES FROM THIS FOUR-STORY DEVELOPMENT.

I WANT TO SAY I'M NOT AGAINST DEVELOPMENT, I BELIEVE APPROPRIATE DEVELOPMENT IS A POSITIVE TO MANHATTAN BEACH.

THIS IS TOO BIG FOR THE PROPERTY IN QUESTION.

WHY WAS EIR DONE FOR SUNSET PROJECT AND YOU'RE LOOKING TO EXEMPT IT LARGER PROJECT FOR THE HOTEL.

THIS HOTEL IS HUGE.

IT IS DIRECTLY IMPACTING US RESIDENTS AND DIRECTING OUR RESIDENTIAL STREETS.

IT DOESN'T QUALIFY.

AND THIS IS PLANNING AND COMMISSION AND THE CITY COUNCIL FEEL IT IS OKAY FOR LARGE DELIVER TRUCKS TO DRIVE ON OUR RESIDENTIAL STREETS.

THEY WILL NOT BE ABLE TO TURNAROUND.

THEY WILL TRAVEL NORTH ON CHABELA STREET.

IT IS VERY TIGHT.

IMAGINE TWO DELIVERY TRUCKS, ONE NORTH BOUND AND ONE SOUTHBOUND TIME, IT IS GOING TO BE CROWDED.

IT IS NOTE PERPENDICULAR.

IT IS TWO BLOCKS FROM THE HIGH SCHOOL AND HAS IMPACT FROM TRAFFIC IN THE MORNING AND IMPACT FROM TRAFFIC IN THE AFTERNOON WHEN THE



STUDENTS LEAVE THE HIGH SCHOOL.  
THIS IS A HUGE RISK TO OUR COMMUNITY.  
THIS IS A RESIDENTIAL STREET.  
NOT THE RIGHT SIZE FOR THE MULTI-AXLE DELIVERY TRUCK.  
DELIVERING MERCHANDISE 24 HOURS A DAY, CRAZY.  
I ASK YOU CHALLENGE YOURSELF AND LOOK AT THE CEQA REQUIREMENTS.  
THIS PROJECT DOES NOT QUALIFY.  
OUR COMMUNITY DESERVES AN EIR.  
IT IS THE RIGHT THING TO DO.  
>> THERE'S SIGNIFICANT EFFECT THIS WILL HAVE.  
AIR QUALITY A WATER A THE ENVIRONMENTAL FOOTPRINT AND I DON'T  
UNDERSTAND HOW THE GOAT HILL PROJECT GETS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDY  
WHICH HAS A MUCH SMALLER IMPRINT THAN THIS HOTEL AND BUSINESS  
DEVELOPMENT.  
I ASK THE MAYOR, I ASKED THE MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL TO PLEASE  
SINCERELY CONSIDER OUR CONCERNS WHICH WE HAVE BEEN VOICING SINCE LAST  
FALL.  
IT IS NOT JUST A SUDDEN THING THE RESIDENTS IN THE COMMUNITY ARE NOT  
OPPOSING THE DEVELOPMENT.  
WE'RE ASKING FOR A RESPONSIBLE DEVELOPMENT.  
AS IT IS CURRENTLY PROPOSED AND EVEN THE LITTLE CHANGES THAT HAVE  
BEEN MADE DO NOT ADDRESS THE NEED FOR AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDY.  
THAT'S ALL WE'RE ASKING YOU IS TO BE AS RESPONSIVE TO US AS YOU HAVE  
BEEN TO THE DEVELOPERS.  
OUR INTEREST IF LIVING IF A STATE OF COMMUNITY THAT IS ENVIRONMENTALLY  
PROTECTED, THAT CARES ABOUT OUR QUALITY OF LIFE SHOULD BE MORE  
IMPORTANT THAN MAKING A FEW BUCKS FOR THE DEVELOPERS.  
THAT'S BASICALLY ALIVE TO SAY.  
I ASK THE MAYOR.  
I ASK THE COUNCIL MEMBERS TO PLEASE USE YOUR DISCRETION AND JUDGMENT  
AND COMMON SENSE IN LISTENING TO US.  
WE'RE SERIOUS ABOUT PRESERVING OUR COMMUNITY AND ANOTHER SPEAKER THAT  
GREW UP HERE.  
THE FAMILY JUST TALKED ABOUT THE ESSENCE OF THE COMMUNITY.  
THIS HOTEL DEVELOPMENT AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT, IT DOESN'T FIT IN  
TO OUR COMMUNITY.  
DOESN'T PRESERVE OUR COMMUNITY.  
THANK YOU.  
>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.  
>> NEXT IS LAUREN TYSON.  
>> I'M LAUREN TYSON AND I LIVE IN WISCONSIN.  
I'M RETIRED FROM BEVERAGE CONTROL.  
I'M A CONSULTANT.  
THE FOCUS OF MY TESTIMONY IS ON THE STAFF REPORT FOR THE JANUARY 19TH,  
2021 MEETING AND RESOLUTION PC2010.  
THE STAFF REPORT SAYS THAT DOWNSTAIRS DINING AND UPSTAIRS TERRACE  
IS NO PATRONS.

THIS ALCOHOL SERVING AREAS TO HOTEL GUESTS ONLY, VIOLATES REGULATION FOR A TYPE 47 LIQUOR LICENSE.

OPERATION.

THE CITY NEEDS TO KNOW THAT A TYPE 47 LICENSE BY DEFINITION IS A PUBLIC LICENSE.

TO COMPLY THEY MUST ALLOW ACCESS FROM THE PUBLIC.

ALCOHOL SERVICE SHALL BE CONDUCTED ONLY IN CONJUNCTION WITH FOOD SERVICE IF ALL HOURS OF OPERATION.

EATING AND DRINKING OPTIONS ARE FOR PATRONS.

THIS CONDITION BY SAYING ALCOHOL SERVICE IS RESTRICTED TO HOTEL PATRONS ONLY, AND THE REQUIREMENT THAT THE PREMISE BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC.

IT CONTRADICTS CONDITION 13 THAT SAYS IT MUST BE IF SUBSTANTIAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE A -- MUST BE IN SUBSTANTIAL COMPLIANCE.

THE FOOD AND CONSUMPTION AREAS MUST BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC TO COMPLY WITH THE ADC.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

>> FOR THE NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT WE HAVE A TRANSLATOR.

>> MY NAME IS JOSE COSTA.

>> THIS IS MANHATTAN BEACH AND I WORK AT THE HOTEL FOR OVER 25 YEARS.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO HELLO TO MAYOR HADLEY AND THE CITY COUNCIL.

THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE AGAIN.

>> DURING THIS PANDEMIC IT HAS BEEN DIFFICULT FOR ALL SERVICE PERSONNEL IN THE SERVICE INDUSTRY.

WE'VE GONE THROUGH LOSS OF HOURS, WEEKS, DAYS, MONTHS AND SIGNIFICANT MONETARY LOSS.

WE LOST FRIENDS AND FAMILY MEMBERS OVER THE PANDEMIC.

WHERE HAS THE HOTEL INDUSTRY BEEN TO HELP US OUT.

THE COMMUNITY WITH PARKS AND BEACHES AND OTHER AMENITIES.

>> WHILE WE SUFFER AND DIE DUE TO THE PANDEMIC, THEY'RE WORRIED ABOUT OPENING MORE HOTELS.

>> MY RESPONSE TO THE HOTELS IS FOR, NO TO THE HOTELS.

THANK YOU, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU, TRANSLATOR, MR. ACOSTA FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

>> NEXT EMILY WIDE.

>> CAN YOU HEAR US?

>> I'M REQUESTING TWO COMMENTS FROM TWO MEMBERS OF MY FAMILY THAT WILL BE SPEAKING.

FIRST WILL COME FROM MY SON.

THIS IS RECORDED VIDEO BECAUSE IT IS PAST HIS BEDTIME.

LET ME PLAY THIS FOR YOU.

>> MY NAME IS OWEN.

I'M IN FIRST GRADE.

MY PARENTS TOOK MY HOUSE BECAUSE IT IS ON A QUIET AND SAFE STREET. S THAT GREAT FOR KID.

THEY PICKED MANHATTAN BEACH BECAUSE THE SCHOOLS ARE GREAT.

I WANT TO LIVE HERE UNTIL I'M OLD ENOUGH TO WALK DOWN THE STREET TO

HIGH SCHOOL.

I LIKE TO RIDE MY BIKE ON OUR SIDEWALK AND PLAY BASKETBALL IN MY DRIVEWAY.

MOM AND DAD LOVE ME AND CARE ABOUT MY SAFETY.

THEY WORRY ABOUT THE HOTEL.

THEY THINK THERE WILL BE MORE CARS ON OUR STREETS.

THEY'RE SCARED ABOUT STRANGERS ON OUR STREET.

MOM SAYS IT WILL BE TOO DANGEROUS TO WALK TO SCHOOL.

THE MOTEL IS BUILT, WE MAY HAVE TO POSITIVE AWAY FROM OUR HOUSE.

>> GREAT JOB.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

NOW FOR ME.

>> THANK YOU TO THE CITY COUNCIL FOR HEARING OUR CONCERNS.

MR. HOLT, YOU MODIFIED THE DESIGN TO ADDRESS PRIVACY CONCERNS OF THE NEARBY NEIGHBORS.

BUT THEY FAIL TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES I RAISED IN MY PUBLIC COMMENTS AND LETTERS TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND COUNCIL.

TO SUMMARIZE I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THE TRAFFIC FLOW AROUND THE HOTEL, NOT JUST THE NUMBER OF TRIPS.

I RAISED THE AWKWARDNESS OF TRAFFIC GOING SOUTH AND THE PROPENSITY TO DRIVE THROUGH THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

I'VE ALSO RAISED CONCERNS ABOUT THE SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY OF PARKING WHICH WILL CAUSE OVERFLOW INTO THE NEARBY STREETS.

HOW DO I KNOW IT IS INSUFFICIENT? IT IS REVIEW A BACKED UP BY MY FATHER, A LIFELONG ENGINEER AND AN EXPERT IN THE FIELD.

THE MEMBERS WORK ONLY IF THE HOTEL IS GROSSLY UNOCCUPIED.

HE CITED AS SUCH IN A LETTER TO PLANNING.

THERE'S A SPACE FOR CHECK IN AND OTHERS FOR OVERNIGHT PARKING.

IF WE ASSUME THE SURFACE SPACES ARE FOR CHECK IN THAT TAKES AWAY FROM THE ONES IN THE FORMULA.

ON PARKING, WE HAVE TO ASSUME THERE'S GUESTS AND VISITORS THAT WON'T WANT TO PAY FOR THE PARKING FEES.

IT WILL HAPPEN AND CAN'T BE ARGUED.

ONCE THE HOTEL IS BUILT AND THESE FLAWS ARE REVEALED IT WILL BE TOO LATE TO MAKE CHANGES IT LITERALLY WILL BE SET IN STONE.

PLEASE LET THIS PROJECT HAVE EIR SO WE CAN PLAN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SITE.

THINK OF THE IMPACT AND DO NOT ALLOW IT TO MOVE FORWARD AS PLANNED.

THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

>> NEXT IS STEVE ROGERS.

>> I'VE BEEN ASKED TO EVALUATE NOISE FOR THIS PROJECT.

THERE'S SIGNIFICANT INACCURACIES AND IT IS INCLUDED IF MY WRITTEN REPORT.

THE MOST RECENT MEMO DATED APRIL 23RD, THEY DEFEAT ASPECTS OF THE TESTIMONY AND PRESENTS NEW ARGUMENTS.

I FIND THE ARGUMENTS ARE FLAWED.

THREE MINUTES IS NOT ENOUGH TIME TO TAKE CARE OF OUR CONCERNS IN THEIR ENTIRETY.

I WOULD LIKE TO LOOK AT TWO MAIN GROUPS OF NOISE ISSUES.

THIS IS IN THE MUNICIPAL CODE.

AND THIS SHOWS CROWD NOISE WILL EXCEED THE LIMIT THAT THE CODE APPLIES AFTER 10 A.M. AND SUGGESTED FOR MAXIMUM OCCUPANCY AND THE REST OF THE PROJECT WILL HAVE CLEAR, AND UNOBSTRUCTED VIEWS.

SIMILARLY AMPLIFIED MUSIC.

THEY SAID THIS WOULD BE NO MUSIC AFTER 9 P.M. BUT THAT'S FOR THE TRUE. THIS WOULD NOT REQUIRE PLAY BACK.

ON THE HBC NOISE NBI REPORTED THEY EXPECT THE EQUIPMENT ON THE HOTEL ROOF TO RESULT IN NOISE LEVEL OF 50 DBI WHICH BARELY COMPLIES WITH THE CODE.

THEY DON'T TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THE EQUIPMENT.

ONCE THESE ARE ADDED THE TONAL LEVEL WILL EXCEED THE NIGHTTIME NOISE LIMIT.

>> WE LOVE THE CITY AND SUPPORT THOUGHTFUL REASONED AND NECESSARY GROWTH WHICH I BELIEVE THIS PROJECT IS.

WE LOOKED AT THE PLANS, I LIKE THE DESIGN AND USES OF THE PROJECT. I'M A TRAVELER.

I WENT TO MANY HOTELS ACROSS THE COUNTRY.

THANKS TO RIDE SHARE, I NEVER HAD TO USE A PARKING SPACE.

I SUSPECT THAT WILL BE THE CASE HERE AS WELL.

MANHATTAN BEACH NEEDS UPSCALE BUSINESS CLASS HOTEL AND WILL DO WELL TO HAVE THIS ONE HERE.

I THINK THE CITY WILL APPRECIATE THE TAX THAT IT WILL GENERATE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

>> ROBERT CLARK.

>> CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> YES.

WE DESERVE THE PROPER EIR TO BE COMPLETED WITH PROPER INITIAL STUDY AND IDENTIFY THE IMPACTS.

NOT JUST THOSE THAT ARE REPORTED BY EXEMPTION.

THIS SHOULD HAVE BEEN VOTED ON WITHOUT MODIFIED PLANS LAST EVENING.

ALLOWING THE RESIDENTS ONLY TWO TO THREE MINUTES OF TALK IF THE DEVELOPER WEEKS TO MAKE MITIGATION TO THE PLAN AND AN ATTEMPT TO GET AROUND THE STATE REQUIREMENTS IS FOR THE PROPER WAY TO CONDUCT THE SCOPING OF A PROJECT OF THIS SIZE AND IMPACT.

THE SCALE OF THIS PROJECT AND THE IMPACTS TO THE RESIDENTS TO BUILD THE TALLEST BUILDING ACROSS THE STREET VIOLATES THE DAYLIGHT PRIVACY, LACK OF PARKING, TRAFFIC CONCERNS, SAFETY CONCERNS, NOISE CONCERNS, AESTHETICS.

ALL OF THESE 12 ITEMS THAT YOU'RE NOT LOOKING AT BECAUSE YOU'RE SAYING IT IS EXEMPT.

YES.

WHY A DIFFERENT STANDARD FOR THAT DEVELOPMENT THAN THIS ONE, THE

LARGEST BUILDING EVER BUILT ON THE BOULEVARD.  
ALL OF THESE DIFFERENT VARIABLES WHY WOULD THE CITY ELECT NOT TO DO  
THE PROPER STUDY.  
THE CITY HAS AN OBLIGATION TO WATCH OUT FOR THE RESIDENTS.  
IT FEELS LIKE EVERY MOVE THE CITY IS MAKING ONLY SERVES TO BENEFIT  
THE DEVELOPER.  
WHY WOULD THE CITY TAKE THE STANCE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT WHEN THEY HAVE  
FOR THE FOR THE SUNRISE DEVELOPMENT, THE SKETCHERS BUILDINGS AND  
OTHERS?  
THE TAX ARE NOT STATED AND ARE A FEE AND APPROVE PRICES AND NOT  
CREDIBLE BASED ON THE FACTS.  
ANY TAXES COLLECTED WOULD BE AT THE EXPENSE OF FEAR BY RESIDENTS.  
THEY WOULD LOSE QUALITY OF LIFE.  
YOU TAKE MONEY FROM US AND GIVE IT TO THE DEVELOPER IF THE CITY.  
THE OVERLAY THAT WAS VOTED ON WITH LITTLE OR FOR INPUT FROM THE  
RESIDENTS WAS CONCEIVED BY A COMMITTEE OF REAL ESTATE PROFESSIONALS  
INCLUDING JAN HOLTZ, A DEVELOPER'S WISH LIST OF MONEY-MAKING CHANGES  
THAT WOULD PREPARE WITH NO CONCERN BY THE IMPACTS OF FEAR BY  
RESIDENTS.  
THIS DOES NOT REQUIRE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT.  
IT CHANGES THE REQUIREMENT OF DEVELOPMENT AND OFF SETS AND BUILDING  
HEIGHTS AND PARKING AND NOISE VIOLATIONS.  
VOTE NO ON THIS DEVELOPMENT.  
IT IS FOR THE PROPER.  
TO THE PROPER EIR.  
DO THE RIGHT THING FOR YOUR RESIDENTS.  
>> THANK YOU MR. CLARK FOR YOUR COMMENTS.  
NEXT WE HAVE JJ.  
>> HELLO MAYOR HADLEY AND COUNCIL MEMBERS.  
I'VE BEEN RESIDENT OF MANHATTAN BEACH FOR 20 YEARS.  
AND MY WIFE AND I RAISED TWO DAUGHTERS IN THE CITY.  
THE DESIGN LOOKS GOOD.  
TIME FOR FEW GROWTHS ALONG THE BOULEVARD.  
AND SKETCHERS AND OTHERS IF THE AREA WILL BENEFIT FROM THIS HOTEL  
FOR BUSINESS VISITORS AS WELL AS LEISURE VISITORS THAT WILL BENEFIT  
FROM IT.  
TO BE HONEST, MANY STUDENTS WILL HAVE A HOTEL OF THIS QUALITY SO  
RELATIVES FROM OUT OF TOWN DON'T HAVE TO INVADE THEIR HOMES.  
IT IS IN WALKING DISTANCE AND REDUCES LOTS OF TRAFFIC AND PARKING  
ISSUES AROUND THE CAMPUS ON THAT WEEKEND.  
SO AGAIN, BOTTOM LINE, VERY MUCH IN FAVOR OF THE DESIGN AND PLANS  
FOR THE HOTEL.  
THANK YOU.  
>> I THINK I'M NEXT.  
I THINK.  
CAN YOU HEAR US?  
>> I CAN HEAR YOU.

