

ROUGHLY EDITED COPY
MANHATTAN BEACH CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
REMOTE BROADCAST CAPTIONING
TUESDAY, 5 DF=@&Z&\$&(

* * * * *

This text is being provided in a rough draft format. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be totally verbatim record of the proceedings.

* * * * *

CITY OF MANHATTAN
CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING
TUESDAY, April 2, 2024

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you. So we're going to leave our closed session and reconvene into open session. And City Attorney Barrow, if you could give us an announcement.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Thank you, Mayor. Previously this afternoon, the City Council went into closed session on two separate matters. The City Council gave direction. There was no other reportable action taken.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you so much. So we will adjourn from the closed session. And welcome to the City Council regular meeting on Tuesday, April 2nd. And we are going to have the pledge to the flag led by Mr. James Gill.

JAMES GILL: If we could place our hand over our heart and follow my lead, I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Well, thank you. Well, just stay up here for a second. I want to talk to you, my fellow cowboy. So, the reason I asked James to lead the pledge is, number one, you're a veteran.

JAMES GILL: Yes. I am.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Right, and which branch?

JAMES GILL: Air Force.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. And then also two, he's really developed this great, nonprofit to encourage equine therapy for vets that are suffering from PTSD. So I just wanted to give him a few minutes here to talk about it and let you know what they've been doing.

JAMES GILL: Yeah. One of my fellow American Legion veterans, his name is Andre Andrews, and he's the one that founded this organization. This is kind of our peak right here in the bottom right corner where we had 40 people from the Fleet Week from the Navy come up and we literally taught them how to tack up the horses and took them out on little trail rides and spent the whole day. And that's Andre right there with the flag.

We were in the Pacific Palisades 4th of July parade one time, and it's been a very meaningful organization for me to be involved with. I really have developed my horsemanship skills. I was able to totally show them off in front of Joe, who absolutely kept up with me the whole way, so I was impressed. But, warriorsroad.org is the website. It's up near Sylmar right in the Hansen Dam area, and we always need donations and help to care for our horses. And it means a lot to our American Legion. And it's not only for veterans, but also for first responders. So anyone who is a veteran or a first responder who would like to come up and explore, maybe even go for a ride, please reach out through the website.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Well, thank you so much. Just really appreciate that. We got a little accommodation for you. The city of pin.

JAMES GILL: Very nice. Thank you. Thank you so much. Yeah.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Appreciate it. Dave, good to see you.

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: Yeah.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: What a cool organization.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: And James was a, you served on the Cultural Arts Commission?

JAMES GILL: Yes. I did.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: As well. Great. And we'll have new applications we'll be asking for pretty soon or maybe that's out already. So keep an eye on those. So thank you so much, James. And also to, you know, like we've normally been doing, we acknowledge veterans who might be here in the audience. So if anybody here has served our country, could you please stand and let us thank you? Thank you so much for your service. And do we have anything on the ceremonial calendar?

CITY CLERK: No.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: So, roll call, please.

CITY CLERK: Councilmember Lesser?

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: Here.

CITY CLERK: Councilmember Napolitano?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Here.

CITY CLERK: Councilmember Montgomery?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Here.

CITY CLERK: Mayor Pro Tem Howorth?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Here.

CITY CLERK: Mayor Franklin?

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Here. Now we can ask for ceremonial calendar? No? And now we come to approval of the agenda and waiver of full reading of ordinances. So we have to take a verbal vote.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Correct.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: I made the motion.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: So moved by Councilmember Richard Montgomery.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: And I seconded it.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Seconded by Amy Howorth. Councilwoman, I'm sorry, Mayor Pro Tem Amy Howorth.

CITY CLERK: Mayor Pro Tem Howorth?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yes.

CITY CLERK: Councilmember Montgomery?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Councilmember Napolitano?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Councilmember Lesser?

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Mayor Franklin?

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Motion passes 5-0.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you. And now we have, City Council and community organization announcement of upcoming events.

LIBRARY MANAGER MURRAY: Hi. Good evening, members of the City Council. My name is Josh Murray. I'm here on behalf of Manhattan Beach Library. Adults are invited to join us to get ready for spring on Saturday, April 6, from 2 to 3. Come make a whimsical bird feeder for your outdoor space using mugs, saucers, twine, and glue gun. Sip tea while it dries and take home some bird seed to create an endearing display. Registration is available on our website, lacountylibrary.org. And teens are invited to blossom into spring on Tuesday, April 16, from 4 to 5 p.m. Welcome the new season by planting your very own spring flowers. Join us as we learn the basics of planting and caring for potted flowers. You'll also have a chance to decorate a mini ceramic terracotta pot using acrylic paint. Registration is

available on our website, lacountylibrary.org. And I thank you for your time.

PETER KIM: Good evening, Council. My name is Peter Kim. I represent, I'm on the board of the Chamber of Commerce as well as I own Sloop East Beach Cafe. Quick update, we had a fantastic event, State of the City. You guys were all there. We really appreciate it. We had 190 people show up strong, which I think was one of the better attended State of the City event. And Mayor Franklin, thank you for your slideshow. Also wanted to let you know that we are now up to 445 members at the Chamber, which is a tremendous increase from the past. One of the reasons is because what we have is location analytics. So if you're a business out there looking to increase your business or have more visibility with your business, talk to us about what location analytics is. It's basically knowing where your customers come from as well as knowing where your customers are going. So it's fantastic. And I'm going to turn it over to Abby Waddell.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you, Peter.

ABBY WADDELL: Hi. Abby Waddell with the Chamber of Commerce. I wanted to say that we've got the Phenomenal Women

event coming up on May 9th. Last year, our police chief was one of the speakers and she was fabulous. So we'll be getting the word out more. But anybody that hasn't been, this is a great event. Last year it was at Kinecta, and men are actually welcome. Joe, I think you showed up last year. The Phenomenal Women event. So men are welcome, but it's mostly women. So if you have any questions after, you can contact me or the Chamber, and it's a great event. So hope to see a lot of the women in the community at this event. Thanks.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Abby, what time is that?

ABBY WADDELL: That's going to be...

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 10 to 2?

ABBY WADDELL: 10 to 2. So it includes lunch. And yes. The mixer is at Grunion's on the Wednesday the 17th, I think. So right after the next city council meeting. Yeah. So we have a mixer that's kind of our, we do it monthly now. And they're very well attended. Cheers to the Chamber.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you.

ABBY WADDELL: Thank you all.

NIA NGUYEN: Hi, I'm Nia Nguyen. I'm here from Mira Costa High School. On Saturday, March 23rd, we had our annual spring dance on campus in the Mira Costa Gym. This costume dance not only had our usual casino night theme, but also had a silent DJ for the first time in Mira Costa history. And that was not one of our most popular dances. Everyone in attendance agreed that this silent DJ was a huge success. And our raffles and casino games generated a lot of excitement. And we consider it a very successful event. Also recently on KTLA 5 News, Mira Costa was featured on the School Spirit Spotlight. We had various programs interviewed in film for this news highlight, including our drama program, which was represented by members from our comedy sports team and the cast for our upcoming spring production of Footloose. The tickets for the spring musical are on sale now. And the show opens on April 26th.

CARTER RING: Hi, my name is Carter Ring, and I'm also a representative from Mira Costa High School. I'm just here to talk about last week we finished up our scholar quiz, which is basically a school-wide tournament where students can compete in teams of four. And I believe there are 64 teams total, so it's a huge success. It's really popular. And Mayor Franklin, you were there at the finals and had a great introduction. And yeah, it

was really fun. And Team Malik versus Team Long was present in the finals, and Team Malik ended up winning for their second year in a row. Thank you.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Thank you.

ISAAC FEINSOD: Good afternoon. My name is Isaac Feinsod. I'm here from Mira Costa. On March 20th, the Manhattan Beach Education Foundation, in collaboration with the Downtown Manhattan Beach Business and Professional Association, joined forces with our, support in school or for our, schools day, which is an event that is held to, form a partnership between both local schools and businesses, very successful. The Mira Costa Band and Orchestra also went off to El Dorado to go perform in the SES BOA Festival, earning the highest rating of, unanimous superior. And we also had our choir perform in the shell at their annual Build A Bridge concert. Thank you.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you for all those updates.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: I love those updates.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: I know.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: They're great.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATOR PANKAU: Good evening, honorable Mayor and Council members. I'm Daniel Pankow, the environmental program administrator for the city. In recognition of Earth Month 2024, the Community Development Department invites the community to participate in an online sustainability survey where you can voice your opinion on what the city's environmental priorities should include moving forward. Additional Earth Month festivities include a dune restoration volunteer event on Friday, April 5th, from 9 a.m. till 11 a.m., weather permitting, and our Climate Corner booth in partnership with Grades of Green at the Manhattan Beach Farmers Market on Tuesday, April 23rd, from 11 a.m. till 3 p.m. You can visit manhattanbeach.gov/survey to take the survey or our environmental sustainability homepage for more details. Thank you very much.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you, Daniel. Anybody else? Sean?