CAN YOU HEAR ME?

I THINK WE LOST OUR CLERK HERE BRIEFLY.

THIS IS HADLEY.

LET'S GET STARTED.

I'M A 29-YEAR RESIDENT OF MANHATTAN BEACH, AND MARRIED TO A LIFELONG RESIDENT OF MANHATTAN BEACH.

I WANTED TO SAY THAT I RECOGNIZE THAT EACH OF THE ECONOMIC CORRIDORS IN OUR CITY ARE UNIQUE AND OFFER DIVERSE BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES. NOT ALL BUSINESSES ARE SUITABLE IN SOME DISTRICTS AND ARE PERMITTED. WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO INVIGORATE THE CORRIDOR WITH A PROJECT THAT IS NOT ONLY SUITABLE BUT BRINGS BENEFITS TO THE CITY.

THE TRANSIT OCCUPANCY TAX AND THE T.O.T. WHICH THIS LAST YEAR HAS BEEN HIT VERY HARD WILL BE SUBSTANTIAL AND THIS PROJECT WILL ALSO GENERATE DOWNSTREAM REVENUE TO OTHER BUSINESSES.

THIS IS VERY SUITABLE FOR ITS LOCATION.

THE HOTEL PROVIDES OPTIONS AND AMENITIES AND THAT PART OF OUR CITY FOR TOURISTS AND FRIENDS AND FAMILY.

AND WHILE IT IS IMPORTANT TO COMPARE INPUT, THIS PROJECT IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AND MEETS REQUIREMENTS.

I'M NOT IN FAVOR OF STALLING.

I BELIEVE THE PARTIES IMPACTED HAVE TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THAT PROBABLY OTHER BUSINESSES HAVE LOOKED AT THAT CORNER, THAT IS SITTING EMPTY AND STAGNANT FOR SEVERAL YEARS.

WHAT OTHER SUITABLE OPPORTUNITIES ARE AVAILABLE FOR IT LOCATION AND THE PARCEL.

IT HOTEL PROJECT IS THE RIGHT SIZE.

IT IS IF THE RIGHT LOCATION.

NOW IS THE RIGHT TIME.

THIS PROJECT SHOULD MOVE FORWARD.

I ENCOURAGE YOU TO SUPPORT THIS PROJECT.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND CONSIDERATION.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

>> NEXT WE HAVE JACK.

>> CAN YOU HEAR US?

>> I GOT YOU, SORRY ABOUT THAT.

I'M JEFF.

I'M A RESIDENT OF MANHATTAN BEACH FOR ABOUT 27 YEARS.

RAISED MY KIDS HERE. PROBABLY WILL DIE HERE.

I'M IN SUPPORT OF THE PROJECT FOR A FEW REASONS.

SOME ALREADY BEEN STATED.

I THINK -- I'VE BEEN HERE WHEN IT WAS A SMALL TOWN.

SOMEONE SAID SMALL IS GOOD.

I AGREE BUT UNFORTUNATELY MANHATTAN BEACH HAS GOTTEN BIGGER AND I THINK FOR THE BETTER I RESISTED IT.

I THINK IT IS FOR THE BETTER.

I LOOK AT THINGS.

I TRAVEL A LOT FOR BUSINESS.  
I HAVE FAMILY COME IN.  
I LIKE THE DESIGN OF THE HOTEL AND SIZE.  
IT IS THE HOTEL I USE TO BUSINESS WHEN I TRAVEL AND FRANKLY WITH FAMILY  
COMES IN OR FRIENDS I'M SICK OF SENDING THEM TO EL SEGUNDO.  
THERE'S BEEN A FEW PROJECTS I THINK THAT HAVE HEAVY OPPOSITION.  
I'M ON OAK AVENUE.  
THE E.R. THERE WAS A HUGE UPROAR ABOUT THAT.  
YOU COULD WALK 30 SECONDS TO ONE MINUTE, ESPECIALLY WITH COVID STARTED  
I THINK YOU FIND THE NEIGHBORHOODS AROUND HERE ARE IN EXTREME FAVOR  
OF THAT.  
I THINK SOME OF MY FRIENDS POSTED SIGNS AND SAYING THEORY AGAINST  
IT AND ECSTATIC TO WALK INTO A GREAT PROJECT AND SHOP IN A BEAUTIFUL  
STORE.  
I DON'T THINK THEY KNEW WHAT IT WAS AT THAT POINT.  
YOU LOOK AT THE MALL, WHILE IT IS GETTING BIGGER, IT IS CERTAINLY  
GETTING BETTER.  
IT CREATED MORE TRAFFIC.  
I DON'T THINK ANYONE IS OPPOSED TO TAKING A SHORT DRIVE OVER THERE.  
THE BIGGEST THING, WITH THESE PROJECTS COMES GROWTH BUT ALSO COMES  
THE GROWTH OF THE CITY.  
I HAVEN'T HEARD ANYBODY ABOUT THE HOME VALUES.  
I THINK IT IS GOOD FOR THE CITY.  
A GREAT PROJECT AND I'M IN FAVOR OF IT.  
THANK YOU.  
>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.  
>> GOOD EVENING, MAYOR HADLEY AND FELLOW COUNCIL MEMBERS.  
I RESIDE AT 1181 TENNYSON STREET.  
I'M SPEAKING AGAINST THAT THE HOTEL IS EXEMPT FROM CEQA.  
THEY'VE TALKED TO EXPERTS AND LAWYERS.  
THEY SPENT THOUSANDS AND THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS AND COUNTLESS HOURS  
ON TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHY TO QUOTE PLANNING COMMISSIONER THOMPSON,  
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REVIEW WAS NOT REQUIRED FOR THIS PROJECT.  
QUOTE, DUE TO THE SIZE, NATURE, AND POTENTIAL PUBLIC CONTROVERSY  
ASSOCIATED WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SITE END OF QUOTE.  
WE'RE MORE THAN SEVEN MONTHS INTO THIS AND ITS ENORMOUS IMPLICATION  
FOR OUR LIVES AND PROPERTIES AND NEIGHBORHOOD.  
WE'RE WAITING FOR THE ELECTED OFFICIALS A REPRESENTATIVES TO MAKE  
THE RIGHT CALL ON BEHALF OF OUR CITY AND ALL OF THE CONSTITUENTS.  
THERE'S EXPERTS THAT DISAGREE HERE, THOSE FOR DEVELOPER AND  
RESIDENTS.  
NOISE PARKING TRAFFIC SAFETY, TO NAME A FEW.  
WE HAVE NOT EVEN BEGUN TO UNDERSTAND HOW OUR LOCAL SEWER  
INFRASTRUCTURE WILL SUPPORT THE DEMAND OF HUNDREDS OF HOTEL GUESTS  
ON ANY GIVEN DAY.  
IT IS HARD TO UNDERSTAND WHY AND HOW A SENIOR COMMUNITY PROJECT SUCH  
AS SUNRISE MERITED AN EIR IN THIS HOTEL A RETAIL ESTABLISHMENT WITH

ITS MANY SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS.  
BY THE WAY, STRONG AND VOCAL LOCAL OPPOSITION DOES NOT MERIT AN EIR.  
THE REVISED PLANS DO NOTHING TO ADDRESS THE SIGNIFICANT CONCERNS THAT  
EXPERTS AND RESIDENTS HAVE ARTICULATED.  
REQUIRE AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REVIEW TO SUPPORT OUR REQUESTS FOR  
RESPONSIBLE HOTEL DEVELOPMENT WHEN YOU VOTE ON THIS PROJECT.  
THANK YOU.  
>> THANK YOU SO MUCH.  
I APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS.  
>> NEXT, WE HAVE MCQUILLAN.  
>> I'M RICK MCQUILLAN.  
CAN YOU HEAR ME?  
>> YES, WE CAN.  
YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.  
>> I'VE LIVED IN THE POETS AT 1281 TENNYSON STREET FOR 21 YEARS.  
MY CONCERNS HAVEN'T CHANGED SINCE OUR PAST MEETINGS.  
THE CHANGES DON'T ADDRESS MY CONCERNS AT ALL.  
COULD WE PLEASE TRY?  
HOW ABOUT TRAFFIC.  
IT DOESN'T TAKE AN EXPERT TO FORESEE PROBLEMS.  
OBSERVE THAT LEFT TURN LANE FROM SOUTHBOUND PCH ON TO TENNYSON STREET  
AT THE BOTTOM OF THE HILL.  
THAT MAY HOLD FOUR VEHICLES.  
WATCH THE NORTH BOUND CARS SPEEDING DOWN.  
THEY POP OUT FROM BEHIND THE CHASE BUILDING ON A CURVE.  
IMAGINE YOU'RE VISITING CALIFORNIA, NEW TO THE CITY.  
IT IS DRIVERS AND IT IS TRAFFIC IN A RENTED VEHICLE TRYING TO MAKE  
THAT LEFT TURN WHEN YOU'RE TIRED OR LATE OR STRESSED OR ALL THREE.  
MAYBE INTOXICATED OR YOU'RE A DISTRACTED DRIVER.  
EITHER YOU WAIT FOR A SAFE TURN WHILE CARS PILE UP BEHIND YOU OR YOU  
GO TO IT.  
MAYBE YOU SEE THAT NORTH BOUND ENTRANCE POCKET ACROSS THE STREET.  
YOU THINK YOU CAN MAKE IT AND ATTEMPT THE U TURN.  
IMAGINING VISITORS MAKING THESE MANEUVERS DAY AFTER DAY AND FIGHT  
AFTER NIGHT UP.  
DEATH HAS HAPPENED HERE UNDER LIGHTER CONDITION.  
MORE DEATHS ARE FORESEEABLE.  
THEY'RE PREVENTABLE.  
WHEN TRAFFIC GETS BACK TO NORMAL THEY NEED A TRAFFIC ANALYSIS.  
WE DON'T NEED MORE ENERGY IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD.  
WE'RE SEEING MORE TRASH GRAFFITI AND SKETCHY BEHAVIOR ALREADY.  
I'LL SPARE YOU EXPLICIT DETAILS BUT WE FEED LESS OF THAT ENERGY.  
I'M TRYING TO KEEP THE POLICE AND PUBLIC WORKS INFORMED AND INVOLVED.  
AND COULD THE HOTEL KEEP ALL OF THAT ENERGY WEST OF OUR FAMILIES.  
WE NEED SAFE, PEACEFUL ENJOYMENT.  
WITH THIS PROJECT ELEVATE OR DEVASTATE THE POET.  
PIVOTAL EMERGING NEIGHBORHOOD IN MANHATTAN BEACH.



>> THANK YOU.

>> NEXT WE HAVE LOLLY.

>> THIS IS LOLLY DOYLE.

I WANT TO THANK COUNCIL FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TONIGHT AT THE PROJECT.

IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT A REVIEW BE COMPLETED REGARDING THE DEVELOPMENT.

IT IS CLEAR THERE'S A HUGE IMPACT ON OUR QUIET AND PEACEFUL NEIGHBORHOOD.

IT MAKES FOR SENSE THAT AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REVIEW HAS NOT BEEN REQUIRED.

FOR ONE THING, TRAFFIC IS SEARCH CERTAINLY TO BE IMPACTED.

MOST RESIDENTS IN THE POETS SECTION ARE AWARE OF THE DANGEROUSNESS OF IT INTERSECTION AND USE CAUTION GOING THROUGH IT.

THAT WON'T BE SO WITH DRIVERS UNFAMILIAR WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

THERE WILL BE INCREASE IN TRAFFIC AND THE DRIVERS DESTINED FOR THE HOTEL WILL DRIVE EAST ON ARTESIA AFTER MISSING IT.

IT INTERSECTION DOESN'T HANDLE THE TRAFFIC FLOW WELL.

IT WILL GET WORSE WITH DRIVERS UNFAMILIAR WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

IT MAKES SENSE THAT AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDY BE DONE AND IT INCLUDES IT INTERSECTION IN THE REPORT.

AND NEIGHBORHOOD PARKING AND THE LESS OBJECTIVELY MEASURABLE BUT IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD'S CHARACTER.

THE BULK OF A FOUR-STORY BUILDING, JUST A FEW FEET AWAY FROM OUR SMALL RESIDENTIAL AREA WOULD BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE CHARM AND ATTRACTION OF OUR BEACH TOWN.

PLEASE NOTE I'M NOT OPPOSED TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF SITE.

I KNOW IT IS TO BE EXPECTED.

BUT I DON'T THINK DUE DILIGENCE HAS BEEN DONE WITH REGARD TO IMPACT ON THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND THE AREAS OF NOISE, TRAFFIC AND PARKING.

CERTAINLY, THERE'S AN IMPACT TO THE HOTEL AND IT IS CONCEIVABLE THAT THE REQUIRED BY STATE OF CALIFORNIA WAS EXEMPTED FOR IT PROJECT.

IT IS CLEAR THERE'S CONFLICTING INFORMATION IN THE VARIOUS EXPERT ASSESSMENTS AND REPORTS THAT HAVE BEEN PROVIDED REGARDING THIS PROJECT.

IT IS CRITICAL THAT A PROPER ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REVIEW BE COMPLETED TO ADDRESS SOME OF THESE.

I ASK THE CITY TO FOLLOW THE PROTOCOL WITH A PROJECT OF THIS MAGNITUDE IS PROPOSED AND REQUIRE AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT BE COMPLETED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU.

>> NEXT WE HAVE ALFREDO MANZO.

>> I WORK IN REDONDO BEACH, BANQUET BARTENDER.

I VOTE AGAINST THIS PROJECT AND CHALLENGE THIS MOMENT FOR ALL OF US IN THE HOSPITALITY.

I HAVE CUT HOURS BECAUSE OF THE PANDEMIC.

THEY SHARE PERSONAL EXPERIENCE AND WE NEED MORE BUSINESS AT THE HOTEL

THIS ALREADY EXISTS.  
NOT A NEW HOTEL.  
THANK YOU.  
>> NEXT WE HAVE PAIGE NELSON.  
>> HELLO.  
MAYOR, CAN YOU HEAR ME?  
>> WE CAN HEAR YOU.  
MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL AND STEVE, I WANT TO GIVE YOU A MAJOR PLUS  
ON THIS WEEKEND'S WIN AT 16TH STREET.  
CONGRATULATIONS.  
I'M IN FAVOR OF APPROVAL OF THE PROJECT.  
I THINK THERE'S A REAL TRUE OPPORTUNITY TO CREATE A FABRIC EAST.  
THERE'S A LONG STORY THIS MANHATTAN BEACH FOR THOSE THAT ARE NOT FROM  
HERE BORN AND RAISED.  
THERE'S NO LIFE EAST OF SEPULVEDA.  
AND I THINK THAT SHOULD BE FORGOTTEN.  
DEMONSTRATING THAT THE RETAIL OR OFFICE USE OR APPETIZERS AND COUPLE  
OF DRINKS WHATEVER THE CASE MAY, I THINK THAT THERE'S A REAL  
OPPORTUNITY HERE FOR US TO ENGAGE EAST OF SEPULVEDA RESIDENTS.  
AND CREATE LIFESTYLE RATHER THAN MCDONALDS.  
I HEARD SOMEBODY SAY ABOUT SEA FARE, THAT'S A LOST ART.  
I THINK THERE'S SOMETHING TO BE SAID HERE.  
WITH THAT BEING SAID, I THINK THAT THIS PROJECT SHOULD MOVE FORWARD.  
I THINK IT IS AN ECONOMIC GENERATOR.  
I THINK WHAT THEY'VE DONE ON NOT CREATING A PARKING LOT VERSUS  
SUBTERRANEAN GOES FAR BEYOND WHAT ANY DEVELOPER NEEDS OR WANTS TO  
DO.  
VERY EXPENSIVE.  
I THINK THEIR ACHIEVEMENT TO LOOK AT SUBTERRANEAN BOTANICALS AND ALL  
OF THOSE EXTRAS.  
I THINK IT IS A GREAT THING FOR THE COMMUNITY.  
I THINK THE RESIDENTS WILL LIKE IT.  
I THINK THAT THE CITY NEEDS THIS IMPROVEMENT ON PCH.  
>> THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR COMMENTS.  
>> WE HAVE JULIE LANSING.  
>> IF I COULD HAVE JULIE LANSING ACCEPT THE REQUEST.  
INTERESTING YOU OPENED YOUR MEETING WITH PROCLAMATION FOR OLDER  
AMERICANS.  
VERY APPROPRIATE.  
IF YOU SPENT A DAY HERE IN OUR POET SECTION, YOU WOULD SEE WE HAVE  
UNUSUAL NUMBER OF OLDER AMERICANS LIVING HERE INCLUDING MY MOM WHO  
HAS BEEN LIVING HERE 45 YEARS.  
YOU MOVED HERE IN THE 70S.  
IF YOU WERE TO SPEND A DAY HERE, YOU WOULD SEE OLDER RESIDENTS  
PEACEFULLY WALKING THEIR DOGS, GETTING THEIR EXERCISE IN, AND  
ENJOYING THE SEA BREEZE, SUNRISE AND SUN SET.  
WHEN WE MOVED HERE, WE KNEW WE WERE MOVING -- I LIVE ON THE CORNER