FIELD OPERATIONAL MANAGER ROBERTS: Good evening, honorable mayor and members of council. My name is Sean Roberts.

I'm your field operations manager with the Public Works Department. Tonight I'm bringing you a brief update on the Polliwog Tree Project. Our current inventory stands at 422 Trees Park Wide. We plan on installing net new of 78 new trees with the removal of 17 trees. These 17 trees were identified with insect and a fungus called pine pitch cancer or canker. So, you know, this was a collaboration with not only the school district, but the friends of Polliwog Park, Parks and Recs, and numerous concerned residents. So the new eight species and genus of trees was well thought out as far as wildlife, insects and shade and everything else was kind of accounted for in that regard. So, yeah, we've identified seven pine trees, seven eucalyptus to be removed. Two other trees that need selective thinning to provide better canopy growth for the other surrounding trees. And one tree was identified with some structural damage. This information has been put out on our social media. We're going to have sandwich boards with QR codes alerting the public that this project is coming. They can follow that to the Public Works website, Urban Forestry, and it'll have all the information, maps, locations, et cetera. So that's my update for this evening.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you so much, Sean.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Silvery, I'm sure.

POLICE CHIEF JOHNSON: That's very kind. Yeah. That's always the thing. Good evening, Mayor Franklin, members of the City Council, Rachel Johnson, your police chief. And I just have a couple of community events that I'd like to invite the council and the public to as well. The first one is Cupcakes with a Cop. That is next Wednesday, April 10th at Suzy Cakes from 3 p.m. to 5 p.m., come meet your police officers. Come meet our professional staff, ask questions, and have a cupcake from Suzy Cakes. The next event we have is Tip a Cop, which is Thursday, April 18th at Shade at 5 p.m. Please join us at Shade for Tip a Cop. All the proceeds from the tips that we received go directly to Special Olympics, which is the fund that furthers our special athletes who are able to compete across the country in events. So please join us at Tip a Cop as well. And then finally, for the month of April, you'll see some of our officers wearing a different patch. Every April we authorize the wear of the Autism Awareness Patch to support that cause. We sell patches as well for \$10 for a patch. You can purchase those in the Police Department lobby, and all the proceeds go to the Autism Society of Los Angeles. So please join us in supporting that wonderful charity and raising awareness about buying a patch from us. And I bid you good evening.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Chief, does Shade recommend that people make reservations for the Tip a Cop event?

POLICE CHIEF JOHNSON: It's always highly recommended to make recommendations for Tip a Cop or else you may find yourself not being able to be seated for a period of time. So yes, please. It's a great question. Thank you, Mr. Mayor.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay, sure. Thank you.

BOBBY BELL: Good evening, Honorable Mayor, Mayor Pro Tem, City Council members, city officials, Mr. Lee. My name is Bobby Bell. I am the recycling educator dedicated to Manhattan Beach. And I'm here to announce our upcoming paper shred event free to all residents and business owners in the city of Manhattan Beach. It's coming up April 13th. As always, it will be held at Mira Costa High School in the student parking lot right there in the corner of Artesia and Peck. Everybody is available to bring three banker boxes full of paper for free to secure shredding. It is a stay in your vehicle event. It's a drive through just for safety reasons. We'll take everything out. We'll get it shredded. So I look forward to seeing everybody on Saturday morning. Have a blessed night.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you, Bobby. Always popular.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Good service.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Anybody else? We'll move on to public comments. Oh, I'm sorry, colleagues.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Zoom first and then you. I'll come back.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Yeah, anybody on Zoom for public comments? Or I'm sorry, for community events. Okay, I'm sorry, colleagues. Thank you.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Those of you misaddressing an email I was hearing earlier that wondering why council doesn't always answer emails on the day of council. Because it's the day of council. So, folks, we're asking this again. If we're going to email council, which we always welcome, please, if you want a response back, don't wait until council Tuesday. You have six days before that to email us. If you absolutely forget and wake up in the morning and forgot something really urgent, email

us before noon. Maybe we can read it before noon. Believe it or not, your email is not the only email we receive. Sometimes we get hundreds, if not a thousand emails. So, understand, we're not blowing you off. It takes time to get through them all. So, don't wait until council Tuesday to email council an urgent question. You can actually call us, too. The old days of picking up a phone, you can always call us. But do not wait until council Tuesday to email your councilmembers, especially the mayor. There's so much going on. So, please, email early. Do not do it on Tuesday of council. And we'll answer you back when we can. Thank you, your honor.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you. Colleagues? Anything else? Steve? Anything?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: No, your honor. Thank you.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay, great. So, moving on to public comments. So, I need to read this message. It has come to our attention that people have been disrupting city council meetings in other cities with anti-Semitic or racially based comments through Zoom. Such comments are disruptive and interfere with the people's business. And are not within the subject matter jurisdiction of the city council. I am instructing the city

clerk's office to mute any speakers who attempt to disrupt the meeting with anti-Semitic or derogatory comments based upon race, gender, or other protected categories. So, having said that, can anybody here in council chambers want to make a public comment? I didn't scare anybody, did I? Okay. And how about on Zoom?

ASSISTANT CITY CLERK ALVAREZ: There's no request on Zoom.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. So, we'll close public comments. And we go to the consent calendar. So, we have, right, we've approved the items one through five. Can we have a motion to approve?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yeah, motion and second, Your Honor, but voice motion.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yeah, I move to approve the consent calendar items one through five.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: I'm going to second.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Okay. So, that's moved by Mayor Pro Tem Amy Howorth and seconded by Councilmember Richard Montgomery. Can we have a vote, please?

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Councilmember Napolitano?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Mayor Pro Tem Howorth?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Councilmember Montgomery?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Mayor Franklin?

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Councilmember Lesser?

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Motion passes 5-0.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Okay. And City Clerk, just to remind you that I did not participate in item number four.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: So, we move on to items removed from consent calendar. There were none. Public hearings, there are none. So, we move on to K, general business. So, item number six, reinstallation of no parking signs on Roll Avenue between 19th and Marine Avenue. And we have Public Works Director Lee.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: Good evening, Honorable Mayor and members of City Council. Tonight, Field Operations Manager Sean Roberts will give the presentation.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Thank you.

FIELD OPERATIONAL MANAGER ROBERTS: Hello again, Honorable Mayor and City Council. Yes, tonight we're here to discuss the reinstallation of no parking signs on Rowell between 19th and Marine. This first slide is just an overall view of the current petition program that's in place. It's kind of hard to see in this one. But everything shaded in that pale pink color is in the petition process with the signs being removed. And the

next photo is the section between 19th and Rowell. And these three blocks, two of which were, they opted out in 1996, one of which opted out in 2001. And then it also identifies three poles that are without signs on the 2100-2200 block of Rowell. And then the next photo is a flashback, 2012, showing, depicting the two poles that are in place without signage. And fast forward to current day. Same street, different houses, poles still remain without signage. And then a bit of the background regarding the petition process. The petition process consists of opting in or out. You do need 66% or two-thirds of the property owners in favor is required to opt in or out. Street sweeping still occurs on all of these streets. They just navigate around cars that are parked there and hopefully get it on the next go-round. Vehicles on the street that are void of no parking signs will not be issued a citation. And Rowell Avenue 19th and Marine was inspected in 2022 for improper signage as well. So the discussion tonight, we have three abandoned poles on Rowell 2100 block and the 2200 block. Two to the south and one to the north of that block. No parking signs were removed in 2001, you know, through the petition process. At that time, staff was directed to remove the signage, but just in case, leave the poles in case signs were to go back in. If the City Council does not direct staff to reinstall signage, Public Works will remove the poles from the area. So staff recommendation, City Council provide

direction on the reinstallation of no parking signs on Rowell Avenue between 19th and Marine Avenue.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Colleagues, do we have any questions? Councilmember Lesser?

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: This is pretty straightforward, but I just wanted to confirm. Have any residents expressed support to return the no parking signs that staff is aware of?

FIELD OPERATIONAL MANAGER ROBERTS: No, on the contrary, we've had one resident that reached out and wanted to keep the signage. I did not, I didn't bring this up earlier, but we did notice the 12 neighbors along Rowell between 19th and Marine. Mailers and door hangers, just informing me that this discussion was going to happen tonight.

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: Okay, and just also for the record, are there any issues of concern to staff or the police department due to the absence of the no parking signs?