OF SHELLEY STREET AND CHABELA.  
WE'RE LITERALLY ACROSS THE STREET FROM THIS MASSIVE HOTEL THAT IS  
BEING PROPOSED.  
WE MOVED HERE IN THE 70S WE KNEW WE WERE ONE BLOCK AWAY FROM SEPULVEDA.  
THIS IS WHAT MY PARENTS COULD AFFORD.  
IT WASN'T CHEAP BUT IT WAS AFFORDABLE HOME.  
THERE WAS A NIGHTCLUB.  
WE PUT UP WITH THE NOISE.  
THEN RESTAURANT, WE PUT UP WITH THAT.  
KOSTA HAS SINCE BUILT A LARGE GYM WITH ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC AND  
SKETCHERS BASICALLY TAKEN OVER IT SIDE OF TOWN AND WITH THAT MORE  
TRAFFIC.  
THAT'S BEEN ACCEPTED BUT WHAT YOU'RE PROPOSING NOW, IS FOUR STORY  
MONSTROUS HOTEL ACROSS THE STREET FROM RESIDENTS THAT WILL AFFECT  
OUR PROPERTY VALUES.  
MY MOM'S SECOND STORY FLOOR HAS AN OCEAN VIEW.  
THAT WILL BE GONE.  
THE SEA BREEZE AND TRANQUILITY OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD.  
THE SAFETY.  
WE'RE ALL GOING TO LOSE THAT.  
THE TRAFFIC DELIVERY.  
PEOPLE VISITING, CIRCLING THE HOTEL TRYING TO GET IN.  
ONLY TWO OPENINGS FOR THAT MASSIVE HOTEL.  
THEY WILL CIRCLE THE NEIGHBORHOODS.  
WE WILL PARK IN OUR NEIGHBORHOODS.  
PLEASE TO THE RIGHT THING FOR YOUR OLDER AMERICANS IN THE POETS  
SECTION.  
THERE'S A LOT OF THEM.  
WE DEMAND AN EIR.  
WE WANT TO SEE THE FULL IMPACT OF THIS FOUR-STORY COMMERCIAL BUILDING  
WILL HAVE IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD.  
THANK YOU.  
>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.  
>> NECKS MEMBER, THE PUBLIC, WE HAVE TWO, JIM AND CHRISTINE MERCER  
ON THE SAME CALL.  
>> CAN YOU HEAR ME?  
>> YES.  
>> GOOD EVENING, MY NAME IS CHRISTINE MERCER AND I LIVE IN MANHATTAN  
PEACH.  
WE'RE 25 YEAR RESIDENTS OF THE POET SECTION ON TENNYSON STREET.  
WE'RE THE SECOND HOUSE.  
WE'RE OPPOSED TO THE UPDATED HOTEL AND MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT PLAN.  
WE CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THE MB POETS APPEAL AND REQUEST A PROPER  
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PRIOR TO THE APPROVAL OF THE MASTER USE PERMIT.  
I APPRECIATE THE APPLICANT HAS ATTEMPTED TO MAKE CHANGES TO THE PLAN  
BASED ON THE PRIVACY CONCERNS OF THE RESIDENTS EAST OF CHABELA.  
THEY DON'T IDENTIFY THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT.

I HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT INCREASED TRAFFIC AND INADEQUATE PARKING AND INCREASED NOISE THAT WILL AFFECT OUR QUALITY OF LIFE AND SAFETY, NOT ONLY FOR THE POET SECTIONS BUT ALSO THE SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD. HOW CAN THIS BE DEEMED CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT FROM THE CEQA?

THE HOTEL PARTNERS PROJECT INCREASES THE BUILDING AREA FROM EL TORITO TO THE SQUARE FOOTAGE FOR THIS.

IT IS OVERWHELMING.

THE PROJECT IS PROPOSING 26,754 SQUARE FOOT FOOTPRINT AND APPROXIMATELY 80,000 SQUARE FEET OF BUILDING WITH A MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF 30 FEET.

THE HOTEL'S PROJECT IS LARGER THAN THE SUNRISE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT WITH MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF 40 FEET.

I ASK HOW CAN TERMINATION OF INCREASED TRAFFIC EFFECT BE EVALUATED WHEN THE STREETS HAVE NOT BEEN STUDIED AND PARKING BE EVALUATED WHEN NONHOTEL PATRONS ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE STUDIES.

THE STAFF REPORT SAYS THE ROOFTOP BAR AND LOUNGE WOULD BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC.

I ALREADY SEE AN INCREASE IN TRAFFIC IN NEIGHBORHOOD PARKING SINCE THE MARA COSTA STUDENTS AND ACTIVITY [INDISCERNIBLE].

PLEASE RESOLVE TO REQUIRE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PRIOR TO APPROVING THE MASTER USE PERMIT.

THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR THE PROJECT AND IMPACT ON THE SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

>> MY NAME IS BILL MERCER.

I'M A RESIDENT OF MANHATTAN BEACH POETS SECTION, LIVING ON TENNYSON STREET FOR 25-PLUS YEARS.

THIS IS A MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT AND THAT'S THE MANHATTAN BEACH'S POETS APPEAL AND ASK TO REQUIRE AN EIR BEFORE APPROVAL OF THIS PROJECT.

CHANGES WERE MADE AND THERE'S NUMEROUS CONCERNS OF MANY ASPECTS OF THIS PROJECT.

WE HEARD ABOUT THE INADEQUATE PARKING.

THE TRAFFIC STUDY DID NOT CONSIDER NEIGHBORHOOD STREETS AND NOISE IMPACT IT LOCAL RESIDENTS.

IN ADDITION TONIGHT, WE LEARNED THAT THE ABC LICENSE IS IN VIOLATION OF THE LAW.

THERE'S NUMEROUS THINGS WE HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT.

MANHATTAN BEACH HAS URBAN FOREST MASTER PLAN.

PLANS REMOVE HEALTHY TREES THAT WILL INSTANTLY PROVIDE PRIVACY TO RESIDENTS A PRESERVE THE SURROUNDING LANDSCAPING WHICH INCLUDES TREES THAT ARE CURRENTLY ON KEATS AND SURROUNDING STREETS.

I'M NOT SURE HOW BAMBOO FITS IN WITH THE LAND EXCHANGE ON THESE SURROUNDING STREETS.

IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE CITY WOULD REQUEST THAT THE PROJECT RETAIN THESE TREES.

IT SEEMS TO GO AGAINST THE URBAN FOREST MASTER PLAN THAT THE CITY IS PROMOTING.

INSTEAD OF KEEPING THESE TREES, THE PLAN HAS BAMBOO PLANTS. AND POTENTIALLY TREES THAT ARE INADEQUATE FOR RESIDENTS' PRIVACY. AS WE LEARNED PREVIOUSLY, IT TAKES SIX TO NINE YEARS FOR THE BAMBOO TO MATURE TO 25 OR 30 FEET.

WHAT ARE WE TO DO FOR THE SIX YEARS WHILE THEY MATURE?

THE PLANTS DON'T MATCH THE CURRENT LANDSCAPING AND THE POET'S AREA WHERE WE HAVE NUMEROUS COTTONWOOD TREES.

JUST MY INFORMAL COUNT OF PEOPLE FOR AND AGAINST THE PROJECT WE HEARD SEVEN STATEMENTS IN FAVOR OF THE PROJECT AND 18 STATEMENTS AGAINST THE PROJECT.

THE COUNCIL KNOWS AND THE MAYOR KNOWS THAT THE ATTITUDE OF THE MANHATTAN BEACH'S POETS SECTION RESIDENTS.

WE HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THIS PROJECT THAT WE HAVEN'T SEEN ADDRESSED TO THIS POINT.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR GIVING ME THIS TIME.

>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

NEXT WE HAVE KAREN.

>> HI.

MY NAME IS KAREN HILL.

I'VE BEEN A RESIDENT OF MANHATTAN BEACH SINCE 1967.

I DO LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING A HOTEL THERE AND THE RETAIL.

BUT I THINK LIKE THE OTHER GENTLEMAN WHO HAS A HOTEL AT 15TH STREET, I THINK TWO STORIES IS MORE THAN ADEQUATE FOR THAT AREA AND FOR WHAT WE NEED IN MANHATTAN BEACH.

ALTHOUGH, THAT WOULD MEAN THEY WOULDN'T ADVERTISE ROOMS WITH OCEAN VIEW, BUT THEN ON THE OTHER HAND, THEY IT SAY THAT THEY ONLY HAVE 20% OCCUPANCY.

SO IF THEY HAD LESS FLOORS, WOULDN'T THEY HAVE A HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF OCCUPANCY?

I DON'T KNOW.

I GUESS YOU HAVE TO DO THE MATH.

NOBODY IS ADDRESSING ABOUT WHEN THE GUESTS WANT TO GO SOUTH ON SEPULVEDA.

ARE THEY GOING DOWN KEATS TO PROSPECT AND OUT TO ARTESIA BOULEVARD ONCE AGAIN THROUGH NEIGHBORHOODS?

THEN WHAT ABOUT ALL OF THE POUNDING FROM DIGGING THAT GREAT BIG UNDERGROUND PARKING LOT.

SO ALL OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE UNDERNEATH AND THE FOUNDATIONS FOR THE HOMES IN THE POTS SECTION, WHAT HAPPENS WITH THEIR TRACKING AND -- AN ALL OF -- OF THAT GOING ON AND YOU HOW LONG IS IT GOING TO GO ON? HOW LONG DO THEY HAVE TO PUT UP WITH THAT?

THEN I WANTED TO COMMENT ON JEFF.

SHAME ON HIM FOR SUPPORTING ALL OF THE BUSINESSES IN EL SEGUNDA. WE HAVE GREAT RESTAURANTS.

SHAME ON YOU, JEFF.

AS FAR AS LIFE ON THE EAST SIDE, PEOPLE CHOSE IT BECAUSE IT IS QUIETER AND IT IS NICE BUT WE DO HAVE [INDISCERNIBLE] ON THE EAST SIDE. PEOPLE NEED TO GET OUT A LITTLE MORE.

I THINK IT IS INTERESTING THE PEOPLE THAT SEEMED TO BE IF FAVOR OF THE HOTEL DON'T LIVE BY THE HOTEL.

MAYBE THEY NEED TO GO ON A DRIVER AND LOOK AT THE AREA THAT IS GOING TO BE AFFECTED.

OTHER THAN THAT, I THINK I SAID MY PIECE.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR STAYING THIS LATE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> CAROL NELSON.

>> LONG-TIME MANHATTAN BEACH RESIDENT, 40, 45 YEARS.

I WAS INTERESTED TO HEAR THE COMMENTS ABOUT THE VILLAGE AND I WORKED WITH CHEVRON AND CLOSED CONSTRUCTION AND TO ALL OF THE MARKETING AND PLANNING FOR THE PROJECT.

WE HAD A VERY ENLIGHTENED CITY COUNCIL.

THEY PRESSED AHEAD WITH A DREAM FOR THE AREA.

THERE WAS NO EIR FOR THAT PROJECT.

LOOK AT THE RESULTS.

I JUST LIKE TO SPEAK IF FAVOR OF THE PROJECTING FOR FORWARD.

I THINK THE CITY, THE CITY COUNCIL HAS TO MAKE A REAL COMMITMENT TO AT LONG LAST COUPLE UP WITH A PLAN FOR ENLIGHTENED DEVELOPMENT ALONG OUR MAIN COMMERCIAL CORRIDOR.

THIS PROJECT IS ONE OF THE INITIAL STEPS.

WE CERTAINLY NEED A BED TAX AND SALES TAX REVENUE FROM THESE PROJECTS.

THE CITY IS FACED WITH REAL CHALLENGES IN THE FUTURE WITH THE SCHOOL DISTRICT AND THE LOOMING PENSION OVERHANG.

A COUPLE OF MILLION DOLLARS HERE IN THE CITY, IF THAT'S WHAT IT TOTALS TO, WOULD BE REALLY BENEFICIAL.

SO THANK YOU FOR ALL OF THE HARD WORK, FOR CITY PLANNING.

AND THE CITY COUNCIL.

AND SHOUT OUT TO CLIFF FOR HIS COMMENTS TONIGHT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

>> NEXT WE HAVE STACY JACOBSON.

>> I STATED MY NAME.

I'M RESIDENT AT 1171 SHELLEY STREET.

I'M HERE TONIGHT TO SPEAK IN SUPPORT OF THE APPEAL OF THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT.

NOTE I'M NOT OPPOSED TO DEVELOPMENT OF IT AREA.

I DO THINK THAT SOME SORT OF DEVELOPMENT WOULD BE BENEFICIAL.

THE PROJECT AND SCOPE AS IS STATED IS NOT APPROPRIATE.

THERE'S A LOT OF COMMENTS HERE.

I WILL KEEP MINE MOSTLY TO SAFETY.

THAT'S THE SAFETY OF THE YOUNG CHILDREN AND THE SAFETY OF THE STUDENTS

BOTH AT PENNY CAMP AND MARIPOSA.  
FOR ANYBODY WONDER WHAT THEY LOOK LIKE, I IMPLORER YOU GO TO KEITH  
AND PROSPECT WHILE SCHOOL IS GETTING OUT.  
I WALK MY CHILDREN TO SCHOOL.  
WE OFTEN SEE THE CROSS-COUNTRY TEAM RUNNING AROUND HERE AS WELL.  
I'M GRAVELY CONCERNED ABOUT THE SAFETY OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND THE  
CHILDREN THAT ARE GROWING UP HERE AND GOING TO SCHOOL IN THE AREA.  
I'LL KEEP MY COMMENTS TO THAT FOR THE EVENING.  
THANK YOU VERY MUCH.  
>> THANK YOU.  
>> NEXT WE HAVE KATHY CLARKE.  
>> HI, CAN YOU HEAR ME?  
>> YES, WE CAN.  
>> HI, I JUST -- FIRST OFF, I WANT TO THANK YOU, FOR WORKING AND STAYING  
THIS LATE TO DISCUSS ALL OF THIS.  
I WANT TO START OUT BY SAYING I'VE BEEN IN BUSINESS MY WHOLE LIFE.  
DEFINITELY THIS AREA COULD BE CLEANED UP A LITTLE BIT BUT THE HOTEL,  
WHICH IS DIRECTLY ACROSS THE STREET FROM MY HOUSE AT 1141 TENNYSON  
STREET AND I'M ON THE CORNER AND WE HAD TWO CARS FLY THROUGH THE  
ROADBLOCK AND SLIDE ACROSS OUR YARD.  
WE'VE HAD -- MY ONE CHILD WAS A BABY.  
THE STROLLER WAS HIT BY A CAR AND FLYING THROUGH A STOP SIGN.  
RIGHT OVER ON PROSPECT AND KEITH.  
THERE ARE -- I PERSONALLY DEALT WITH THE TRAFFIC ISSUES AND THAT'S  
LONG BEFORE A HOTEL THIS SIZE HAS COME INTO THE PICTURE.  
I WORRY ABOUT THE SAFETY OF THE KIDS.  
IT IS SO CRAZY, DRIVING AROUND MARA COSTA.  
LIKE THE LAST PERSON YOU SAID ARE POPPING IN AND OUT OF CARS.  
THERE'S KID IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD.  
THE HOTEL WILL BE DIRECTLY ACROSS THE STREET FROM MY HOUSE AND FOUR  
STORIES.  
I KNOW THANK YOU FOR SETTING IT BACK.  
IT IS FOUR STORIES AND I LOOK AT THE PICTURE.  
IT LOOKS LIKE A BUILDING THAT SHOULD BE IN SANTA MONICA.  
IT DOES NOT LOOK LIKE A BUILDING THAT SHOULD BE RIGHT NEXT TO A HOUSE.  
RIGHT ACROSS THE STREET FROM A HOUSE.  
IT SHOULD BE WHERE THE BANK IS.  
IT SHOULD BE IF A PLACE WHERE IT IS BUFFERED.  
I THINK IF, YOU KNOW, THIS HAPPENS, YOU SHOULD TAKE OUR PROPERTY AND  
BUFFER EVERYBODY ELSE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD FROM THE HOTEL.  
A MAKE OUR PROPERTY A PARK.  
JUST TO GIVE SPACE TO THE NEIGHBORS.  
IT IS NOT RIGHT.  
IT DOESN'T FIT.  
IT IS SO BIG.  
I WORRY ABOUT THE TRAFFIC AND THE SOLUTION AND THE RANDOM PEOPLE.  
I KNOW IT IS GOING TO BE MORE BUSINESSPEOPLE, BUT STILL, YOU THINK

THERE'S WEIRDOS IN THE COMMUNITY.  
I WORRY ABOUT THE SAFETY.  
YOU KNOW I JUST FEEL LIKE THERE'S SO MANY QUESTIONS THAT SO MANY  
RESIDENTS HAVE.  
IN THIS COMMUNITY THAT WE NEED AN EIR.  
WE NEED TO DIG DOWN AND SEE HOW IT IMPACTS THE COMMUNITY.  
THAT'S IT.  
>> THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.  
>> NEXT WE HAVE NANCY.  
>> AM I LIVE?  
>> YES.  
STATE YOUR NAME.  
>> BEFORE I READ MY LETTER, IT CLARIFY, ON THE EAST FACING WALL OF  
THE HOTEL, CLOSE TO SHELLEY STREET, THERE'S SUBTERRANEAN PARKING.  
THERE'S NO BUFFER OR SET BACK.  
THERE'S A SIX FOR THE SIDEWALK.  
THERE'S 24 FEET WIDE CHABELA.  
THEN ANOTHER SIDEWALK.  
THAT'S IT.  
THIS IS DEPICTED IN THE DEVELOPER'S PLAN BUT DID NOT BOTHER TO MENTION  
IT.  
SECONDLY WE REQUEST AN EIR.  
THIS IS TOO BIG OF A PROJECT AND TOO BIG OF A CHANGE TO NOT MAKE THAT  
HAPPEN.  
RYAN IS A STUDENT WHO UNDERSTANDS THE VALUE OF A TREE.  
HE HAS BEEN LAUDED BY THE COUNCIL.  
HOW DO YOU EXPLAIN TO HIM THAT DOZENS OF TREES WILL BE SACRIFICED  
FOR A DUBIOUS PROJECT THAT SLAPS THE FACE OF WHAT HE'S TRYING TO DO?  
THEY HAVE TREE LAWS AND TREE INVENTORY.  
THERE'S EVEN AN URBAN FOREST MASTER PLAN FOR LONG-RANGE PROTECTION  
OF OUR COMMUNITY'S URBAN FOREST.  
THERE'S TREE PRUNING REGULATIONS.  
IN SHORT WE HAVE A LOT OF TREE STUFF.  
I SPOKE WITH ANN ARBORIST, HE SAID THAT THE TREES ARE NOT DOING AS  
WELL AS THEY OUGHT TO BE BECAUSE THEY'RE LACKING PROPER CARE.  
THEY HAVE NOT BEEN PRUNED OTHER THAN AN ANNUAL ATTACK JOB.  
WHAT SHAPE WOULD YOU BE IF YOU WERE NEVER FED AND WATERED.  
THE CITY IS TO BE LAUDED FOR ITS COMMITMENT TO URBAN FORESTRY.  
I CAN'T RECONCILE TO PUT THIS OVERSIZED BILL ON UNDERSIZED LOT.  
APPROPRIATE USE OF IT PROPERTY WOULD MAKE IT A NONISSUE.  
THIS IS ANTI-THEUS OF THE URBAN PLAN.  
YOU CAN'T HAVE IT BOTH WAYS.  
I INCLUDED A PHOTO THAT I SENT IF OF THE TREES IN THE SKETCHER'S  
SIDEWALK.  
WE WERE TOLD TREES COULDN'T BE PUT IN THE SIDEWALK.  
THAT WOULD PROVIDE AN ADDITIONAL BUFFER.  
BAMBOO IS GRASS AND REQUIRES SUN LIGHT AND IS A WATER HOG.