FIELD OPERATIONAL MANAGER ROBERTS: I would, no, not at this time. When we go and, you know, have inspected this area on numerous occasions, the area seems to be clean and free of

debris or any accumulation of, you know, tree droppings or anything of that nature.

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: Thank you, Sean.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay, Mayor Pro Tem?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Thank you. Are there other blocks or areas where residents have filed a petition and they can leave their cars on street sweeping days, parked out on the street?

FIELD OPERATIONAL MANAGER ROBERTS: There's several, yes. I mean, going through the petition process, I would say, you know, going back to that previous slide, a good portion of the neighbors are in the opt-out process. So signage was removed.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Well, I mean, around the city, do we have any idea of how many blocks or streets have opted out of street, of moving their cars?

FIELD OPERATIONAL MANAGER ROBERTS: I don't have that exact number, no.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: We don't have that exact number right here, but as Sean was mentioning, the map that we showed on one of the first slides, that's pink and red, I'm sorry, pink and green. Rough math, you know, it's probably about 50-50 of streets that have been, that are allowed to opt out, have done so.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: I see.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: So some are not allowed to. And we've got that precise information, but we just didn't bring that to that.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: I mean, it's just, I bring, I ask the question because one thing street sweeping does is it helps our storm water, right, you know, keeps things out of the ocean, right? And so I just would wonder how, you know, do we have a limit on how many areas or which areas could opt out? Because we want to keep as much out of the ocean as possible.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: Yeah, the prohibitions on the program are really limited to the coastline and the arterials right now.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Right.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: And we think that it's a manageable compromise that was engaged many years ago. And so I would say from a parking perspective, we have parking piece. And this is, I think, one area that there's been questions about. Well, why do you have these poles there if you don't have the signs there?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Right. I understand that I was just looking holistically to make sure it wasn't something that everybody could now all of a sudden do and then there'd be a problem. But I understand there's limits. Thank you.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Councilmember Napolitano, do you have any questions?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: No questions, Your Honor. Thank you.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. No further questions. Public comments? Anybody in chambers? No. Seeing none. How about Zoom?

ASSISTANT CITY CLERK ALVAREZ: There's no request in Zoom.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. So we'll close public comments and start off with Richard Montgomery.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Thank you, Your Honor. Look, I want to make sure everybody understands this is not about permits versus not permits. This is plainly a question of rewarding bad behavior. If you're going to pull down signs, of course, who's going to raise their hand and say, gee, I want that sign back so I'll get cited? The right ticket. You're never going to do that. This has been gone for more than five years. The only person that actually lives on the east side, I notice it. When they're gone, you don't reward them. We should replace it from day one. We didn't replace it for now over 10 years. It's been gone. So I didn't start paying attention to someone today. You're parking there. And I looked at the signs. There's nothing on the signs. So you can't write ticket unless it's been cited because City of Attorney Barrow will tell you we can't write a site, get the signs gone. Our polls are there. Polls didn't show up by themselves one day and all of a sudden they got put in the ground. So this is nothing new to permits. Those blocks that are permitted to not have signage, I get it. Then

pull the signs out and pull the polls with it. But the streets that do not are not in the opt-out program should A, have polls back where they were and B, signage back where they were. To make Mayor Pro Tem's point, if you're going to be street sweeping on places where you have it, you should have a signage that says move your car on these days. It's one day a week, what, four hours, Sean? How long a street? Four hours every week. How hard is that to move your car for four hours? But the main thing is you don't reward bad behavior by not putting signage back that was there previously. Forget the permit part. Nothing to do with it. It's replacing signs that have been gone until we can enforce our own rules. If not, I'll pull down every single sign I can get hold of because you never write ticket against me. Why would a resident ever complain about not being able to get a ticket?

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Mayor Pro Tem?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Well, it looks like through the chair, the director might want to address something.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: Councilmember, your point is very well taken. I think for this particular block, that one in the middle, I think it's the 2100, 2200 block, our records

show that there was a valid petition process there that removed the signs. And our understanding is at the time, which is over two decades ago, that management and public works instructed the staff to not remove the poles, which I think is causing the confusion. And so normally when we get a valid petition process and we remove signs, those poles are removed. In this case, that did not happen. And so I just want to make sure that everyone's clear of what we're dealing with on that specific block.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yeah, that was going to be my question is I actually think in this case, though, this group did this block, did file a successful petition to be able to leave their cars there.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: Correct.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: So it's not that we should put the sign, if we put the signs back up, we're ignoring the petition process that we created.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Actually, he's not talking about I'm talking about the block, the pieces of the block that opted out. It's a whole length to replace back except the one

area that's opted out. That's fine. You put back that section of the screen where it showed the red block out portions.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: Can you pull up that slide?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Thank you.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: To your point, Mayor Pro Tem, I'm not looking at replacing the people that actually opted out 35 years ago.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yeah okay.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: I didn't realize that when you opt out, it's perpetuity. But that being the case.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Okay. Let's see if I can.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Not that not that map one. The one was actually read. There you go. If you blow that up a little bit,

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: That's fine.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: You can see it. The opt-out people are the one that's blank. There's two sections that are opted out. But the red pieces need to have science put back in and or polls that say you cannot park here. Street sweeping that we used to have.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: So I think that this map is not as clear as it could be. And so what we're trying to

illustrate here is the actual so that there's three red segments and there's two non-red segments between them. Those are actually the intersections between the three segments. And so what we're trying to illustrate here is that all three segments of the stretch of Rowell between Marine and 19th did opt-out. The one on top and bottom were in 1996 and the one in the middle was in 2001.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: So they've all opted out?

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: Correct.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: So now the question is why are there still polls there? Right.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: And I think it was based on the direction at the time.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: No, no, no. I'm not. I'm just saying that's what you're asking us.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: Correct.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: So we wouldn't put signs back up here because all three of these segments have opted out.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: Correct.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: That would be reversing policy.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: That would be leaving the signs off and all three of these segments would be in accordance with the current petition process.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Leaving them off. Yeah. Okay. That's my question.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. So the only item of discussion is to remove the polls that don't have any signs on them.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: Yes. And as indicated in the staff report, if you don't give any direction on this item, staff is just going to remove the polls anyway to avoid the confusion.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: One more question, Your Honor. Yes. City Attorney Barrow, do we have a statute of

limitations on how long, if you opt out in 1995 or 1918, how long is street sweeping opt out supposed to be for? Is it 100 years?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Forever.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: In Steve's mine forever.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: No, that's what it was. We adopted it back then and that's still the rule today. They can opt back in. But, you know, I'm confused here, Your Honor, because I thought I read the wrong staff report. It's clear these people opted out. It doesn't matter when, they opted out. And until they opt back in, that's the way it is.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yeah.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Yeah. Unless there was some sunset clause that was incorporated into the council's action back then.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: So much for being a true Green City.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: I know.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Because counter to everything we tell everybody that we're a Green City, we care about street sweeping and care about moving things along. But if you can opt out in 1918 and still have cars parking there every day of the week with no penalty for it, you have to force people to go back in and remove themselves to opt back in. And who would do that?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Right.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: I'll stop there.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Councilmember Lesser?

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: I want to clarify then, through the city attorney, if council decides to take no action tonight, Public Works Department can move forward and remove the polls, which have no signs on it, correct?

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Correct.

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: But the actual staff report and the item itself is listed as reinstatement of no parking signs on Rowell. So in other words, this would override the petition process, correct?

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Yes, you'd provide direction. If that was the council's direction, you'd amend the code that allowed this petition and put a sunset clause in.

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: Okay. My position would be to take no action and allow Public Works to remove the polls.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: You can make a motion.

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: Well, I just confirmed that there's no need to make a motion because Public Works is going to proceed in that manner, correct?

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: That is correct.

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: Thank you.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: And I would just, I mean, yeah, I don't feel like, I agree with my colleague, Councilmember

Montgomery, I don't like it. I think we shouldn't be able to opt out. But we have that policy in place, and so I would not go and override the policy and process that we put in place. If my colleagues wanted to reexamine it, that's one thing. I think maybe the theory is that so far, if you're on the east side, maybe you can opt out because there's not as much danger of it getting into the ocean from there, because there's other places it'll gather first. Would you imagine?

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: I-

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: I can tell you why.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Oh, Steve's going to tell us why.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: I was there.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: I believe you. You've been here. So yeah, so if you guys want a new policy, fine, but I wouldn't be in favor of imposing it.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Alright.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Okay, Steve.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Steve?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Well, I've had my hand up for a while. I've just been waiting to be called on.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Sorry.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Not my fault.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Somebody put your name here on my screen. Sorry about that.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Well, I'll tell you, you know, there is a real reason. You guys want to live through the parking street sweeping wars again. Be my guest. Good luck with it. Because it wasn't pretty to begin with. And the opt-out system was put in place. But remember, when you talk about, oh, moving the car for four hours, we street sweep four weeks. Okay, we street sweep every week. So there's ample time to catch that with the street sweeper and clean it up at least once out of the month to do that. And the way that the gardeners and everything else operate around here, there's not a whole ton of debris in the streets these days. So it's better than it's ever been. And

we're also incorporating a lot of drainage things into our storm drains and everything. But you and I guys want to relive the wars over street sweeping opt-in, opt-out. Have at it. I'm not in favor of that. I just say let this ride. This was brought up today because it was said that somebody removed the signs. It's been proven tonight that nobody removed the signs except the city. Because the neighborhood petitioned rightfully and legally and opted out. That's why we're here.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you. And I'd like to just make a comment that this kind of vandalism does go on. I mean, I've seen it, especially where it's unpopular, where people, particularly on Sepulveda, wanting to make turns west into the tree section. And there's a no left turn between rush-hour. Signs get stolen. Signs get knocked down. So I guess we just need to encourage people, if they see those signs go down, to report that to Public Works. And you can do it through the app, through the Reach Manhattan Beach app. But keep an eye on that because we can't be everywhere at once. But let us know and then I'm sure the Public Works Department will be out there replacing those signs.

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR LEE: Correct.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Or taking them down.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Well, if the petitions come in, they're going to be taken down right away. And then hopefully the neighbors are aware of it. But, you know, there's always somebody who doesn't know. And they get upset about it. I think this vandalism does go on because some people want to bypass and shortcut the process. I think we decide on this that we're good. We're not going to do anything. Thank you very much. So we'll move on to item number seven. Consideration of actions related to the North Manhattan Beach Business Improvement District, including conceptual approval of transition, ongoing management to a nonprofit. And I'll just end my description reading there.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Well done.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: So we have George Gabriel.

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: So, Honorable Mayor Franklin, members of the City Council, as indicated by Mayor Franklin, this is the agenda item. We won't belabor the point. But yes, tonight the point is the city has two business improvement districts, one in the downtown and one in the north end. And so tonight we'll be discussing an action related to the

North MB, also known as the north end, the ID. So by way of background, since 2004, city staff has taken an active role in managing the North Manhattan Beach bid activities by drafting and publishing agendas and minutes, liaising activities between city departments, executing agreements on behalf of the bid and managing expenditures and revenues. The city's responsibilities for the bid contrast significantly with the city's other bid, the downtown business improvement district. The downtown bid is managed by the nonprofit, what we know as the DBPA. And therefore, the city has not had to take on the same responsibilities of the downtown bid as those for the North Manhattan Beach bid. So why is this, though? On an annual basis, the city enters into an annual contract with the DBPA nonprofit and that nonprofit manages the downtown bid and allows it to realize greater efficiencies to expedite decisions, execute contracts and expend funds when necessary in accordance with the approved activity plan by the City Council. So in recent years, the North Manhattan Beach BID has sought to explore how to function in a similar manner as the downtown bid. And therefore, as identified in the 2024 activity plan that was approved by council as well as the downtown bid or the North MBBID, the North MBBID has decided to explore the feasibility of becoming a professional nonprofit association similar to the DBPA by utilizing the Chamber of Commerce nonprofit status. Following

research to determine next steps, it was determined that establishing a nonprofit corporation or utilizing an existing nonprofit corporation needs an appropriation of \$10,000 to prepare legal documents such as filing articles in corporation and gaining tax exempt status or to amending an existing nonprofit's articles in corporation to include North MBBID activities. So with that being said, staff recommends that the City Council approve a conceptual plan of transitioning ongoing management of the North Manhattan Beach Business Improvement District to a nonprofit and execute a future agreement that's not before the City Council tonight but will become before the City Council eventually with the nonprofit to provide services to the Business Improvement District and then secondly, authorize an appropriate \$10,000 from the parking fund for developing or utilizing an existing nonprofit to manage the North Manhattan Beach Business Improvement District activities and initiatives. Should be noted, the parking fund, the North Manhattan Beach Business Improvement District assessments go into the parking fund, so it would be the fees that they tax themselves with that we are utilizing for this authorization appropriation that's requested. So should City Council approve the plan of transitioning the management, staff will return to City Council with the agreement and formulate a plan for managing the North MBBID's fund balance over a period of time.

With that being said, that concludes my presentation. I'm available for any questions.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Colleagues? Any questions? Steve?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: No, your honor.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Public comments? Anybody here wish to talk about this subject? How about online?

ASSISTANT CITY CLERK ALVAREZ: There's no request on Zoom.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay, we'll close public comments. Any?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: I'm in motion and honor to approve and authorize and appropriate the \$10,000 for managing North Manhattan Beach BID activities and initiatives.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay, so we have a motion by Councilmember Montgomery and seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Howorth. Can we have a vote, please?

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Mayor Franklin?

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Mayor Pro Tem Howorth?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Councilmember Napolitano?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Councilmember Lesser?

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Councilmember Montgomery?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Motion passes 5-0.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay, thank you. So moving on to item number eight. This is a consideration of introduction and first

reading of ordinance number 24-0005 to require a parking permit for oversized vehicles and other items. So once again, we have George Gabriel, Assistant to the City Manager.

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: Yes, Honorable Mayor Franklin, member of the City Council. Tonight we'll be discussing some actions related to oversize vehicle parking. By way of background, we discussed this the last time when this came up in January 16th, but I just wanted to provide a slight refresher. So this item is not necessarily new to the City Council. In fact, some council members took an action related to this item in 2010-2011. In October of 2011, the City Council basically drafted an ordinance and adopted an ordinance saying that the oversized vehicles shall be prohibited in designated areas by the city's police chief or the traffic engineer. Recently this topic has resurfaced by way of council requests in October of 2023 and then following a report in January 16th, 2024. And the last City Council direction that was provided was to return with a draft ordinance that would prohibit the parking of oversized vehicles without a permit. So at the meeting in January, staff presented this graphic which shows that all of our boarding cities as well as Gardena and Torrance have some type of oversized vehicle parking permit program with parameters. So as indicated earlier, our current regulations,

the status quo is parking of non-motorized vehicles and trailers are prohibited on city streets as well as leaving an oversized vehicle or trailer in prohibited areas or certain areas is prohibited as designated by the police chief and traffic engineer. So where are these areas? So there's 70 signs in total, 35 around the perimeter of the city and that's mainly regarding the detached trailers prohibition that the city has, but there's an additional 35 signs and those are the blue dots that you can see there and those are mainly near schools as well as some parks and those are areas where oversized vehicle parking is completely restricted. So consistent with City Council direction, staff has drafted an ordinance and what are the justifications for this ordinance? In essence, city streets are very narrow. Oversized vehicles create a safety hazard by reducing visibility and traveling with when parked on city streets and oversized vehicles present a significant burden on limited parking availability on streets. So what we're going to go into is what staff has drafted an ordinance of and it's important to note that this ordinance is based off of staff's interpretation of what best practices are that align with existing resources as well and so City Council may revise the proposed parameters at their discretion. So in the draft ordinance and we'll be running through that in essence, the staff has proposed an updated definition of oversized vehicles

to clarify that an oversized vehicle is any vehicle combination of vehicles either on its own or an aggregate that exceeds 22 feet in length, 7 feet in width or 8 feet in height. The updated definition also includes trailers instead of defining trailers on its own. This allows us to simplify the program by only referencing the term oversized vehicles as a definition as is now inclusive of trailers and it's important to note that the dimensions that are referenced within the definition have not changed. That is still remaining consistent. So you will recall this graphic last time in January as well. This is trying to give an example of some what would be considered an oversized vehicle. These may be considered oversized vehicles, they may not be. It just depends on what the measurements come out to each vehicle. So the proposed ordinance will provide that a permit will be required to park an oversized vehicle on city streets, alleys or highways with few exceptions. The proposed program would allow permit holders the opportunity to park their oversized vehicle on a public street for a limited period of time. And all permit holders would need to abide by the municipal code sections, which provides that a vehicle cannot park in the same location for a period exceeding 72 consecutive hours. And I quickly want to emphasize that because regardless of whether it's an oversized vehicle or not, the 72 hour rule still remains. And regardless of whether someone is given a