WE WANT TO KEEP OUR TREES.  
THANK YOU.  
>> THANK YOU.  
ANY OTHER CALLERS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT?  
>> WE HAVE ONE MORE.  
UNDER THE NAME OF IPHONE.  
I WASN'T PROVIDED THEIR NAME FOR THE RECORD.  
>> CAN YOU HEAR ME?  
>> YES.  
>> GREAT.  
OKAY.  
HANG ON.  
LET ME PUT UP MY VOLUME SO I COULD HEAR YOU BETTER.  
GOOD EVENING, COUNCIL, THANK YOU FOR PRESIDING OVER THIS.  
FIRST I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHY THE CITY DID NOT ENSURE THE DEVELOPER  
HELD THE REQUIRED NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING PRIOR TO THE PROJECT'S  
SUBMITTAL.  
THIS WAS AN ELEMENT THAT CAME OUT OF HIS WORKING GROUP AND WAS INCLUDED  
IN THE SUMMARY REPORT WHICH WAS RATIFIED BY BOTH PLANNING AND COUNCIL.  
OKAY.  
NOW FIRST JOB OF GOVERNMENT IS TO PROTECT ITS CITIZENS PLACING A  
CONCENTRATED, COMPLETELY IMPACTFUL INTRUSIVE HOTEL, CLOSE TO  
FAMILIES IS WRONG.  
SAYING IT IS FOR THE IS HYPOCRITICAL.  
WOULD ANY OF YOU WANT THIS 40 FEET FROM YOUR PILLOW?  
RESULTING SHOULD BE WITH TRAFFIC, 15 FEET.  
48 NIGHT LIGHTS.  
WITH WE BOUGHT OUR HOMES IT WOULD HAVE BEEN ILLEGAL.  
THE WORLD IS CHANGING.  
GEOGRAPHY DOES NOT.  
DOING BAD THINGS TO EACH OTHER IS CLICHE AND BECOMING PUBLICLY  
INTOLERABLE.  
A JUDGE IS FIXING HOMELESSNESS.  
SCOTT RUDIN IS WITHDRAWN AND JEFF DOLAN, BRUCE'S BEACH.  
MANHATTAN BEACH HOTELS MAKE PEOPLE CRY AND ROB THEM OF SLEEP FOREVER.  
THEY TAKE AWAY PEACE OF MIND AND LIFELONG INVESTMENT.  
THIS HAS TO STOP.  
UNBRIDLED GREED IS UNACCEPTABLE ESPECIALLY WHEN IT RUINS THE LIFE  
DAY AFTER DAY AND NIGHT AFTER NIGHT.  
IT SHOULD NEVER HAVE COME IT FAR.  
IT SHOULD HAVE GOTTEN STOPPED.  
DO NOT ENDORSE WHAT YOU CAN'T FIX.  
THAT'S ALL.  
THANK YOU AND GOOD NIGHT.  
NEXT WE HAVE HEATHER.  
>> MY NAME IS HEATHER KIM.  
I DID NOT REALLY FOLLOW ALL OF THIS HOTEL TALK VERY CLOSELY AND I

DON'T LIVE IN THE AREA.

BUT MY GUT REACTION IS THAT THIS HOTEL WILL BE A NET POSITIVE FOR OUR CITY EVEN THOUGH I FOOE THE RESIDENTS IN THE AREA WOULD NOT BE HAPPY WITH IT.

FOR NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD WELCOME A HOTEL IN THEIR AREA.

A COMPROMISE WOULD DO THE STUDY THAT THE RESIDENTS WANT AND WOULD THAT BE SO HARD?

I COME FROM A PLACE OF NOT KNOWING THE PARTICULARS.

IT SEEMS LIKE THE RIGHT THING TO DO FOR THE NEIGHBORS THERE.

I HOPE WE CONTINUE TO DO THINGS TO IMPROVE OUR CITY AND TO GENERATE REVENUE AND TO HAVE MORE PEOPLE COME TO OUR CITY A SPEND TIME AND MONEY HERE TOO.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> DON'T LIVE IN THE AREA.

>> EVERYBODY HAS BEEN MUTED.

IT DOES NOT LOOK LIKE WE HAVE ANY OTHER PUBLIC COMMENTS BUT WE RECEIVED SIX REQUESTS EARLIER AND THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICE ASKED TO CONTACT THEM TO SEE IF THEY WOULD PROVIDE COMMENTS AND WE DIDN'T RECEIVE ANY RESPONSES.

>> I'M GOING TO CLOSE PUBLIC TESTIMONY.

FOR THE SAKE OF MY COLLEAGUES, WE'VE BEEN IF CLOSED SESSION SINCE 4:30 P.M. I WOULD LIKE TO BREAK FOR TEN MINUTES.

WE'LL COME BACK AT 9:45 AND WE'LL GO TO APPLICANT FOR REBUTTAL AND COUNCIL QUESTIONS OF THE APPLICANT AND APPELLANT REPRESENTATIVES AND CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND HAVE COUNCIL DELIBERATIONS.

AT 9:45 WE WILL BE BACK IN SESSION TO HEAR REBUTTAL FROM THE APPLICANT.

THANK YOU, EVERYBODY, FOR YOUR COMMENTS AND PATIENCE.

WE'LL BE BACK IN TEN MINUTES.

MAN HARSH.

[BREAK TAKEN].

>> I CAN ADDRESS THAT.

LET ME JUST.

LET ME TAKE A MOMENT TO RESET.

BASICALLY THE WAY THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY WORKS.

AND THEY ARE INDIVIDUALLY EVALUATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CEQA.

CEQA ITSELF INCLUDES BRANCHES.

THERE'S A WORKFLOW IF YOU WILL.

THE FIRST QUESTION IS ARE YOU SUBJECT TO CEQA.

SOME ARE, SOME AREN'T.

YOU GO GOWN THE ROAD OF WHETHER ONCE YOU'RE SUBJECT TO SEQA AND YOU'RE ELIGIBLE FOR EXEMPTIONS AND THEN YOU PROCEED TO THE STUDY THAT LOOKS AT DIFFERENT TOPICS.

AT THE END OF THAT YOU MAY HAVE A MITIGATED DECLARATION IF YOU DON'T HAVE SIGNIFICANT IMPACT.

IF YOU COULD MITIGATE THEM TO LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT.

IF YOU DO HAVE SIGNIFICANT IMPACT, YOU GO INTO AN EIR.

THERE'S A WORKFLOW ESTABLISHED BY STATE LAW.  
EVERY CITY USES THEM, EVERY CITY OR JURISDICTION IF THE STATE, CITIES  
AND COUNTIES.  
THE SUNRISE PROJECT CAME IN AND IT IN OF ITSELF AND YOU LOOKED AT  
THE EARLY ON ASSESSMENT, IT PROBABLY WOULD HAVE QUALIFIED FOR A CLASS  
32 EXEMPTION.  
THEY CHOSE AN NIR AND THE STUDY.  
WHETHER IT CAME OUT THEY DIDN'T HAVE SIGNIFICANT IMPACT.  
DID THEY PROCEED WITH THE EIR?  
YES.  
CAN THEY DO THAT?  
YES.  
CAN THEY STILL QUALIFY?  
YES.  
>> THAT WAS THE CHOICE OF THE DEVELOPER.  
ONCE THAT CHOICE IS MADE, THE CITY FACILITATES THAT.  
ONCE THE EIRS MADE, THE CITY, THE STAFF IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING  
SURE THAT ANY DOCUMENT THAT IS PUBLISHED IS OF THE INDEPENDENT  
JUDGMENT OF THE AGENCY.  
AT THAT POINT IT GOES THROUGH THE FULL REVIEW AND FACT CHECKING.  
THE SAME THING.  
BACK TO CLASS 32, THE HOTEL ON INITIAL ASSESSMENT QUALIFIED FOR A  
CLASS 32.  
CLASS 32S ARE NOT EASY.  
THEY'RE NOT EASY EXEMPTIONS THIS THE SENSE THAT IF YOU TAKE A CLASS  
THREE FOR EXAMPLE.  
CLASS THREES ARE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF SMALL STRUCTURES.  
IT IS A CUT AND DRY EXEMPTION.  
>> WE LOST YOUR AUDIO.  
>> I THINK THE BATTERY.  
WE CAN'T HEAR YOU.  
GOVERNMENT SUPPLIED HEADSET.  
>> I'M GOING TO JUMP IN.  
I THINK WHERE SHE WAS GOING WITH THAT.  
>> I WAS GOING TO ASK CARRIE FOR EVERYONE'S EDIFICATION, CAN YOU TELL  
EVERYONE WHAT A CLASS 32 IS?  
>> IT IS AN INFILL EXEMPTION FOR PROJECT SITES THAT ARE UNDERUTILIZED  
OR VACANT WITHIN A DEVELOPED AREA.  
WITHIN THAT EXEMPTION YOU HAVE TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU QUALIFY FOR THE  
SET CRITERIA BEFORE YOU COULD MAKE A DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT  
MEETS -- THAT THE EXEMPTION IS APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT AND THE  
PROJECT SITE.  
INCLUDING IT HAS TO BE LESS THAN FIVE ACRES FOR EXAMPLE WHICH THIS  
PROJECT SITE IS.  
ONCE YOU GO THROUGH THE CRITERIA, THE NECKS STEP IS PARTICULARLY TO  
ONE OF THOSE CRITERIA WHICH IS -- YOU HAVE TO ANALYZE TRAFFIC IMPACT.  
AIR QUALITY, WATER QUALITY AND NOISE IMPACT.

AND ONCE THOSE STUDIES ARE PREPARED AND THE ANALYSIS SUPPORTS THAT THOSE WOULD NOT BE TRIGGERED UNDER CEQA.

CEQA HAS CRITERIA FOR IMPACTS.

IT IS DIFFERENT THAN IMPACT THAT IS KNOWN TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC. IT IS BASED ON THRESHOLDS THAT ARE ESTABLISHED AND WE'RE REQUIRED TO ANALYZE THE IMPACT BASED ON THOSE THRESHOLDS.

THE REASON THAT IS IMPORTANT IS THE TECHNICAL FALSE THAT WE HAD TO PREPARE FOR THIS PROJECT TO SUPPORT THE EXEMPTION, THE CLASS 32 EXEMPTION AND INCLUSIVE OF THE TRAFFIC ANALYSIS IS THE SAME ANALYSIS THAT WOULD BE PREPARED FOR AN EIR.

FOR DIFFERENT.

SAME CRITERIA COULD BE USED TO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT.

AND NO DIFFERENT CRITERIA.

>> IF I PAY, THEY DID SOME OF THOSE STUDIES, NOT ALL 17 LET'S SAY, BUT THEY COULD BUNDLE THOSE IN AND DO THE ADDITIONAL STUDIES. SOME THINGS WERE DONE WITH THE PROJECT.

>> CLASS 32 SPECIFIES WHICH ONES YOU HAVE TO PERFORM.

ONCE YOU PASS THAT TEST.

AND YOU PASS ALL OF THE OTHER TEST THAT IS ARE LISTED THEN YOU'RE READY TO MOVE ON.

THERE'S EXCEPTIONS TO THE EXEMPTION.

THEY DID NOT QUALIFY FOR THOSE.

>> EVEN IF YOU WERE TO DO AN EIR AT THAT POINT THEY WOULD TAKE THOSE STUDIES THEY'VE ALREADY DONE, NOT LIKE THEY'RE GOING TO REDO THOSE. EIR IS.

AND THE TRACK STUDY THAT CURRENTLY EXISTS AND THROW IT IN A THEY'LL DO ADDITIONAL STUDIES FOR THOSE THAT THEY HAVEN'T.

CORRECT?

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

WE COULD USE THOSE SAME STUDIES FOR EIR.

>> IF I COULD ADD QUICKLY, ONE THING SIGNIFICANT ABOUT THE CLASS 32 IT IS CALLED AN URBAN INFILL.

THERE'S TOPICS AND BIOLOGICAL RESOURCE AND OF THE 17 ENVIRONMENTAL TOPICS IN AN INITIAL STUDY.

THAT'S A GENERIC CATEGORY.

IT IS THE SAME DOCUMENT IF YOU WERE DOING A 1,000 ACRE MASTER PLAN COMMUNITY IN UNDEVELOPED LAND.

THE URBAN INFILL CATEGORY HAS THESE TECHNICAL STUDIES, YOUR STARTING POINT IS YOU'RE ALREADY IN A CITY AND YOU'RE ALREADY WITHIN THE CITY BOUNDARIES AND UNDER FIVE ACRES AS OPPOSED TO A LARGER SCALE DEVELOPMENT THAT WOULD FEED A GREATER SCALE, OF EVALUATION THAT HAS TO DO WITH MORE BROADER TOPICS FOUND OUTSIDE OF URBAN ENVIRONMENTS. I WANTED TO ADD THAT.

>> I DID NOT SEE VALET PARKING BEING DISCUSSED.

USUALLY VALET PARKING -- IT CAUSES PEOPLE TO PARK ON THE STREET TO AVOID IT.

A LOT OF FOLKS DON'T WANT TO PAY IT.

THEY DON'T WANT TO TIP FOR IT.  
IS VALET PARKING PART OF THIS?  
>> I DON'T BELIEVE IT IS.  
>> IF I COULD JUMP IN, IT IS NOT PART OF THE PROJECT.  
THERE WAS A CONDITION IN THE RESOLUTION.  
I'LL READ IT.  
36, IT SAYS ALL SURFACE PARKING SPACES WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR RETAIL  
AND OFFICE AND GUESTS AND DURING BUSINESS HOURS.  
OUR EMPLOYEES ON THE SITE WILL NOT BE CHARGED FOR PARKING.  
IT WILL BE IN THE STRUCTURE.  
NO ACCESS SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT CITY APPROVAL.  
THAT'S THE CLOSEST WE GET IN THE SOLUTION TO REGULATING FOR THE COST.  
>> EVEN IF IT WAS FREE VALET PARKING.  
PEOPLE AVOID THE TIP AND WILL AVOID IT AS WELL.  
>> YOUR HONOR.  
I CAN'T SEE EVERYBODY.  
GO AHEAD.  
MY QUESTION FOR PLANNER TED.  
IS THE SITE TOO SMALL FOR THE PROJECT?  
>> NO.  
EVEN THOUGH THIS IS A 40-STORY HOTEL BUILDING IT DIDN'T CHANGE THE  
SQUARE FOOTAGE THAT COULD BE ON THE SITE.  
IT DOES NOT ASK FOR A VARIANCE OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT TO BUILD MORE  
SQUARE FOOTAGE THAN WHAT THE CODE ALLOWS.  
>> ANOTHER ONE FOR YOU.  
IS THIS AN ALL-SUITE HOTEL?  
YES OR NO?  
>> THAT TERMS SOMETHING SPECIFIC WITH IT COMES TO TRAFFIC ANALYSIS.  
THIS HOTEL WAS CLOSELY IDENTIFIED AS A BUSINESS HOTEL.  
THE MANUAL USED TO DETERMINE THE PARKING AND THE CLASSIC ANALYSIS  
HAS A DEFINITION OF WHAT THAT MEANS.  
A BUSINESS HOTEL USED FOR THE PARKING ANALYSIS AND ALL SUITES HOTEL  
WAS USED FOR THE TRAFFIC ANALYSIS AND THERE'S TECHNICAL REASONS WHY  
THAT WAS DONE.  
IT WAS DONE WITH THE GOAL OF CREATING THE MOST ACCURATE ANALYSIS AS  
POSSIBLE.  
>> FOR AUTHORIZATION PURPOSES.  
I GET IT.  
IS THERE A NIGHTCLUB AT THE PROPOSED HOTEL?  
>> NO.  
NO NIGHTCLUB OR RESTAURANT.  
WHAT THERE IS IS A ROOFTOP TERRACE.  
AND LIGHT FOOD SERVICE AND ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES WILL BE SERVED THERE.  
IT IS ONLY AVAILABLE TO THE PATRONS AND THEIR GUESTS.  
THERE'S DETAIL HOW THIS WOULD ACTUALLY BE ENFORCED.  
WHAT WE CAME UP WITH WAS THERE'S A CONDITION, THE ONLY WAY YOU ACCESS  
THIS AREA IS WITH A ROOM KEY.

NO ONE CAN WALK IN THE AREA.  
IT IS NOT OPEN TO ANYONE.  
YOU HAVE TO HAVE A ROOM KEY TO GET IN.  
>> THERE ARE 17 COMPACT SPACES PROPOSED, 11.2% OF ALL OF THE PARKING  
ONSITE.  
FOR REFERENCE, THE CODE ALLOWS UP TO 30% OF THE SITE'S PARKING TO  
BE COMPACT.  
THEY'RE NO WHERE NEAR THE MAXIMUM.  
ONE TANGENT, NAPOLITANO ASKED ABOUT THE EL TORITO USE PERMIT, THEY  
WERE FULL ALCOHOL SEVEN DAYS A WEEK, MIDNIGHT AND CLOSING AND SEVEN  
DAYS A WEEK AND THAT WAS FROM 1994.  
>> SO 152 TOTAL SPACES AND 17 ARE COMPACT, THAT'S CONSIDERED FULL-SIZE  
IE STANDARD.  
YOU'RE NOT TAKING INTO EFFECT THAT INCLUDES HAPPENED CAP AND OR  
CHARGING SPACE.  
>> THERE'S SOME THAT ARE WIDER.  
THEY'RE REQUIRED TO BE WIDER.  
REST ARE FULL-SIZE IF NOT LARGER.  
THAT'S ALL I HAVE FOR NOW.  
THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.  
>> WE GRANT A MASTER USE PERMIT WITH CONDITIONS.  
SO SAY THE OPERATOR BILLS ME AND THEY'RE IN THERE.  
THERE'S SOME VIOLATIONS, YOU KNOW POOR BEHAVIOR.  
WHAT IS THE PROCESS.  
WE WENT THROUGH IT.  
AND FIRST SHOWED COUNCIL WITH THE RESIDENCE IN AND HE DID IT WITH  
OTHER HOTELS.  
WHAT IS THE PROCESS OF RECTIFYING OR CORRECTING THAT BEHAVIOR VERSUS  
THROUGH THE MASTER USE PERMIT?  
>> I'LL TAKE THAT.  
>> YES.  
>> SURE.  
>> IT DOES PROVIDE US A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT PATHS TO BE ABLE TO REVISIT  
AN APPROVED MASTER USE PERMIT.  
ONE WOULD BE THROUGH THE PROCESS OF A REVIEW.  
FOR EXAMPLE, THE COUNCIL COULD CHOOSE IF THEY WANTED TO CONDITION  
THAT AFTER THE FIRST YEAR OF OPERATIONS WE WOULD REVISIT THIS UNDER  
AUSPICES OF REVIEW.  
HAVE THEY BEEN GOOD ACTOR AND ABIDING BY THE APPROVAL?  
IF NOT, IS THERE GROUNDS TO POTENTIALLY MODIFY CONDITIONS OR PURSUE  
NEW CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL.  
IN THE SCENARIO YEARS LATER AND IN OPERATION FOR YEARS AND SOMETHING  
IS GOING VERY WRONG THE COUNCIL ALSO HAS THE OPTION TO REVOKE A MASTER  
USE PERMIT.  
THERE'S FINDINGS THAT COME ALONG WITH THAT.  
THEY WOULD HAVE-TO-A PUBLIC HEARING SIMILAR TO RESIDENTS' INN.  
OR A MODIFICATION OF A USE PERMIT.

>> ONE QUICK QUESTION.  
WE HEARD ABOUT THE HOTEL CONSULTANT WHO BACK IN 2015 PRODUCED A REPORT FOR A POSSIBLE HOTEL BEHIND MANHATTAN VILLAGE.  
WHAT CHANGED.  
WHAT IMPACT DOES RIDE SHARING HAVE.  
THE OWNER AT THE BEST WESTERN PLUS A MILE AWAY INDICATES THEY'RE RENTING OUT PARKING SPACES NOW TO LOCAL BUSINESSES.  
THAT BIG OF IMPACT RIDE SHARE?  
IN TERMS OF THE GARAGE USE?  
>> DIDN'T WANT TO SPEAK OVER OUR CITY TRAFFIC ENGINEER AND NOT SURE HE'S ON THE LINE.  
DID YOU WANT TO RESPOND?  
>> I WAS GOING TO MAKE A COMMENT THAT BECAUSE IT IS -- THE WHOLE ADVENT OF RIDE SHARE HAS TAKEN EFFECT.  
BUT IT HASN'T CAUGHT UP WITH THE DATA THAT IS USED IN TERMS OF HOW PARKING STUDIES ARE PREPARED.  
THAT'S WHY THE STUDIES FOR IT PROJECT MAKE CONSERVATIVE ESTIMATE AND DON'T TAKE RIDE SHARE INTO ACCOUNT WHEN JUSTIFYING THE PARKING REDUCTION.  
I JUST WANTED TO ADD THAT.  
I DON'T KNOW IF ERIC HAS ANY MORE SCIENTIFIC OR MORE RECENT DATA SPECIFICALLY REGARDING THE FACTORING OF THE INCREASED USE OF RIDE SHARE IN THE PARKING USES.  
LET'S SEE IF ERIC IS ON HERE.  
>> IT IS ERIC.  
I DON'T HAVE SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION.  
THERE'S A NEW VERSION OF THE PARKING GENERATION THAT WILL COME OUT AND START TO INCLUDE THAT.  
WE DO KNOW THAT THERE IS DEFINITELY INCREASE FROM RIDE SERVICE THAT ARE REDUCING DEMAND, IN EVERY TYPE OF LAND USE.  
ESPECIALLY IN HOTELS.  
WHEN WE LOOK AT THE PARKING STUDY INFORMATION, IT IS ALWAYS WORSE CASE AT THIS POINT.  
EVERYTHING IS GOING TO BE REDUCED BECAUSE OF RIDE SHARE SERVICES AND TRANSPORTATION SERVICES.  
THANK YOU.  
COUNCIL MEMBER FRANKLIN DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?  
>> YES.  
>> MAYOR PRO TEM STERN.  
>> I HAVE JUST ONE MORE QUESTION.  
THANK YOU FOR ANSWERING ALL OF TEASE TO THE TREES AND ALONG THE EASTERN SIDE OF THE HOTEL.  
WE HEARD ABOUT THE BAMBOO THAT IS BEING PROPOSED AND THE LARGER SPOTS FOR BIGGER TREES.  
CAN YOU GIVE US ANY SENSE OF WHAT -- WOULD A TREE PROVIDE SHIELDING FOR ALL FOUR FLOORS?  
WOULD THE BAMBOO GET TO 40 FEET?

WE'RE DETERMINING IT.  
THEY TOLD THE APPLICANT THAT THEY HAVE TO HAVE THOSE TREES.  
ON THAT SIDE OF THE STREET, THERE IS NO SIDEWALK.  
THEY ASKED FOR THE SIDEWALK WHICH WILL IMPROVE PEDESTRIAN SAFETY AND  
AS A RESULT THOSE TREES HAVE TO BE REMOVED.  
REGARDING BAMBOO VERSUS OTHER TREES, IT DEPENDS ON THE TREE.  
I THINK THE APPLICANT CHOSE BAMBOO BECAUSE IT IS KNOWN TO GROW  
QUICKLY.  
IT PROVIDES THE PRIVACY FOR THE RESIDENCES THAT WAS AND IS THE LESSER  
EXTENT NOW IMPORTANT.  
THAT BEING SAID, NOW THE APPLICANT HAS IS PROPOSING THE THIRD AND  
FOURTH SIDES.  
CONSIDERING THERE WOULD NOW BE SCREENING ON BOTH THE FOURTH AND THIRD  
FLOORS, THE NEED THE BAMBOO TO REACH THE HEIGHT OF THE BUILDING IS  
LESS.  
IN TERMS OF PRIVACY.  
I THINK THAT'S WHY, THE APPLICANT GOT UP THE POSSIBILITY OF TREES  
AND WE MENTIONED THE STRAWBERRY TREE.  
I DON'T THINK THE STRAWBERRY TREE WILL GROW AS QUICKLY AS THE BAMBOO.  
BUT IT IS A POSSIBILITY.  
>> WOULD IS IT SHIELD IT ON AS MANY FLOORS AS BAMBOO?  
I'M PICTURING A TREE WITH A STUMP A BIGGER CANOPY AT THE TOP.  
I DON'T THINK IT REACHES THE HEIGHT.  
THERE'S A CLASS SHOWING THE BAMBOO HEIGHT AT DIFFERENT YEARS.  
I DON'T THINK IT WILL GO AS TALL BUT KEEP IF MIND, THE NEED OR THE  
PRIVACY CONCERN THAT THE BAMBOO IS ADDRESSING IS DIMINISHED.  
>> ANY QUESTIONS FOR STAFF OR FOR THE APPLICANT.  
YOU'RE GOOD.  
OKAY CITY ATTORNEY THEN.  
IS THIS THE TIME WITH I WOULD BE CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING?  
>> YES.  
BEFORE YOU DO THAT.  
FOR THE RECORD, ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED BY THE CITY  
COUNCIL INCLUDING ALL THE DOCUMENTS, THE STAFF REPORT, ALL OF THE  
DOCUMENTS ADDED TO THE STAFF REPORT AND TESTIMONY OF THE PEOPLE THAT  
HAVE SPOKEN AT THE CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING AND THE DOCUMENTS  
PROVIDED BY THE APPELLANT AND INCLUDING THE MOST RECENT DOCUMENTS  
AND THE STAFF RESPONSES THAT WERE RECEIVED IN THE LAST TWO DAYS.  
AND RESPONDED TO TODAY.  
THAT'S ALL PART OF THE RECORD.  
FOR THE RECORD ALL OF THOSE WERE GIVEN TO THE COUNCIL PRIOR TO IT  
EVENING AND HAVE BEEN REVIEWED BY THE CITY COUNCIL.  
AT THIS TIME IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.  
>> THANK YOU, I WILL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.  
WE'LL COMMENCE COUNCIL DELIBERATIONS.  
COLLEAGUES, I THINK I CAN SEE YOU ON THE SAME SCREEN NOW.  
IF THAT CHANGES I'LL LET YOU KNOW.



WHO WANTS TO START OFF?

>> FRANKLIN.

>> THANK YOU.

IT IS TOUGH.

IT WAS INTERESTING I HAD ATTACHED TO MY DOOR SOMEBODY ATTACHED IT TO MY DOOR IN JANUARY I BELIEVE.

THE POETS SECTION DOESN'T WANT TO BE IN A FISHBOWL.

I WISH THEY STAYED AROUND AND I WOULD HAVE SHOWED THEM SOMETHING, THAT I LIVE IN A FISHBOWL NOW.

I LIVE ON PINE AVENUE.

AND SLOWLY OVER THE COURSE OF 30 AND SOME CASES IT WAS RAPIDLY ALL OF THESE HOMES WERE SINGLE STORY AND BUILT IN THE 40S AND OURS WAS IN THE MIDDLE OF IT AND BEFORE WE NOE IT, A COUPLE OF YEARS AFTER WE MOVED IN THE HOUSE NORTH OF US WENT DOWN AND TWO-STORY HOME WENT UP.

SAME THING HAPPENED, IT SEEMED THE DAY THAT WAS FINISHED.

THE ONE TO THE SOUTH WENT DOWN IF A TWO-STORY HOME BUILT THERE AND CATTY CORNER TO US THERE WAS A WONDERFUL FAMILY.

THE FAMILY SOLD IT AND TWO LARGE HOMES WENT UP AND FINALLY BEHIND US TO THE WEST, OUR VIEW TO THE WEST.

REGULATION, ALL ZONES AND ALL REGULATE, LARGE THERE AS WELL.

THEY USE BAMBOO AS THE PRIVACY.

NEIGHBORHOODS CHANGE.

WE WEREN'T THRILLED WITH IT.

WE LOST TWO HOURS OF AFTERNOON SUN IN OUR BACKYARD.

WE HAD TO CHANGE OUT OUR LANDSCAPING.

I STILL REMEMBER WHEN THE HOUSE TO THE SOUTH OF US AND WE KNOW SOUTHERN EXPOSURE GETS MORE SUN, WHETHER THEY PUT ON THE FINAL PANEL ON THE ROOF, OUR HOUSE BECAME A LITTLE BIT DARKER.

THEY HAVE PROPERTY RIGHTS.

THEY'RE ALLOWED TO DO THAT.

THEY CONFORM TO THE ZONING.

WE HAD TO LIVE WITH IT.

OUR CHILDREN STILL PLAY AND OUR LIFE WENT ON.

THE SUBJECT PROPERTY MEETS ALL OF THE CURRENT ZONING.

THE ISSUE OF THE EIR HAS BEEN ADDRESSED.

WE FOUND OUT THAT THE SUNRISE ASSISTED LIVING IN HAD AN EIR.

THAT WAS VOLUNTARY.

THAT'S RIGHT UP AGAINST THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

THERE'S NOTHING THAT SEPARATES THE BACK END OF THAT DEVELOPMENT OR EXISTING RIGHT NOW GOAT HILL FROM THE FIRST RESIDENT THERE.

WE GO FURTHER NORTH, YOU SEE HOTELS BUILT IF THE 80S. THERE'S A THREE-FOOT SETBACK WITH HOMES TO THE EAST.

THAT WAS ALLOWED.

THEY REPLACED RETAIL STORES.

THAT WAS ALLOWED BECAUSE YOU KNOW SEPULVEDA IS A COMMERCIAL CORRIDOR.

I WAYS CONCERNED ABOUT THE PRIVACY AND SPENT A LOT OF TIME LOOKING

AT THE LOUVERS.  
I ASKED WHETHER THEY COULD BE ADJUSTED BY ANYBODY IN THE HOTEL OR  
AFTERWARD.  
THOSE ARE GOING TO BE PERMANENT AND .MAXIMUM PRIVACY.  
THEY'RE NOT GOING TO PEER INTO YOUR BACKYARD LIKE MY NEIGHBORS CAN.  
TIER GOING TO BE ON FLOOR.  
WE HEARD A POINT HERE, PLANNER -- TED, I CAN'T REMEMBER YOUR LAST  
NAME.  
FROM MAY NOT BE A NEED FOR BAMBOO.  
HAVING THAT KIND OF PRIVACY.  
THE SLOW SLOWDOWN LANE OR CUT-OUT LANE NORTH BOUND ON SEPULVEDA WILL  
ENHANCE THE SAFETY.  
I LOOKED AT GELSON'S AND THEY PUT A CUT-OUT LANE SOUTHBOUND ON ACCEPT  
PULL SHVED THAT.  
THEY ENTER A SLOW DOWN.  
THE TRAFFIC KEEPS GOING.  
IN MANY WAYS IT SEEMS TO BE A SAFER APPROACH NORTH BOUND, WITH YOU'RE  
NORTH BOUND.  
ONLY OTHERER AGREES IS TENNYSON.  
NO NEED TO TWO EAST ON TENNYSON FROM THE HOTEL EXIT.  
HE'S RUNNING AN OPERATION, THERE'S NOT MUCH IMPACT OF PEOPLE DRIVING  
THEIR CARS TO HOTELS, ESPECIALLY A BUSINESS HOTEL AND SO CLOSE TO  
LAX, PEOPLE WON'T RENT THEIR CAR AND COME HERE.  
I WOULD LOVE TO COME HERE ON BISP ABOUT GREAT PLACE TO VISIT.  
ALL OF THE PREDICTIONS ABOUT PEOPLE NOT WANTING TO TRAVEL FOR BUSINESS  
BECAUSE THEY'RE SO USED TO WORKING AT HOME, ARE YOU KIDDING ME?  
THE FIRST THING THEY WANT TO DO IS A ROAD TRIP AND GET OUT.  
THERE'S A GOOD FINANCIAL FUTURE.  
LOOK AT THE FAILED ENTERPRISES. I KNOW IT IS QUIET AND THERE'S  
RESTAURANTS AND BARS LIKE THAT.  
TALK TO THE OWNER AND DEVELOPER AND GET TO DECIDE WHAT IS THE PEST  
USE OF THAT LAND BECAUSE THEY'RE WORKING WITHIN THE PARAMETERS OF  
THE CODE.  
THANK YOU FOR EVERYBODY'S HARD WORK ON THIS.  
THERE'S LARGE VOLUMES OF LINERS THAT WE'VE BEEN LOOKING THROUGH.  
THANK EVERYBODY.  
THE APPLICANT AND APPELLANTS AND STAFF.  
THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR ANSWERING OUR QUESTIONS.  
>> MAYOR PRO TEM, NAPOLITANO.  
ALL RIGHT.  
>> WHY CAN'T I JUST FOR THE GET CALLED ON.  
>> I'M GIVING EVERYONE A CHANCE.  
>> I'LL GO.  
I LIKE THE PROJECT AND DON'T LIKE THIS PROJECT TO BE HONEST THERE.  
I THINK THIS IS A GOOD EXAMPLE OF WHY THE HEIGHT LIMIT.  
APPRECIATE THE APPLICANT, YOU KNOW, WE TALKED ABOUT THIS.  
HE WAS SOMEONE THAT PROMOTED THE IDEA TO HOTELS ON SEPULVEDA.