permit, the 72 hour still is in effect. So moving on to what the application process would look like as proposed in the ordinance. There will be two permit types available under the proposed permit program, an oversized vehicle permit for residents and their oversized vehicle and a guest oversized vehicle permit, which would allow for permits for out of town guests or perhaps if a resident is temporarily renting an oversized vehicle. All permitted vehicles will need to be associated with the residents in the city and the resident would be responsible for submitting the application for either permit type. So let's go into the first permit type, which is for a resident. Some details include once the application is reviewed and approved, the resident would receive a notification to pick up a permit from the city. The current suggested fee is \$65 per permit, which allows for 100% cost recovery. The permit itself would be valid for one year from October 1 to September 30 and would need to be renewed on an annual basis. The suggested limit for this permit is one per year from October 1 to September 30. However, staff is open to council direction on the max number of allowable permits per household. Next, the other type of permit is a guest permit. And once the application is reviewed and approved, the resident will receive a notification to print their permit. The permit would include the valid dates of the permit as well as a license plate number of the designated

vehicles. So the city staff and enforcement can be aware of contact information associated with that vehicle. The current suggested fee is \$45 per permit, which allows again for 100% cost recovery. The permits itself would be valid for 72 hours in conformance with the existing 72 hour rule. The suggested limit for this type of permit is four guests per household in any 12 month period and provides that a resident may not request guest permits from multiple guests at one time. However, staff is open to council direction regarding permit limits as indicated earlier. So what are the restrictions? In essence, permit holders may park on any street unless prohibited by signage. Permit holders shall not occupy more than one marked space and permits do not allow the holder to utilize hookups as well. That's already prohibited by our code. Additional restrictions include the oversized vehicle must be attached to a motor vehicle that is capable of moving. The permit holder will need to comply with posted street sweeping restrictions and the permit holder will pay any applicable parking meter charges while occupying a meter space. So what will be the penalties of potential violation? And it's reflected in a draft resolution before the City Council. In essence, the fines that are indicated in the first two are actually what we already have on the books by way of the municipal code. So the parking of a non-motorized vehicle and trailers on city streets as of right now

is a fine of \$149. Parking in a restricted oversized vehicle area is \$149. And staff is proposing, or actually we already have this, but the parking of an oversized vehicle without a permit would be \$53. So if the proposed ordinance moves forward, several departments will be involved in the creation of electronic workflow and testing, IT, finance, community development, management services, and the police department. IT would be responsible for software maintenance with the Energov system, the same system that currently allows for permitting of various permits that community development provides. Community development and finance would tag team with the review of all applications. Finance would issue especially the resident permit. And the police department would be responsible for enforcement. So with that being said, and it should also be noted, I'm sorry, back to the, back to the permit program staff time. It's going to take about 48 weeks to build and test the workflows that are associated with the two permit types. And the review of each application that staff is currently anticipating is about 25 minutes per application. And staff does suggest that the permit program would begin on October 1st to establish the program, install signage, and conduct outreach, as well as providing a grace period of 90 to 180 days after the start date of the permit to allow the police department time to provide additional warnings and education to the public while out in the

field. So finally, staff recommends that as follows, discuss and consider introducing ordinance 24 dash 0005 prohibiting the parking of oversized vehicles at any time without a permit. If the city council would like to move forward with the ordinance staff provided to draft resolutions detailing the fees for the permits as well as the fines for the violations of the ordinance. If there is no further direction regarding the fees and the fines, the resolution will return for adoption when the ordinance returns for its second reading and potential adoption by the city council. That concludes my presentation. Thank you, Council.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Colleagues, Councilmember Montgomery?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Thank you, Your Honor. George, two questions. You go back to your oversized additional examples, please. It's number six. There it is. One more. There you go. Bottom left. Are you covering spinner vans as well under your van camper slash conversion?

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: Typically, a spinner van would probably be violating the height restriction

that's associated with an oversized vehicle. But I can't tell you for sure. It would be on a case by case basis.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Okay. And second, signage, my favorite subject tonight. Are you going to have to go back and add signage on every single street to cover this? You're going to use our blanket immunity clause and says you didn't read the signage coming into the city and we're good.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: I'll address that because George had already addressed that issue earlier this week or last week. That type of sign, you can just put it on the perimeter of the city. So it doesn't have to be on every street, just like the unattached or detached trailers. Thirty five locations on the perimeter. Some number.

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: Yes.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Same type of signage. Perfect.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Thank you. Nothing further.
Thank you, Your Honor.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Great. Mayor Pro Tem Howorth?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Mayor. And so we do we do have, as you mentioned, some current policy in place and current restrictions. But right now, it's only certain areas that it was prohibited that, you know, that you had to have a permit in order to park. No, the oversized vehicles were prohibited in those places. And then other people, if you owned, if you lived here and owned an oversized vehicle, you didn't need a permit. Or currently the old.

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: Currently. Yeah. If you have an oversized vehicle, you can park it so long as that you're not in the prohibited areas of the city.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: So this is so I'm just clarifying. You know, I think those who are paying attention understand that we are making no matter where you live now, you have an oversized vehicle. Go get a permit. Right. And even with the permit and your residence. So this is a year-long permit that you have. Do you still have to move it every 72 hours?

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: Yes.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Okay. That's good. And then the other thing, a guest permit, you have to it has to be attached to a resident or residence. So it has to be the example I kept using with my brother. So, you know, but that's fine because he can get it through. I'll get it for him. And that gives us some control over who is coming around.

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: You would be the responsible party to apply for the permit.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: And that is exactly the right chain of command in that. Okay, great. Thank you for this. What really, what I appreciate the making trailers and detached trailers and everything just part of oversized vehicles. One that's really smart. Thank you. That's it.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay.

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: I'll wait for comments.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Thank you. Steve, do you have any questions?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: I do. Thank you, your honor. As I read this, correct me if I'm wrong, George, but this applies only to city streets.

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: Correct.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: So parking lots, other governmental entities, say the school district. What are we talking about with those areas? Because parking lots. Right. There's no restriction as I read it on oversized vehicles there.

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: Yes. Parking lots are not addressed through this ordinance.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Well, okay. And why is that? Why would we exclude parking lots?

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: I think there's a legal consideration that's associated with that. I think the City Attorney Barrow can discuss.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Yes. And this is some of the analysis that the staff did that a number of our parking lots close at like 830 or 930. And if you want us to go into further

research or have staff research which parking lots are open 24/7.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Well, the pier ones are open 24-7, but I'm thinking El Porto, they close at 8, but you can still stay there and drive out late.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yeah.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Yeah, with my understanding, and I've actually seen, yeah, definitely you're correct about the two parking lots owned by the state. There's been questions as to whether the city has any control over that. The second, over at the El Porto, don't they get tickets if they're there overnight?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yes.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Well, under this, they would only get parking tickets. They wouldn't get tickets for an oversized vehicle.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Well, if they were, it's a good question and it's something that staff has been discussing. I

think in most cases they would get a parking ticket plus it would be a permit violation. So they would have two separate tickets, one's like 177, and then the parking ticket, I'm not sure what that is, 55 or something.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Why would they get a permit violation if it's not a public street and they're not prohibited from doing it?

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Yeah, that's a very good question and that's something that perhaps the ordinance should be fine-tuned with respect to the public parking lots.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Well, I guess, I don't know about that. I raised the question just for clarification, but at the same time, you know, knowing surfers as we do and vehicles they drive and that they are sometimes transient and go from place to place in a month's time, have we cleared this with the Coastal Commission and the Coastal Zone? Because I could see, you know, limiting access for folks as being a concern of theirs.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: We have Chief Johnson to clarify.

POLICE CHIEF JOHNSON: Good evening once again. Just to be clear about parking lots, the parking rules still apply. And so that you will have some vehicles like your conversion vans and things like that that can fit in a regular space. But other vehicles that are larger or vehicles with trailers, like if they can't fit in a space, they can't park in that lot. And so some of this will be self-regulating because the space isn't available or there is an automatic parking violation, if you will.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: And Chief Johnson, if I could just ask a question regarding to Councilmember Napolitano's question about the El Porto lot, for example, it's locked. But you have to have to stay in there overnight. You have to have a parking permit.

POLICE CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, sir.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: For that particular lot.

POLICE CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, sir.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: So is that violation of that as well? Then if, so is that violation of that as well?

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: That's the additional regulation that doesn't matter what type of car it is that you need the, that permit to park in El Porto.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: And what's the legal...

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Legal because I don't do we tow cars out of El Porto if they're parked there because people park there after eight o'clock or whatever, they drive out of there without a ticket.

POLICE CHIEF JOHNSON: We do allow them to drive out of there, but we do also go check the lot as well for permit for permit parking. So someone can park overnight just to avoid a citation, can park overnight and avoid a citation unless they have a permit.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: But in terms of Sprinter vans and things like that, it doesn't, this ordinance doesn't cover that.

POLICE CHIEF JOHNSON: It doesn't.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Public streets, no parking lots. It doesn't. You know, there's a concern about a van, one of the types that we're seeing in the illustration.

POLICE CHIEF JOHNSON: Right.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Parking on the grand view parking lot or parking spaces on their west side. And so this wouldn't touch that either.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: That's correct. Yeah, that's correct. The private property and that school property. Right. People can park on their property so they could put their campers if there's room.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: And so if the school district wanted us to cover their property, would we be able to?

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: They could put up their own signs. I'm just thinking of other situations where, you know, like you take the mall and the mall has their own signs. There's got to be some signs. It's a good question whether the city can add a provision with respect to school property, probably. But I'd have to look at that.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Thank you, your honor.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Good questions.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: I'm sorry?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: No, good questions.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. So I've got a couple of questions is. So if someone applies. If someone applies for a guest permit. And let's say, who was my brother, your brother?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: My brother.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: So, so her brother comes and they get a guest permit. Does that allow them to park anywhere around town or did they have to park adjacent to their house of the sponsor, let's just say?

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: As per, as drafted in the ordinances, there's no requirement necessarily to

park it near the residence. They would have the flexibility to park in all areas of the city. Or but I would assume that, you know, let's say, Mayor Pro Tem Howorth's brother would probably want to park near her residence.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Or just the opposite.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Or just, yeah, I'm not sure I want to.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. And then with regards to the size, you know, the ordinance talks about as drafted talks about a marked space. So a mark space, I did get some information from our community development director. A mark space is approximately. 20 feet long by eight feet wide. And then an angled space is 18 feet long by eight and a half feet wide. So my question is this is that if it's already by definition, an oversized vehicle. They basically they can't park in a marked spot. Where parking space is designated by them?

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: Yes, if there's a parking space is designated correct.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Just wanted to clarify that. And just to confirm running of electric cords. They can do that if it's completely on private park property, but they can't do it if it's in the public street. You cannot run an electric cord.

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: Yeah, the vehicle hookup clause is related to crossing over into public, the public realm.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: And that's been in effect anyway. Right?

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: Yes. We have an existing code.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Alright. Okay. I also had a question about sprinter vans. So I guess we just have to get some dimensions on that. And that's all I have for now.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Mayor, before we return to the public or further questions, George indicated that Grandview is probably one of those areas where that's been designated with signs.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: What's by the police department?

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Yeah. So that's the existing ordinance that remains intact. So all the areas that have been designated around schools, it's completely prohibited.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. And then so my final question is so we can still add to that list of designated areas. Right. We're not limited to those 35.

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: Correct.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Oh, okay. Wait a minute. Around the grand view. Yes. But on grand view property though.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Not yet.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yeah.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Correct.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Because we worked up that that van was parked there for days, we were told.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yeah.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: And we're told that we couldn't do anything about it because our regulations didn't apply to school property.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: So, Mr. Mayor or Steve, did you want to. I was going to follow up to say we, you know, if we even if we decide to approve and I know we're going to listen to public comment. I would want to ask that we work with the schools like or understand what is the legal route? Is it up to them to say no overnight parking or no oversized vehicles? Do they have the authority to do that? And if so, I'd want to bring it up at our next ad hoc or ask them to consider that. Because, you know, we want we were doing this to solve some problems. Right. And to give us some tools. And it's really not going to do it if all the school parking lots are sort of, you know, available if people know where to look. Right. So I would want to find that out. If it's if they do have the jurisdiction to do it, let's ask them to do it.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Yeah.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: And I think so. But that's clearly that's the direction of the council. We will confirm that.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Okay. Yeah.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. No more questions here. Public comments?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Election season.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Honorable Mayor, Council members. I have a couple of comments. First of all, I am in support of the permit system. I think it's a really reasonable price to ask people. They permit their dogs. They can permit their cars. That's reasonable. And since all the surrounding cities have permit processes, it's important that we get one in place because we will be the go to city then to park their oversized vehicles. But there's a couple of questions I have. And one is what if somebody wants to come here for the, to the beach for the day? Since there's no policy in place for day permitting, they're going to get a ticket no matter where they park. So if you come in town with a Sprinter van or a camper because you're driving along the coast and you decide to stop in

Manhattan Beach, you're going to get ticketed no matter what. I think that's a little punitive and I'd be interested in a discussion around that. And then, you know, as was mentioned, I think working with the parking lots around all the parking, public, private, schools, etc. If we do decide to consider this ordinance, it would be really important to speak with all those other entities and make sure that everybody in the city is on the same page and has the same plan in order. And since there's no if you're not permitted, you cannot park at all for any period of time. Then I suppose that disputes any issue with having somebody non-permitted, having to move their car every 72 hours. So, okay, so I guess my concerns are somebody trying to come to the beach and then all of the parking lots in addition to every other space in the town. Thanks.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Thank you. Anybody else? Tag team?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Tag team. Good evening, Honorable Mayor, Council members. Just a clarification. I was trying to understand and follow where they could park. My concern is we have some very narrow streets out there like Ingleside, Pro Tem Mayor. And if we have someone park on there, we already have difficulty with FedEx drivers coming through there. You can't get in there, right? If they're in there,

they're going to block there. And I just want to get clarified if those streets are going to be available, because that's just we just can't make that happen. To you know, one of the concerns I think a lot of our residents have is when we talk about this, we're concerned about people sleeping in their cars overnight. And they sleep in their cars overnight. They wake up and everybody's got to do what they do in their morning. Right. And they're going to do it in those neighborhoods. And I don't know if we're addressing that with this issue here and how we're talking about it. We're dancing around that a little bit, as I can tell, because we might address it head on. But I think we need to do that. The third item on the fees. Sorry, I'm going to maybe be able to contradict you here is when we have Doc Weiler just down the street where people can stay overnight in oversized vehicles. I encourage us to look at the fees that they're charging there. Why would they want to they would want to stay here versus Doc Weiler if let's say they're 300 bucks that I have no idea what they charge. But for only 100 bucks a night, it's a hell of a lot cheaper. So we should take a look at not what it costs to recover, but what the pricing is in the communities. Thank you.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you. Anybody else on chambers? How about online?

ASSISTANT CITY CLERK ALVAREZ: We have Steve Debates.

STEVE DEBATES: Yeah. Thank you, your Honor, member of councilmembers, excuse me, members of the City Council. We went through this back in 2010 for almost two years back and forth trying to solve a problem of RV's parking around schools and our city parks. And that was definitely a problem. I've not actually heard of a problem identified here other than the fact that cities around us have permits. I actually have a permit from the state of California says I can park on any street and I can drive on any street as long as I have a registered vehicle to restrict me from parking my vehicle. Not over 72 hours of bringing my vehicle home, packing it, going on a trip and having to buy a permit each year is it's not a lot of money. I realize that. But this year is forty five dollars. Next year is eighty five dollars a year. That's one hundred twenty dollars. You know, I don't know what problem we're trying to solve here. And I didn't hear assistant city manager Gabriel identify a problem other than the council members wanted to be brought back. So if you could clarify that for me, I'd appreciate it. Thank you very much.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Thank you.

ASSISTANT CITY CLERK ALVAREZ: Next, we have Lee Phillips.

LEE PHILLIPS: Hi. Can you hear me?

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Yes.

LEE PHILLIPS: So my name is Lee Phillips. So I do support the ordinance. And in terms of people sleeping in their van, I would point to the homeless count both last years and this year's which have noted people sleeping in the van. Additionally, I've sent numerous emails to the City Council and the liaison about people or vans on MBUSD property, including Granville and behind TAC. Again, I support the ordinance. I don't know how it would be enforced. Would it be enforced by neighbors or will parking enforcement go out and site oversized vehicles? And last, I do support an education grant for residents. They're aware of this permit process education, either by mail or through social media. Thank you for your time today.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you, Lee.

ASSISTANT CITY CLERK ALVAREZ: There's no other request.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Thank you. So, Council, Mayor Pro Tem Howorth?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yeah. To follow up on a couple of things that were brought up. Rita spoke about, you know, day people. And I think that's a really good point. So do we have, am I missing some, George should come back up. Are we missing something? Could somebody come and, you know, park during the day, go down to the beach, you know, have a pizza, whatever, and go on their way? Would that, how does this affect that?