THIS IS A SITUATION WHERE IT IS NOT NEEDED.  
THE AMOUNT OF ROOMS COULD HAVE TAKEN PLACE WITHOUT THAT FOUR THE  
STORY.  
THE COMMERCIAL PROPERTY SIDE, COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT SIDE OF IT IS  
UNNECESSARY.  
IT IS SUPERFLUOUS FOR THE DESIRE FOR A HOTEL.  
YOU DON'T NEED THAT SPACE.  
THEY MODIFIED THE PROPERTY.  
I VOTED AGAINST THAT AND I THINK THEY'RE STILL NEEDED ON SEPULVEDA  
AND THE LAW IS WHAT IT IS AN I DON'T GET IT REVISIT IT AND CHANGE  
TO 30 FEET.  
OTHER PROPERTIES SHOULD BE LOOKED AT.  
I DON'T THINK THIS 40 FOOT HEIGHT LIMIT IS THE BEST FOR THE COMMUNITY.  
I HAVE TO DEAL WITH IT RIGHT NOW.  
THAT'S HOW THE RULES ARE.  
THERE'S NOT A LOT UNIQUE ABOUT THIS PROJECT.  
WE HEARD THE SAME THING REGARDING GELSON'S APPROVAL.  
YOU TWO DOWN THE CORRIDOR AND THERE'S BUILDINGS THAT ARE TALL AND  
RIGHT ACROSS THE STREET.  
AND RESIDENTIAL HOUSING AND INCLUDING SKETCHERS BUILDINGS NORTH OF  
THE SITE AND OAK AVENUE IS ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF A LOT OF CONCERN FROM  
THE RESIDENTS.  
THERE'S MORE THAT TAKES PLACE OVER TIME.  
IT IS A SHOCK THAT YOU HAVE THIS GREAT BIG THING GOING IN.  
I WANT TO GO BACK TO THE MACOCA DAYS.  
WE CAN'T PICK THE PROJECTS BEFORE US.  
HOW CAN WE MITIGATE THE IMPACT ON THE NEIGHBORHOOD?  
EIR, SAME REPORTS, THAT HAS BEEN TONE.  
NOT GOING TO STEP IN THE SHOES AN EXPERT SA THAT I THIS IS RIGHT.  
IT HAS BEEN STUDIED NEW YORK SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS HAVE BEEN SEEN.  
THAT DOESN'T MEAN THE NEIGHBORHOOD WON'T FEEL IT BUT THE PERCEPTION  
CAN BECOME THAT REALITY.  
FOR THAT REASON I THINK THE TERRACE SHOULD BE HELD TO CERTAIN HOURS.  
SAME WITH OTHER USE PERMITS.  
AS WAS SAID THAT EVEN THOUGH A LOT OF RESTAURANTS HAVE CERTAIN HOURS  
WE DON'T USE THEM ALL.  
FOR THE SANITY OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND GOOD FAITH THAT WE WANT TO  
PUT IN THIS PROJECT, WE WANT TO LIMIT THE ALCOHOL SERVICE WHICH IS  
A CONCERN.  
THE BEST WAY TO INSURE THAT IS TO RESTRICT THE HOURS.  
THERE'S NO NEED TO HAVE 1 P.M. ALCOHOL SERVICE FOR A BUSINESS HOTEL.  
I ALSO SAY THAT VALET PARKING IT IS NOT ADDRESSED DIRECTLY IN THE  
PERMIT, I WOULD SAY THAT THEY HAD TO COME BACK FOR PERMISSION IF  
THEY'RE GOING TO INSTITUTE VALET PARKING AND THAT WOULD BE FREE IN  
THE FUTURE IF THAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN.  
I THINK THAT PARKING IS A CENTER FOR THOSE TO USE ONSITE PARKING AND  
THEY WOULD USE THE STREET SIDE PARKING INSTEAD.

COMPACT SPACES, I LOVE TO ELIMINATE THOSE.  
AND THE PROBLEM IS WE'VE BEEN TALKING EVER SINCE ABOUT REDOING OUR  
PARKING RULES.  
I HAVEN'T DONE THAT.  
GIVEN THE CHANCE I THINK WE SHOULD HAVE CONTACT SPACES FROM THE CODE.  
THE REALITY IS PEOPLE ARE BUYING BIGGER AND NOT SMALLER IN MOST  
INSTANCES.  
ESPECIALLY IN THIS TOWN.  
I'M LOOKING TO HEAR ANY OTHER ADDITIONAL THAT ANYONE WANTS TO PUT  
ON THIS.  
THE 40-FOOT HEIGHT LIMIT WAS A MISTAKE.  
IT WASN'T ROOTED IN THE PROJECT.  
ISH OF PUN.  
MONEY IS NOT DECIDING FACTOR HERE.  
THAT'S NOT REASON TO APPROVE THIS.  
I NEVER EVER APPROVED A PROJECT BECAUSE OF THE MONEY IT WOULD BRING  
TO THE CITY, WHETHER IT WAS RIGHT TO THE CITY AND WHETHER OR NOT  
CONCERNS MITIGATE TO DO FULL EXTENT POSSIBLE.  
I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE SHOULD TO HERE TODAY, MITIGATE THOSE CONCERNS.  
>> MAYOR PRO TEM, I SAW YOUR HAND UP.  
>> THANK YOU.  
THANKS FOR ALL OF THOSE THOUGHTFUL COMMENTS.  
WHEN I LOOKED AT THIS PROJECT, I THOUGHT THIS IS FLYING IF THE FACE  
OF. I BELIEVED THAT THIS -- WHEN DEVELOPER SAID THAT ECONOMICALLY  
THEY WOULDN'T PUT A HOTEL ON A VACANT PIECE OF PROPERTY IN MANHATTAN  
BEACH BECAUSE IT WOULDN'T MAKE SENSE.  
THEY COULDN'T GET A LARGE ENOUGH PIECE OF PROPERTY OUT OF THAT.  
THEN WE HAD THIS PIECE OF PROPERTY.  
WE HAD A HARD TIME WITH THAT.  
ABOUT -- JUSTIFYING THAT AND KNOWING THAT'S NOT WHERE THE DECISION  
HAS TO GO NOW.  
THIS IS I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD TAKE LIGHTLY THAT WE'RE HEARING FROM  
OUR COMMUNITY THAT PRETTY MUCH, 100% OF THE POETS SECTION DON'T FAVOR  
THE PROJECT AND ALL COMMENTS THAT SUPPORT THE PROJECT DON'T HAVE THE  
IMPACT FROM THE HOTEL.  
WE NEED TO TAKE THAT SERIOUSLY.  
WE DON'T GET TO CHOOSE WHICH BUSINESS COMES IN.  
THAT'S FOR THE A PRIVILEGE NECESSARILY.  
WE DO HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO THE COMMUNITY, CERTAINLY WHEN WE'RE  
DIVIDING THIS HOTEL, THEIR CONCERNS.  
WHETHER THEY PROVED OUT ALREADY OR WILL PROVE OUT IS FOR US TO ACTUALLY  
JUST LISTEN TO THEIR CONCERNS.  
WE'RE REPRESENTING BOTH THE DEVELOPER AND CERTAINLY THE COMMUNITY.  
WE HEAR THIS IS A COLLABORATIVE APPROACH.  
BRINGING MORE ENTICING OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE CORE TORE.  
I DON'T WANT TO IGNORE THE SERIOUS CONCERNS THAT THE COMMUNITY HAS.  
I APPRECIATE THE FEW COMMENTS OR THE FEW SUGGESTIONS THAT STEVE HAS

SUGGESTED.

I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST WE INCLUDE A REVIEW.

MAYBE IT IS EVEN A SIX-MONTH REVIEW.

THERE'S TIMES WHEN WE CAN SEE IF THESE CONCERNS PANNED OUT AND RESPOND TO THEM IN THE SAME WAY THAT WE EVENTUALLY AND RESPONDED TO THE CONCERNS RAISED ABOUT RESIDENTS IN.

SO I LIKE THE CONCERNS ABOUT HOURS OF ALCOHOL USE.

IT SHOULD BE DIFFERENT FOR THIS HOTEL TO HAVE LATE HOURS.

FRIDAY SATURDAY NIGHT.

I DON'T REMEMBER. I DON'T REMEMBER THE DISCUSSION ABOUT THE CHART AND WHETHER THEY'RE CHARGING FOR PARKING BOTH IN THE STRUCTURE AND THE OUTDOOR PARKING FOR THE HOTEL PATRON AND MAYBE THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE SHOULD BE LOOKING AT AS WELL.

NOT ONLY DO PEOPLE HAVING TO PAY FOR VALET PARKING AND THEY MAY CHOOSE TO NOT PAY FOR HOTEL PARKING IN WHICH CASE THE CONCERN ABOUT PARKING ON THE STREET WOULD BE A CONCERN.

EVEN THOUGH WE HAVE THIS ON THE BACK WINDOWS.

THE NEIGHBORS ARE CONCERNED ABOUT HOW THEY WILL BE SHIELDED OUT THEIR WINDOWS.

WHETHER IT IS BAMBOO OR STRAWBERRY TREE, WE NEED A MINUS SITE FROM THE EAST SIDE.

I WAS CONCERNED THAT HEARING THE DEVELOPER DID NOT PEAT WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD BEFORE.

WE FIND THOSE IN RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS AND THE DEVELOPERS ARE TYPICALLY NOW MEETING IN RESIDENT THE NEIGHBORHOODS WHEN THEY'RE GETTING READ TO PUT A HOUSE UP.

THAT SHOULD BE SOMETHING WE KEEP AN EYE ON.

IN THE DEVELOPMENTS IT IS BEING A GOOD NEIGHBOR.

THE DEVELOPER IS RESIDENT OF MANHATTAN BEACH.

A TO BE A GOOD NEIGHBOR THAT'S THE RIGHT THING TO DO TO DISCUSS THE PROJECT AND BE TRANSPARENT AND TO LET THE COMMUNITY FEEL THEY HAVE ACTION IN THE PART OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

THOSE ARE MY THOUGHTS.

>> MAY I INTERRUPT.

MAY HAVE A MOTION TO EXTEND?

>> I MOVE TO EXTEND.

>> MAYOR PRO TEM.

>> NOT PAST 11:30.

>> FIRST AND SECOND.

CAN YOU DO ROLL CALL VOTE?

[ROLL CALLED].

MOTION PASSES 5-0.

I'VE DECIDED WE WILL REMOVE THE BUDGET REVIEW TONIGHT AND TAKE THAT TO THE FIRST BUDGET STUDY SESSION.

COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY, YOU'RE UP.

BEEN WITH COUNCIL SINCE 05 AND I'VE SEEN HOTELS AND PROJECTS GO UP THAT RESIDENTS DIDN'T LIKE.

I FOLLOWED MY GUT.  
WHAT THAT MEANT TO THE RESIDENTS.  
ALL OF THEM.  
I'M SURE NO ONE WANTED THOSE HOTELS.  
YOU'RE GOING TO RUIN THE CITY AND CAUSE JAMS.  
SAME ARGUMENT ABOUT WALGREEN'S.  
RUIN THE NEIGHBORHOOD.  
I'VE BEEN A LONG-TIME INSIDER AND I WATCHED THEM ALL.  
THINGS CHANGE, YES.  
THEY CHANGE.  
CHANGE IS DIFFICULT.  
I GET IT.  
I HEARD A COMMENT.  
WE ONLY GET THREE MINUTES TO TALK TO YOU.  
THAT'S NOT TRUE MANY OF YOU E-MAILED COUNCIL.  
SOME EIGHT OR NINE TIMES.  
WE ALWAYS LISTEN.  
THIS DOESN'T MEAN WE DON'T LISTEN, WE LISTEN TO EVERYONE ON EVERY  
TOPIC.  
>> IS THIS ZONED CORRECTLY?  
IT IS NOT A HIGHWAY.  
THERE'S COMMENTS MADE ABOUT PARKING.  
I DON'T LIKE COMPACT SPACES.  
I AGREE WITH THE COMMISSION NO STORAGE ON THAT EVER.  
I AGREE ABOUT A C.U.P. REVIEW.  
I WAS NEW ON COUNCIL.  
LET'S LET IT HAPPEN WEST OF MIRA COSTA AROUND THE NEIGHBORHOOD.  
THEY SAID THE PARKING WOULDN'T WORK IT WORKED.  
IT WORKED.  
OUR TRAFFIC ENGINEER DOES A GREAT JOB.  
ONE SIDE OF THE STREET.  
WE MADE SURE THAT WE WANTED IT TO HAPPEN.  
WE STILL DO THIS TO THIS DAY.  
THERE'S A PARKING PROBLEM.  
WE HAVE THE SAME POWER TO IF I CAN IT.  
ALL OF THE PROBLEMS THAT GO ON HERE THAT WE CONTROL WITH OUR OWN  
DESIGN.  
THEY SAY THEY WILL NEVER SEE THE BUSINESS TRAVEL WE SAW PRECOVID.  
THIS IS A GREAT QUOTE.  
GOOGLE IS FOR THE A REAL COMPANY.  
IT IS A HOUSE OF CARDS.  
FAMOUS QUOTE.  
FORMER CEO OF MICROSOFT SAID IT, MOVING ON THE MARKET CAP IS GOOGLE  
GOOGLE IS \$100 BILLION MORE THAN MICROSOFT.  
THEY HAD PEOPLE TALK TO US ABOUT -- BECAUSE OF COVID THEY COULDN'T  
FIND WORK.  
WOULDN'T THIS CREATE NEW JOBS? PROSPERITY.

I'M NOT A BIG FAN.  
WE DID EVERYTHING WE COULD DO.  
I APPRECIATE ONE COUNCIL MEMBER HAD THE NERVE TO SPEAK.  
THEY DON'T TALK ABOUT PROJECTS WITH DIFFERENCE OF OPINION.  
I'LL SAVE MY COMMENTS UNTIL THE END BUT THAT'S IT FOR NOW.  
>> THANK YOU, RICHARD.  
>> I THINK WE'VE GONE AROUND THE HORN, IT IS MY TURN.  
I THINK EVERYONE WHO HAS REACHED OUT TO ME AND I APOLOGIZE I HAVE  
NOT KEPT UP WITH MY E-MAIL.  
WE HAD HOT TOPICS HERE.  
IT IS A TSUNAMI OF E-MAILS AND I TO MY BEST.  
I APOLOGIZE IF I HAVE FOR THE E-MAILED YOU BACK ABOUT THE HOTEL.  
I GOT DOZENS YESTERDAY.  
COUNCIL, WE'RE VOLUNTEERS.  
MOST OF US HAVE DAY JOBS AND WE HAVE FAMILIES.  
IF WE DON'T VOTE A CERTAIN WAY OR DON'T HAVE TIME TO MEET FOR THE  
CUP OF COFFEE, I HAD GREAT OFFERS, IT ISN'T THAT WE DON'T CARE, IT  
IS JUST A LOT GOING ON.  
I'M DOING MY BEST.  
I MIGHT AGREE SLIGHTLY WITH MY COLLEAGUE.  
I HEARD FROM RESIDENTS WHO LIVE IN THE AREA THAT ARE IN FAVOR OF THE  
BUDGET.  
IT IS NOT UNIFORM 100% I THINK WE HAD PEOPLE IF FAVOR OF THE LARGER  
PROJECT AND THEY WANTED TO PUT FAMILY MEMBERS UP THERE AT THE HOTEL.  
I THINK NO PROJECT IS EVER UNIFORMLY SUPPORTED OR OPPOSED.  
IN THE MASTER PLAN AND STARTING THE PROGRAMS.  
I LIVE NEAR THE PARK.  
MORE TRAFFIC A MORE NOISE.  
I LIKE THE PARK.  
I FEEL THAT'S SOMETHING THAT COMES WITH THE TERRITORY.  
I'M GLAD RICHARD BROUGHT UP OUR FORMER CANDIDATE.  
I PARKED RIGHT IN FRONT OF HER HOUSE AND RACED DOWN THE HILL.  
I KNOW GRETEL IS IMPACTED.  
WHEN YOU'RE NEAR A COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, I FEEL, THERE'S NO ONE IN  
TOWN AWAY FROM THE GROWING PAINS.  
I'M SYMPATHETIC.  
I SHARE YOUR PAIN.  
I THINK SOME OF YOU NOTICE, I'M IN FAVOR OF THE PROJECT.  
I'LL TELL YOU WHY.  
I THINK THIS IS THE BEST POSSIBLE USE FOR THE PARCEL.  
AS STEVE SAID WE DON'T PICK PROJECTS.  
WE DON'T SAY THAT'S A GOOD FIT.  
THE MARKET, SOME THINGS WORTH IT AND SOME AREN'T.  
ANYONE THAT COMES FORWARD TO PROPOSE SOMETHING IS PLAYING WITH THEIR  
OWN MONEY.  
THOUGH THE RESEARCH AND SPEND THE MONEY AND PAY FOR THE STUDIES AND  
DRAWINGS AND EIR REVIEWS.

I LOVE IT IS A LOCAL OWNER.  
WE DON'T WANT ANOTHER RESIDENCE INN.  
THAT'S A LOCAL OWNER BUT THAT'S A SQUIRRELY SITUATION THERE THAT WE  
HAD TO JUMP IN AND DO REVIEW.  
I LIKE IT IS A LOCAL AND WE CAN BE ACCOUNTABLE.  
IT IS SELECT SERVICE HOTEL.  
I CAN'T SAY THAT ENOUGH.  
THIS IS NOT A FULL-SERVICE HOTEL.  
I DIDN'T THINK ABOUT THOSE.  
BELMAR HAS BEEN THERE IF DECADES.  
BUSINESS TRAVELERS ARE QUIETER.  
THEY TAKE RIDE SHARE.  
THIS IS I'D TEAL TRAVELERS TO HAVE.  
IT IS ADEQUATELY ZONED.  
IT IS ON SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD.  
IT HAS THE 23-FOOT BUFFER AND BETTER THAN A LOT.  
I DO LIKE THE SUGGESTIONS ABOUT THE TREES.  
MAYBE WE COULD RETURN TO HARDWOOD TREES INSTEAD OF BAMBOO.  
THEY PROBABLY WANTED THE BAMBOO FOR THE [INDISCERNIBLE].  
BUT THEY'RE NOT FAVORITE EITHER.  
I DON'T BELIEVE THEY'RE CALIFORNIA NATIVE BUT NOT SURE.  
I TELL YOU I ALSO AM GRATEFUL THAT THE DEVELOPER HAS SHRUNK THE  
PROJECT.  
IT IS SMALLER.  
THEY MOVED THE PROJECT WEST.  
THEY REDUCED IT ONE ROOM.  
I WAS SURPRISED TO FIND OUT THIS SPACE HAD INCREASED ALONG CHABELA.  
THEY ALLOWED A GIANT WALL TO GO UP.  
THE BACK SIDE OF THIS IS QUITE ATTRACTIVE WITH MORE ARTICULATION AND  
THE PLANTING AND EVEN THE SLOPE OF CHABELA.  
IS IT PERFECT, NO. IS THIS EXEMPTION.  
IF IT ISN'T, I DON'T KNOW WHAT IS.  
BUSINESSES FAIL IN CERTAIN LOCATIONS.  
YOU TO A RESTAURANT AND WORK THERE, IT WOULDN'T CLOSE.  
THEY CAN'T MAKE IT WORK OR SOMETHING ELSE.  
I DO LIKE MY COLLEAGUES SUGGESTIONS AND STEVE AND THE ALCOHOL HOURS.  
I THINK THAT'S FAIR SUNDAY THROUGH THURSDAY, I WOULD BE IN FAVOR OF  
EARLY CLOSING TIME.  
THE PARKING LOT, I DID NOT CONSIDER THAT.  
THE TREES ARE ISSUE.  
NO RENTAL CARS, THAT'S SMART.  
SIX MONTH REVIEW.  
I DON'T WANT ANOTHER RESIDENCE INN.  
WE KNOW THE DEVELOPER AND WILL HOLD HIM ACCOUNTABLE.  
I LIKE THE PERMIT PARKING.  
I'M IN FAVOR OF THIS PROJECT.  
WITH THAT I'LL PIPE DOWN.



I'M IN FAVOR.  
OPEN TO QUESTIONS AND LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING A MOTION.  
>> I NEVER DROVE BEHIND THE DEALERSHIP BUT IT IS GIANT.  
>> ANYWAY, ALL RIGHT.  
I'LL TAKE A STAB AT IT AND THAT WOULD BE TO MAKE A MOTION DIRECTING  
STAFF TO GRANT THE RESOLUTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT AND  
APPROVE A PASTER USE PERMIT FOR COUNCIL.  
COUNCIL WITH THE FOLLOWING CHANGES.  
ALCOHOL SERVICE BE LIMITED TO 11 P.M. SUNDAY THROUGH THURSDAY 1 P.M.  
FRIDAY AND SATURDAY.  
1 A.M. 1 A.M. FRIDAY AND SATURDAY.  
PARKING NOT BE CHARGED AT ANY TIME.  
I THINK YOU ADDED SOMETHING REGARDING FOR RENTAL CARS?  
>> THAT WAS RICHARD.  
I THOUGHT THAT WAS GOOD SUGGESTION.  
I DIDN'T HEAR THE APPLICANT ASK FOR THEM.  
>> THIS WAS THOUGHT ABOUT AND TALKED ABOUT THE IN PAST.  
IT IS A GOOD IDEA TO BAN ANY RENTAL CAR OR STORAGE FROM THE SITE.  
>> INCLUDE THAT AS WELL.  
>> ANYTHING ELSE?  
>> SIX MONTH AND ONE YEAR REVIEW.  
AFTER IT BEGINS OPERATION.  
>> YEAH.  
>> THAT'S GOOD.  
TO WE WANT TO DESIGNATE.  
ARE WE GOING TO DESIGNATE THE TREES IN BACK?  
>> I DEFER TO STAFF ON THAT.  
>> TYPICALLY ON PROJECTS LIKE THIS THEY HAVE TO COME UP WITH  
LANDSCAPING PLANS AND UP FOR STAFF APPROVAL.  
I THINK THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE HERE.  
I'M LOOKING TO CARRY ON THAT.  
IT IS KNOWN WHAT WE WANT TO ACHIEVE.  
IT IS REALLY SOME TYPE OF NATIVE REDWOOD OR HARDWOOD.  
>> WE ARE TALKING ABOUT BASICALLY THAT DURING THE REVIEW OF THE  
LANDSCAPE PLAN THAT WHAT STAFF WOULD BE LOOKING FOR IS SOME KIND OF  
HARDWOOD TREE THAT ACCOMPLISHES X.  
ARE WE LOOKING AT SCREENING HEIGHT.  
I KNOW IT WAS MENTIONED WHETHER THE PLANTING COULD BE PUT IF A WAY  
TO BUFFER OUTSIDE OF THE LOUVERS THAT SHIELD IT.  
IF YOU SUPPLEMENT THE LOUVERS, JUST A LITTLE DIRECTION AND WHEN WE  
REVIEW THE PLAN WE HAVE CRITERIA AND WE COULD WORK THOSE INTO THE  
ADDED CONDITIONS.  
>> I WOULD THINK IT WOULD SUPPLEMENT THE LOUVERS.  
>> WE WANT TO KEEP THEM.  
>> AS FAR AS LANDSCAPING, IT IS TO SCREEN.  
>> OKAY.  
>> I'LL MAKE THAT A SECOND.

>> HILDY.  
>> I THINK THE PRIORITY IS THAT IT EFFECTS THE LINE OF SIGHT FROM THE HOTEL ROOM TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD.  
WE ALSO WANTED IT TO BE AS NATIVE AS IT CAN.  
>> ON THE LOUVERS, I HAVE MY WINDOW WIDE WOOD SLAT BLIND.  
I PLAY WITH THEM TO SEE LOOKING OUT.  
THEY'RE EFFECTIVE.  
I'M NOT SURE THERE'S MUCH TO BE GAINED.  
THERE SHOULD BE TREES THERE.  
I DON'T THINK WE HAVE TO BE OVERLY CONCERNED THAT THEY'RE GOING TO SHIELD, PROVIDE MORE SHIELDING.  
THAT'S ONE COMMENT.  
COUNCIL MEMBER NAPOLITANO, WHEN YOU MENTIONED THE PARKING FEE, I BELIEVE YOU SAID NO PARKING FEE.  
I DON'T WANT TO INTERFERE WITH THE BUDGET.  
TRUST ME NOBODY DISLIKES PARKING FEES.  
>> THEY PUSH TEAM IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD.  
WHAT IF YOU BUDGETED AND SEE IF IT WAS REASONABLE?  
>> I DON'T CARE.  
WE CAN ASK.  
IF WE'RE PROTECTING THE NEIGHBORHOOD WE'LL DO THAT.  
AS FAR AS THE BOTTOM LINE HE ADDS \$25 ON THE ROOM RATE AS OPPOSED TO CHARGING SEPARATELY FOR PARKING.  
>> HUGE CONSIDERATION FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD.  
>> I UNDERSTAND THAT.  
WE WANT THIS TO BE SUCCESSFUL IN THE SENSE THAT WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE ANOTHER EL TORITO ON OUR HAND.  
I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THE IMPACT.  
>> MAYOR, SUGGESTION.  
SINCE WE'RE COMING BACK WITH A RESOLUTION WITH DRAFT CONDITIONS, THE APPLICANT WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS THOSE CONDITIONS JUSTICE LIKE ANYBODY ELSE.  
ANYONE CAN DISCUSS THOSE CONDITIONS.  
THAT GIVES THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO PENCIL IT OUT, I NEED IT OR DON'T NEED IT.  
HAVE IT AS DRAFT CONDITION.  
BRING IT UP AT THE MEETING.  
>> FRIENDLY AMENDMENT?  
>> THE DRAFT CONDITIONS.  
>> I WOULD INCLUDE IT IN THE MOTION.  
AND THE OPPORTUNITY TO COME BACK AND SAY THAT DOESN'T WORK FOR ME AND ADJUST IT NEXT.  
NO NEED TO AMEND THE MOTION.  
>> WE HAVE A FIRST A SECOND BY RICHARD.  
>> YES.  
OKAY, ROLL CALL.  
[ROLL CALLED].

PASSES 5-0.  
>> MY UNDERSTANDING IS THE CITY COUNCIL DOESN'T WANT THE STORAGE OF RENTAL CARS.  
SOMEONE RENTS A CAR AT THE AIRPORT AND PARKS.  
>> ABSOLUTELY.  
YES.  
STORAGE AND REPRESENTING FROM THE PROPERTY GOING OUT.  
>> YES.  
>> WE UNDERSTAND, THANK YOU.  
OKAY, STAFF, FABULOUS JOB.  
I KNOW THAT WAS BIG DEAL.  
KUDOS TO ALL.  
GREAT JOB.  
TOUGH CALL BUT I THINK WE GAVE IT A LOT OF TIME AND ANALYSIS AND I'M PROUD OF COUNCIL.  
>> WE WILL COME BACK WITH RESOLUTION PROBABLY NOT NEXT MEETING, A MONTH FROM NOW.  
WE'LL NOTIFY THEM WITH THE USUAL E-MAILS.  
I DON'T KNOW IF WE USE CELL PHONES ANYMORE.  
WE'LL SEE.  
>> THANK YOU.  
THANK YOU, EVERYBODY.  
THANK YOU TO ALL OF THE RESIDENTS AND STAKEHOLDERS.  
LETTER K GENERAL BUSINESS, WE MOVE ON TO ITEM NUMBER 8 THE ANNUAL EMPLOYMENT OF CITY BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS AND I THINK WE TALKED ABOUT DOING THIS, LISA, OR ARE YOU GETTING COLD FEET? THANK YOU, HONORABLE MAYOR.  
THIS IS MY APPOINTMENT.  
WE HAVE TEN SEATS THAT WE NEED TO FILL.  
SO MAYOR HADLEY I'M GOING TO GIVE THE CITY CLERK AN OPPORTUNITY TO WORK HARD ON THIS PROCESS.  
IT WOULD BE A GOOD OPPORTUNITY FOR HER TO SEE IT TO THE END.  
>> PATTY YOU KEEP ME IN TRACK, NOMINATION ROUND ONE AND TWO.  
I GET CONFUSED WITH ROUND TWO AND THREE.  
>> NO PROBLEM.  
>> I'LL FOLLOW ALONG.  
>> DOES EVERYONE HAVE THE WONDERFUL SHEETS PREPARED FOR US.  
ONE TIME I DIDN'T HAVE THE SHEETS.  
PLANNING COMMISSION, SEAT NUMBER ONE.  
>> THAT IS HELD BY STEWART FOURNIER AND HE'S SEEKING REAPPOINTMENT.  
>> TERRIFIC.  
NOMINATIONS.  
>> HE DOES A GOOD JOB, DESERVES REAPPOINTMENT.  
NO NOMINATIONS.  
I WOULD REAPPOINT STEWART.  
>> RICHARD?  
>> NORMALLY I REAPPOINT.

WE HAVE TWO REALTORS, I WON'T VOTE FOR THE APPOINTMENT I'LL NOMINATE  
ROBERT, AN ATTORNEY, HE COULD HELP US WITH OUR SELL ISSUES.  
I FOUND A CANDIDATE, KRIS SISTOS.  
KRIS HAS A BACKGROUND IN DEVELOPMENT AND A STANFORD GRAD.  
KRISTIN SISTOS.  
>> MAYOR PRO TEM.  
>> I TOO AM GOING TO NOMINATE STEWART.  
MY NOMINATION, I LOVE STEWART.  
I THINK TWO REALTORS IS TOUGH.  
I'M GOING TO SECOND THE NOMINATION.  
WE NEED DIVERSITY ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND THAT'S MY  
NOMINATION.  
ROUND ONE.  
>> I WILL MAKE A NOMINATION.  
I'LL NOMINATE ROD WHO HAS THE DEPTH OF EXPERIENCE.  
>> WAIT.  
STEVE, YOU'RE OUT OF ORDER.  
YOU SAID STEWART.  
>> I DIDN'T MAKE A NOMINATION.  
>> WELL YOU MISSED YOUR CHANCE.  
I DID NOT, I AM CHANGING MY MIND.  
PATTY, YOUR FIRST TIME.  
>> NAPOLITANO YOU IT NOMINATE.  
>> I'LL START THE DOUBLE NOMINATION.  
I'LL NOMINATE TWO WITH ROBERT AND SPACKMAN.  
>> SISTOS.  
AND ROBERT.  
>> ROBERT AND STEWART.  
>> I'M GOING TO VOTE FOR CHRISTIAN.  
>> ROD.  
>> ROUND TWO, JOE.  
>> STEVE HAVE A SECOND?  
>> NO.  
>> IN THIS INSTANCE, ROD SPACKMAN AND CONTINUE STEWART FOURNIER IS  
NO LONGER BEING CONSIDERED.  
GREAT POINT.  
>> JOE.  
CHRISTIAN.  
>> SHE DIDN'T MAKE IT.  
>> SHE DID.  
>> HILDY.  
ROBERT, YES.  
>> SISTOS.  
>> SECOND.  
>> ROBERT.  
>> OKAY.  
ROUND THREE, HILDY.

>> YES.  
>> SISTOS.  
>> SISTOS.  
>> CHRISTIAN SISTOS IS NOMINATED.  
>> CAN WE MAKE IT YOU, PHAM?  
>> YES.  
WE HAVE SEAT BETWEEN HELD BY THOMPSON A HE'S NOT SEEKING  
REAPPOINTMENT.  
I'M GOING TO NOMINATE ROBERT.  
>> SECOND.  
>> JIM.  
>> EXCUSE ME.  
I'M WRITING IT IN.  
ROBERT.  
>> YEAH.  
NAPOLITANO.  
>> BRAD.  
>> TIM AND ROBERT.  
>> I'M GOING TO MIRROR THAT.  
DILLAVOU AND MR. YAP.  
>> HILDY.  
>> [INDISCERNIBLE].  
>> I DIDN'T HEAR THAT ONE.  
>> ROBERT YAP.  
>> JUST THAT ONE.  
OKAY.  
>> I'M GOING TO VOTE SPACKMAN AND [INDISCERNIBLE].  
>> SO JAMES DILLAVOU CONTINUES.  
ROUND TWO.  
>> I'M GOING TO VOTE THE SAME.  
>> I'LL VOTE FOR ROBERT, YES.  
>> I'M GOING TO VOTE FOR JAMES.  
>> I GUESS I'M -- JIM IS A GREAT GUY AND HE WOULD DO WELL BUT GOING  
FROM THE CRITERIA, IT IS SAD ABOUT CONFLICTS.  
HE'S IN DEVELOPMENT.  
UNDERSTAND THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN STEWART AND JIM.  
WHO ARE MY CHOICES?  
>> [INDISCERNIBLE].  
>> JACKMAN.  
>> DILVU RECEIVED THREE VOTES.  
>> WILL BUT IT IS RIGHT IN THE FACE OF WHAT PEOPLE SAY.  
>> DEVELOPMENT IS A LITTLE DIFFERENT THAN REAL ESTATE.  
THEY'RE ALL DEALING WITH REAL ESTATE.  
>> NEXT WE HAVE PARKS IMMIGRATION COMMISSION SEAT FOUR.  
HE'S NOT SEEKING REAPPOINTMENT.  
>> NOMINATE KAREN.  
>> NOMINATE TERRY.

>> STEVEN DORN.  
YES.  
>> ADAMS WALDEN.  
>> TERRY WARREN.  
>> I'M GOING NOMINATE ONE BRAD.  
>> STEVEN DORAN.  
>> DORAN.  
>> DORAN.  
>> JUST DORAN OR A SECOND ONE?  
>> THAT'S IT.  
I GO WITH ASHLEY ADAMS AND STEVEN DORN.  
>> WARREN AND ADAMS.  
>> STEVEN DURAN.  
>> PATTY, WHO WOULD BE IN?  
>> ALL THREE.  
WARREN.  
>> WHO DID YOU SAY?  
SHE AT ANY TIME GET ON.  
>> SHE DID.  
>> ONLY PERSON MADE IT FORWARD WAS DORAN AND WARREN.  
I GO WITH STEVEN DORAN.  
>> DORAN.  
>> DORAN.  
>> MAKING IT UNANIMOUS.  
>> DORAN IS NOMINATED.  
>> NEXT WE HAVE PARKS AND REC COMMISSION, OLDER ADULT SEED FIVE  
CURRENTLY HELD BY LAURIE MCCARTHY, SHE'S SEEKING REAPPOINTMENT.  
>> LAURIE.  
>> ALWAYS DID A GREAT JOB.  
>> I WILL AS WELL.  
>> SAME.  
SAME.  
>> UNANIMOUS.  
>> WE HAVE PARKS AND REC COMMISSION, STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE SEAT  
SEVEN CURRENTLY HELD BY MATTHEW CULLEN.  
IT IS ONE-YEAR TERM.  
HE'S TERMED OUT.  
>> EMMA COBB.  
>> SKYLAR GRAMPP.  
>> NOMINATE JACKSON.  
>> I'M GOING TO DO GRANT.  
>> ALEENA.  
>> WELL.  
I WANT TO SAY, I WANT TO THANK THESE STUDENTS.  
MOST IMPRESSIVE GROUP OF STUDENTS.  
THEIR PARENTS FOR THE TO BE PROUD.  
YOU HAVE GREAT KID.