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: So, I mean, if they are associated with a resident under the proposed ordinance, then yes. They can come so long as they do not exceed the 72 hour limit. We have seen in other cities, a 24 hour, you know, guest pass program that's not associated with the resident. But I think some of the council feedback and considerations received in January was tying it to a resident. And so that's why staff returned with that, with that and the proposed ordinance today.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yeah, I mean, I understand that. I think it's something Councilmember Napolitano brought up. Hey, the Coastal Commission might have a problem. I mean, he was talking, I think, about the parking lots, the beach, some of the beach parking lots. You know, would they have a problem with this? If we don't have some sort of carve out or understanding of daytime activity like this, because that would be limiting access to the coast for some. You know, so I support I do support the policy, the intention to limit people living in their vehicles on our streets. But I'm concerned about that and what my colleagues have to say.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Great. Councilmember Montgomery?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Thank you. No questions for you, George. Everybody go back for 16 years ago, we took the same issue already parking on those who were actually here 16 years ago. RV parking was bad on Parkview just east of the hotel. Bruce, you remember that? We became the RV dumping lot. People go into LAX or just park in there. They had no signage. My favorite topic tonight, signage. But it was abusive. And then we thought, let's fix it. We fixed it. And by moving, you cannot park any vehicles there overnight on Parkview. Period. Problem solved. How did it all happen? Other cities are starting to

tighten down RV parking around them. And it forced us to be the catch all for the cities. Now we're back almost exactly where we were before. Except Parkview's opened up a separate nighttime again. But other vehicles can park, which is one thing. And second, yes, George is correct. We started a discussion around Grandview and Pacific because other RVs found a way to park next to our playgrounds. And of course, the parents were concerned and so were we. And so were the police. And we blocked off Grandview and Pacific for that very intent. And that language is still there today. Third, I'll mention that as the city manager mentioned, we have a duty to post the signage upon entrance of the city that says no RV parking overnight. Period. If I have an RV, I'm not just willingly picking cities and don't check out what the rules are before I go there. We're not supposed to be so on top that we have to notify every visitor to our city that, hey, you should check us out about RV parking. When was the last time you saw RV parked at the very end of any boulevard by the pier? Anybody? Doesn't happen. Why? Because of slope. And as Chief Johnson mentioned, there's no space for an RV parking down there. You cannot fit an RV in the parked spots by the state lots. I don't care how good of a parker you are. You can't do it, folks. So that's not what we're talking about here. We're talking about every city street, every city property, public property. So we're not talking about them all. We're not talking

about Rosecrans properties. We're talking about public property and RVs. That's our issue. And spinner vans on height, Joe, that the abusers will come in and find a way to slide in with sprinter vans. As George mentioned, it's a height issue to catch all with them. And Lee's right. Part of our concern years ago was just so much homelessness back then, but the abuse of the public space as a roving motel. So we thought we'd catch all at the same time by doing all this. It's not perfect. Steve mentioned I'm not sure the Coastal Commission ever because I don't see anybody parking west of Valley Ardmore. But if I have an RV, if she debates does, if I have an RV and I'm driving from Oklahoma to Manhattan Beach, I don't check the website. I can park in Valley Ardmore today. What's the difference? There's no parking space in Valley Ardmore. You just pull in. Curb. Park there. But the catch is to the visitor question, you should check your homework and what the city rules are about overnight parking. You can easily go check it online. So if you're going to park in Manhattan Beach to the oversight parking, get an overnight parking pass at PD 21st. It will close. Police lobby never closes. Go get an overnight parking pass or be gone for the next morning. And CSOs and PD do catch it because they enforce what we do on Parkview right now. Citywide folks, this is not a shocker to anybody here. So if you're visiting, do your due diligence. Read the website. What does it say about parking

RVs in the city? But I'm going to make my motion to include all of that history and go with option three. Think great, George. Option three is your best. It's a catch-all for everything I'm trying to do. And then I would instruct us to, we have pretend's point, to reach out to the ad hoc committee and MBUSD to find that we can incorporate not just a Grandview parking lot, all school property and or school parking lots to follow our instructions as well on overnight parking, especially oversized vehicles. And that should answer the question. I don't know the coastal commission would get involved because the issue, we don't have any spaces west of Valley Ardmore. I can think of that. You could fit an RV into a sprinter.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: The difference. Sorry. I know I'm speaking a bit out of turn that the, that what Rita brought up was like a sprinter van.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Sprinter vans might fit in that parking spot.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: They might.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: If it's big enough and its height, but then you're going to catch on to the height issue.

So if we add the height issue to it, so yeah, it should be looking at go back and this is a great first step, George. And I agree that I would support the proposed citation amounts. I think it's a good deterrent. I think it's fair on the prices where we charge a resident or non-resident guest pass. Amy's brother visits four times a year, But I mean, as long as you're consistent with it, it's fair. It's publicized. I think we do the better job. You put it when we get to the point of approving it needs to get publicized, not only by our TV and our mailers and our ads that Liza and Martha put out there and daily reason and easy reader, but do a little mail card. Every resident gets a mail card. Hey, be aware. October one, we're going to start charging for annual RV permits and or spanner vans that exceed the height, whatever they are, or length or width so that we know and can say, Oh, I didn't know about it. We'll get a grace period. What a month. So we have to do all that in favor of it. Post a new signs as Quinn mentioned on the city entrance and exit to show if you come to Manhattan Beach and have an RV, be aware about RV parking. That's my motion, your honor.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you. And Councilmember Lesser?

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: I've really struggled with the need to impose a permit system citywide on oversized vehicles. We already restrict oversized vehicles at the direction of our police chief and traffic engineer at areas where there have been problems. I've engaged with staff before this meeting and asked, just as this one of the callers in asked, how would you articulate the problem the city seeks to solve as the police department weighed in on this issue, have they identified a problem? The response is the police department has not identified an issue or pattern that would suggest the need for an oversized vehicle permit program. I asked, have there been incidents that have occurred in the city? One of the caller referenced individuals sleeping in vans. The response was no notable incidents have occurred. However, there have been concerns. I asked other specific issues that have arisen in other cities that have a program like ours, which don't have a permit system, namely where there is an ability to park oversized vans for up to 72 hours. Staff has not fully researched that issue, but the likelihood is that's limited. I am nonetheless supportive of the permit system, and the reason is as follows. The reason is it was mentioned in passing that staff is looking at the direction of our council to come up with a permit system. They've noted roadways are narrow, and to have a permit system in place limits the number of oversized vehicles

that would be available and moreover aids the police department in monitoring and siting those vehicles, particularly for our narrow roadways. I want our city to be more aligned with our neighboring cities, which also have permit programs. So I was supportive of that reason. And then most of all, concern of residents. There is the perception that we could be subjective to having more vehicles, oversized vehicles in our community. And I want to acknowledge that concern, but I just want to be honest about why we're doing it, as was mentioned by one of our other speakers. I do think this is going to impose more of a burden on those with oversized vehicles. They're going to have to obtain a permit. They're going to have to obtain a permit for those that visit our city. There are going to be those that come into our city unaware of our restrictions and they're going to be cited, but I'm it. Nonetheless, weighing the benefits with the demerits, I'm nonetheless in favor of supporting the motion.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Great. Thank you. Councilmember Napolitano, do you have any comments?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Yes. Thank you. I support option number three, as stated by Councilmember Montgomery in his motion. I do think that we should consider a carved out though, for a day pass, maybe one per month. How between the

hours of 8 a.m. and 8 p.m. for visitors to come and visit Manhattan Beach without the need for a permanent that covers three full days, but just a period of time during the day. I don't want to discourage visitors to our city from others who are here to spend their money and visit the beach and enjoy what we have here. So, you know, I would hope that we can consider that. I know it's not part of the motion, but I would ask that it be considered. And I agree for the reasons given. I do think we'll get some blowback on this from people who own them in Manhattan Beach, but we'll see when that time comes. No matter what we pass, it seems there will be plenty of people out there. This went for a street sweeping way back. Never heard, you know, we were talking about for two years back that never heard we were going to pass. And I think the same will be, but that happens with everything we do. So I get that. But I do think we should make an effort for a day pass for a certain amount of money. It's good. And maybe people get them once per month and give them a certain set number of hours in the city. They can enjoy and visit before they move on to something else.

CITY MANAGER MOE: Mr. Mayor?

MAYOR FRANKLIN : Thank you.

CITY MANAGER MOE: Mr. Mayor?

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Yes.

CITY MANAGER MOE: If we could get clarification of what option three you're referring to here.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: So that's what I was going to say in the staff report. There's two PowerPoint presentations. One is from a date of January 16th. And that has the information about the three options. But we, assistant to city manager Gabriel's presentation was dated April 2nd.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Yeah. I think what Councilmember Montgomery's motion would be to introduce the ordinance.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yes. Right. But I haven't gotten that far yet.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: I think that takes care of it.