I'M STAYING WITH SKYLAR.  
>> GRANT.  
GOT YOU ONE NOMINATION.  
AND ALEENA.  
>> OKAY.  
>> GRAHAM AND PARK.  
>> SKYLER GRANT AND ALEENA.  
>> I'M GOING TO VOTE FOR EMMA.  
AND JACKSON.  
THAT WAS EMMA AND JACKSON.  
>> YES.  
>> GRANT AND PARRA.  
>> SKYLER AND ALEENA.  
>> COBB AND GRANT.  
HILDY, SO PATTY WHO IS SCORELESS.  
>> MOVING FORWARD IS EMMA COBB, SKYLAR AND ALEENA.  
>> SKYLER.  
>> SKYLER.  
>> HELENE.  
>> EMMA.  
>> SKYLAR.  
OKAY.  
SKYLAR RECEIVES THREE VOTES.  
UNANIMOUS?  
>> YES.  
SKYLAR, JILL SAID, TOUGH AND TOSSED TO THE SIDE.  
THANK YOU FOR APPLYING.  
YOU TENTH GRADERS YOU HAVE ANOTHER CHANCE TO APPLY.  
>> CULTURAL ARTS COMMISSION.  
FOR THIS I THINK CONNECTIONS COUNT AND I'LL TWO WITH ROD.  
>> [INDISCERNIBLE].  
>> YEAH.  
>> HAYNES.  
>> NOMINATE JAKE.  
NOT SURE HOW TO PRONOUNCE HIS LAST NAME.  
>> I'M GOING TO NOMINATE JOAN PRICE.  
>> KAREN AND ROB.  
>> I'M GOING WITH LUCIA.  
ROD.  
I WILL VOTE FOR KAREN AND JAKE.  
>> LUCIA AND ROD.  
>> ROD.  
>> MOVING FORWARD IS AMES SPACKMAN AND KAREN.  
>> LUCIA.  
>> VOTE FOR ROD SPACKMAN.  
>> LUCIA.  
>> ROD.

>> KAREN IN THE MIX?  
>> YES.  
SHE DID MOVE FORWARD BUT SHE WOULD ONLY HAVE ONE VOTE AT THAT POINT.  
>> I'LL STICK WITH HER ALL THE WAY.  
>> WE HAVE TWO FOR LUCIA AND TWO FOR ROD.  
>> ROD.  
>> ROD.  
GOING WITH LUCIA.  
>> ROD.  
>> ROD.  
>> MAKE IT UNANIMOUS, SO SPACKMAN.  
>> MAKE IT UNANIMOUS.  
>> MEMBER AT LARGE SEAT NUMBER FOUR WHICH WAS LEFT VACANT BY CHRIS DAVIS.  
HE APPOINTED TO THE SEAT AND WOULD FULFILL THE CHARM THAT ENDS ON MAY 31ST OF 2022 AND THEY WOULD BE APPOINTED TO ANOTHER THREE-YEAR TERM WITHOUT COUNCIL ACTION.  
>> LUCIA.  
>> KAREN.  
>> SO WHAT IS IT ABOUT?  
YOU COULD NOMINATE OR LEAVE THEM AS THEY ARE.  
>> OKAY.  
BOY.  
I LOVE ITALIAN ART.  
LUCIA.  
>> KAREN.  
>> KAREN.  
>> KAREN AND LUCIA.  
>> SAME FOR ME, KAREN.  
>> KAREN.  
>> LUCIA.  
BOTH LUCIA AND KAREN MOVE FORWARD.  
>> KAREN.  
>> LUCIA.  
>> KAREN.  
>> KAREN.  
>> THREE VOTES FOR KAREN.  
>> YES.  
WE CAN MAKE IT UNANIMOUS.  
>> CULTURAL ARTS COMMISSION.  
SHE WILL BE TURNED OUT AS IT IS A ONE-YEAR TERM.  
>> I NOMINATE CAROLINE KIELY.  
>> I'M GOING TO NOMINATE HANNAH.  
I THOUGHT THAT WAS FANTASTIC.  
>> I'M LOOKING FOR AMOUNTS.  
I'M GOING TO GO WITH LAUREN.  
>> I'LL GO WITH HANNAH.



>> HANNAH.  
>> SUSANNE WHO WAS THE SECOND?  
>> RYAN.  
>> LAUREN.  
>> LAUREN.  
>> YOUR SISTER.  
>> CAN'T PICK FAMILY.  
>> I'M GOING TO DO HANNAH.  
>> HILDY.  
>> RYAN.  
BOTH HANNAH AND LAUREN MOVE FORWARD.  
>> LAUREN.  
>> LAUREN.  
>> LAUREN.  
>> LAUREN.  
>> WE COULD MAKE IT UNANIMOUS.  
THERE'S A FEW TEST GRADERS ON THE LIST WELCOME TO APPLY AGAIN.  
THANK YOU TO ALL WHO APPLIED.  
>> LOVED HER PASSION FOR ART.  
>> THE NEXT LIBRARY COMMISSION.  
SHE'S SEEKING REAPPOINTMENT.  
GREAT PASSION FOR LITERATURE AND ALL THINGS WITH WORD.  
I'M GOING TO NOMINATE, RENOMINATE JANET JONES.  
>> I WOULD TO THE SAME THING.  
>> I WILL DO SAME.  
>> SAME.  
>> SAME.  
>> OKAY.  
LASTLY WE HAVE LIBRARY COMMISSION STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE SEAT SIX.  
HELD BY BAILEY AND WILL BE TURNED OUT AN IT IS A ONE-YEAR TERM.  
>> COBB.  
>> GO WITH MR. JEFFERS.  
>> I'M GOING TO NOMINATE JULIE.  
>> I'M GOING TO NOMINATE ALEENA.  
>> NO FURTHER.  
>> ALEXANDER JEFFERS.  
>> HILDY.  
>> GO WITH JULIE AND ALEXANDER.  
>> I'M GOING TO GO WITH [INDISCERNIBLE] AND ALEX.  
>> ALEENA.  
>> EMMA AND JULIE.  
>> EMMA COBB.  
IT IS.  
WE HAD TWO EMMAS ON THE LIST.  
COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY.  
>> YES.  
>> WHAT WAS YOUR SECOND ONE.

>> ALEENA.  
>> THREE MOVING FORWARD ARE JULIE, ALEXANDER AND ALEENA.  
>> I'LL VOTE FOR JULIE.  
>> I WILL VOTE FOR ALEENA.  
>> ALEENA.  
>> JULIE.  
>> GOOD LORD.  
>> I REALLY LIKE ALEENA AS WELL.  
I'LL SAY ALEENA.  
>> CURRENTLY ALEENA HAS THE THREE VOTES.  
THEY MAKE THAT UNANIMOUS.  
I LIKE ALL OF THEM.  
ALL STUDENTS ARE FANTASTIC.  
>> PARENTS AND TEACHERS YOU DID A GREAT JOB.  
THAT CONCLUDES THE APPOINTMENTS.  
>> GREAT JOB.  
>> THANK YOU.  
>> HAVE GOOD EVENING.  
>> ITEM 9 WE HAVE POSTPONED SO WE'LL GO ON TO LETTER L, NUMBER 10,  
REQUEST BY MAYOR HADLEY AND COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY TO CONSTITUTION  
THE POSSIBILITY OF CANCELING OR RESCHEDULING THE AUGUST 17TH CITY  
COUNCIL MEETING.  
I THOUGHT STERN WAS MY SECOND ON THAT.  
>> [INDISCERNIBLE].  
>> YES.  
I'M SORRY.  
GO AHEAD.  
OVER A YEAR AND MONTH HERE.  
THEY HAD IT IN SACRAMENTO AND THEY WERE THERE AND COME BACK AND FORT.  
NICE TO BE AT CONFERENCE WITH PEOPLE LIVE AND IN PERSON.  
THEY HELD A MEETING AND SECONDED.  
WE TALK WHILE I WAS THERE.  
I ALWAYS LIKE LEAVING SACRAMENTO.  
I REPORT THAT AND BOARD MEETING WILL COME OUT NEXT WEEK.  
THANK YOU YOUR HONOR.  
>> THAT'S SIGNS OF LIFE.  
I HAD NO IDEA THOSE BIG MEETINGS COULD BE IN PERSON YET.  
MAYBE IT IS THE COUNTY.  
>> THANK YOU, RICHARD, NUMBER 10, I THOUGHT MY SECOND WAS MAYOR PRO  
TEM STERN.  
IT WAS RICHARD TO DISCUSS GOING DARK ON AUGUST 17TH.  
WE'RE LOOKING FOR A THIRD, IS THAT RIGHT?  
>> YES.  
THERE'S NO NEED TO GO TO A THIRD ON THIS ONE.  
THE COUNCIL CAN MAKE THAT DECISION RIGHT NOW.  
>> THAT WOULD BE GOOD.  
I SUPPORT THAT.

>> STEVE WON'T SUPPORT IT.  
>> WHAT WERE YOU SUPPORTING, JOE?  
>> MOVING.  
RESCHEDULING.  
GOING DARK AUGUST 17TH.  
>> IS THERE A VERBAL REPORT?  
WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?  
>> I'M FOR THE SURE.  
I BROUGHT FORWARD BECAUSE I DON'T LIKE TO MAKE DECISIONS WHEN THE  
PUBLIC IS NOT REALLY ABLE TO PARTICIPATE.  
I DON'T LIKE MEETINGS LIKE DECEMBER 23RD.  
THE DAY BEFORE THANKSGIVING AND ANY MAJOR BUSINESS.  
I THINK MID AUGUST I KNOW ANY PARENT WITH COLLEGE KIDS IS ABSOLUTELY  
OUT OF POCKET.  
THEN OTHERS ON VACATION.  
THAT'S WHY WE BROUGHT IT OVER.  
>> IS THAT WHAT YOU WERE THINKING?  
>> YES.  
THERE'S NO REPORT.  
>> IT IS ALWAYS UP TO COUNCIL TO DECIDE WHETHER WE GO DARK OR CANCEL.  
ONE MEETING IN AUGUST AND THE FIRST MEETING IN JANUARY.  
>> WE'RE GOING DARK ON AUGUST 17TH.  
YES.  
GO AHEAD.  
>> WE TALKED ABOUT IT.  
NOT ON THE 17TH, WHEN DO WE MAKE IT UP?  
IF YOU LISTEN TO ANYBODY.  
WE HAVE.  
COUNCIL MONTGOMERY CAN SPEAK TO THIS, THERE'S NEVER A PERFECT TIME  
FOR ANY ITEM IF SOMEONE DOESN'T LIKE THEM.  
THERE'S DAYS THAT THINGS HAPPEN.  
NO PERFECT TIME.  
WE SAY IT RIGHT FOR YOU AND AT 11:37.  
WE KEEP GOING OVER.  
WE'RE NOT GETTING BUSINESS DONE.  
THERE'S NO PERFECT TIME.  
IF YOU GO DARK FOR THE SAKE OF GOING DARK OR WE SET UP ANOTHER MEETING  
REGARDLESS OF THAT.  
WE NEED TO SET UP SOME OTHER MEETING IF WE CONTINUE TO MEET PAST 11:30  
MEETING AFTER MEETING AFTER MEETING.  
>> I AGREED I DISCUSSED THIS.  
ONE USES THE PERFECT STORM.  
THE PIG IS WORK ITS WAY THROUGH THE SNAKE.  
WE'RE GETTING THE HOTEL FINISHED TONIGHT IS A BIG ONE.  
YOU'RE LOOKING AT THE FUTURE MEETINGS IN THE AGENDA PREVIEW.  
THINGS TO RUN OUT CONSIDERABLY IN THE NEXT FEW MEETINGS.  
I'M NOT AGAINST ADDING MEETINGS.

>> I WOULD ASK WE REEVALUATE THIS AT THE FIRST MEETING IN JULY AND SEE WHERE WE ARE.  
IF WE'RE MEETING PAST 11:00 EVERY MEETING, THEN WE SHOULD LOOK AT IT AGAIN.  
>> I'M FINE WITH THAT.  
>> THE PROBLEM IS WE ALWAYS EXPAND OUR WORK TO FILL THE SPACE. WE'VE BEEN BUSY.  
NEXT MEETING IF THERE'S A DIP WE'LL ADD THREE OTHER THINGS TO THE FUTURE AGENDA.  
>> MAYOR.  
HOW FAR OUT DO OUR FORECAST GO.  
>> I WOULD SAY IT IS FIRM FOR THE BEGINNING OF JULY AT THIS POINT. PAST THE MIDDLE OF JULY IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO PROJECT.  
>> BY JULY BY YOUR SUGGESTION, WE SHOULD KNOW WHAT WE WOULD LOOK LIKE IN AUGUST.  
I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THAT.  
THAT'S REASONABLE IF WE EXPAND TO THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT WE HAVE. THEN CANCELING SHOULD BE OKAY BECAUSE WE MOVE TO EXPAND AT THAT TIME. LET'S REVISIT IT.  
RICHARD.  
>> THIS DOES NOT JAM UP OUR MEETING.  
NOTHING ELSE DOWN THE PIKE.  
AS FAR AS WE KNOW, NO BIG PROJECTS ARE LEFT.  
DON'T TALK ABOUT BIG ISSUES.  
I'M THE FIRST ONE THAT SAYS I DON'T WANT TO GO TO 11:30.  
>> I AGREE, I'M NOW THE PARE.  
I'M LOOKING AHEAD.  
NO ONE WOULD LIKE TO SMOOTH THESE OUT MORE THAN I.  
GOOD POINTS, WE HAD GIANT ISSUES COME DOWN THE PIKE AND WE CONTRIBUTED TO THOSE.  
>> OKAY.  
YOU HAVE GOOD SUGGESTION.  
NO PROBLEM CANCELING THIS AND SCHEDULING ANOTHER MEETING.  
I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A MAYOR'S COUNCIL IN EARLY JUNE.  
I'M THINKING ABOUT PUBLIC SAFETY.  
>> SECOND.  
>> OKAY.  
THANK YOU.  
>> MAYOR.  
>> COULD YOU SAY IT AGAIN?  
>> MAYOR'S TOWN HALL.  
TOWN HALL ON PUBLIC SAFETY.  
>> THIS DOESN'T GO THROUGH A THREE STEP PROCESS.  
TYPICALLY MAYORS SAID THIS ON THEIR OWN.  
YOU ASKED IF IT IS OKAY AND THERE'S NO OBJECTION.  
YOU CAN HAVE IT.  
WE HAVE TO COME BACK FOR A THIRD VOTE.

IT IS GOOD PRACTICE TO BRING IT TOWER COLLEAGUES.  
EXPECT THREE VOTES FOR EXTENDING RESOURCES ON STAFF REPORT AND ON  
THE TOWN HALL IS SOMETHING THAT THE COLLEAGUES COULD WEIGH IN ON.  
JOUR ON ITEM M.

ONE FUTURE ITEM.

AS MOST OF YOU KNOW THE STATE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT THAT  
IN APRIL OF THIS YEAR, RELEASED GUIDELINES.

LIKE COUNCIL AUTHORIZE CITY ATTORNEY TO RESEARCH HOW THE NEW  
GUIDELINES AND OTHERS AND APPLICABLE LAW.

>> I'LL SECOND THAT.

>> THAT'S ANOTHER ONE THAT DOESN'T HAVE TO GO THROUGH THREE STEP  
PROCESS.

I'LL DO THE RESEARCH AND COME YOU BACK WITH A CONFIDENTIAL MEMO.

>> THANK YOU.

>> SO, MAY IS ASIA AMERICAN A PACIFIC ISLANDER HERITAGE MONTH.  
CONSISTENT WITH OUR PRACTICE OF AFFIRMING THE IMPORTANCE OF A  
PARTICULAR HERITAGE THAT IS COMMEMORATED AND INTRODUCING THE  
RESOLUTION FOR OUR ASIA AMERICANS.

I'M ASKING FOR A SECOND FROM ONE OF YOU WHO ALSO HAS THE SAME PLAN  
AND WOULD LIKE TO BRING BACK A RESOLUTION THAT SHOWS SUPPORT FOR THE  
AATI COMMUNITY.

>> I SAW THE TEMPLATE.

IT JUST SAYS, REALLY WE'RE NOT -- WE STAND AGAINST ASIAN HATE.

>> WELL THE LANGUAGE.

TO OUR LANGUAGE.

I SUPPORT THE IDEA OF THE RESOLUTION.

>> SO DO I.

I JUST DON'T LIKE THE WORD HATE.

SO STRONG.

I KNOW YOU WANT TO OPPOSE HATE.

IT SENDS A -- I DON'T KNOW I RATHER FIND A WAY TO PUT LOVE IN THERE.

>> I DON'T THINK WE CAN HAVE A FULL DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS.

DO WE NEED A THIRD FOR THIS?

HOW DOES IT WORK?

>> IT IS SOMETHING ON THE AGENDA.

IT COULD BE ON AN AGENDA, WHAT MONTH?

MAY?

I DON'T KNOW, I WOULD HAVE TO TALK TO BRUCE.

DEPENDING ON THE SCHEDULE, IF IT COULD BE CONSIDERED.

THE RESOLUTION CAN BE CONSIDERED AT THE NEXT MEETING.

>> OKAY.

WE CAN PUT THAT ON.

>> GREAT.

>> ANYBODY ELSE?

WE WILL CLOSE FUTURE GENERAL THAT ITEMS AND CITY MANAGER REPORT.

>> NO REPORT.

BUT COMPLIMENT COUNCIL MEMBER MONTGOMERY ON HIS TIE.

>> THIS IS WHAT WE PUT.  
>> THE EXTRA.  
>> ALL OF A SUDDEN IT IS EXTRA.  
>> YOU'RE BUSTED.  
CITY ATTORNEY, ANY REPORT?  
>> I'D LIKE TO SAY I LIKE STEVE'S TIE AS WELL.  
>> SUSANNE.  
>> I GOT A NICE START HERE.  
>> INFORMATIONAL ITEMS, COMMISSION MEETINGS, MINUTES FROM THE  
COASTAL COMMISSION AND CLOSED SESSION FOR AND WE'LL ADJOURN  
UNTIL -- YES, TUESDAY 6 P.M. FOR A BUDGET STUDY SESSION.  
MAY 11TH.  
THAT IS A WEEK FROM TONIGHT.  
6 P.M. FOR THE BUDGET STUDY SESSION.  
>> YOU WANT THAT OFF NOW, STEVE?  
>> WE DON'T DO THIS NOW.  
>> THANK YOU, EVERYBODY, GREAT MEETING.  
WE'RE PAST 11:30.  
SORRY.  
GOOD NIGHT, EVERYBODY.