ASSISTANT TO THE CITY MANAGER GABRIEL: And I do want to clarify or just make sure the council is comfortable. As proposed the resident permit would not have does not have a

maximum number for residents. All right. But guests have a maximum of four. Staff did propose potentially putting a maximum number for resident permits that one. But that's the council discretionary call. And so I just want to make sure the council is comfortable with it.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: You want to restate your motion?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: I'll restate the motion.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Is that okay, Mr. Mayor?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: I'm in favor of option three, and I'm following along option three. We're on the same page here, starting on page 11 to the staff report. That's where I followed along. If George has made a change to that one, let me know. I am in favor of the max resident permit. It's two. Staff went with one, I went with two. I do agree with the citation numbers as stated. I will ask to include where the ad hoc committee or directly outreach to M.B.U.S.D. regarding all school property to be included if possible. I do agree that with Councilmember Napolitano we should look at a carved out. The only question is, how would a visitor from Kansas know that we

have a day permit? Does he or she, before they visit the city, A. go to our website, B. wait to see if our PD comes by and says, hey, where's your parking pass? How would they know that we have a car pass? I like the idea of it. But, logistically, common sense wise, how would he or she know that there would be a day pass that we're going to have and then where do they go to get it?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: I don't know if it's something that could be included in the sign, certainly on the website, obviously. And, I would assume that what we would do, if we don't have a register, is we give them a warning the day of saying, if you're going to be here, you know, if you're here for more than an hour, you need to go get a permit. Otherwise, you're going to be cited.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: I like the warning idea.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Sorry, Talyn. I'm sorry.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR MIRZAKHANIAN: Honorable Mayor and Council members, Talyn Mirzakhianian, your Community Development Director. I would recommend that we discuss the day

pass with the Coastal Commission as it is for the general public and they may have some concerns of how we implement that.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: As I am concerned, as well, how to implement that. I agree with you. I don't know how you do that, but it's a good conversation to have. Maybe include that in our, in my motion as well, that we find out if we're clear to the Coastal Commission about the use of a carved out day pass, how it's administered, and we go from there. And then last but not least, I think to the question of where city, where you park in the citywide area, I think really talking about East Manhattan, the hill section where it's flat enough, and in those Valley Arden areas. Because west of that, there's no place you really park besides El Porto parking lot. And the state lots can't get to it with an RV. So, I think if I've answered all of the questions, to Bruce to your question about the motion, what is included, anything else I missed?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Can I restate to make sure I'm seconding?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yes.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: The right thing?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yes.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Okay. So, what your, the motion is to accept staff recommendation with a max of two permits per household, per year.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Option three.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Option three, which was from January. So it's yeah. So option three, which is the staff recommendation. Okay. Additionally, give staff direction to check with Coastal Commission to discuss how a carved out day pass could work or would not work, because they, so we want to get that information.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yes.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: And we also want staff to reach out to the school district because we would like those parking lots to also prohibition against non-permanent vehicles.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yes.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: That's the motion.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yes. And also outreach. Once we get to the point where we're going to, nail down the kickoff date with the grace period, we need to do heavy outreach. Not only just

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Sure.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Not just TV, mail, whatever, chamber. Put the chamber to use with our newsletter. Everybody else should notify. No one can use their hand and say we didn't know about it. To Steve's point.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: I second that.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Question, your Honor.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Yes. Councilmember Napolitano?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: I guess I have to wonder why two, how many people have two such vehicles, and to me that just opens it up for mischief. For property owners to say that a

visiting person's RV is sort of their RV unless we're, I don't know if we're requiring them, numbers.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: There will be a black market for

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: That's a good point.

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: For registrations and things like that.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: That's a good point, Steve. I'll go back to staff one on that one. I didn't think about that part. I'll go back to the motion to resend the motion about two. Stay with the max of one for a resident vehicle. Not to mention that two residents at the same place couldn't have two vehicles, but highly unlikely, they both have two RVs and or sprinter vans at the same location.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Could be a good way to save a marriage.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yes.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: So I just want to, so I just want an opportunity to make a couple of comments about the reason for doing this. Yes, the other cities adjacent are doing it. But there's actually a Facebook page out there for RVers, you know, that tells you where can you park, where can you do it and not have to pay any money. So this is a very connected world. And if we, you know, I'm not saying, they will find, they will find those areas where they can park. Even if it's not in the west side. Certainly on the east side, they'll be, you know, if they're towing a car, then they're, now they're living in Manhattan Beach. Right? And you know, when they got a car and everything's great. I'm really intrigued by the idea of Dockweiler. Dockweiler gets full very quickly. And that's only anecdotal. I'm just driving by there and I can see that everybody's, you know, there. So if we're too low, then the Dockweiler Facebook page is going to say, head on over to Manhattan Beach.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: They have services, right?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: They have hookups.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: It's a totally different thing.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Well, yeah. But, you know, I'm, anyway, I think it's just worth looking at. You know, just to see. The issue also of the waste disposal that I think, something needs to be in the ordinance just stating that the owner of the vehicle has to do proper waste disposal. Because the next thing you know, valves are going to be turned in the middle of the night and things might happen. So I think it just needs to be mentioned. In terms of letting people know, my feeling is that RVers take a look. They Google, they check out. We got to make sure we have a robust website, you know, page on our website explaining all this, pointing out areas you can't park, you know, like on that map where you saw 35 locations and any that get added to that, the procedures, the processes, what the ordinance is, they will check. And, you know, also to make it very clear on the signs at the entry points to the city. So, I'm in support of this as well. And, if there's no more comments, I'll call for a vote.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Alright. Mayor, just to clarify, it would be the introduction of this ordinance, and we can do that tonight. We're exploring. We'll have conversations with the school about their property. We'll confer with the Coastal Commission about the carved out. Based on their input, we may have to delay the second reading of the ordinance. But for now,

I would recommend go ahead and introduce, and that ordinance number 24005, an ordinance of the City of Manhattan Beach amending Manhattan Beach Municipal Code chapter 14.46 regulating and requiring a parking permit for the parking of oversized vehicles and trailers as amended, and the one amendment that's part of this ordinance is one permit per residence or household.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yes

MAYOR FRANKLIN: And it also implies that they can sleep in it overnight, right? In the street, if they have a permit.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: RVs?

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Right.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: What's your question? Frame the question. Ask George. I guess so.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Yeah. You can ask me.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Or ask Quinn.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Yeah. Some resident has a valid permit and they end up sleeping out in front of their house, for less than 72 hours, they can do that.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Right

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Again, marital.

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: Mayor Pro Tem's brother is safe.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: No. My brother's safe, but also, who knows?

MAYOR FRANKLIN: I absolutely don't want to meet your brother.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: He's awesome. He would love it that I'm using him as a butt of the jokes.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: No. So, yeah. That's why my, back to my recommendation. With this, let's go with this ordinance. Limit one per person and, one per household. And there was one other issue that, one thing that was mentioned, it has really no impact on this ordinance, but there are some

alleys that are too narrow. And so you could also provide direction to PD and the traffic engineer to go through the city and say, this is way too narrow for an RV. So let's add that to our list of designated places.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Agree.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Yeah. And that would cover I mean, I mean, everyone has seen these, alleys that are just way too small.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Though you want it if somebody pulls up behind your garage to pack, it takes several hours.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: That's excluded. You can do that.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Okay.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Here's commercial values.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Oh, oh, oh, got you. Got you.

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: Okay. So I read the title as amended. And unless there's further questions, go ahead and vote.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Call for a vote.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Councilmember Lesser?

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Councilmember Napolitano?

COUNCILMEMBER NAPOLITANO: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Councilmember Montgomery?

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Mayor Pro Tem Howorth?

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Mayor Franklin?

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Yes.

CITY CLERK TAMURA: Motion passes 5-0.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Thank you. Okay. So we come up to AB1234 reports.

COUNCILMEMBER MONTGOMERY: Your honor, not a motion. So just to report it, I did bring back the National League of Cities correctional conference. I did bring the brochure to the City Clerk. Those of you who want to check it out, our City Clerk has that now for the class agenda structure. That's all. Thank you.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Future agenda items?

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: I should simply add that I did leave the boundary of the city of Manhattan Beach and went to the city of Carson for the South Bay City Council of Government's annual meeting. There was no cost, but nonetheless, it was an interesting program on how artificial intelligence, AI, is likely to affect local government as with everything in our society in terms of business and commerce, what we have to be worried about, and there are opportunities, the opportunities

AI would bring for greater efficiencies. So, it was an interesting program, and obviously, we're going to be seeing it in real time in our lives in the years to come.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: That's cool.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Is that you really saying it or is it..

COUNCILMEMBER LESSER: That's a good question. You're quite right.

MAYOR PRO TEM HOWORTH: Are you a bot?

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Anything else? Okay. Future agenda items? Anybody? No. City Manager report?

CITY MANAGER MOE: No report tonight. Thank you.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. City Attorney report?

CITY ATTORNEY BARROW: No report.

MAYOR FRANKLIN: Okay. Informational items? Commission?
So, we're going to adjourn to a closed session on Monday, April
8th 2024 at 5PM in City Council Chambers. Thank you.